AGENDA

Conservation Advisory Committee

MEETING OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

TO BE HELD ON



WEDNESDAY 28 MARCH 2007

commencing at 6 pm

in Conference Room 2 JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP

GARRY HUNT Chief Executive Officer 23 March 2007



www.joondalup.wa.gov.

CITY OF JOONDALUP

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the **CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE** will be held in Conference Room 2, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on **WEDNESDAY 28 MARCH 2007** commencing at **6pm**

GARRY HUNT Chief Executive Officer 23 March 2007

Joondalup Western Australia

AGENDA

Committee Members

Cr Steve Magyar Presiding Person

Cr Michele John Cr Sue Hart Cr Brian Corr

Vacant

Mrs Marilyn Zakrevsky Friends of Korella Bushland

Mr Ralph Henderson Friends of Hepburn Heights Bushland

Mr John Wood Friends of Craigie Bushland
Mr Barry Fitzsimmons Friends of Periwinkle Bushland
Ms Sue Bailey Friends of Maritana Bushland

Ms Phyllis Robertson Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum Dr Marjorie Apthorpe Friends of North Ocean Reef & Iluka Foreshore

Mr John Chester Community Representative
Mrs Wendy Herbert Community Representative
Ms Alice Stubber Community Representative

Officers

Mr Murray Ralph Manager, Infrastructure Management Systems

Mr Keith Armstrong Conservation Co-ordinator

Ms Janet Harrison Administrative Services Co-ordinator

Mrs Jill Hewison Administrative Secretary

Terms of Reference

> To make recommendations to Council for the conservation of the City of Joondalup's natural biodiversity.

- > To provide strategic input and when requested technical advice on issues relating to the conservation and management of the City's natural biodiversity.
- > To promote partnerships between Council and the community to protect the City of Joondalup's natural biodiversity as contained within its various natural areas (bushland, wetlands and coastal environment).

DECLARATION OF OPENING

APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

MINUTES OF THE CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE HELD ON 29 NOVEMBER 2006

RECOMMENDATION

That:

- 1. the minutes of the meeting of the Conservation Advisory Committee held on 29 November 2006 be confirmed as a true and correct record;
- 2. the Conservation Advisory Committee notes that the 7 February 2007 meeting was not held due to a lack of quorum.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON WITHOUT DISCUSSION

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY SIT BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS

REPORTS

Item 1 Strategic Plan Key Performance Indicators

Page 3

(item deferred from 29 November 2006 CAC meeting)

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION

CLOSURE

ITEM 1 STRATEGIC PLAN KEY PERFORMANCE

INDICATORS - [20560]

WARD: All

RESPONSIBLE Mr Ian Cowie

DIRECTOR: Governance and Strategy

PURPOSE

To seek advice from the Conservation Advisory Committee on the development of Environmental Key Performance Indicators for the Strategic Plan 2003-2008.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2005/06 Strategic Plan Key Performance Indicators (KPI) Report was presented to Council on 29 August 2006, in accordance with the Council's reporting framework. Council accepted the report, and requested that Key Focus Area 2 - Caring for the Environment be referred to the Conservation Advisory Committee to develop Key Performance Indicators for the preservation of Joondalup's natural biodiversity. (*Item CJ137 – 08/06 refers*).

BACKGROUND

This Report was presented to the 25 October 2006 Conservation Advisory Committee, the item was deferred to the 29 November 2006 CAC Meeting, and then deferred to the 28 February 2007 meeting, which failed to achieve a quorum.

The Strategic Plan KPIs are intended to measure progress against the Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008, and reports against the KPIs are provided to the Council on an annual basis. The report is a component of the Corporate Reporting Framework endorsed by Council on 14 December 2004 (*Item CJ307-12/04 refers*).

DETAILS

Issues and options considered:

The 2005/06 Strategic Plan KPI Report provided Council with information for the period 1 July 2005 to 30 June 2006.

The KPIs link directly to the outcomes and strategies in the Key Focus Areas of:

- · Community Wellbeing;
- Caring for the Environment:
- · City Development, and
- Organisational Development.

The KPIs are categorised according to their indicator type - social, economic, and/or environmental.

Link to Strategic Plan:

Key Focus Area 4: Organisational Development

Outcome: The City of Joondalup is a sustainable and accountable business.

Objective 4.1: To manage the business in a responsible and accountable manner.

Strategy 4.1.2: Develop a corporate reporting framework based on sustainable indicators.

Key Focus Area 2: Caring for the Environment

Outcome: The City of Joondalup is environmentally responsible in its activities.

Objective 2.1: Plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability.

Strategy 2.1.1: Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity.

Legislation – Statutory Provisions:

Section 2.7 of the Local Government Act 1995 states:

The role of the council:

- (1) The council:
 - (a) directs and controls the local government's affairs; and
 - (b) is responsible for the performance of the local government's functions.

Risk Management considerations:

The KPI Report provides Council with an overall assessment of progress against the Strategic Plan.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Not Applicable.

Policy Implications:

The stated objective of Council's Communication Policy 2.3.2 is:

To indicate the City's high level of commitment to public consultation and to provide good, open and accountable government.

Regional Significance:

Not Applicable.

Sustainability Implications:

The Strategic Plan KPIs have been categorised as social, environmental and/or economic.

Consultation:

Not Applicable.

COMMENT

The Strategic Plan is due for a major review during 2006/07 and this will include a review of the KPIs against the revised Plan. This process will involve consultation with members of the community and key stakeholders, including the Conservation Advisory Committee.

The City has also recently joined the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives Local Action Biodiversity project which will promote the understanding of local government biodiversity issues leading to the implementation of appropriate measures within the participating local governments

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Urban Natural Areas KPIs Calculation Sheet

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That the Conservation Advisory Committee PROVIDES advice to the Council on the development of Key Performance Indicators for the preservation of Joondalup's natural biodiversity.

Appendix 1 refers



APPENDICES FOR AGENDA OF CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ITEM		APPENDIX	PAGE	
Item 1	Strategic Plan (20560)	Key Performance Indicators –	1	1
	Attachment 1	Urban Natural Areas KPIs Calculation Sheet		

	_
	7
	-
	Œ
	10
	~
	-

2.1 - 2.3 Recurrent Cost of Conservation Reserve Management Total Recurrent Expenditure last Financial Year on Conservation Reserves Number of Council Residents Number of Council Ratepayers Recurrent Expenditure last Financial Year on Conservation Reserves per Hectare Recurrent Expenditure last Financial Year on Conservation Reserves per Resident Recurrent Expenditure last Financial Year on Conservation Reserves per Ratepayer	Total Hectarage of Council Managed Conservation Reserves Total Hectarage of Council Managed Conservation Reserves set aside for Natural Area Conservation under Planning Scheme % of Hectarage of Council Managed Conservation Reserves set aside for Natural Area Conservation under Planning Scheme BUDGETARY INDICATORS	% of vulnerable Sites that have a PC Management Plan in Place Number of Sites with a PC Management Plan in Place that Comply with Specified Monitoring and Audit Programs % of Sites with a PC Management Plan in Place that Comply with Specified Monitoring and Audit Programs 1.9 Protection in Perpetuity	Number of Vulnerable Sites that have Undergone PC Occurance Mapping Number of Vulnerable Sites identified through PC Occurance Mapping Number of Vulnerable Sites that have a PC Management Plan in Place	Number of Conservation Reserves Managed by Council Number of Conservation Reserves that have Undergone Desktop Threat Assessment % of Conservation Reserves that have Undergone Desktop Threat Assessment Number of Potentially Vulnerable Sites identified in Desktop Threat Assessment Number of Potentially Vulnerable Sites that have Undergone PC Occurance Mapping	Length of Regulated Trails - metres - 10 representative sites Length of Unregulated Trails - metres - 10 representative sites Total Trail Length % of Unregulated Trails 1.5 - 1.9 Dieback	1.1 Environmental Weed Density (refer to separate sheet) % Density of Priority Environmental Weeds 1.2 & 1.3 Foreign Material (refer to separate sheet) Overall Volume of Foreign Material/ha - cubic metres Overall Incidence of Foreign Material/ha - count 1.4 Regulated and Unregulated Trails	Urban Natural Areas KPIs Calculation Sheet
tatata ea						Kesun	0
529,000.00 160,000 57,300 1,296.57 3.31 9.23	408 23 6%	75%	100%	43 13 30% 4	21,409 9,700 31,109 31%		

100

APPENDIX

1,60,1	
1,01	Total Natural Area Hectarage in I GA
Q 512	Total Hectarage of LGA
	5.1 - 5.5 Natural Area within LGA
	CONSERVATION LAND ALLOCATION INDICATORS
	Overall Mean (average the total responses - not the mean score for each category)
	i. Retention of bushland and wetland areas for biodiversity protection
	h. Reduction of sediment in water run off in urban areas
	g. Bushfire management in bushland reserves
	f. The spread of Dieback (Phytophthora cinnamomi)
	e. Native animal protection in bushland and wetland reserves
	d. Native plant protection in bushland and wetland reserves
	c. The control of environmental weeds in bushland and wetland reserves
	 The spread of environmental weeds in bushland and wetland reserves
	a. Rubbish dumping in bushland and wetland reserves
	4.1 Overall Mean Scores - Level of Community Knowledge - Corporate Community Survey (100 responses minimum)
	COMMUNITY PERCEPTION INDICATORS
40%	% of Conservation Reserves that have undergone a Management Plan
17	Number of Conservation Reserves that have some form of Management Plan
	3.2 Conservation Reserve Management Planning
30	Hours per Year per Hectare spent on Conservation Reserve Management
12,100	Number of Hours spent Last Financial Year on Conservation Reserve Management
	3.1 Hours per Year per Hectare spent on Conservation Reserve Management
77.77.88.62.27.7	MANAGEMENT INDICATORS
#D/V/O!	% of Conservation Reserve Current Value to Replacement Value
	Total Replacement Value of Conservation Reserve Facilities
	Total Current Value of Conservation Reserve Facilities
	2.5 Conservation Reserve Infrastructure Current Value to Replacement Value
0.5%	% of Expenditure on Conservation Reserves to Council Expenditure
#D/V/0!	% of Capital Expenditureon Conservation Reserves to Council Capital Expenditure
0.5%	nditure
529,000.00	Total Expenditure last Financial Year on Council Reserves
	eserves
115,000,000.00	Total Council Expenditure last Financial Year
	Total Council Capital Expenditure last Financial Year
115,000,000.00	Total Council Recurrent Expenditure last Financial Year
	2.4 Conservation Reserve Management Expenditure to Council Expenditure

PLEASE SUBMIT INTERNAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS AS A SEPARATE DOCUMENT	% of Councils Open Space System Managed as Conservation Reserva	Total Hectarage of Councils Open Space System	5.9 Conservation Reserves within LGA Open Space System	Sq. Metres of LGA Conservation Reserve per Resident	Sq. Metres of LGA Conservation Reserve per Ratepayer	% of Natural Area with LGA that is Managed as an LGA Conservation Reserve	5.6 - 5.8 Conservation Reserves Managed By LGA	Sq. Metres of Natural Area within LGA per Resident	Sq. Metres of Natural Area within LGA per Ratepayer	% of Natural Areas within LGA managed for Public Purposes	% of Natural Areas within LGA managed for Conservation Purposes	% Natural Area within LGA	Total Hectarage of Natural Areas within the LGA managed for Public Purposes (public can access)	Total Hectarage within LGA of Natural Areas managed (Council and non Council) as Conservation Reserves
4.	41%	1006.16		26	71	54%		106.1	296.2	50%	44%	18%	852	753