

minutes

Capital Works Committee

MEETING HELD ON

TUESDAY 3 JUNE 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item No. | Title | Page No. |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| | Declaration of Opening | 3 |
| | Declarations of Interest | 3 |
| | Apologies/Leave of absence | 3 |
| | Confirmation of Minutes | 4 |
| | Announcements by the Presiding Member without discussion | 4 |
| | Identification of matters for which the meeting may be closed to the public | 4 |
| | Petitions and deputations | 4 |
| | Reports | 5 |
| 1 | Update on 2013-14 Capital Works Program – [102496] | 5 |
| 2 | Bi-Monthly Capital Works Project Reports – [102496] | 8 |
| 3 | Proposed Stairway at Whitfords Nodes Park, Hillarys – [02656] | 12 |
| 4 | Design Review for Priority Two and Priority Three Entry Statements – [37196] | 17 |
| | Urgent Business | 24 |
| | Motions of which previous notice has been given | 24 |
| | Requests for Reports for future consideration | 24 |
| | Closure | 24 |

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF THE CAPITAL WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD IN CONFERENCE ROOM 2, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP ON TUESDAY 3 JUNE 2014.

ATTENDANCE

Committee Members

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cr Kerry Hollywood | <i>Presiding Member</i> | |
| Mayor Troy Pickard | | |
| Cr Brian Corr | | |
| Cr Teresa Ritchie, JP | | |
| Cr Mike Norman | deputising for Cr Hamilton-Prime | <i>from 5.50pm</i> |
| Cr Philippa Taylor | <i>Deputy Presiding Member</i> | <i>from 6.05pm</i> |

Observers

Cr John Chester

Officers

| | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mr Mike Tidy | Director Corporate Services |
| Mr Nico Claassen | Director Infrastructure Services |
| Mr Brad Sillence | Manager Governance |
| Mrs Dawn Anderson | Governance Officer |

DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 5.45pm.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil.

APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies:

Cr Geoff Amphlett, JP.

Leave of Absence Previously Approved:

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Cr Christine Hamilton-Prime | 1 June to 20 June 2014 inclusive; |
| Cr Russ Fishwick, JP | 4 August to 23 September 2014 inclusive; |
| Cr Philippa Taylor | 20 August to 12 September 2014 inclusive. |

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

MINUTES OF THE CAPITAL WORKS COMMITTEE HELD 1 APRIL 2014

MOVED Mayor Pickard, **SECONDED** Cr Ritchie that the minutes of the meeting of the Capital Works Committee held on 1 April 2014 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (4/0)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Hollywood, Mayor Pickard, Crs Corr and Ritchie.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil.

IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

In accordance with Clause 5.2 of the *City's Meeting Procedures Local Law 2013*, this meeting was not open to the public.

PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS

Nil.

REPORTS**ITEM 1 UPDATE ON 2013-14 CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM**

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| WARD | All |
| RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR | Mr Nico Claassen Infrastructure Services |
| FILE NUMBER | 102496, 101515 |
| ATTACHMENT | Attachment 1 Capital Works Project Report 2013-14 |
| AUTHORITY / DISCRETION | Information - includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting'). |

PURPOSE

For the Capital Works Committee to note the update on the *2013-14 Capital Works Program*.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Capital Works Project Report for the 2013-14 program, as at 30 April 2014, is attached (Attachment 1 refers).

It is recommended that the Capital Works Committee NOTES the report on the Capital Works Projects for 2013-14 as at 30 April 2014 forming Attachment 1 to this Report.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on 15 May 2012 (CJ094-05/12 refers), Council resolved in part, to establish a Capital Works Committee to:

- *oversee the monthly progress of the City's annual Capital Works Program and review of the City's Five Year Capital Works Program*
- *oversee the long term planning of major capital works projects not being the role of a Council Committee established for such purposes*
- *consider recommendations to modify the City's Capital Works.*

DETAILS

The Capital Works Project Report for the 2013-14 program, as at 30 April 2014, is provided at Attachment 1.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / policy implications**Legislation**

Sections 5.17 and 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

A committee cannot make decisions, on behalf of the Council, that require an absolute majority decision (section 5.17 of the *Local Government Act 1995*), in which case, and in accordance with Section 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, includes approving expenditure not included in the City's Annual Budget. The Capital Works Committee could only recommend to the Council to approve or modify capital works projects.

Strategic Community Plan**Key theme**

Financial Sustainability.

Objective

Major project delivery.

Strategic initiative

Not applicable.

Policy

Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Not applicable.

Financial / budget implications

Not applicable.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

The Capital Works Project Report for the 2013-14 program provides an update on the capital works activities undertaken as at 30 April 2014.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

Cr Norman entered the room at 5.50pm.

MOVED Cr Norman, **SECONDED** Mayor Pickard that the Capital Works Committee **NOTES** the report on the Capital Works Projects for 2013-14 as at 30 April 2014 forming Attachment 1 to this Report.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (5/0)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Hollywood, Mayor Pickard, Crs Corr, Norman and Ritchie.

Appendix 1 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach1agnCWC030614.pdf](#)

ITEM 2 BI-MONTHLY CAPITAL WORKS PROJECT REPORTS

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| WARD | All | |
| RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR | Mr Nico Claassen Infrastructure Services | |
| FILE NUMBER | 102496, 101515 | |
| ATTACHMENT | Attachment 1 | Hodges Drive duplication |
| | Attachment 2 | Warrandyte Park - landscape upgrade |
| | Attachment 3 | Mawson Park - landscape upgrade |
| | Attachment 4 | Delamere Park construction |
| | Attachment 5 | Arterial Roads Entry Statements |
| | Attachment 6 | Shenton Avenue landscaping |
| | Attachment 7 | Admiral Park refurbishment |
| | Attachment 8 | Marmion Foreshore car park |
| | Attachment 9 | Iluka District Open Space - sports light upgrade |
| | Attachment 10 | Otago Park - sump beautification |
| | Attachment 11 | Joondalup City Centre lighting |
| | Attachment 12 | Warwick Leisure Centre extension |
| | Attachment 13 | Multi-storey car park - Boas Avenue |
| | Attachment 14 | Entry Statements - Joondalup Drive |
| AUTHORITY / DISCRETION | Information - includes items provided to Council for information purposes only that do not require a decision of Council (that is for 'noting'). | |

PURPOSE

For the Capital Works Committee to note the bi-monthly project status reports for capital works projects.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its meeting held on 6 August 2013, the Capital Works Committee determined which capital works project reports were required and the frequency of reporting. The bi-monthly project reports are attached (Attachments 1-14 refer).

It is therefore recommended that the Capital Works Committee NOTES the:

- 1 *bi-monthly capital works project reports forming Attachments 1-14 to this Report;*
- 2 *completion of the Admiral Park refurbishment project and that no further status reports will be provided for this project.*

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on 6 August 2013 the Capital Works Committee requested that the following project reports from the *2013-14 Capital Works Program* be provided on a bi-monthly basis:

- Currambine Community Centre.
- Hodges Drive duplication.
- Warrandyte Park - landscape upgrade.
- Mawson Park - landscape upgrade.
- Hillarys Park - landscape upgrade.
- Delamere Park construction.
- Arterial Roads Entry Statements.
- Suburban Entry Statements.
- Shenton Avenue landscaping
- Admiral Park refurbishment.
- Marmion Foreshore car park.
- Iluka District Open Space - sports lighting upgrade.
- Otago Park - sump beautification.
- Joondalup City Centre lighting.
- Warwick Leisure Centre extension.
- Multi-storey car park - Boas Avenue.

The following projects which required bi-monthly project reports have now been completed and the final report was presented to the Capital Works Committee held on 1 April 2014:

- Currambine Community Centre.
- Hillarys Park - landscape upgrade.

At its meeting held on 10 December 2013 (CJ252-12/13 refers), Council agreed, in part to:

“2 APPROVES BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY the reallocation of \$170,000 from Capital Works project SSE2020 Suburban Entry Statements, to fund the installation of a third priority one entry statement as detailed in Part 1 above;”

Project SSE2020 Suburban Entry Statements has now been withdrawn and the final status report was presented to the Capital Works Committee at its meeting held on 1 April 2014.

Further to the above, the following project, Entry Statements - Joondalup Drive has been created and a bi-monthly status update will be provided to the Capital Works Committee.

DETAILS

A summary of the projects and their current status is detailed in the bi-monthly project reports forming Attachments 1-14 to this Report.

The following project which required a bi-monthly project report has now been completed and no further reports will be presented to the Capital Works Committee:

- Admiral Park refurbishment.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / policy implications**Legislation**

Sections 5.17 and 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

A committee cannot make decisions, on behalf of the Council, that require an absolute majority decision (section 5.17 of the *Local Government Act 1995*), in which case, and in accordance with Section 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, includes approving expenditure not included in the City's Annual Budget. The Capital Works Committee could only recommend to the Council to approve or modify capital works projects.

Strategic Community Plan**Key theme**

Financial Sustainability.

Objective

Major project delivery.

Strategic initiative

Not applicable.

Policy

Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Not applicable.

Financial/budget implications

Not applicable.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

The attached capital works project reports provide an update on the activities undertaken in the last two months.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

MOVED Cr Norman, SECONDED Cr Ritchie that the Capital Works Committee NOTES the:

- 1 bi-monthly capital works project reports forming Attachments 1-14 to this Report;**
- 2 completion of the Admiral Park refurbishment project and that no further status reports will be provided for this project.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (5/0)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Hollywood, Mayor Pickard, Crs Corr, Norman and Ritchie.

Appendix 2 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach2agnCWC030614.pdf](#)

ITEM 3 PROPOSED STAIRWAY AT WHITFORDS NODES PARK, HILLARYS

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| WARD | South-West |
| RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR | Mr Nico Claassen Infrastructure Services |
| FILE NUMBER | 101515, 02656 |
| ATTACHMENT | Attachment 1 Whitfords Nodes Stairway Ecological Assessment Attachment 2 Aerial Map Proposed Stairway Location |
| AUTHORITY / DISCRETION | Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets. |

PURPOSE

For Council to consider the proposal to construct a stairway at Whitfords Nodes Park, Hillarys.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Harbour Rise Homeowners Association (HRHA) has proposed that the City construct a stairway in Whitfords Nodes Park linking the turfed area of the park with the lookout on the high dune in the north-east of the park. The proposal for the stairway has the support of the Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum (JCCCF). The cost of the proposed structure is estimated to be \$219,000.

The existing lookout area is 26m² and is currently accessed by a stabilised limestone pathway that is fit for purpose (Attachment 2 refers).

The City commissioned environmental consultants to undertake an ecological assessment of the proposal (Attachment 1 refers). The report concluded that it would be difficult for the City to obtain the necessary State Government approvals to clear vegetation for the project. The assessment also highlighted environmental concerns with the construction of the stairway, namely the possibility of dunal blowouts, erosion and serious weed invasion of the site.

The assessment also noted that if the stairway was constructed, considerable maintenance will be required to stop ongoing environmental degradation of the area.

It is therefore recommended that Council DOES NOT SUPPORT the proposal to construct a stairway to the northern lookout at Whitfords Nodes Park.

BACKGROUND

At the Ratepayers Group Budget Breakfast held on 26 March 2012, the HRHA presented a proposal to the City for the construction of a stairway to link the turfed area at Whitfords Nodes Park Hillarys with the summit of the dune lookout. This lookout is located at the north-east corner of the park (Attachment 2 refers). The proposal was described as 'the Jacobs Ladder of the north'.

The City subsequently commissioned environmental consultants to undertake an ecological assessment of the proposal (Attachment 1 refers).

DETAILS

Whitfords Nodes Park is a heavily-used park, located directly north of Hillarys Marina. The park has a toilet block, play equipment and access via short pathways to Whitfords Beach.

Whitfords Nodes Park was constructed within dunal swales, with coastal dunes west of the grassed parkland area and highly-vegetated dune systems located to the east (Attachment 2 refers).

The large dune system on the eastern edge of the park contains a network of limestone pathways, linking the parkland with two high lookout points. The pathways were upgraded three years ago and are in good condition. The proposal is to link the turf area with the northern lookout via a stairway that traverses the western side of the high northern dune where the lookout is located.

The section of the dune where it is proposed to build the lookout has historically been badly degraded by pedestrians climbing the dune and not using the existing pathway. The City has recently constructed bushland fencing and placed large branches on the steep banks of the dune to prevent erosion. These actions have stopped pedestrian access at this location and vegetation condition has improved and damage has ceased.

The City has built similar stairs on a large dune in Craigie bushland in 2010. The Craigie stairs were constructed of dressed, treated pine. The cost of these stairs was \$219,600 excluding associated design and engineering costs. Other construction materials to be considered would include galvanised steel or plastic. The cost for the stairs at Whitfords Nodes would be comparable with the Craigie bushland stairs.

The environmental consultants Ecoscape were engaged by the City to conduct an ecological assessment of the proposed project as the bushland at Whitfords Nodes forms part of the coastal reserve Bush Forever site (Attachment 1 refers).

The Ecoscape assessment concluded that if the stairway proposal was referred to the Department of Planning, the agency responsible for the implementation of Bush Forever, "a reasonable outcome for the City is not likely". This statement was made because the *Environmental Protection Notice 2005 WA* lists all Bush Forever sites as Environmentally Sensitive Areas; the exemptions to remove native vegetation under the Native Clearing regulations do not apply, thus making it very difficult to obtain vegetation clearing approval.

Issues and options considered

Option 1 – seek to obtain necessary approvals, grant funding and construct stairway

- The construction of the stairway would produce an amenity for park users wanting to undertake a vigorous exercise regime, running or walking up and down the stairs.
- The stairs would also shorten the distance and the time taken to access the lookout and the expansive views from the summit.

Option 2 – do not construct the stairway

- There is currently a fit-for-purpose pathway in place to access the two lookouts within Whitfords Nodes Park.
- To undertake the project will require obtaining environmental approvals, engineering designs and site surveys. The ongoing maintenance costs of a stairway in an exposed coastal location can also be high.
- Signage indicating location of pathways to lookouts to be installed.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / policy implications

Legislation *Metropolitan Region Scheme.
Amendment 1082/33 Bush Forever & Related Lands.*

Strategic Community Plan

Key theme The Natural Environment.

Objective Environmental resilience.

Strategic initiative

- Understand the local environmental context.
- Identify and respond to environmental risks and vulnerabilities.
- Demonstrate current best practice in environmental management for local water, waste, biodiversity and energy resources.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

If the stairway is constructed, there are a number of ongoing risks to the structure. These include the following:

- Future damage to the structure from bush fire, as the structure would be built in thick bushland.
- The bushland clearing to construct the structure will leave the area vulnerable to prevailing winds that may erode the sand dunes unless extensive planting and rehabilitation is undertaken post construction.
- Vegetation removal can result in weed species occupying the voids created by the removal of native species.
- Maintenance costs on coastal structures tend to be high because of the exposed location, salt-laden winds and acts of vandalism.
- That the stairway is not utilised because the existing pathways provide an alternative access route.

Financial / budget implications

No funds have been allocated for the project in the *Five Year Capital Works Program*.

If Lotterywest grant funding was sought, the City would have to provide a 25% in-kind contribution to the project. The lookout is estimated to cost \$219,000.

Current financial year impact

Not applicable.

Future financial year impact

| | |
|--|--|
| Annual operating cost | Maintenance costs would be approximately \$3,000 per annum. This is assuming that the stairs are not damaged by acts of vandalism, fire or storm events. |
| Estimated annual income | Not applicable. |
| Capital replacement | The asset would require replacement after a period of 20 years. |
| 20 Year Strategic Financial Plan impact | The maintenance costs over a twenty year period are estimated at \$60,000. |
| Impact year | If the stairs were constructed in the 2015-16 financial year, it is envisaged they would require replacement in 2035-36. |

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implicationsEnvironmental

If the City was given the relevant approvals to construct the stairway, it would entail the removal of native coastal vegetation. These actions could result in the following environmental impacts:

- Clearing vegetation for construction has the potential to produce serious dune blowouts, because loose sand is exposed to prevailing winds.
- The clearing of vegetation and increased public pressure on the dune will provide for a greater opportunity for weeds to invade through seed distribution and reduction in the competitive pressures of native species.
- Additional fencing would be required to keep pedestrians on the pathway; this will result in more vegetation disturbance.
- The piles required to stabilise the dune could allow rain and erosive forces to undermine the dune structure.

Social

The ability for park users to access the dune system in the day will bring additional amenity value to the park. This could have implications after dark with the easier access making it a focal point for antisocial behaviours.

Economic

The construction of the stairway will have implications in terms of initial capital costs plus annual maintenance. These implications can become unsustainable if the area is subject to frequent acts of vandalism or arson.

Consultation

The proposal for the stairway was requested by the HRHA. Following the initial proposal, the JCCCF discussed the proposal, and passed a resolution in its support. A representative from the City was present during these proceedings.

No formal consultation process has been entered into by the City.

COMMENT

The proposal to construct the stairway is not recommended for the following reasons:

- The current access to the northern lookout is fit-for-purpose; duplication is not required.
- The destination for the stairway (the lookout) is so small, that sufficient amenity value will not be garnered from the considerable expenditure required to build the structure.
- It is very unlikely that the necessary approvals required from statutory authorities to build the structure can be obtained.
- The potential adverse environmental impacts to the environment, both during construction and throughout the life of the stairway.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

Cr Taylor entered the room at 6.05pm.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That the Capital Works Committee DOES NOT SUPPORT the proposal to construct a stairway to the northern lookout at Whitfords Nodes Park.

PROCEDURAL MOTION – THAT THE ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO THE CEO

MOVED Cr Norman, **SECONDED** Mayor Pickard that the item be **REFERRED BACK** to the Chief Executive Officer to allow a further report to be prepared on the assessment of costings and potential external funding sources for the construction of a stairway to the southern side of the current lookout location.

The Procedural Motion was Put and

CARRIED (6/0)

In favour of the Procedural Motion: Cr Hollywood, Mayor Pickard, Crs Corr, Norman, Ritchie and Taylor.

Appendix 3 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach3agnCWC030614.pdf](#)

ITEM 4 DESIGN REVIEW FOR PRIORITY TWO AND PRIORITY THREE ENTRY STATEMENTS

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| WARD | All | |
| RESPONSIBLE DIRECTOR | Mr Nico Claassen Infrastructure Services | |
| FILE NUMBER | 37196, 101515 | |
| ATTACHMENT | Attachment 1 | Entry statement review |
| | Attachment 2 | Typical revised priority two entry statement |
| | Attachment 3 | Typical priority three entry statement |
| | Attachment 4 | Original locations map |
| | Attachment 5 | Proposed locations map |
| AUTHORITY / DISCRETION | Executive - The substantial direction setting and oversight role of Council, such as adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets. | |

PURPOSE

For Council to endorse the revised design and proposed locations of the priority two and priority three entry statements and approve the installation timetable for priority two entry statements.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A report on the *Priority Two and Priority Three Entry Statements* was presented to the Capital Works Committee at its meeting held on 1 April 2014. At that meeting it was agreed that the design of the priority two and priority three entry statements be professionally revised including a revision of the installation timetable to focus on the installation of priority two entry statements initially.

A professional review of the priority two and priority three entry statements was requested and the "Joondalup Priority 2 & 3 Entry Statements Review" report prepared by Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (UDLA) was received by the City on 9 May 2014 (Attachment 1 refers).

Recommendations from that review have been acknowledged and reflected in the revised typical priority two entry statement design (Attachment 2 refers). The priority three entry statement has no changes made to the sign itself but allowance has been made in the garden bed for future inclusion of interpretive poles (Attachment 3 refers).

The original locations mapped for entry statements has been included for reference and forms Attachment 4 to this Report. The proposed locations map for priority two and priority three entry statements forms Attachment 5 to this Report.

The proposed locations map nominates priority two entry statement sites near the local government boundaries within the medians of:

- Ocean Reef Road
- Connolly Drive
- Whitfords Avenue
- Hepburn Avenue
- Warwick Road.

The proposed locations map also includes two additional priority three entry statements on the freeway entry/exits of Burns Beach Road and Shenton Avenue.

The priority two entry statement signage forms part of the Level one landscape treatment for entry points into the City. The installation time table is governed by the commencement of the *East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program SSE2011* listed in the *2015-16 Capital Works Program* with an allocated budget of \$750,000.

Table 1: Condensed project schedule details the entry statement signage installation within the SSE2011 project construction for 2015-16. The project is scheduled to commence on 1 July 2015.

It is therefore recommended that Council:

- 1 *NOTES the "Joondalup Priority 2 & 3 Entry Statements Review" report prepared by Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (UDLA) forming Attachment 1 to this Report;*
- 2 *ENDORSES the revised concept design for the priority two and priority three entry statement forming Attachments 2 and 3 to this Report;*
- 3 *APPROVES the locations of the priority two and priority three entry statements forming Attachment 5 to this Report;*
- 4 *APPROVES the progressive installation of the priority two entry statements under the East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program SSE2011 for the 2015-16 Capital Works Program.*

BACKGROUND

In 2007 the City appointed Greg Grabasch, Landscape Architect, Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (UDLA) to undertake the design of priority one entry statements to the City. The design was developed during a series of workshops with Elected Members to create an entry statement unique to the City of Joondalup.

At its meeting held on 16 February 2010 (CJ026-02/10 refers), Council approved the installation of two priority one entry statements located within the median of Marmion Avenue near the south and north local government boundaries. The Entry Statements Project was advertised for tender and submissions received in 2012 exceeded the budget. All tenders were declined.

The Entry Statements Project was subject to review during 2012-13 to meet budget constraints and Main Roads WA requirements. The construction of two priority one entry statements was completed in November 2013.

At its meeting held on 10 December 2013 (CJ252-12/13 refers), Council agreed in part to approve the installation of the third priority one entry statement located within the median of Joondalup Drive near the eastern local government boundary. Construction of the third priority one entry statement currently in progress will complete three priority one entry statements for the City of Joondalup.

In February 2014 a report was requested to address the design, locations and implementation strategy for priority two and priority three entry statements. The *Priority Two and Priority Three Entry Statements* report was presented to the Capital Works Committee meeting held on 1 April 2014 and at this meeting it was agreed that:

"The item relating to priority 2 and priority 3 entry statements be REFERRED BACK to the Chief Executive Officer to allow the design of the priority 2 and priority 3 entry statements to be professionally revised including a revision of the installation timetable to focus on the installation of priority 2 entry statements initially."

DETAILS

Entry Statement Design

A professional review of the priority two and priority three entry statements was requested from UDLA to ensure the intent and vision for the original entry statement design was captured in the priority two and priority three versions.

The 'Joondalup Priority 2 & 3 Entry Statements Review' report, prepared by UDLA, was received by the City on 9 May 2014. The report, forming Attachment 1 to this Report, provides commentary and recommendations for the entry statement signs. For the priority two signage, two options were considered by UDLA: Option A, the original design which consists of three interpretive poles and Option B, an enhanced design which consists of five interpretive poles. Priority three signage is limited to the sign only.

In summary the recommendations for the priority two signage from UDLA are:

- general support for Option B (five interpretive poles)
- placement of the five poles should appear randomised
- interpretive poles should be placed so as not to obscure the message on the sign
- each site should have its own unique pole configuration
- consider randomising the colours of the different pole lengths.

A revised typical priority two entry statement design forming Attachment 2 to this Report has been prepared for Council assessment. At detailed design stage each entry statement site will be surveyed and the pole placement individually configured.

The priority three entry statement forming Attachment 3 to this Report has no changes made to the sign itself but the placement of the signage within the garden bed allows for future inclusion of interpretive poles.

Installation Time Table

It is proposed that the entry statement signage will be installed under the *East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program* as part of the landscape treatment and at its meeting held on 15 April 2014 (CJ059-04/14 refers), Council agreed in part to:

"Approve *Option 3 for implementation to provide landscape treatments to selected sections on more than one arterial road simultaneously.*"

The original locations mapped for entry statements has been included for reference and forms Attachment 4 to this Report. The proposed locations map for priority two and priority three entry statements forms Attachment 5 to this Report.

Priority two entry statements have been proposed for entry points into the City off the eastern local government boundary at Wanneroo Road and at the northern local government boundary on Connolly Drive. Priority two entry statements, as shown on the original locations map, at the southern local government boundary are not included in this proposal.

The five locations proposed for priority two signage are sited near the local government boundaries within the medians of:

- Ocean Reef Road
- Connolly Drive
- Whitfords Avenue
- Hepburn Avenue
- Warwick Road.

The proposed locations map also includes two additional priority three entry statements on the freeway entry/exits of Burns Beach Road and Shenton Avenue.

Focussing initially on the installation of priority two entry statements, Table 1 below has been prepared demonstrating the phasing of SSE2011 for 2015-16.

Table 1: Condensed project schedule

| ITEM | DATE |
|---|-------------------|
| Order placed - fabrication of signage and poles | 1 May 2015 |
| Commence site works (location 1) | 1 July 2015 |
| Commence irrigation installation (location 1) | 1 July 2015 |
| Commence concrete installation (location 1) | 20 July 2015 |
| Commence signage installation (location 1) | 20 July 2015 |
| Commence landscape installation (location 1) | 10 August 2015 |
| Complete site works (location 5) | 9 September 2015 |
| Complete signage installation (location 5) | 15 September 2015 |
| Complete concrete installation (location 5) | 30 October 2015 |
| Complete irrigation installation (location 5) | 30 October 2015 |
| Complete landscape installation (location 5) | 13 November 2015 |
| Practical completion | 18 November 2015 |
| Commence consolidation period | 19 November 2015 |
| Project handover | 19 February 2016 |

Issues and options considered

The review undertaken by UDLA explores the notion of each site having its own unique pole configuration and randomising the colours of the different pole lengths.

The unique pole configuration was demonstrated in the construction of the priority one entry statements on the southern entry statement on Marmion Avenue where the pole placement was detailed to suit a narrower median. During detailed design phase each site will be assessed individually for pole colour and placement as per the UDLA review.

Legislation / Strategic Community Plan / policy implications

Legislation Not applicable.

Strategic Community Plan

Key theme Quality Urban Environment.

Objective Quality built outcomes.

Strategic initiative Buildings and landscaping is suitable for the immediate environment and reflect community values.

Policy Not applicable.

Risk management considerations

Not applicable.

Financial / budget implications

The priority two entry statement signage will form part of the landscape treatments under the *East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program SSE2011* which is listed for commencement in the *2015-16 Capital Works Program* with an allocated budget of \$750,000.

Capital Cost

Priority two entry statement:

Individual estimated cost - \$15,500.

Five proposed installations.

Total estimated cost entry statements - \$77,500.

Landscape component:

Total estimated cost Level one treatment - \$672,500.

Annual Operating Costs

Priority two entry statement:

Individual cost per annum - \$2,500.

Five proposed installations.

Total cost per annum - \$12,500.

Landscape component:

Maintenance cost per annum - \$7.00m²

Current financial year impact

There is no impact on the current 2013-14 or the *2014-15 Capital Works Program*.

Future financial year impact

Annual operating cost Installation of the priority two entry statement signage and associated landscaping is scheduled for the *2015-16 Capital Works Program* with operating costs applicable in 2016-17 going forward. The annual operating cost will be included in the *Parks Operational Budget* allocation for specific arterial roads.

20 Year Strategic Financial Plan impact The capital cost for the priority two entry statement signage and associated landscaping is covered in the current adopted *20 Year Strategic Financial Plan* within the *2015-16 Capital Works Program* under the *East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program* SSE2011 with an allocated budget of \$750,000.

All amounts quoted in this report are exclusive of GST.

Regional significance

Not applicable.

Sustainability implications

Not applicable.

Consultation

Not applicable.

COMMENT

Approval of the revised priority two entry statement signage will facilitate the detailed documentation required prior to the commencement of the *East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program* SSE2011 in the *2015-16 Capital Works Program*. A significant lead-in time will ensure a timely delivery of the project.

Preliminary estimates indicate the proposed landscape upgrades inclusive of entry statement signage can be installed on the five nominated arterial roads within the allocated budget of \$750,000.

It is to be noted that all signage is constructed with frangible bases and installation of safety barriers is not included. Also there is no provision for illuminating the signage.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 NOTES the "Joondalup Priority 2 & 3 Entry Statements Review" report prepared by Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (UDLA) forming Attachment 1 to this Report;
- 2 ENDORSES the revised concept design for the priority two and priority three entry statement forming Attachments 2 and 3 to this Report;
- 3 APPROVES the locations of the priority two and priority three entry statements forming Attachment 5 to this Report;
- 4 APPROVES the progressive installation of the priority two entry statements under the East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program SSE2011 for the *2015-16 Capital Works Program*.

MOVED Mayor Pickard, SECONDED Cr Ritchie that Council:

- 1 **NOTES the "Joondalup Priority 2 & 3 Entry Statements Review" report prepared by Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (UDLA) forming Attachment 1 to this Report;**
- 2 **ENDORSES the revised concept design for the priority two and priority three entry statement forming Attachments 2 and 3 to this Report, with the following amendments:**
 - 2.1 **Priority two entry statements:**
 - 2.1.1 **are to consist of a two metre high monolith sign with decorative poles adjusted to suit;**
 - 2.1.2 **are to have watermarked City of Joondalup logo and a drive safely message in bold text on the exit side of the sign;**
 - 2.1.3 **are to have a welcome message on the entry side of the sign;**
 - 2.1.4 **are to consist of a 100 metre landscaping strip;**
 - 2.2 **Priority three entry statements:**
 - 2.2.1 **are to consist of a two metre high monolith sign;**
 - 2.2.2 **are to have City of Joondalup logo on both sides of the sign;**
 - 2.2.3 **are to consist of a 40 metre landscaping strip;**
- 3 **APPROVES the locations of the priority two and priority three entry statements forming Attachment 5 to this Report;**
- 4 **APPROVES the progressive installation of the priority two entry statements under the East West Arterial Roads Upgrade Program SSE2011 for the *2015-16 Capital Works Program*.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (6/0)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Hollywood, Mayor Pickard, Crs Corr, Norman, Taylor and Ritchie.

Appendix 4 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach4agnCWC030614.pdf](#)

URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

REQUESTS FOR REPORTS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION

Nil.

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 6.40pm; the following Committee Members being present at that time:

Cr Kerry Hollywood
Mayor Troy Pickard
Cr Brian Corr
Cr Mike Norman
Cr Teresa Ritchie, JP
Cr Philippa Taylor