

## QUESTION TO:

## **BRIEFING SESSION – TUESDAY 14 APRIL 2020**

**QUESTION RECEIVED:** 

Sunday 12 April 2020; 4.13pm

## Mr R Dickson, Kingsley:

- Re: Item 3 Proposed Seven Multiple Dwellings at Lot 472 (41) Twickenham Drive, Kingsley.
- Q1 Why has Council consistently ignored the concerns of ratepayers in relation to parking / traffic congestion issues associated with such developments?
- Q2 It is not beyond the realms of possibilities that there will be up to 28 cars with only seven parking bays. Where will those cars that do not have a bay park?
- Q3 Considering the proposed dwellings are on a sweeping bend in the road, and cars that do not have a bay end up parking on the road, how will Council address safety issues to other motorists / pedestrians which will arise as a result?
- A1–3 A review of the potential traffic impact and the amount of car parking was undertaken as part of the assessment of the application in line with *State Planning Policy 7.3 Residential Design Codes Volume 2 – Apartments* (SPP7.3).

In relation to parking, it is unclear how the assertion of up to 28 bays being required has been arrived at. SPP7.3 provides acceptable outcomes for both resident and visitor parking. In this instance the acceptable outcomes suggest the provision of seven resident bays and two visitor bays is adequate to cater for a development of this size. The application proposes seven resident bays and two visitor bays, consistent with SPP7.3.

In relation to the potential traffic impacts, there is adequate capacity within the surrounding road networks to support the development without compromising the safety of those within the immediate streets and surrounding areas.

It should also be noted that notwithstanding the above, the application is recommended to be refused.