

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 10 JULY 2001

INDEX

No:	Item	Page
	OPEN AND WELCOME	1
	ATTENDANCES	1
	APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	2
C59-07/01	LEAVE OF ABSENCE - CR T BARNETT	2
	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	3
	DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL/NON FINANCIAL INTERE	ST 9
C60-07/01	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 26 JUNE 2001	10
	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR WITHOUT DISCUSSION	10
C61-07/01	PETITIONS	11
	PERSONAL STATEMENT BY CR J HOLLYWOOD	12
CJ219 - 07/01	GOVERNMENT STUDIES ELECTED MEMBERS COURSES NO	
CJ220 - 07/01		ENT
CJ221 - 07/01	ASSOCIATION - [18879]	
CJ222 - 07/01	INVITATION TO ATTEND THE AUSTRALIAN SISTER CITY	
CJ223 - 07/01	ASSOCIATION NATIONAL CONFERENCE 2001 - [49504] NEED FOR A COMMUNITY BANK IN THE CITY OF JOONDALI [76002]	UP -

INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT

CJ224 - 07/01 BONNEVILLE WAY - PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO CURRAMBINE

	RAILWAY STATION - [00131]	26
CJ225 - 07/01	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED TWO GROUP DWELLINGS (INCLUDING HEIGHT IN EXCESS OF BUILDING HEIGHT AND BULK POLICY 3.1.9): PT 599	20
CJ226 - 07/01	(22) BREARLEY MEWS, HILLARYS – [05248] CLOSE OF ADVERTISING - PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 2 TO DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 - HIRE OF EQUIPMENT FROI SERVICE STATIONS - [50036]	М
CJ227 - 07/01	PROPOSED BED & BREAKFAST (ADDITIONAL USE TO SINGLE HOUSE): LOT 11 (31) HALLIDAY GROVE, HILLARYS - (24834)	
CJ228 - 07/01	PROPOSED BED AND BREAKFAST (ADDITIONAL USE TO SINGLI HOUSE): LOT 151 (88) CLIFF STREET, SORRENTO - [28358]	Ε
CJ229 - 07/01	PROPOSED THIRTY-EIGHT (38) WILLTIPLE DWELLINGS AT LOT 323 (18) KINGSBURY ROAD, CORNER QUEENSBURY ROAD AND GRAND BOULEVARD, JOONDALUP - [27498]	
C62-07/01	REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEVELOPMENT OF OCEAN REEF – ESTABLISHMENT OF A COMMITTEE – [04171]	53
C63-07/01	MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – [23184, 10860, 07963, 05080, 17849, 41676, 08473, 09088]	55
C64-07/01	NOTICE OF MOTION – CR C BAKER – ENHANCED PARKING – TRAIN STATION COMMUTERS/RETAILERS – COLLIER PASS,	
C65-07/01	JOONDALUP – [10277, 23184] NOTICE OF MOTION – CR G KENWORTHY – PARKS, MEDIA STRIPS, VERGES AND OTHER COMMUNITY FACILITII ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM – [05080, 27189, 10860]	N ES
	DATE OF NEXT MEETING	66
	CLOSURE	66

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER, JOONDALUP CIVIC CENTRE, BOAS AVENUE, JOONDALUP, ON TUESDAY, 10 JULY 2001

OPEN AND WELCOME

The Mayor declared the meeting open at 1900 hrs.

ATTENDANCES

Mayor

J BOMBAK, JP

Elected Members:

Cr P KADAK Lakeside Ward
Cr P KIMBER Lakeside Ward
Cr D S CARLOS Marina Ward
Cr C BAKER Marina Ward

Cr A NIXON North Coastal Ward

Cr J F HOLLYWOOD, JP North Coastal Ward
Cr A WALKER Pinnaroo Ward

Cr P ROWLANDS Pinnaroo Ward

Cr T BARNETT South Ward Cr M O'BRIEN, JP South Ward

Cr A L PATTERSON South Coastal Ward

Cr G KENWORTHY South Coastal Ward From 1902 hrs; Absent from 1941 hrs

to 1943 hrs

Cr J HURST Whitfords Ward Absent from 2027 hrs to 2029 hrs
Cr C MACKINTOSH Whitfords Ward Absent from 2120 hrs to 2122 hrs

Absent from 2100 hrs to 2105 hrs

Absent from 2028 hrs to 2031 hrs

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer: L O DELAHAUNTY Director, Resource Management: J TURKINGTON Director, Planning & Development: C HIGHAM Director, Infrastructure Management: D DILLI RIC Director, Community Development: CHALL Executive Manager, Strategic Planning: R FISCHER Manager, Executive Services: K ROBINSON Manager, Council Support Services: M SMITH Publicity Officer: I. BRENNAN

Publicity Officer: L BRENNA
Committee Clerk: J AUSTIN
Minute Clerk: L TAYLOR

There were 26 members of the Public and 1 member of the Press in attendance.

In Attendance

Mr Kevin Larkins, President, Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club

The Mayor welcomed Mr Kevin Larkins, Past-President of the Mullaloo Surf Life Saving as tonight's invited guest.

Mr Larkins said he was honoured to be invited to this Council meeting, particularly as the Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club has always considered itself to be servants of the City of Joondalup; its strategic objectives being that the Club aspires to be the premier surf life saving club in WA, to develop excellence in life saving services, to provide enjoyable and safer beaches and to develop the youth of the City of Joondalup, and this is enshrined in its constitution.

Cr Kenworthy entered the Chamber at 1902 hrs

Mr Larkins advised that the 1300 members that participate in activities of the Club provided over 7,000 voluntary hours of patrol on Mullaloo Beach and felt this was a form of partnership with the Council which leads to safer and enjoyable beaches for all citizens of this City. Those persons that participate in activities at the surf club are proud of their involvement and consider it is important to feel the support of Council. Mr Larkins believed the most unique feature of a surf club was that all of the young people participate in a sporting endeavour which has attached to it a community service obligation – each surf lifesaver on this coast, prior to competing locally or nationally, must undertake patrol hours. Mr Larkins wished to put on record that the Mullaloo Surf Life Saving Club values the support of its local Council because it believes in the partnership with local government in providing both a service and an essential community development role for young people.

Mr Larkins thanked Council for the opportunity to attend this meeting.

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

C59-07/01 LEAVE OF ABSENCE - CR T BARNETT

Cr Barnett has requested Leave of Absence from Council duties for the period 23 July 2001 to 25 July 2001 inclusive.

MOVED Cr Patterson, SECONDED Cr Hollywood that Council APPROVES the Leave of Absence requested by Cr T Barnett for the period 23 July 2001 to 25 July 2001 inclusive.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

A1

The following question, submitted by Mr Steve Magyar, Heathridge was taken on notice at the Council meeting held on 26 June 2001:

Q1 CJ198-06/01 - Warrant of Payments.

Could I be provided with details of the following cheques:

Cheque No 32058 drawn on 24 May to Tan and Tan Solicitors for \$499.00. (as this company is not one of Council's preferred legal advisors)

Cheque No 31475 for \$1760 to Sunny Brushware.

Cheque No. 32058 Tan and Tan Solicitors \$499.26

Tan & Tan acted for purchaser of property - cheque was for rates refund from overpayment of rates at settlement.

Cheque No. 31475 Sunny Brushware \$1,760.00 Purchase of traffic cones, sand bags and temporary road delineators used for road works.

The following question, submitted by Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo was taken on notice at the Council meeting held on 26 June 2001:

- Q1 In relation to a question I asked at the last meeting regarding 8.8% contract variation granted to Chubb Security for the provision of security services. I understood that the 8.8% was granted on the minimum wage increase, and that \$20.40 per hour is not the minimum wage. Council has granted 8.8% increase to the contracted hourly rate. Why wasn't this only granted to the minimum wage?
- A1 An increase of 8.8% in the minimum wage affecting patrol and administration staff, will also increase superannuation costs, annual leave, sick leave, training time costs, payroll tax, workers compensation relating to the service provided to the City. This accounts for the increase from \$20.52 per hour plus vehicle costs to \$22.33 per hour plus vehicle costs. Nonetheless, prior to the variation being granted, Chubb has been requested to provide further substantiation, and action will be taken accordingly.

The following questions were submitted by Mr V Cusack, Kingsley:

- Q1 In view of the recent State legislation which came into effect to prohibit the use of hand-held mobile telephones while driving:
- O1(a) What methods of communication do the drivers of the security patrols use?
- A1(a) Mobile phones and two-way radios
- Q1(b) Are COJ City Watch vehicles fitted with mobile phones and or two-way radios?
- A1(b) Both

- Q1(c) Are all COJ City Watch vehicles fitted with hands-free kits?
- A1(c) All City Watch Officers have been issued with a personal hands-free kit with remote control.
- 02 In relation to the work stoppage last Tuesday by some of the City Watch drivers, it was reported that the stoppage did not "hamper the effectiveness of the patrols"
- Q2(a) How many zones were collapsed during this time?
- A2(a) The zones were collapsed so that one officer patrolled two zones for the duration of the stop work meeting. Three officers remained on shift.
- Q2(b) How long were they collapsed for?
- A2(b) From 12:15pm to 3:15pm, a total of three hours.
- O2(c) How did the COJ spokesman (as reported in the Joondalup Community) measure the effectiveness of the patrols, during this time? A2(c) Usual reporting measures were used. No performance measures are able to be

specifically related to that three-hour period, except for the number of calls to the 1300

- number. No calls to the 1300 number were received for the duration of the industrial action For the benefit of the ratepayers and the Councillors who have to prioritize the 03
- provision of services in the upcoming budget, and considering that crime rates are not used as an indicator to measure the actual benefits of the security patrols: Can Council provide a precise explanation of the methods it uses to measure the
- effectiveness of the security patrols? A3 Yes, the details are found in Report CJ173-06/01, City Watch Review received by Council on 12 June 2001

- The following questions were submitted by Mr Keith Pearce: Since modifications have been made to the erosion control measures to further reduce
- 01 any possible fire hazard, will Cr Baker withdraw his notice of motion?
- A1 Upon receipt of Cr Baker's Notice of Motion additional information has been provided to Councillors detailing what actions have been undertaken. It is a matter for Cr Baker to determine in relation to withdrawal of the motion in the light of this
- information If the notice of motion proceeds and is carried, what erosion control measures will be 02
 - used as a replacement?
- A2 This matter would need to be investigated further to determine a suitable alternative control measure
- If the replacement measures are unsuccessful, who will take responsibility? 03

what method of preventing such access will be used?

A3 This is a matter for Council to determine.

04

A4 This matter would need to be investigated further to determine a suitable alternative control measure.

As the removal of the branching will enable easier access to the illegal bush tracks,

- Q5 Will Cr Baker and any other Councillor who supports the motion indemnify the City for any loss arising from this motion by way of increased erosion control cost or by way of damages for lack of duty of care by making access available to tracks that lead to dangerous and unstable cliff faces.
- A5 This is a matter for Council to determine.

Mr V Harman, on behalf of Ocean Reef Residents Association and Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum:

- Q1 Re: Page 45, Notice of Motion Cr Baker: Will this agenda item require a seconder?
- A1 Yes, if this motion is moved, a seconder will be required?
- Q2 Have the coastal Ward Councillors familiarised themselves with the Additional Information provided this evenine?
- A2 It would appear that all Councillors have read the information.
- Q3 Would the elected members be aware that the Coastal Management Advisory Committee had been advised of the matter and explained their action and proposed remedial action some time prior to the Notice of Motion being formulated? In the light of these facts, can the followings questions be taken on notice until the agenda item is reached:
- O3(a) Would the mover of the Notice of Motion withdraw the item?
- Q3(b) In the event of a failure to withdraw, would elected members not supply a seconder to the motion?
- Q3(c) Can an alternative motion be formulated which accepts the suggested alternative recommendation?
- A3 These questions were noted.

Mr A Bryant, Craigie:

Mr Bryant thanked the Director Infrastructure Management for the comprehensive reply
provided to his question regarding street lighting.

- Q1 Is the Council taking any steps to endeavour to have the Department of Transport or the appropriate Minister for Transport establish a traffic centre in Joondalup CBD or industrial area? This is asked because of the delays and congestion at the Warwick Traffic Branch.
- A1 Contact was made with the Department approximately two weeks ago, when it was indicated that a site in the City was being negotiated. Assistance has been offered to the Department in relation to locating within the City but no further advice has been received.
- O2 Is it anticipated that a suitable site is available within the City?
- A2 Yes.

Mr M Baird, Duncraig:

- AI Why is there a problem in scheduling a meeting of the Dry Parks, Median and Verge Committee prior to the cut off date for public input for this year's Budget? Has this matter been resolved?
- A1 A meeting is being organised for 19 or 20 July 2001 to enable Mr Baird to bring his information to that committee for consideration.
- Q2 Over the past year that Committee has had a number of matters referred to it from public question time of Council, yet no response has come from the Committee. When the Committee meets, can it be made up of Councillors prepared to take the role seriously and represent the issues of ratepayers?
- A2 The issues will be dealt with in accordance with the direction from Ward Councillors.
- Q3 Has the City of Joondalup obtained and distributed copies of the City of Wanneroo draft policy on dry park and median development?
- A3 The City has copies of this draft policy and that will be an item for discussion at the forthcoming meeting of the Committee.

Mrs M Noble, Mullaloo:

- Q1 Regarding the petition on the Skateboard facility at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef: A major concern raised at the meeting held was the issue of safety. Is Council seriously considering putting a facility for children on a main road which is a black-spot, at the bottom of a hill where most cars speed and also which runs east-west and of an evenine is a very daneerous location for children?
- A1 Those comments will be taken on board.
- Q2 Regarding the Notice of Motion Cr Baker, Page 45: Is the placement of brushwood in the natural bush to preserve the environment (ie wind erosion on rock) or to prevent people walking there?

A2 As indicated in the Additional Information provided this evening, this is dual purpose, both for stabilisation purposes as well as to act as a deterrent for public access.

Mr K Pearce, Secretary of the Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum:

- Q1 Will Council consider a complete review of its environmental management programmes in terms of funding for staff and programmes, not just fencing areas off but actually managing the areas and looking after proper restoration and rehabilitation programmes?
- A1 This is a matter for Council to consider regarding the review of the management of the coastal corridor. There was representation from conservationists last year and a report was submitted to Council in relation to managing natural areas. Council as part of the current budget has allocated additional funding for the purpose of managing its natural areas which includes the coastal corridor. It is presumed that for the forthcoming year it will be undertaken on a trial basis to see what can be done with the funding currently allocated and the matter will be reviewed in twelve months' time. The ongoing management and use of funds will be undertaken in close cooperation with the Conservation and Environmental Committees.
- Q2 If the sending of an email to elected members is not the way to get the matter included in the agenda for Council, in what way should the Joondalup Community Coast Care Forum approach the Council to get a proper review and a comparison of the way in which Joondalup does this work compared with other Councils?
- A2 Council allocated additional funds and will see what can be done with those funds over the next twelve months. Officers will work closely with the Conservation Advisory Committee, however it is unsure how a review would be undertaken if the strategies currently being put in place are not considered appropriate.
- Cr Hollywood, as Chairman of the Conservation Advisory Committee, invited Mr Pearce to the next meeting of the Committee to discuss issues of concern.

Mrs M Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

- Q1 In relation to the question raised at the Briefing Session regarding fencing, will Council Rangers be watching for and reporting persons damaging Council property by cutting fences and deliberately starting fires.
- Al Yes.
- Q2 Could Council consider making offenders carry out restitutional work in addition to the payment of fines imposed within Local Laws? This would assist Council staff and voluntary groups in their task of protecting the dunes and the natural vegetation.
- A2 It is not sure whether Council has the authority to enact such a measure. If there is evidence of vandalism and damage to public property, this becomes a police matter which can be reported and dealt with accordingly.
- Q3 In relation to Notice of Motion Cr Kenworthy, is there a Performing Arts Committee established?

- A3 No committee has been established, but there is a Reference Group relating to the project, of which Council is a member.
- Q4 Which Councillor is on that Reference Group?
- A4 Cr Don Carlos.
- Q5 Would community members as well as staff members be appointed to this reference group in due course?
- A5 No decision has been made at this stage.
- Q6 Can use be made of the Council Chambers as a Performing Arts Centre?
- A6 These comments will be taken on board.

Mr S Magyar, Heathridge:

- Q1 Re: Late Item, Ocean Reef Establishment of Committee: Why is there no mention of environmental considerations in the Terms of Reference for this committee when the land involved is listed under Perth Bush Plan?
- A1 The report refers to the environmental issues. The draft Terms of Reference require the committee to look at the development options and make recommendations and no doubt all matters, including environmental matters, will be taken into account.
- Q2 Re: Additional Information on Cities for Climate Protection National Conference: As Council has previously resolved to consult with the community regarding the greenhouse effect, can consideration be given to sending more than one Councillor as this issue will require a considerable amount of public consultation?
- A2 Yes, another Councillor has express an interest in attending this conference.
- Q3 Re: Notice of Motion, Cr Kenworthy: The additional information provided says that the proposal requires an absolute majority and also public advertising for one month. Can I presume that the current words proposed by Cr Kenworthy do not meet the requirements of the Local Government Act and therefore would be considered an improper resolution of the Council?
- A3 Section 6.11 of the Act states that Council is authorised to change the purpose of a Reserve Fund if it does it under the budget process. Within the additional information provided, it has been presumed that the decision would be outside of the budget process and would require to be advertised for public comment for one month and needs to be passed by an absolute majority. The notice of motion is a matter of intent, and the operations which then take place is a matter for the Council to consider.

Ms Liz Mather, Mullaloo:

Q1 Page 45, Notice of Motion, Cr Baker: If the purpose of the fencing along the cliff is to restrict access to the dangerous cliff, can you advise me how many accidents have occurred there over the past thirty years? A1 That information is not available. As a response to the Gracetown tragedy, and because there is a number of limestone cliffs along the City's coastline, this is part of the City's strategy to address any future occurrence. Council has a duty of care and needs to be mindful of its responsibilities in ensuring that members of the public are kept safe from those hazards.

Mr K Zakrevsky, Mullaloo:

- Q1 Will Councillors give due consideration to the fact that the staff is being supported by many associations and volunteers to make the coastal areas safe?
- A1 Due consideration will be given.
- Q2 Are Councillors aware that there have been many fires within the area?
- A2 Yes.

Ms K Campbell, Mullaloo:

- Q1 Page 45, Notice of Motion Cr Baker: Has Council given any thought to providing safe access to the limestone beaches which are currently prohibited through the use of fences?
- A1 The installation of the dual use path is, in part, providing safe access to the coastal corridor to enable members of the public to enjoy the area.
- Q2 Before Council erected these pathways and prohibited the access, was there consultation undertaken with members of the community who had previously used those pathways?
- A2 The alignment of the coastal path has been the subject of a Master Plan which went through a consultative process. In addition, consultation has occurred with the Joondalup Coast Care Forum, local Ward Councillors, Ocean Reef Residents Association and also, it is believed, input from representatives from Mullaloo. Therefore there has been a consultation process over a number of years and in more recent times consultation has occurred with people in the area.
- Q3 Has Council given any thought to the seasonal access which was previously enjoyed by recreational abalone fishermen who used the pathways to access the reef and the abalone in the months of November and December each year.
- A3 It is not known whether there has been consultation with abalone fishermen.

DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL/NON FINANCIAL INTEREST

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

C60-07/01 MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING – 26 JUNE 2001

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Kimber that the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 26 June 2001, be confirmed as a true and correct record.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Cr Kenworthy left the Chamber, the time being 1941 hrs.

'CITY EXCELS IN CUSTOMER SERVICE'

More than 90% of residents said they were satisfied with the City of Joondalup in our recent customer survey.

Well over three quarters of residents (87%) said the City had met or exceeded their expectations.

It once again highlights our commitment to customer service and to providing high-quality services and facilities, but we are not prepared to sit on our laurels.

The City will continue to listen to the community and find ways to improve all aspects its business.

COMMUNITY SURVEY 2001

Another major survey is now being mailed out.

The Community Survey 2001 aims to gather a comprehensive list of local institutions, services, clubs and information.

'COMMUNITY VISION'

A new not-for-profit organisation, Community Vision Inc has taken over many community services previously provided by the City of Joondalup.

The services that will now be provided by Community Vision Inc include aged and disability and some children's services.

Cr Kenworthy entered the Chamber, the time being 1943 hrs.

The change was approved by Council in December 2000 and is aimed at improving the level of services offered to residents and to better meet community needs.

It will help the City achieve its goal of a better balance between service provision and community development.

City staff who previously co-ordinated these services have transferred to Community Vision Inc.

SPECIAL ELECTORS' MEETING

A reminder that the Special Electors' Meeting to discuss the closure of Badrick Street will be held Wednesday, 11 July 2001 in Dorchester Hall, Dugdale Street, Warwick at 7.00 pm.

All are welcome.

PETITIONS C61-07/01

PETITIONS SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL MEETING - 10 JULY 2001

PETITION OPPOSING CONSTRUCTION OF SKATEBOARD FACILITIES AT MIRROR PARK, OCEAN REEF - [22103]

A 46-signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup opposing the planned construction of skateboard facilities at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef.

The petitioners state anti-social behaviour, lack of supervision and parking as reasons for opposition to this project.

The petition will be referred to Community Development for action.

2 PETITION OPPOSING CONSTRUCTION OF SKATEBOARD FACILITIES AT PENISTONE RESERVE, GREENWOOD – [02184]

Cr O'Brien tabled a 39-signature petition from members of the Penistone Playgroup opposing the planned construction of skateboard facilities at Penistone Reserve, Greenwood.

The petitioners state excessive noise, anti-social behaviour and vandalism, as reasons for opposition to this project.

The petition will be referred to Community Development for action.

MOVED Cr Walker, SECONDED Cr Patterson that the petitions:

- 1 opposing the planned construction of skateboard facilities at Mirror Park, Ocean Reef:
- 2 opposing the planned construction of skateboard facilities at Penistone Reserve, Greenwood;

be received and referred to the appropriate Business Units for action.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

PERSONAL STATEMENT BY CR J HOLLYWOOD

Cr Hollywood sought leave to make the following personal statement:

"Two weeks have gone by since our last full Council meeting, and I have reflected on my attitude at the last Council meeting. I would like to sincerely apologise for my behaviour. I think it was wrong – it bought the Council into disrepute and that was not my intention.

I apologise to my fellow Councillors here this evening for that outburst, the Directors, the staff and to yourself, the Mayor and to the ratepayers. I would hope that that will not happen again, Mr Mayor.

This all relates to an item that was on the agenda which was covered in the newspaper and I would like to ask the Mayor in the report in the newspaper the Mayor has said he would stand down from the position on the Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) and I could take this place. I wonder if Mr Mayor, if that still applies?"

Mayor Bombak stated he would address these issues in due course.

SECOND PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Cr Hollywood stated he had been approached by a ratepayer in relation to a second period of public question time.

As there was no Mover and Seconder this issue was not pursued.

FINANCE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

CJ219 - 07/01

AUSTRALIAN CENTRE FOR REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT STUDIES ELECTED MEMBERS COURSES NO 57 & 58, 6-10 AUGUST 2001 & 12-16 NOVEMBER 2001 - [00427]

WARD - All

SUMMARY

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 1

_

The Australian Centre for Regional and Local Government Studies is a faculty of the University of Canberra which conducts professional development courses for Elected Members.

It is recommended that consideration be given to sending up to two Councillors to both the August and November courses.

BACKGROUND

The Australian Centre for Regional and Local Government Studies has been providing training for Elected Members in Australia and New Zealand for over twenty years.

The goal of the elected members course is to provide, refresh and refine skills Elected Members require to be effective policy makers and politicians and to arm Elected Members with the ability to understand and address new issues in the dynamic and evolving local government environment.

DETAILS

Council's Policy 2.2.2 - Elected Member Training is as follows:

"OBJECTIVE

To ensure Elected Members are provided with the appropriate training to enable them to fulfil their duties of office.

STATEMENT

The Council recognises that Elected Members have a responsibility to undertake the training necessary to enable them to fulfil their duties of public office. Therefore it is committed to the on-going development of its Elected Members in the interests of effective representation. An annual allocation is provided in each year's budget to cover the cost of elected member trainine and development.

All Elected Members are encouraged to participate in:

- the Municipal Training Service's Councillor Induction Program;
- the City of Joondalup's Councillor Induction Program;
- University of Canberra Elected Members' Course;
- · training Courses relevant to their portfolio or committee responsibilities; and
- other local government specific training courses, workshops and forums, relating to such things as understanding roles/responsibilities of the position, meeting procedures, etc.

Elected Members may be registered at training courses as itemised in this policy through Council Support Services. The Council shall determine the appropriateness of any other course or conference, interstate and overseas conferences and study tours on an individual basis in line with budget provisions."

The Australian Centre for Regional and Local Government Studies (ACRLGS), located at the University of Camborra has been renowned for more than 25 years for providing an elite training program for both newly elected and more experienced local government representatives. ACRLGS provides Councillor training in an intensive five-day program which brings together Councillors from all states, the Northern Territory and New Zealand. With a national and international focus, these courses provide participants with an invaluable opportunity to network with colleagues. A major strength of these courses comes from the wealth of experience and expertise of the University's staff and associates, most of whom have substantial backeround in dealing with local government matters.

Dates for 2001:

Elected Members Course No 57 6 – 10 August 2001 Elected Members Course No 58 12 – 16 November 2001

The goal of the elected members courses is to provide, refresh and refine skills elected members require to be effective policy makers and politicians and to arm elected members with the ability to understand and address new issues in the dynamic and evolving local government environment.

Important and topical issues can be explored in greater depth and participants have the opportunity to engage in stimulating and enlightening exchanges of ideas and experience.

The courses are all scheduled to run parallel with parliamentary sitting sessions and provide participants with an opportunity to meet senior politicians with responsibility for local government matters.

A sample of topics to be covered include:

- Roles and responsibilities of elected members
- Competitive tendering
- Financing new infrastructure development
- Local Government reform
- Financial management

- Economic development
- Communication skills
- Tax Reform
- Intergovernmental relations
 - Dealing with the media

The course focuses on Local Government as a national industry and is of great benefit to both newly elected and more experienced representatives.

COMMENT/FUNDING

Whilst it would be ideal to send all Elected Members to development courses such as this, it is essential that Elected Members are available for the conduct of urgent Council business.

Accordingly, it is recommended that up to two Elected Members attend both courses, 57 & 58 to be held between 6-10 August and 12-16 November respectively.

Account No:	11-05-05-052-3523-0001
Proposed Budget Item:	Elected Members Training
Proposed Budget Amount:	\$40,000
Actual Cost:	
Estimated Airfares:	4 @ \$1,000
Registration (includes all meals and	4 @ \$2,695
accommodation)	
Daily Allowance	4 @ \$90 per day (5 days)
Total Cost	\$16,580

Crs Walker and Kimber have expressed an interest in attending Elected Members Course No 58 to be held 12 – 16 November 2001.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: That:

- Council AUTHORISES the attendance of up to two Elected Members at:
 - (a) Elected Members Course No 57, University of Canberra from 6 -10 August 2001 at an estimated cost of \$8290;
 - (b) Elected Members Course No 58, University of Canberra from 12 -16 November 2001 at an estimated cost of \$8290:
- 2 the total estimated expenditure in 1 above of \$16,580 be charged to Budget Item Elected Members Training – Account No 11-05-052-3523-0001.

MOVED Cr Rowlands, SECONDED Cr Walker that:

- 1 Council AUTHORISES the attendance of Crs Walker, Kimber and Rowlands at Elected Members Course No 58, University of Canberra from 12 -16 November 2001 at an estimated cost of \$4,145 per person;
- 2 the total estimated expenditure in 1 above of \$12,435 be charged to Budget Item Elected Members Training – Account No 11-05-05-052-3523-0001.

Discussion ensued in relation to elected members' preferred class of air travel.

- Cr Carlos advised he wished to move the following amendment to add an additional Point 3 should the motion under consideration not be successful.
- "3 That elected members are not permitted to attend either of these courses until they have completed as least 50% of the 13 module training package run by Western Australian Municipal Association (WAMA) titled "Elected Member Development Program"."

Mayor Bombak advised he would consider this as a foreshadowed amendment as sufficient debate had not been heard on the motion under consideration to make a determination.

The Motion was Put and CARRIED

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Bombak, Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Kenworthy, Patterson, O'Brien, Barnett, Rowlands, Walker, Hollywood, Nixon, Baker, Kimber and Kadak

Against the Motion: Cr Carlos

CJ220 - 07/01 VOTING DELEGATES - 2001 AGM OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION - [18879]

WARD - All

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 2

SUMMARY

The Local Government Week 2001 Convention will be held at the Burswood Convention Centre from 5 to 7 August 2001. The Annual General Meeting of the Local Government Association (LGA) is to be held on Sunday 5 August 2001 and it is necessary for member Councils to nominate their voting delegates. In accordance with the Constitution, voting entitlements at the AGM are on the same basis as exists in respect to the City's representation on the North Zone.

BACKGROUND

The Local Government Week 2001 Convention, incorporating the Annual General Meeting of the Country Urban Councils Association (CUCA), the Local Government Association (LGA) and the Country Shire Councils' Association (CSCA), and the Local Government Week Conference will be held at the Burswood Convention Centre from 5 to 7 August 2001.

The conference schedule is as follows:

Sunday 5 August

CUCA Annual General Meeting:	1.30 pm - 5.00 pm - Kestrel Room
LGA Annual General Meeting:	1.30 pm - 5.00 pm - Ballroom East
Local Government Week Conference (Day 1):	5.30 pm - 7.30 pm - Showroom
Cocktail Reception:	7.30 pm - 8.30 pm - Ballroom Foyers

Monday 6 August

Local Government Week Conference (Day 2):	9.00 am - 5.00 pm - Showroom
Local Government Week Gala Dinner:	7.00 pm - 11.00 pm - Showroom

Tuesday 7 August

CSCA Annual General Meeting: 9.00 am - 12.30 pm - Showroom

DETAILS

Member Councils of the LGA are invited to nominate their voting representatives to ensure accuracy. Each member local government of the LGA is entitled to be represented at the AGM on the same basis as exists in respect of the LGA Zones. The City is currently a member of the LGA through the North Zone, with the Cities of Wanneroo and Stirling is the other members of the zone.

The current representation for the City on the North Zone are:

Member	Deputy
Mayor J Bombak	Cr J Hurst
Cr P Kadak	Cr P Kimber
Cr D Carlos	Cr C Baker
Cr G Kenworthy	Cr A Patterson

The information provided by the member local governments will be applied to determine the entitlement of delegates to participate in business sessions during the Annual General Meeting. This information will also form the basis of the production of rolls which are to be used during the course of any electoral processes conducted during the Annual General Meeting.

WAMA has advised that the LGA constitution states that the speaker to a motion must be a nominated voting delegate.

COMMENT

The Council at its meeting held on 12 June 2001, considered a number of motions to be submitted for consideration at the AGM of the LGA. Those members attending the LGA on behalf of the City will be required to vote on any motion(s) put forward by other member local governments. The LGA has an obligation to provide the completed Agenda papers to all its members at least thirty days before the date of the Annual General Meeting. All motions will be contained within those papers.

The following guidelines are generally followed for motions that are drafted for consideration at the AGM: -

- Motions should focus on policy matters, rather than issues, which could be dealt with by the Executive within policy, and with minimal delay.
- Due regard should be given to the relevance of the motion to total membership. Some
 motions of a sectional interest nature might be better handled through other forums or
 through specific industry associations.
- Due regard should be given to the timeliness of the motion will it still be relevant come Local Government Week or would it be better handled immediately by the Association through the Executive?
- The likely political impact of the motion should be carefully considered.
- Due regard should be given to the educational value to members i.e. does awareness need to be raised on the particular matter?
- · The potential media interest of the subject matter should be considered.
- Annual General Meeting motions submitted by members Councils must be accompanied by fully researched and documented supporting comment.

Proxies are permissible under the LGA Constitution, but these must be advised in writing/signed by CEO of Council and delivered to the WAMA Secretariat prior to the commencement at the conference.

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Kadak that Council NOMINATES the following members as its voting delegates to attend the Annual General Meeting of the Local Government Association to be held on 5 August 2001 at the Burswood Convention Centre:

Member

Mayor Bombak

Cr P Kadak

Cr G Kenworthy

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

CJ221 - 07/01 THE 2ND CITIES FOR CLIMATE PROTECTION NATIONAL CONFERENCE 2001 IN ADELAIDE, 1 - 3 AUGUST 2001 - [59091]

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 3

SUMMARY

The 2nd Cities for Climate Protection National Conference 2001 entitled "Greenhouse Partnerships, Local Leaders Local Solutions" will be hosted by the City of Charles Sturt in Adelaide (1-3 August 2001) and is presented by the Australian Greenhouse Office (AGO) and the International Council for Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). The City participates in the Cities for Climate Protection programme and has achieved Milestone 2.

It is recommended that one Councillor and the Local Agenda 21 Officer attend the

BACKGROUND

To date, 132 local governments representing 56% of Australia's population, have committed to achieving sustainable, long term reductions in their Greenhouse gas emissions through their participation in the Cities for Climate Protection (CCP) programme.

The City of Joondalup is participating in the Cities for Climate Protection programme.

Milestone 1 of the CCP programme achieved the City's first inventory and forecast of corporate and community Greenhouse gas emissions. Council received the Milestone 1 report at its meeting held on 19 December 2000 (CJ362-12/00 refers). Council noted the benefits to the City arising from the CCP programme and the commencement of investigations for Milestones 2 and 3 of the CCP programme.

Council at its meeting on 26 June 2001 (CJ195-06/01) noted proposed Corporate and Community Greenhouse Gas emission reduction targets. Council resolved to implement a significant period of public consultation to seek further feedback on this issue. A report from Council staff was sought on the proposed programme of public consultation.

DETAILS

The CCP conference is entitled "Greenhouse Partnerships, Local Leaders Local Solutions, 2nd Cities for Climate Protection National Conference 2001". The conference will be hosted by the City of Charles Sturt, Adelaide, between 1-3 August 2001.

The aim of the conference is to examine existing and emerging opportunities for local government action in greenhouse matters.

The conference provides a forum for Cities for Climate Protection stakeholders to exchange, canvas and explore options that assist local government to reduce Greenhouse gas emissions, whilst increasing sustainable development opportunities.

COMMENT/FUNDING

This conference is particularly relevant to the work occurring through the Cities for Climate Protection programme, and the CCP Working Group which reports to the City's Environmental Advisory Committee. Attendance at the conference will provide an opportunity to exchange information and identify innovative practices occurring elsewhere in Australia.

Estimated costs for an Elected Member and the LA 21 Officer to attend would be \$4,134 as follows.

Cost Items	Elected Member	Local Agenda 21 Officer
Registration Fees	\$Nil	\$Nil
Airfare:	(Business Class) \$1,277	(Business Class) \$1,277
Accommodation: (4 nights @ \$130.00		
per night)	\$520	\$520
Incidentals: (3 days @ \$90 per		
day)	\$270	\$270
Totals	\$2,067	\$2,067

The Commonwealth Government will cover the registration costs for one elected Local Government Member and one nominated Council officer to attend the conference.

Funding is available under Budget Items 'Elected Members Conference Expenses -Governance' and 'Organisation & Strategic Development - Conferences'. Funding for the Conference is available subject to budget considerations as follows:

Account No: 11 20 22 221 3302 0001

Budget Item: Conferences
Budget Amount: 24,000
Estimated Cost: \$2,067

Account No: 11-05-05-052-3521-0001

Budget Item: Elected Members Conference Expenses - Governance

Budget Amount: \$50,000 Estimated Cost: \$2,067

Cr O'Brien has expressed an interest in attending this Conference.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: That Council AUTHORISES the:

- 1 attendance of an Elected Member and the Local Agenda 21 Officer at the 2nd Cities for Climate Protection National Conference to be held in Adelaide from 1-3 August 2001.
- 2 estimated expenditure of \$2,067 to be charged to Budget Item 11.20.22.221.3302.0001 - Conference Expenses and \$2,067 to be charged to 11-05-05-052-3521-0001 Elected Members Conference Expenses - Governance.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Further to report CJ221-07/01 regarding the Local Leaders Local Solutions 2nd Cities for Climate Protection National Conference 2001, to be held in Adelaide, 1-3 August 2001, additional information is provided (Appendix 8 hereto refers) regarding the conference program.

MOVED Cr Nixon, SECONDED Cr Kimber that Council AUTHORISES the:

- 1 attendance of Crs O'Brien and Hollywood and the Local Agenda 21 Officer at the 2nd Cities for Climate Protection National Conference to be held in Adelaide from 1-3 August 2001.
- 2 estimated expenditure of \$2,067 to be charged to Budget Item 11.20.22.221.3302.0001 - Conference Expenses and \$2,067 to be charged to 11-05-05-052-3521-0001 Elected Members Conference Expenses - Governance.

Cr Nixon nominated Cr Hollywood to attend the conference. Discussion ensued.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 8 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach8min100701.pdf

S

CJ222 - 07/01

INVITATION TO ATTEND THE SISTER CITY ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE 2001 - [49504]

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 4

SUMMARY

The 21st National Australian Sister City Association (ASCA) Conference is to be hosted by the City of Bunbury and the Shire of Busselton, Western Australia (30 September 2001 to 3 October 2001).

The benefits of attending the 21^{st} ASCA National Conference are noted and a review of costs is provided.

It is recommended that elected members and Administration representatives of the City of Joondalup attend the conference.

BACKGROUND

On 26 June 2001 (Item CJ188-06/01 refers), Council considered a report on the issues around developing a Sister City relationship. It endorsed:

"the sending of representatives to attend the WA Sister City Association Conference planned for the end of September 2001 with a view to developing further recommendations as to the appropriate progressing of the Sister-City relationship."

The WA Sister City Association has recently forwarded details of the conference.

DETAILS

The aim of the conference is to build a strategic plan for Sister City relationships and to discuss the economic impact and benefits of maintaining Sister City relationships.

The theme of the ASCA conference is "Make a Difference" and it will focus on the direction to be taken in the next millennium and the role of ASCA in making it a better world to live in.

This conference is an opportunity for City of Joondalup representatives to:

- · exchange views on how other Sister Cities operate;
- develop a strategy for strengthening Sister City relationships;
- gain ideas on how Joondalup's relationship with Jinan can be progressed.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The cost for one delegate is estimated to be \$1,841, made up as follows:

Registration: \$720.00/person Accommodation: \$536.00/person

Daily allowance: \$360.00/person (\$90 per day)

Travel: \$225.00 based on 63.3 cents/km travel allowance.

Subject to the budget deliberations, funds are available as follows:

Account No: 11-05-05-052-3521-0001

Budget Item: Elected Members Conference Expenses

Budget Amount: \$50,000 Actual Cost: \$1,841/person

It is proposed that the Executive Manager Strategic Planning or his nominee also attends the Sister City Conference. However further funds will need to be budgeted as this conference would exhaust the Conference budget for 2001/02.

Account No: 11.20.21.211.3302.0001 Budget Item: Conference Expenses

Budget Amount: \$1,600

Actual Cost: \$1,616/person

Crs Walker, Hollywood and Kenworthy have expressed an interest in attending this Conference.

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council, subject to budget deliberation:

- ENDORSES the sending of elected members and the Executive Manager Strategic Planning or his nominee to attend the 21st National Australian Sister City Association Conference to be hosted by the City of Bunbury and the Shire of Busselton, Western Australia on 30 September 2001 to 3 October 2001;
- 2 REQUESTS a report from the City's representatives on the outcomes of the Australian Sister City Association 21st National Australian Sister City Association Conference.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

CJ223 - 07/01 NEED FOR A COMMUNITY BANK IN THE CITY OF JOONDALUP - [76002]

WARD - All

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 5

SUMMARY

This report provides an examination of community banking in response to a request that the subject be examined for Council's consideration.

There are three Community Banks in Perth – in Bayswater, Forrestfield and North Perth. In these suburbs, the local area lacked representation by bank branches and automatic teller machines (ATMs). In both cases, the local community has cohesively organised around the idea and has taken the initiative of establishing a community bank with the local Council as a participant in the process.

The Bendigo Bank has been a leading institution in the facilitating of such community banks. In this partnership, Bendigo Bank supplies the expertise and financial networking. In return the local community undertaking to raise funds that will supply the infrastructure for a local branch.

To establish a Community Bank, an amount between \$300,000 and \$500,000 is required to cover franchise fee, training, legal costs, working capital and other physical infrastructure, including the physical building in which the bank would be located.

A survey of banking facilities of Joondalup has found that most of the suburbs comprising the City are well serviced by either bank branches or automatic teller machines (ATMs). The exceptions to these overall levels of servicing were those suburbs to the North West of the City. It is highly likely that the relative lack of banking facilities in these areas is due to its recently developed character area rather than a regional area or inner city suburb that may have been subject to the withdrawal of banking services.

One possible course of action to progress the issue includes that the residents of the Marina and North Coastal Wards be canvassed to determine community interest in the need for community banking.

BACKGROUND

At the Elected Members Briefing meeting held on Tuesday 5 June 2001, it was requested that a report be submitted to Council on the need for a Community Bank in the City of Joondalup. This report outlines the issues as requested.

DETAILS

Widespread closures of the major banks have impacted on communities throughout Australia. Since 1993, 1706 banks have closed, 615 of them in rural and remote areas. Restricted access to high technology services in rural areas and fewer banking options have raised access and equity issues especially for those with reduced mobility. The 'grass roots' and local community interest in development of community banking has been particularly strong in areas of (Western) Australia where banking facilities have been withdrawn from:

- Rural towns subject to declining populations and an eroding agricultural base or;
- Inner city suburban areas where rationalised (offline) banking facilities have gravitated to the metropolitan CBD, on the one hand, and to the still growing middle and outer suburban shopping centres (like Joondalup), on the other.

Community Bank Model

The Victorian-based Bendigo Bank has led the development of this rapidly growing niche for community-based banking. Each Community Bank branch operates as a franchise of Bendigo Bank, using the name, logo and system of operations of Bendigo Bank. The bank and the community share all the revenue from the bank on a 50:50 basis. The community manages the branch of Bendigo Bank, paying operating costs while the bank provides banking services and infrastructure.

The establishment costs are estimated to be approximately \$300,000 to \$500,000 for the franchise fee, legal costs, training, working capital and start up costs. The presence of a strong local community interest in the concept and the capacity to raise funds required to establish a branch is essential to the progressing of a community bank.

Typically 200 to 900 people or businesses from a suburb, district or town become shareholders in the community based, public liability company and contribute \$100 to a maximum of \$5,000 towards working capital. The broader the shareholding base, the greater the chance of the branch succeeding. Shares are listed on the Australian Stock Exchange and purchase may be arranged through any stockbroker. Dividends are fully franked and are normally paid on a six-monthly basis, in April and October. The staff are trained by Bendigo, employed by the community and contracted back to the bank.

Benefits to the Community

The benefits flowing from a Community Bank into the community can best be described by looking at the Victorian Wimmera Region where Australia's first Community Bank was established in 1998. After the closure of major banks, communities in Rupanyup and Minip, decided to open community banks. Start up contributions came from families representing more than 700 of the district's combined population of around 1,100 people. The support continued from the community once the bank opened, with branches meeting their 12-month business target within seven months. By May 2000, a growing number of sites were returning monthly operating surpluses to their community. There was an overall positive feedback from all sites.

An increase in community morale was reflected by an increase in trading volumes for local businesses. The greatest benefit to the community was that a share of the revenue was retained locally, with the prospects of generating significant local profits.

Risk Factors

Particular investment risks may arise due to the specific purpose of the company, which is to manage a community bank branch of Bendigo Bank. Some of the possible risks are: Competition from other banks in nearby communities, particularly if that region continues to be perceived as a growth market for the established banks;

Timing and payment of dividends are dependent on factors such as actual results,

- financial position, capital requirements, operating costs and economic conditions;
 - Continued operation of the community bank is subject to further negotiation with Bendigo Bank after 15 years;
 - Changes in economic conditions due to market growth, inflation, movements in interest rates and the level of loan defaults:
 - Changes in government policies, particularly with regard to banking regulation;
 - New technology uptake for banking and financial services consumers, such as Internet banking that may undermine the viability of a 'bricks 'n mortar' community banking institution.

Local Government Support for Community Banking

The Australian Local Government Association's declaration on the Role of Australian Local Governments, states that councils should respond to community aspirations and concerns and represent community interests. The Victorian Local Government Association's Sally Isaacs says, "Councils can play a facilitating role, be it in leadership or through financial support".

Most, if not all community banking initiatives have received some form of financial support from their local city/shire council. This support has varied and in many cases includes:

- Payment of funds for a feasibility study approximately \$11,000.
- Payment of legal costs for establishment of the Community Bank.
 - Purchase of the proposed bank freehold.
- Providing council owned buildings for the purpose of the bank branch at a subsidised rent.
- Providing equipment, photocopiers and fax machines to the bank branch.
- Transferring part/all of the council's banking business to the Community Bank when it opens its doors.

Profile of the Community in Joondalup

Joondalup's population is currently 148,047 people with over 50,000 dwellings spread across 22 suburbs.

A diverse range of businesses, from major international retail names to small, home-based ventures, has provided the momentum for continued growth and expansion in Joondalup City. Joondalup City's economic development is diverse with representation across most industries. Services, retailing and the construction industry are the leading businesses. The three major shopping centres - Lakeside Shopping Centre, Whitford City Shopping Centre and Warwick Grove Shopping Centre - together draw an estimated 380,000 visitors a week with an estimated combined turnover of \$580 million a year. Joondalup's businesses and residential communities are serviced by over 20 bank branches and 40 ATMs.

CONCLUSION

The four major banks — ANZ Bank, Challenge Bank, National Australia Bank, Commonwealth Bank as well as BankWest, account for the largest share of banking business in Joondalup. The current assessment is that Joondalup is well serviced by financial institutions and the viability of another bank would have to be assessed.

The exceptions to this general availability of banking services are North Coastal Ward -2 ATMs in Currambine and Marina Ward -1 ATM in Ocean Reef. (Attachment A refers). However, it is doubtful these areas satisfy the criteria to support the establishment of a Community Bank.

MOVED Cr Hurst, SECONDED Cr Kimber that Council, in the absence of demonstrated need or significant demand TAKES NO further action to establish a Community Bank in the City of Joondalup.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 1 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach1ag100701.pdf

INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT

CJ224 - 07/01 BONNEVILLE WAY - PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO CURRAMBINE RAILWAY STATION - [00131]

WARD - North Coastal

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 6

SUMMARY

The extension of the Northern Suburbs Transit system from Currambine to Clarkson is currently in progress with contracts awarded for the earthworks and a railway bridge over Burns Beach Road. These works require relocation of the existing pedestrian pathway across the Freeway between Bonneville Way and the Currambine Railway Station.

The Department of Transport has advised that at a meeting held on 6 June, 2001 residents of Bonneville Way voted to close the pedestrian access from Bonneville Way to Currambine Railway Station and redirect pedestrian access to Yellowstone Way and Burns Beach Road. It is considered that pedestrian access should be maintained from Bonneville Way to Currambine Station and an additional pedestrian path be provided along Burns Beach Road and from Yellowstone Way.

BACKGROUND

The extension of the North Suburbs Transit System from Currambine to Clarkson is in progress with contracts awarded for the earthworks and a railway bridge over Burns Beach Road.

The bulk earthworks require the closure of the pedestrian pathway across the Freeway Reserve linking Bonneville Way and Currambine Station. To maintain pedestrian access, a temporary relocation of the pathway along the Freeway Reserve to Burns Beach Road will be in use from 2 July 2001. This will be at the cost of the Department of Transport. The existing and temporary relocated pathway is shown on Attachment 1. The Department of Transport has also advised that at a meeting held by the Member for Joondalup on 6 June 2001 with mainly street residents from Bonneville Way, it was voted to close the existing pedestrian access from this street to Currambine Railway Station. The majority of residents in attendance also voted for the replacement of the existing pedestrian pathway with a relocated link along Yellowstone Way and along Burns Beach Road. This alternative proposed route is shown on Attachment 2.

DETAILS

The pedestrian access from Bonneville Way provides a "desire line" to the Curambine Railway Station for the surrounding eastern catchment. This access was established as part of the initial Railway Station development. It is to be noted that the footpath at the end of Bonneville Way linking to the Freeway is through a private lot owned by Landcorp. It is considered that for the long term planning of pedestrian access, the future usage of this land should be addressed with the Department of Transport.

It appears that the main issues raised by the street residents relate to anti social behaviour problems and traffic/parking concerns.

At this stage, the wider community has not been consulted on the requested closure of the Bonneville Way pedestrian access.

The closure of the Bonneville Way access will effect the commuter's current route to the station. To encourage use of public transport it is desirable to provide ready access and minimise the need for walking long distances. A method for assessing walkable catchments (Pedsheds) is set out in the WA Government's Liveable Neighbourhoods. These take the form of maps showing the area within 10 minutes actual walking distance of a railway station and are an assessment of convenience and energy efficiency for comparative purposes.

Pedsheds were mapped for a number of alternative pedestrian linkages in response to the concerns expressed by local residents at the meeting. These included the existing linkage to Bonneville Way (Attachment 3), a linkage to Curran Court (Attachment 4), a linkage north along the freeway reserve to Burns Beach Road (Attachment 5), and a linkage south along the freeway reserve to Nanika Park (Attachment 6). In addition a pedshed was mapped for all four linkages (Attachment 7). The following table lists the number of lots on the eastern side of the freeway that would be within 1000 metres walking distance of the railway station in each of the linkage scenarios.

LINKAGE	LOTS WITHIN 1,000 METRES
Bonneville Way	348
Curran Court	263
North to Burns Beach Road	72
South to Nanika Park	160
All four linkages	395

It is clear from the pedshed analysis that Bonneville Way and Curran Court are of major importance to the walkability of the residential area to the east of the freeway. It is also clear that the best coverage is achieved by keeping all linkages open. This would provide an additional benefit of spreading the pedestrian traffic between the different linkages rather than concentrating the traffic in one or two.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The future planning of the Currambine Railway Station provides for pedestrian access from linking paths on the eastern side of the Freeway Reserve. Whilst the concerns of the local street residents are appreciated, the closure of access from Bonneville Way will affect the wider community and impact on ready access to public transport. However, there is merit to provide distribution of pedestrian access to the Railway Station and the construction of a dual use path along Burns Beach road to the Freeway Reserve is supported. The estimated cost of this work is \$25,000. The construction of a dual use path along Burns Beach Road from Yellowstone Way to the existing western path connection to Currambine Station (near Sunlander Drive) is listed for consideration in the 2002/2003 financial year of the 5 year Draft Capital Works Program. It is considered that the section of this path from Yellowstone Way to the eastern side of the Freeway which will not be affected by the railway works can be advanced and funded from projects deferred in the current program such as the Bernedale Way footpath project which has been deferred iil 2002/03 pending a pedestrian usage survey.

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

- 1 SUPPORTS pedestrian access from Bonneville Way to Currambine Railway Station;
- 2 ADVISES the Department of Transport that it will construct a dual use path along Burns Beach Road from Yellowstone Way to the Freeway Reserve.
- 3 AUTHORISES the funding for the construction of a dual use path along Burns Beach Road from Yellowstone Way to the Freeway Reserve from the Carry Forward funds in the 2001/2002 Paths program.

MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Kimber that Council:

- SUPPORTS pedestrian access from Bonneville Way to Currambine Railway Station;
- 2 ADVISES the Department of Transport that it will construct a dual use path along Burns Beach Road from Yellowstone Way to the Freeway Reserve;

- 3 AUTHORISES the funding for the construction of a dual use path along Burns Beach Road from Yellowstone Way to the Freeway Reserve from the Carry Forward funds in the 2001/2002 Paths program;
- 4 INITIATES a meeting with Department of Transport and LandCorp on the future use and ownership of the current LandCorp owned Lot 129 (36) Bonneville Way.

Discussion ensued. Cr Kadak advised the lot in question is vacant land which is used as a public thoroughfare for access to Currambine Station and as such the future use of the lot requires to be determined.

To a query raised by Cr Baker, Director Infrastructure Management gave an update in relation to future meetings on this issue.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 2 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach2brf030701.pdf

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

CJ225 - 07/01

PROPOSED TWO GROUP DWELLINGS (INCLUDING HEIGHT IN EXCESS OF BUILDING HEIGHT AND BULK POLICY 3.1.9): PT 599 (22) BREARLEY MEWS, HILLARYS – [05248]

WARD - Whitfords

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 7

SUMMARY

The City has received an application to construct two grouped dwellings on the subject property. Both dwellings are two storeys in height and have direct frontage to the street.

A portion of the master bedroom for the upper floor of unit one exceeds the building threshold envelope permitted under the City's "Policy 3.1.9 Height and Scale of Buildings within a Residential Area". A parapet wall for the garage is also proposed along the northern boundary at a height of 3 metres and length of 6.35 metres, beginning 4.3 metres from the north western corner of the lot. The adjoining owner has objected to the proposed parapet wall.

The proposal was advertised in accordance with the provisions of Policy 3.1.9. Eleven objections to the proposal were lodged from nearby owners and many concerns were raised in relation to the proposal, which are discussed in this report.

Covenants, which restricted development on lots within this area to single dwellings, have recently expired. Although the covenants were for a limited period (10 years), community expectations of the single residential nature of the area still exist and it is a somewhat sensitive matter.

The proposal complies with the provisions of the Residential Planning Codes and the protrusion of the dwelling outside the building threshold envelope is considered minor. The merits of the objections have been considered, however, on balance, the application is recommended for approval.

BACKGROUND

Lot No	Lot 599
Street Address	22 Brearley Mews, Hillarys
Land Owner	Dung K Nguyen, Giao H Nguyen
MRS Zoning	Urban
DPS Zoning	Residential
Land Use	Two Grouped Dwellings
Permissibility of Use	D
Lot Area	976m²

The subject lot is vacant and located at the end of the cul-de-sac. A two storey dwelling abuts the lot to the north and a single storey dwelling abuts the lot to the south. The street is characterised by a mix of large, single and double storey dwellings.

DETAILS

Proposal & Discretion Sought

The applicant seeks approval for two grouped dwellings on the subject lot. Council's discretion is sort in the following areas:

- General discretion under the District Planning Scheme to allow the proposed grouped dwellings;
- Protrusion of Unit 1 outside the Building Threshold Envelope;
- A garage parapet wall where the adjoining owner has objected.

Advertising

Written comments on the proposal were sought from affected landowners within 15 metres of the boundaries of the subject site and on the opposite side of the street. The comment period of 14 days ended on 27 March 2001. A total of 11 objections were received during the advertising period. The proposal was considered at the Delegated Authority Meeting on 24 May 2001 where it was deferred for referral to the Ward Councillors for comment. The proposal was reconsidered at the Delegated Authority Meeting on 31 May 2001 where the proposal was referred to Council for determination.

Submission Comments & Issues

The objections raise a wide range of issues and are summarised as follows:

- Increase in traffic within the cul-de-sac;
- safety issues that will be created, as children play in the street;
- lack of open space, reduced privacy;
- devaluation of adjoining properties;
- The frontage should be in keeping with the street;
 Plans do not conform to Council requirements in regard to the height of one of the
- proposed dwellings;

 Objection to the parapet wall as it will enclose the yard and cause excessive
- overshadowing on the adjoining house;
- Development will look out of character in the cul-de-sac as this will be the only grouped dwelling;
- Considers that no relaxation of the regulations should apply; and
- It is a reasonable expectation that any future development will be in keeping with the general feel of the street.

Legislative Requirements

Under District Planning Scheme No. 2 (DPS2), 'Grouped Dwelling' is a Discretionary ('D') use in a residential zone. Council has the ability to approve or refuse the application, given the merits of the proposal.

COMMENT

The issues raised by the objectors are discussed below.

Increase in Traffic, Safety

The proposed grouped dwelling development will result in one additional dwelling being built in the street. While this will increase vehicle movements in the street, it is considered that this will be a minor increase, and will not have any impact on safety within the cul-de-sac.

Lack of Open Space, Setbacks, Privacy

The proposal complies with the provision of the R-Codes. On this basis, the provision of open space, setbacks, and privacy are deemed to comply with requirements. Specifically, the balcony for Unit 2 is screened to the south and there are no major openings on the upper floor of both dwellings facing north or south. The upper floor windows to Bedrooms 3 & 4 overlook the subject developments' private open space. Overall, it is considered that the development has been designed well to avoid overlooking adjoining properties.

While it is acknowledged that additional area within the front setback is required for the driveway for the second dwelling, there is still sufficient area, including the verge, for quality landscaping.

Devaluation of Adjoining Properties

Property values are generally not a land use planning issue.

Frontage Should Be In Keeping with the Rest of the Street

The proposal has a 6 metre front average setback with a minimum front setback of 4.3 metres for Unit 1 while the minimum front setback for Unit 2 is 17 metres. The location of the block with the cul-de-sac head gives the lot an 'angled' frontage. Due to the shape of the lots, the Unit 1 will be setback further than the adjoining northern dwelling. On this basis, it is not considered that the proposed dwellings will be out of context with adjoining properties.

Policy 3.1.9 Protrusion

Policy 3.1.9 is not a statute, but is a mechanism to guide Council in the determination of applications. Council has discretion to vary the Policy where the variation is unlikely to affect adjoining owners.

A small protrusion outside the building envelope occurs on the northern side of the building. The protrusion occurs where the building envelope slopes from the boundary line to the top of the envelope, and is basically the portion of the dwelling where the roof meets the wall. The overall height of the dwellings complies with the Policy. The applicant has justified the protrusion on the basis at the window to the bedroom is located such that increasing the setback to the wall (thereby complying with Policy 3.1.9) would reduce the optimal size of the window to the bedroom. The bedroom window has been located so it does not overlook the adjoining property.

The protrusion is minor and even though the City received 11 submissions against the proposal, it is not considered the minor protrusion will have a negative impact on the surrounding properties.

Parapet Wall along Northern Boundary

The adjoining owner has objected to the proposed garage parapet wall. This owner identifies that overshadowing will occur as a result of the parapet wall being constructed along a portion of the northern boundary. However, assessment has indicated that overshadowing will not occur. The parapet wall also complies with the R-Codes (Clause 1.5.8(f)) in relation to the height of the wall at 3 metres and length of 6.35 metres along a boundary length of 41.94 metres.

The objection also identified that the parapet wall will enclose the front garden at 24 Brearley Mews (adjoining property). The impact of the parapet wall could be reduced to incorporate a box gutter, thereby reducing the overall height of the parapet wall from 3 metres to approximately 2.6 metres.

Two Grouped Dwellings Not In Keeping with Surrounding Area

Currently only single houses are constructed within the street, some with two storeys. The subject lot size is 976m? which permits two grouped dwellings in accordance with R-Code requirements. While the street is not characterised by grouped dwellings, it is noted that both dwellings will face the street, have double garages and are two-storeys in height, which will not be out of character with the street. The location of the subject site is to the right of the cul-de-sac head. The site has a relatively narrow frontage, and therefore the development will not be prominent within the street.

Internal Garage Width

The minimum internal garage width must be a minimum of 5.6 metres. As the plans do not clearly demonstrate the internal width, this aspect can be included as a condition of any approval issued.

CONCLUSION

The advertising of the proposal has raised a wide range of objections to the proposal, with the emphasis on the grouped dwellings not being in character with the area. While these are genuine concerns of the adjoining owners, these concerns must be balanced by the development potential of the site as provided for under DPS2. It is noted that the covenants restricting development in the area to single dwellings have expired.

Considering the proposal complies with the R-Codes, the application is recommended for approval with discretion being exercised to allow the dwelling to exceed the building threshold envelope for a portion of the north facing wall of the main bedroom. In this instance it is considered that the projection is minor and will not adversely impact on the surrounding area.

The proposed parapet wall can be reduced in height thereby minimising any potential impact on the adjoining owner.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This item was presented at the Council meeting of 26 June 2001, however, no resolution was made as the item failed to obtain a mover and a seconder.

The item is resubmitted for the Council to make a determination. Under the District Planning Scheme No.2 (DPS2), Section 6.9 "power to determine applications for planning approval", the Council may either refuse to grant its approval, grant approval without conditions, grant approval subject to such conditions and requirements as it deems fit, or defer consideration or determination of the application to a later meeting, if in the Council's view, additional information for, or more detailed investigation of, the proposal is required.

In the event that Council fails to determine the application within 60 days, then it may be deemed by the applicant or proponent to have been refused. This would then enable the applicant to lodge an appeal. The 60 day period can be extended by agreement in writing between the applicant and the Council. The current 60 day period on the proposal expired on 2 May 2001. If the applicant does appeal on this basis, it would be very difficult for Council to defend the appeal as there would be no stated planning grounds for the refusal. Verbal advice is that the applicant is happy for the item to be presented at the next Council meeting.

The Council has an obligation and responsibility to carry out its statutory duties in accordance with its Planning Scheme and related legislation. Failure to carry out those duties could be questioned by the community, and the State Government, which could ultimately result in the Council losing its powers to determine land use and planning matters.

The Council has often been critical of the State Government for eroding its planning powers. It is important that the Council make full use of the planning powers that it currently has.

The recommendation is the professional advice of the administration, and is based on an evaluation of the proposal against the Council's adopted Scheme and policies, having regard to the comments from the nearby neighbours. It is only proper to take into account their comments where they are valid on planning grounds.

MOVED Cr Barnett, SECONDED Cr Patterson that Council:

- EXERCISES DISCRETION under clause 4.5.1 of District Planning Scheme No 2 and Clause 1.5.8(f) of the Residential Planning Codes and determines that:
 - (a) a wall on the boundary for a length of 6.35 metres and height of 2.6 metres.
 - a small protrusion of the building threshold envelope for the north facing wall of the upper floor master bedroom

is permitted in this instance;

- APPROVES the application dated 2 March 2001 submitted by Ian Xuyen Lu and Associates, the applicant, on behalf of the owner(s), Giao Huu and Kim Dung Nguyen, for two grouped dwellings on Lot 599 (22) Brearley Mews, Hillarys subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) the wall on the boundary being of a clean finish and made good to the satisfaction of the City;
 - (b) all stormwater must be contained on-site to the satisfaction of the City:
 - (c) the minimum internal width for each of the garages is to be 5.6 metres:
 - (d) reduce the height of the wall on the boundary to 2.6m by the incorporation of a box gutter.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 3 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach3brf030701.pdf

PROPOSED

AMENDMENT NO 2 TO DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 - HIRE OF EQUIPMENT FROM

OF

CLOSE

SERVICE STATIONS - [50036]

ADVERTISING

WARD - All

CJ226 - 07/01

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 8

SUMMARY

The City has proposed an amendment to District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2) to include a definition for 'equipment hire' and to add to Table 1, Council's discretion to approve 'equipment hire' in the Commercial zone (Attachment 3). The amendment is in response to ongoing concerns regarding the unauthorized hire of equipment from service stations throughout the City.

The amendment was adopted by Council at its meeting on 27 March 2001 (CJ083 - 03/01) and was advertised for public comment for a 42-day period which closed on 6 June 2001.

Fifteen submissions were received, all in favor of the proposed amendment. Each submission consisted of a standard submission form and attached letter of support written by consultants Greg Rowe and Associates.

The amendment will enable Council to properly assess applications for 'equipment hire' in particular where they are proposed to operate from service stations. Both representatives of the Hire Industry and the MTA have been consulted prior to the amendment being initiated, and have agreed to the changes proposed to DPS2.

It is recommended that Council adopts Amendment No 2 to DPS2.

BACKGROUND

History

An amendment to DPS2 to include a definition for 'equipment hire' and to provide discretion to approve 'equipment hire' in the Commercial zone is in response to ongoing concerns regarding the unauthorized hire of equipment from service stations throughout the City.

The situation dates back to early 1999 when specialist equipment hire business operators sought action concerning the hire of domestic equipment from service station sites. Under the then operative Town Planning Scheme No 1 (TPS1), the hire of equipment was not permissible upon land zoned 'Service Station'. At the time site inspections indicated that 18 service stations had been operating hire equipment without having sought or obtained approval from the City.

Following a number of failed attempts at requesting landowners to bring their site operations into conformity with TPS1 the hire industry insisted that Council take action and threatened legal action to compel the Council to act. In response, the MTA representing service station

owners and operators, requested that Council refrain from prosecuting service stations operators which did not comply and as an alternative amend its Town Planning Scheme to allow equipment hire in service stations. The MTA argued that the small-scale hire of equipment from service stations offered an additional service to the community and in general has been well received over the years.

Discussions were held with the Western Australian Municipal Association (WAMA) and the Ministry for Planning to see if the matter could be resolved on an industry-wide basis. After careful consideration of all the issues raised by the parties involved it was considered that an amendment to the Town Planning Scheme would be warranted.

Previous Council Decision

At its meeting on 27 March 2001, Council resolved (CJ083-03/01) to define the use class 'Equipment Hire' and to permit 'Equipment Hire' as a discretionary use in the Commercial zone. The Council also resolved to advise service station owners that the amendment is being initiated and the landowner of Lot 1 (2) Warburton Avenue, Padbury that a separate scheme amendment is required for 'equipment hire' to be permitted in addition to a service station use on that site (CJ083-03/01) (Attachment 3).

DETAILS

Advertising and Summary

The proposed DPS2 Amendment No 2 was advertised for public comment for a 42-day period, which closed on 6 June 2001. Letters were sent to all service station owners in the City, the MTA and Hire Association. An advertisement was also placed in the local newspaper on 26 April 2001. A total of 15 submissions were received, and are listed in the Schedule in Attachment 2. The submissions consisted of a standard submission form and attached letter of support written by consultants Greg Rowe and Associates. One submission provided additional site information for the service station at Lot 1 (2) Warburton Avenue.

All submissions supported the proposed amendment and in addition requested that Schedule 2 of DPS2 be amended to include "equipment hire" and "vehicle sale and hire premises" as additional uses on Lot 1 (2) Warburton Avenue, Padbury and Lot 100 (6) Marina Boulevard, Ocean Reef. The issue of permissibility of 'equipment hire' versus 'vehicle sale and hire premises' was raised in that both uses are similar but the latter is permitted in the 'Service Industrial zone', whilst 'equipment hire' requires Council's discretion for approval.

Relevant Legislation

Under the provision of section 17 (2) of the Town Planning Regulations 1967, Council shall consider all submissions received during the advertising period (see attachment 1). After considering all submissions the Council shall either resolve to not proceed or adopt the amendment with or without modification and submit three copies to the WAPC for recommendation to the Minister for Planning.

COMMENT

Issues and Assessment

The unauthorized hire of equipment from service stations has brought to the City's attention the issue concerning the nature of 'equipment hire' (other than vehicles) and their suitability in service stations and in other areas throughout the City.

There is a history of service stations in the City operating hire of equipment services, some dating back for considerable time. TPS1 did not contemplate the hire of equipment or other commercial activities in service stations, however the service station industry has evolved significantly over the years with the advent of fuel outlets shared with other commercial activity and the demise of the typical motor repair workshop at many service stations. Whilst an amendment to TPS1 was considered, the proposal was not finalised due to the gazettal of DPS2, which resulted in TPS1 being revoked along with all amendments.

Under DPS2 'equipment hire' appears as a separate use class in the zoning table but is not defined and it is not permitted in the commercial zone. The amendment will address both these issues and will enable all service stations the opportunity to apply for the use of 'hire of equipment'.

All submissions received are noted. The request to include the uses of 'equipment hire' and 'vehicle sale and hire premises' as additional uses on Lot 1 (2) Warburton Avenue, Padbury and Lot 100 (6) Marina Boulevard, Ocean Reef is considered inappropriate at this stage and should be considered as part of a separate amendment. This amendment addresses the mechanism for providing for the use but does not examine the needs of any particular site. Also there has been no opportunity for local community comment.

Both service stations are located in predominantly residential surroundings and require special consideration before being approved as an additional use under DPS2. The inclusion of 'equipment hire' and 'vehicle sale and hire premises' for both properties may be considered through a separate amendment supported by additional information.

The issues regarding the difference in permissibility between 'equipment hire' and 'vehicle sale and hire premises' in the Service Industrial zone are considered unsubstantiated. The difference between the permissibility of these use classes reflects the nature of the two classes. The 'vehicles sale and hire premises' is clearly defined in DPS2 and by nature is considered to be 'bulky' in comparison to other hire items. For this reason 'vehicle sale and hire premises' is considered better suited in Service Industrial zone where larger land parcels are available and where more compatible land uses are found. Additionally, the definition of 'equipment hire' is broader and includes a variety of service items that may require more careful consideration to determine the suitability of their location.

Recommendation

The amendment will enable Council through provisions in DPS2, to properly assess applications for 'equipment hire' in particular where they are proposed to operate from service stations as a stand-alone use. Representatives of both the Hire Industry and the MTA have been consulted prior to the amendment being initiated, and have agreed to the changes proposed to DPS2. The amendment can be seen as satisfying the interests of both parties and the community, which generally supports the small-scale hire of equipment from service stations.

Accordingly, it is recommended that the amendment be adopted without modification.

MOVED Cr Hollywood, SECONDED Cr Barnett that Council:

- 1 pursuant to Town Planning Regulations 17 (2) ADOPTS Amendment No 2 to the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No 2 without modification;
- 2 NOTES the submissions received;
- 3 AUTHORISES the affixation of the common seal to, and endorses the signing of the amendment documents:
- 4 ADVISES the owners of Lot 1 (2) Warburton Avenue, Padbury and Lot 100 (6) Marina Boulevard, Ocean Reef that a separate scheme amendment is required for 'equipment hire' to be permitted as an additional use in addition to a service station use on these sites.

To a query raised by Cr Baker, Director Planning and Development advised that the intention of the amendment was not to include trailers, which are included in another use classification within the scheme and within the commercial zone.

Previous correspondence from the City's solicitors indicates they were satisfied with the terms of definition to encompass lawnmowers and other like or kind pieces of equipment, being domestic in nature.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 4 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach4brf030701.pdf

CJ227 - 07/01

PROPOSED BED & BREAKFAST (ADDITIONAL USE TO SINGLE HOUSE): LOT 11 (31) HALLIDAY GROVE, HILLARYS - [24834]

WARD - Whitfords

SUMMARY

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 9

An application has been received for a Bed & Breakfast (additional use to a single house) at Lot 11 (31) Halliday Grove, Hillarys.

The owners are applying for the maximum of one couple and one single guest for stay at any one time. There are no alterations proposed to the house as part of this application. The proposal was previously considered and refused under delegation on 24 May 2001. The applicants have, however, submitted a fresh application for the same use without any

additional changes to the originally refused application and have requested that Council consider the matter.

The above site is situated in a cul-de-sac (Halliday Grove) which is a quiet and narrow residential street, when compared with some of the nearby streets in the area. The above proposal is not supported due to the narrow cul-de-sac location, likely increase in traffic and associated noise, small lot size and the likely additional demand for visitor car parking which cannot be accommodated entirely on site. The above adverse impacts are likely to affect the amenity of residents in the street.

BACKGROUND

Lot	11
Street Address	31 Halliday Grove, Hillarys
Applicant	Desmond and Patricia Olver
MRS Zoning	Urban
DPS Zoning	Residential (R-40)
Land Use	Bed & Breakfast
Permissibility of Use	D
Lot Area	285m ²

DETAILS

Proposal

The subject lot is 285m² in area. The application is for the maximum of one couple and one single guest for stay at any one time. The house is a double storey single house. A total of 17.5% of the floor area of the house would be used for the bed and breakfast use. One space in the double garage will be made available for guest carparking.

Consideration at Delegated Authority Meeting

The subject lot is 285m² in area. The previous application for Bed & Breakfast was considered under delegation on 17 May 2001 but was deferred pending referral to Ward Elected Members for comment. The proposal was subsequently reconsidered on 24 May 2001 where it was refused for the following reasons:

- (a) The proposal would be contrary to the proper and orderly planning of the locality.
- (b) The use has the potential to reduce the residential amenity of the immediate area, due to the increase in traffic and associated noise and inability to provide adequate visitor car parkine within the site.

Advertising

Prior to the above matter being considered under delegation, the proposal was advertised for a period of 14 days to 11 adjoining and nearby landowners. A total of six (6) submissions were received during the consultation period being one (1) objection and five (5) non-objections.

Submission Comments & Issues

The comments received in the submissions of non-objection is summarised as follows:
There was sufficient on-site car parking and that the maximum numbers of guest were only
one couple and an additional guest at any period of time. The comments also stated that the
residential character of the area would not be affected as a result of the Bed & Breakfast.

The issues raised in the objection are summarised as follows:

Halliday Grove is a narrow street with no exit (Cul-de-sac). Presently there is only enough car parking off-road for the owners. The street is also clogged with vehicles parked on verges. This proposal will only exacerbate the problem. All houses are close together and do not lend themselves to be used for Bed & Breakfast. The street should remain as intended when the developers originally designed this subdivision. Public transport after hours is limited other than taxis.

Applicants' Comments

The applicants have provided the following details summarised in support of their proposal:

- When the applicants made initial enquiries with the City, they were advised that no planning approval was required. Since that enquiry, the requirements have changed whereby development approval is now required. The applicants have spent \$4000 on new furniture and advertising so far. The residential character of the neighbourhood in their opinion will be retained. The proposal would be an asset to the area. The greatest number of guests would be one couple and one single guest at any one time.
- Adequate off-road car parking is provided. At the moment the applicants have two cars which would be reduced to one car when one of the applicant/landowners stops working. Guests are able to park one car on one side of the double garage. There would be a restriction that only one guest's car will be allowed at any one time. It is the view that most guests do not have cars and that the nearest bus stop, which connects to the railway station, is 100 metres away. It is the applicants' view that most of the guests do not like to drive in unfamiliar cities and look for Bed & Breakfast near public transport systems. The applicant also has grown-up children who have since moved and consequently there are two spare rooms for guests. The guests are expected to stay for 5-7 days per month in the first year.
- The Halliday Grove development has been designed with setbacks of 3.3
 metres. The concrete flowerbeds demarcate the road verge. This enables
 residents to park behind garage doors without protruding into the roadway.
 Most residents in the area park in this manner. As such, any guest parking is
 not seen as an inconvenience.

The applicant would also like to request directional signs be placed at the corner of Flinders Avenue and Halliday Grove.

Legislative Requirements

Under District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2), 'Bed & Breakfast' is a Discretionary ('D') use in the Residential zone. Council has the ability to approve or refuse the application, given the merits of the proposal. The definition of Bed and Breakfast under DPS2 is:

"any dwelling in which the resident of the dwelling provides accommodation on an overnight or short-term basis, usually to the travelling public, and may include the provision of breakfast."

A 'D' use is a use that is not permitted, but to which the Council may grant its approval after following the procedures laid down by sub-clause 6.6.2 which may include advertising. As the proposal has been previously advertised, it is considered that no further advertising is necessary.

COMMENT

Lot Size

The above subject lot is located in a cul-de-sac which had been specifically designed by the previous developer as a narrow residential street with houses built close to the lot boundary. Due to the closeness of the buildings and garages to the lot boundary, any motor vehicles parked behind the garage would straddle the lot boundary and the road verge. The above lot is only 285m² in comparison to other lots of approximately 700–1000m² in the surrounding suburbs where similar Bed & Breakfast proposals have been approved.

Amenity Issues

With Bed & Breakfast, it has to be acknowledged that there is a possibility that guests may arrive/depart from Perth at various times of the day. This may include early hours in the morning or late at night. Noise from car engines, doors opening and shutting may be a relevant factor to be considered in this proposal as adjoining houses are in close proximity to each other. While arrival and departure hours could be restricted, due to the proximity of adjoining dwellings, arrivals and departures during normal hours may also be a cause nuisance to adjoining owners. It is to be noted that this subdivision was designed and created to provide for small lots as well as a small number of grouped dwelling lots to cater for various lifestyles. These lots and dwelling types are not conducive to commercial home based businesses involving visitors or additional traffic and parking demand as proposed.

Carparking

The setback of the above house to the garage is 3.24 metres. When the roller door is shut, any car being parked behind the garage will protrude into the road verge. All development in the City is required to provide required carparking within the lot. The above carparking, if accepted, may be a precedent for carparking on the street verge to be acceptable in future developments within the City.

The applicant has indicated that one side of the garage would be available for guest parking. However, this parking arrangement is considered impractical and inconvenient for guests, and is not supported. While it is noted that 5 letters of non-objection were received to the proposal, the application is not supported due to the narrowness of the street, which is also a cul-de-sac, the likely increase in traffic and associated noise, additional demand for visitor car parking located on the crossover rather than on the site. The above adverse impacts are likely to affect the amenity of residents living in the street.

MOVED Cr Patterson, SECONDED Cr Barnett that Council REFUSES the application and plans received on 31 May 2001 submitted by Desmond and Patricia Olver for a Bed & Breakfast use within a Single House on Lot 11 (31) Halliday Grove, Hillarys for the following reasons:

- 1 the proposal would be contrary to the proper and orderly planning of the locality;
- 2 the use has the potential to reduce the residential amenity of the immediate area, due to the increase in traffic and associated noise and the inability to provide adequate visitor car parking within the site.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 5 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach5brf030701.pdf

CJ228 - 07/01

PROPOSED BED AND BREAKFAST (ADDITIONAL USE TO SINGLE HOUSE): LOT 151 (88) CLIFF STREET, SORRENTO - [28358]

WARD - South Coastal

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 10

SUMMARY

An application has been received for a Bed and Breakfast at Lot 151 (88) Cliff Street, Sorrento.

The proposed activity would cater for up to four (4) guests, utilising 2 bedrooms of the dwelling. There are no alterations proposed to the existing dwelling.

The proposal has been advertised to adjoining properties and two objections have been received.

The subject site is situated in a relatively low density area and given separation between dwellings, it is considered that the proposed activity will not have a significant negative impact on the adjoining properties. The proposal is low key in nature and approval is recommended.

BACKGROUND

Lot No	Lot 151
Street Address	88 Cliff Street, Sorrento
Land Owner	G & S Ash
MRS Zoning	Urban
DPS Zoning	Residential (R20)
Land Use	Bed and Breakfast
Permissibility of Use	D
Lot Area	711m ²

The subject dwelling is situated on a corner lot. The substantial dwelling consists of a two storey portion, and a single storey portion. The single storey portion, which fronts Cliff Street, consists of a lounge room, kitchen/dining room, laundry, bathroom, and two bedrooms. This portion of the dwelling is proposed to accommodate the Bed and Breakfast activity.

DETAILS

Proposal

A maximum of 4 guests are proposed to be accommodated, and the applicant states two car bays are available on the site for visitor parking. Guest arrival and departure times would be between 7am and 11pm, while the applicant indicates guests may stay between 1 night and 1 month, although it would expected that most guests would stay up to a week.

Advertising

Written comments on the proposal were sought from seven (7) landowners adjoining and adjacent to the subject site. The comment period of 14 days ended on 22 May 2001. A total of three (3) submissions were received during the comment period, being two (2) objections, and one (1) non-objection.

Submission Comments & Issues

The two objections are summarised as follows:

- The adjoining property is significantly lower than the subject property. There is resulting
 overlooking into the adjoining properties private yard area, affording no privacy
 whatsoever. The proposal will exacerbate this situation by introducing additional persons
 of a transitory nature who will be able to observe the details of our daily lives.
- Applicant has not advised number of persons likely to be accommodated, and therefore any parking impacts. Also no information on the length of stay of visitors.
- Portion of the dwelling to be used for the accommodation is effectively a self contained unit and constitutes ancillary accommodation under the R-Codes, and therefore should be restricted to members of the family occupying the main dwelling.
- The definition of a Bed and Breakfast under the scheme "means any dwelling in which the
 resident of the dwelling provides accommodation on an overnight or short-term basis...".
 As the applicant does not reside in the 'unit', it does not appear to comply with the
 definition under the Scheme.

The stated purpose is not in keeping with the amenity of the area. Bed and Breakfast is
not compatible with the \$500,000 plus homes for residential use. If Council does use its
discretion to grant approval, we suggest a twelve month trial, limit of 4 people, limit of 2
cars to be parked in driveway – not on verge, arrival/departure hours limited 9am to 8pm.

Legislative Requirements

Under District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2), 'Bed & Breakfast' is a Discretionary ('D') use in a residential zone. Council has the ability to approve or refuse the application, given the merits of the proposal. The definition of Bed and Breakfast under DPS2 is:

"any dwelling in which the resident of the dwelling provides accommodation on an overnight or short-term basis, usually to the travelling public, and may include the provision of breakfast."

COMMENT

The issues raised by the objectors are discussed below:

Privacy

An inspection of the subject site has been conducted and has revealed that the adjoining lot to the east is substantially lower than the subject lot. The private open space area that would be utilised by guests is located on the Cliff Street frontage, to take advantage of the ocean view. A clothesline area is located at the rear of the dwelling. It is acknowledged that a person utilising a clothesline can, if standing at the fence, gain views into the adjoining property, However, as this area will not be used as recreation area, the impact on the adjoining owners privacy is minimised.

Unit/Ancillary Accommodation

The portion of the dwelling to be used as the Bed and Breakfast formed part of the original dwelling, prior to extensions being made to the northern part of the house, including a second storey. New kitchen and living facilities were also provided in the new extensions. The extensions are appropriately approved as additions to an existing single residential dwelling, and there is no evidence to suggest that the portion of the dwelling proposed to be used as the Bed and Breakfast accommodation is currently being used as anything other than a single residential dwelling.

The owners, who are resident at the dwelling, will operate the Bed and Breakfast activity, which is in accordance with the definition of the activity under DPS2.

Provision of Car Parking

The applicant has verbally indicated that it is likely that families with one vehicle would stay at the bed and breakfast accommodation. One car bay for the proposal is located wholly within the lot and a second car bay can be readily accommodated in tandem, however, portion of the vehicle may overhang onto the verge area. It is generally considered that car parking for these types of activities in a residential area be provided wholly on the site. It is therefore considered appropriate to apply a condition to any approval issued to ensure that only one vehicle associated with the bed and breakfast is parked at the site at any one time.

Amenity Issues

A Bed and Breakfast, by its definition, is considered compatible with a residential area, as it is an activity conducted from a dwelling. The important issues to consider are the scale of the proposal, and the impact that a particular proposal has on adjoining properties.

The subject locality consists of single residential dwellings on what may be considered by today's standard as relatively large blocks (711sqm). The dwellings are setback from boundaries and incorporate traditional backyards. The impact on adjoining properties created by the proposed activity is therefore somewhat diminished by the low density nature of the area, given that there is greater separation between dwellings, and therefore less disturbance from cars arrivines, and associated noise.

The applicant has stated in writing that guests will be permitted to arrive and depart between the hours of 7am and 11pm. These hours are similar to other Bed and Breakfast activities that have been approved within the City, which are operating without complaint. On this basis, the hours are considered appropriate, and can be included as a condition of any approval issued.

DPS2 does not indicate any restriction on the number of guests that can be accommodated within Bed and Breakfast accommodation. However, the intent of the activity is for the dwelling to remain the predominant use, with the Bed and Breakfast as an ancillary use. The proposed activity will occupy 100m^2 of the dwelling which represents approximately 25% of the total floor area. A maximum of 4 people are proposed to be accommodated in 2 bedrooms. It is therefore considered that the proposed activity is low key, ancillary use.

In summary, it is considered that the matters raised in the objections can be adequately addressed by conditions on any approval granted, to ensure that the Bed and Breakfast activity remains low key as proposed. Given the location of the subject property on a corner, and being a relatively large lot, it is considered that the proposed activity will not have a negative impact on the adjoining owners. However, this aspect can be monitored by limiting the approval period to 12 months, after which a fresh application is required.

MOVED Cr Patterson, SECONDED Cr Kenworthy that Council:

- APPROVES the application dated 11 April 2001 submitted by Gary and Sandra Ash, the applicant and owners, for a Bed and Breakfast at Lot 151 (88) Cliff Street, Sorrento, subject to the following conditions:
 - the premises shall not be used to accommodate more than 4 guests excluding family members of the owners of the land;
 - (b) arrival/departure times for guests to be limited to between 7am and 11pm daily;
 - (c) all visitor parking associated with the bed and breakfast to be accommodated on-site at all times;
 - (d) only one (1) vehicle associated with the Bed and Breakfast activity is permitted at the site at any one time;
 - (e) this approval is valid for a period of 12 months only;

 signage for the development is limited to one sign on the porch façade, as indicated on the approved plan;

Footnotes:

- (i) Applicant shall comply with the Health (Food Hygiene) Regulations 1993.
- (ii) Applicant shall submit a Form 2 Application for Approval of Certain Foods Handling Activities in Residential Premises and obtain the City's approval prior to the commencement of operation as a bed and breakfast.
- A new application must be lodged with the City for a determination before this approval expires if you wish to continue operating the Bed and Breakfast activity.
- 2 ADVISES the people who made submissions of Item 1 above.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 6 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach6brf030701.pdf

CJ229 - 07/01

PROPOSED THIRTY-EIGHT (38) MULTIPLE DWELLINGS AT LOT 323 (18) KINGSBURY ROAD, CORNER QUEENSBURY ROAD AND GRAND BOULEVARD, JOONDALUP - [27498]

WARD - Lakeside

CJ010703 BRF.DOC:ITEM 11

_

SUMMARY

An application has been received for 38 multiple dwellings at Lot 323 (18) Kingsbury Road, Joondalup.

The subject site is within walking distance of major transport, retail, entertainment and educational facilities and regional recreation areas within the City Centre. The proposal generally conforms to District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2) and the Joondalup City Centre Development Plan and Manual (JCCDPM).

The proposed development consists of three storey walk-up dwellings, which address the street by way of window location and balconies, thereby providing natural surveillance to the surrounding areas. The proposal provides for communal recreation space, services and car parking for the proposed residential use. The three-storey building creates a continuous urban façade along Grand Boulevard and is considered appropriate for the location. The development will provide additional residential accommodation and would be compatible

with the surrounding land uses. Traffic and pedestrian issues associated with the proposal have been adequately addressed.

It is recommended that development approval be granted.

BACKGROUND

Lot	323
Street Address	18 Kingsbury Road, Joondalup
Applicant	Australand Holdings Ltd
MRS Zoning	Central City Area
Preferred Land Use	Residential (Multiple Dwellings)
Lot Area	3567 m ²

The subject site is located on the western side of Kingsbury Road and bounded by Queensbury Road and Grand Boulevard. The site falls within the City North precinct within the Joondalup City Centre zone where one of the preferred uses is residential and mixed uses. Development within the above area is subject to the provisions of the City of Joondalup DPS2 and the JCCDPM.

DETAILS

Description of the Proposed Development

The development proposal consists of the following elements:

- 38 multiple dwellings within a three storey building consisting of a combination of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom units.
- The proposed ground floor dwellings have been designed in such a manner that they
 can be converted at a later stage to commercial units if the need arises.
- The proposed density is R107 under the R-Codes.
 A total of 75 car parking bays have been provided on site for the multiple dwellings.
- Pedestrian access is of Grand Boulevard and Queensbury Road. All vehicle access to the site is off Kinesbury Road.
- Communal open space in the form of a swimming pool and a gymnasium.
- Balconies for all the top floor units have been designed up to the lot boundary line.

Setbacks, Site Coverage, Plot Ratio, Density and Car Parking,

The proposed setbacks are in accordance with the residential development requirements for City North, which include "nil" front, side and rear setbacks. A maximum plot ratio of 1.0 applies to commercial land uses. The provisions in the Residential Planning Codes (R-Codes) do not apply to the City North area. Hence there is no minimum or maximum density applicable to the above site. The maximum height of buildings in this particular area is three storeys, however, under exceptional circumstances, higher developments may be considered.

Car Parking Table based on DPS Requirements

Current Use	Car Parking Provisions	No of Bays Required	No of Bays Provided
38 multiple units	1 bay per multiple unit	38	75
Surplus			37

It is evident from the above table that there is sufficient off-street car parking provided for the proposal. The applicant has provided some multiple units with 2 car bays.

However, should the 11 ground floor units be converted to commercial units, there would be a shortfall in car parking which is based on 1 car bay per 30m². To satisfy future commercial carparking needs, the owners would either have to reallocate some of the surplus residential unit carbays or provide other alternatives such as verge parking or other acceptable methods of carparking to the satisfaction of the City. The applicants have also provided one disabled bay for future car parking in relation to commercial uses.

Health Requirements

Adequate bin storage areas have been provided in accordance with the Council's Health Local Laws. The applicant is requested to provide adequate fencing and toilet facilities for the public swimming pool, in accordance with the relevant state legislation. The applicant has also stated that an Acoustic Consultant's Report in relation to noise from the gymnasium and swimming pool would be submitted at Building Licence stage.

Relevant Legislation

The proposed use is in accordance with the preferred uses as stated for the City North precinct in the ICCDPM.

COMMENT

The above proposal creates an active frontage and continuous façade along Grand Boulevard and complies in general with the design objectives and requirements of the JCCDPM. The proposal does not, however, include commercial activity at this stage, but has been structurally designed should the need arise. At the ground floor, the units will have a minimum of load-bearing walls so as to provide for clear floor trading areas for commercial uses. Should the ground floor units be converted at a later stage to commercial units, a subsequent development application will be required.

The site is located within the City North precinct where one of the preferred uses is residential and residential/mixed use. The proposal fits into the above use category and is considered a compatible land use with adjacent residential lots in the immediate vicinity.

Residential Density

The proposed 38 multiple dwellings at the above site is equivalent to a R-Code density of R107. The above density is similar to some of the recent residential developments in City North as well as the Central Business Districts where developments around the R100 density mark have been approved. The proposal density of R107 is considered appropriate for the above site in its current form in terms of number of units, size, design and height of buildings.

Urban Design

The current proposal is entirely for residential development. The applicants are of the view that demand for commercial uses is unlikely in the short term. The ground floor units along Grand Boulevard, however, have been designed structurally to be converted for commercial use when the need arises. The above lot fronts onto Grand Boulevard and is directly opposite the Joondalup Regional Hospital and should, in time, provide good opportunity for conversion to commercial use.

The verge along Grand boulevard and Kingsbury Road is currently unpaved. The verge provides an important pedestrian link within the City's footpath network. For previous developments within the City centre, applicants have been required, and have agreed, to pave the 1.5 metre strip between the lot boundary and the footpath. The remaining portion to be paved is generally undertaken at that the City's cost. No funds have been identified for the above works in the 2001/2002 Draft Budget. It is recommended that the City considers setting aside funds for the 2002/2003 Budget year for the footpath and verge works. The applicants have advised that should approval be granted, the development is anticipated to be completed in July/August 2002.

Carparking

The current requirement for car parking for residential units is 1 car bay per unit. The applicant has, however, provided 75 car bays on site. Four undersized carbays are proposed, which comply with the Australian Standards. These undersized carbays are allocated to units which have already been allocated a standard carbay. In terms of the current proposal there is a surplus of carparking bays provided. Should the need arise for the ground floor units to be converted to commercial units, the owners would have to make appropriate arrangements at that stage to allocate car bays within the site for the commercial requirements or other methods acceptable to the satisfaction of the City. For safer manoeuvring within the site, a mirror is recommended for carbays 74 and 75 to which the applicants have agreed and sight truncation of 1.5 at the east entrance.

Conclusion

The above proposal is considered to have satisfied DPS2 and the JCCDPM in terms of façades, urban design and preferred uses within this precinct. The proposal achieves the continuous urban wall effect along Grand Boulevard with future potential for commercial units at ground floor level. The proposal is indicative of the continued interest and confidence in the provision of inner city housing within the Joondalup City Centre. The proposal will raise the residential population within the City Centre and also maintains the flexibility for future ground floor commercial opportunities. The development is compatible with the surrounding land uses, including the provision of safe vehicular and predestrian movements.

It is recommended that the proposal be approved for the reasons stated in the above report.

MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Kimber that Council:

- 1 APPROVES the application and plans received on 8 May 2001 submitted by Cameron Chisholm and Nichol (WA) Pty on behalf of the owner Australand Holdings Ltd for 38 Multiple Dwellings at Lot 323 (18) Kingsbury Road, Cnr Queensbury Road and Grand Boulevard, Joondalup, subject to the following conditions and footnotes:
 - (a) the parking bay/s, driveway/s and points of ingress and egress to be designed in accordance with the Australian Standard for Offstreet Carparking (AS2890). Such areas are to be constructed, drained, marked and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the City prior to the development first being occupied. These works are to be done as part of the building programme.

- (b) one (1) disabled carparking bay located convenient to the building entrance and with a minimum width of 3.2 metres, to be provided to the satisfaction of the City. Provision must also be made for disabled access and facilities in accordance with the Australian Standard for Design for Access and Mobility (AS 1428.1).
- (c) an onsite stormwater drainage system with the capacity to contain a 1:100 year storm of a 24-hour duration is to be provided prior to the development first being occupied and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the City. The proposed stormwater drainage system is required to be shown on the Building Licence submission and be approved by the City prior to the commencement of construction.
 - (d) the driveway/s and crossover/s to be designed and constructed to the satisfaction of the City before occupation of dwellings.
 - (e) car bay grades are generally not to exceed 6% and disabled car bay/s are to have a maximum grade of 2.5%.
 - (f) no obstructions such as walls or fences shall occur within the 1.5 metre truncation and/or easement, as depicted on the approved plan. Suitable alternative options to the satisfaction of the City would be considered.
- (g) the footpath treatment in the adjoining road reserve to be continued to the front of the building in a design with a finished floor level that matches the existing paving and at a grade of 2% rising from the kerbline, prior to the development first being occupied. Refer to footnote.
- (h) any roof mounted or free standing plant or equipment such as air conditioning units, satellite dishes or radio masts to be located and screened so as not to be visible from beyond the boundaries of the development site.
- the roof pitch to be a minimum of 25 degrees.
- should the development be staged, temporary landscaping and fencing must be installed prior to the development being occupied to the satisfaction of the City.
- (k) submission of a Construction Management Plan detailing phasing of construction, access, storage of materials, protection of pedestrians, footpaths and other infrastructure.
- all fencing to be designed and constructed in accordance with the attached extract from the Joondalup City Centre Plan and Manual and thereafter be maintained to the satisfaction of the City.
- (m) the submission of an acoustic consultant's report demonstrating to the satisfaction of the City that the proposed development is capable of containing all noise emissions in accordance with the Environmental Protection Act

- (n) a suitably screened bin storage area is to be provided prior to the development first being occupied, in the location on the approved plans. Such an area must be constructed with a concrete floor, graded to a 100mm industrial floor waste gully connected to sewer and be provided with a hose cock.
- no obscure or reflective glazing being used in the dwellings facing onto Grand Boulevard.
- (p) the boundary wall(s) and/or parapet wall(s) being of a clean finish and made good to the satisfaction of the City.
- (q) all verge areas to be reinstated to their original condition at the cost of the owners or other alternative arrangements to the satisfaction of the City.
- (r) the ground floor units with commercial potential being constructed with minimum load bearing walls, internally and the facade to maintain the potential to convert the units to commercial use in the future;
- (s) Inture residents being notified in writing by the proponent that the City North Precinct is planned to become a vibrant and bustling city centre comprising a mix of land uses where street level activity may occur of an intensity not normally associated with a traditional suburban residential environment.
- provision of a mirror for carbays nos 74 and 75 as indicated on the approved plans.
- the existing site levels and design levels of all proposed development, including levels on top of the kerb at the crossover, are to be shown on the Building Licence submission.
- (v) landscaping and reticulation to be established in accordance with the approved plans prior to the development first being occupied and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the City.
- (w) the lodging of detailed landscape plans, to the satisfaction of the City, for the development site and the adjoining road verge(s) with the Building Licence Application. For the purpose of this condition a detailed landscaping plan shall be drawn to a scale of 1:100 and show the following:
 - the location and type of existing and proposed trees and shrubs within the carpark area
 - (ii) any lawns to be established
 - (iii) any natural landscape areas to be retained; and
 - (iv) those areas to be reticulated or irrigated

such improvements to be in keeping with the standard set for verge treatments in Grand Boulevard.

Footnotes:

- (i) You are advised that plans submitted for a Building Licence must show the full width of the verge and any street furniture, traffic islands, statutory services, road gullies and crossovers on the opposite side of the road.
- A separate application being made to the City for approval to commence development and sign licence prior to the installation of any advertising signage.
- (iii) For the purposes of this approval the car parking ratio was calculated based upon one carbay per multiple dwelling.
- (iv) Compliance with the Building Code of Australia provisions for access and facilities for people with disabilities may not discharge an owner's or developer's liability under the Commonwealth Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). The Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission has developed guidelines to assist owners and developers in designing developments which may satisfy the requirements of the DDA. Copies of the guidelines may be obtained from the Disabilities Services Commission, 53 Ord Street, West Perth, telephone 9426 9200.
- Applicant is advised that plans and specification for public swimming pool to be submitted to the Executive Director Public Health for approval.
- (vi) Floors to all wet areas are too suitable surfaced and graded to a floor waste.
- (vii) Sundeck area to be adequately screened should the area be used for cloth drying purposes.
- as a matter of priority, CONSIDERS for the 2001/2002 City's Budget, an item for funds for verge treatments for the above site.

Director Planning and Development gave an explanation in relation to a query raised by Mayor Bombak regarding the facade of the building.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Appendix 7 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach7brf030701.pdf

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

C62-07/01 DEVELOPMENT OF OCEAN REEF – ESTABLISHMENT OF A COMMITTEE - [04171]

WARD - Marina

SUMMARY

In November 2000, Council approved the transfer of the groyne and breakwater from the Water Corporation and Department of Transport to the City (Item CJ 335-11/00 refers). It also resolved to engage a consultant team to develop a structure plan and recommend options for the future development of the boat launching facilities and adjacent land, including Lot 1029 at Ocean Reef.

Since that report, discussions have been held to finalise the Deed of Agreement for the transfer of vesting of the groynes and breakwater and these are now nearing a conclusion. In addition, discussions have also been held with the Ministry for Planning over a number of months in relation to the identification of potential constraints on the Ocean Reef site regarding Bush Forever implications. The Bush Forever report has subsequently identified Ocean Reef as being a possible future strategic regional recreation and tourism node. Clarification of potential constraints and opportunities in relation to Lot 1029 at Ocean Reef is essential before effective conceptual master planning can take place.

Because of the scale and complexity of the proposed development, this report recommends the establishment of an Ocean Reef Development Committee to initially prepare a brief for the project and make a recommendation on the model for the project development. The Committee would also manage and guide the process by examining options, preparing proposals and making recommendations to Council concerning the future development at Ocean Reef

BACKGROUND

The Ocean Reef boat launching facility and associated land (Lot 1029) is of major regional significance to the community from a recreational viewpoint and to the City in terms of possible future economic development. Ocean Reef is the only public boat launching facility north of Hillary's harbour in the City. There is significant pressure on Hillarys Boat Harbour and an alternative facility is required to accommodate the current and future community needs for such facilities. In addition, the City purchased Lot 1029 Ocean Reef in 1979 at a cost of \$\$25,000, for the benefit of the community and to enable the development of a range of recreational, commercial and service uses, ancillary to the boat launching facilities.

The discussions with the Ministry for Planning to identify the possible opportunities and constraints of development have highlighted the need for the City to initially clarify the objectives and scope of the proposed development, before considering the appointment a consultant team. Additionally, there are a number of options available to the City to progress the development of Ocean Reef as a strategic regional recreation and tourism node, such as commercial partnerships, joint venture arrangements, calling of expressions of interest or

tenders for the development of concept proposals. Addressing these two issues e.g. scope of the project and model for development, would form the basis for the preparation of a brief for the project.

As this project is a major undertaking for the City it is critical that the overall development be appropriately scoped and determined, and therefore it is believed that an effective way to address these issues is by the establishment of a Committee. The Committee would initially consult with and obtain advice from a range of experts on opportunities for the development, and would then prepare the brief for the project and consider a model for managing the development.

DETAILS

A project of this complexity and scale requires the application of expertise and experience to guide the project through the various elements of concept development, evaluation, detail design and development. Coupled with these elements is the need to undertake extensive consultation with the community and other key stakeholders.

There are a number of ways the project can be managed. One model is to establish a partnership with developers to undertake:

- · Concept development and consultation;
- · Concept evaluation;
- · Detail design and approvals;
- Development; and
- Marketing.

Community and other consultation should begin as early as possible to gain an understanding of the various expectations for the project.

In order to develop this model, and better understand the expertise required, it is proposed that a Committee is established to undertake discussions with the key stakeholders to prepare a brief for the project. The key stakeholders may include experts in development projects, planning, marina development, financial and commercial advisers. The brief would then be used in the selection process for a suitable partner for the development of Ocean Reef.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The approach for the planning and development of Ocean Reef is critical for the City to meet the needs of the community, environmental issues and to realise the commercial potential of the site. Any development would also need to recognise the various planning issues and constraints that will need to be addressed.

It is proposed that a committee be established to implement, manage and guide the process. The committee would be responsible initially for the development of a brief for the project, and the selection of a suitable partner to undertake the project. The committee's role would then be to examine and development options proposed by the partner, preparing proposals and making recommendations to Council concerning the future development at Ocean Reef.

The establishment of an Ocean Reef Development Committee would enable a greater Council involvement in this major and important development. It is suggested that the draft Terms of

Reference for the Committee should be considered at the first committee meeting (Attachment A refers).

OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

- 1 ESTABLISHES an Ocean Reef Development Committee for the purpose of examining developing options, preparing proposals and making recommendations concerning the development of the project;
- 2 NOMINATES three Councillors and AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to nominate appropriate Council officers to the Committee.

MOVED Cr O'Brien, SECONDED Cr Barnett that Council:

- ESTABLISHES an Ocean Reef Development Committee for the purpose of examining developing options, preparing proposals and making recommendations concerning the development of the project;
- 2 NOMINATES the Mayor, Marina Ward elected members and one elected member from each of the remaining Wards, with the co-ward elected member from each remaining Ward as deputy and AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer to nominate appropriate Council officers to the Committee.

Discussion ensued. Cr Baker sought the approval of the Mover to have both Marina Ward elected members represented on this Committee.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

Appendix 9 refers

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: Attach9min100701.pdf

MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

C63-07/01 NOTICE OF MOTION - CR C BAKER - [23184, 10860, 07963, 05080, 17849, 41676, 08473, 09088]

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Chris Baker has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 10 July 2001:

"That the dry tree branches, shrubbery and other vegetation positioned on the northern and southern perimeters of the new pathway connecting Mullaloo Beach North with Ocean Reef Road (adjacent to the intersection of Swanson Way, Ocean Reef) be removed because they constitute a dangerous fire hazard and serve no significant purpose whatsoever."

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This additional information is provided in response to Councillor Baker's intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 10 July 2001:

"That the dry tree branches, shrubbery and other vegetation positioned on the northern and southern perimeters of the new pathway connecting Mullaloo Beach North with Ocean Reef Road (adjacent to the intersection of Swanson Way, Ocean Reef) be removed because they constitute a dangerous fire hazard and serve no significant purpose whatsoever."

In various sections of the dual use pathway, unauthorised public access has continued and a control measure was required to assist the fence in deterring access into the designated pathways and cliff face. With respect to cliff hazards, Council is in the process of developing a policy for the management of its cliff hazards in the wake of the Gracetown disaster. The policy is based on a risk management approach and identifies the various levels of care required for the cliff hazards within Council's control and management. One of the fundamental elements of the policy is to restrict access to the cliffs and the recent vandalism of cutting the fence to gain access to protected areas needs attention. Closing the access to the informal tracks will allow vegetation to regenerate naturally over time.

Damage to the dual access pathway fence has been excessive and additional action was required to deter public access. The use of brush for stabilisation and access barrier is accepted throughout Australia as a management practice in bushland and coastal vegetation regeneration.

A fire risk assessment has been undertaken which raised concerns in relation to proximity and extent of branching near the coastal path. Remedial actions are in progress to remove this immediate concern.

DETAILS

The coastal path in question is located within an undeveloped coastal heath land that is situated south of the Ocean Reef Marina, and is bounded by Ocean Reef Road to the east and the coastal limestone cliffs to the west.

In early 2001, the dual use path linking the northern end of Mullaloo Beach with Ocean Reef Marina was completed. The project also included a pathway to link Ocean Reef Road (opposite Swanson Way) with the north/south section.

As part of the Dual Use Path project, a fence was constructed on both sides of the pathway. The purpose of this fence was twofold:

• The fence on the western side (ocean side) was constructed to restrict pedestrians accessing the limestone cliffs, which have been identified as a hazard (Review of Coastal Limestone Hazards 1998 by Gordon Geological Consultants). This study was undertaken in the wake of the Gracetown tragedy. Subsequent to the tragedy, Council developed a risk management policy to address these identified hazards and to address the issues and the directions of the Coroner's report on the Gracetown disaster. The policy sets out Council's responsibility to cliff hazards and at the same time provides a reasonable balance between the hazards issue and giving residents and visitors reasonable access to the coastal areas, at the same time providing for the natural beauty and amenity of the

area. One of the key elements of the policy is to restrict access to the cliff hazards by incorporating in the design of the use of the Dual Use Path fencing, fulfilling one of the elements of Council's duty of care. Although Council has not formally adopted the policy, Officers are working with Western Australian Municipal Association to adopt it as a policy for local government's in WA with a similar issue. Notwithstanding this, importantly, Council is acting on the issues surrounding the cliff hazards but still providing a reasonable balance between conflicting issues of access and Council's duty of care.

• The second purpose for the fencing is to protect the delicate limestone heath vegetation that occurs in Reserve 20561 and adjacent reserves (reserve 20561 is part of Bush Forever site 325). The vegetation in this part of the coastal strip has been subject to almost unrestricted access for many years. As a result of activities by motor vehicles, motorbikes and pedestrians, the vegetation in now traversed by numerous pathways and tracks. Closing access to these tacks and informal pathways will allow the vegetation to regenerate naturally over time.

Since the dual use pathway and adjacent fencing was completed, the fence has been cut on at least twenty occasions and this has allowed pedestrians access to both the limestone cliffs and the heath land vegetation.

The City has repaired the fencing each time it has been cut, and has recently begun to place branches (brushing) at the places where the fencing has been breached in an endeavour to restrict pedestrians accessing environmentally sensitive vegetation or potentially hazardous cliffs.

The use of brushing to close off informal pathways is an Australia-wide accepted management procedure and under these circumstances where fencing is vandalised, a necessary management tool. This is an important initial step in the stabilisation and subsequent regeneration of the dunal system, to reduce the impact of wind erosion and pedestrian movement.

Fire Risk Assessment:

An assessment of the fire risk was made by Council Officers and it was revealed that certain sections are a fire risk and require remedial action.

The main concern was with proximity and extent of branching near the coastal dual use path and the suggested remedial action is to remove the branching approximately 5 to 10 metres from the pathway, replace with mulch, and redistribute the remaining branches resulting in a more moderate coverage of brushings. Works are currently in progress to address these concerns.

COMMENT/FUNDING

The brush installation is undertaken by Council's Corrective Services team, utilising material from tree pruning and other areas where vegetation has required removing.

The remedial actions undertaken recently by Council would appear to have addressed the fire risk concerns and include removal of branching away from the pathways, and a reduction in the height of the branching. In order to address any outstanding concerns that may exist, it is suggested that Council seeks an independent evaluation of the fire risk by Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA).

FESA do provide upon request fire management assessments for natural areas. The findings of this independent assessment can be reported back to Council in due course.

SUGGESTED ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- SEEKS an independent fire risk assessment from FESA in relation to the nature and extent of works associated with the recent pathway construction in Ocean Reef; and
- 2 RECEIVES a further report outlining the findings of the independent fire risk assessment.

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Carlos that the dry tree branches, shrubbery and other vegetation positioned on the northern and southern perimeters of the new pathway connecting Mullaloo Beach North with Ocean Reef Road (adjacent to the intersection of Swanson Way, Ocean Reef) be removed because they constitute a dangerous fire hazard and serve no significant purpose whatsoever.

Cr Baker advised he wished to defer debate on this Notice of Motion until such time as the independent fire risk assessment from Fire and Emergency Services Authority had been received.

Chief Executive Officer advised Standing Orders states that a Notice of Motion may only be moved or withdrawn.

MOVED Cr O'Brien, SECONDED Cr Nixon that the Notice of Motion as submitted by Cr C Baker LIE ON THE TABLE.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

(In accordance with Standing Orders Local Law, Clause 5.4 – "The Motion Lie on the Table" a record is to be taken of all those who have spoken on the motion under debate. It is therefore recorded that **no member** soke on the Notice of Motion submitted by CF Baker.)

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Carlos that Council:

- SEEKS an independent fire risk assessment from Fire and Emergency Services Authority (FESA) in relation to the nature and extent of works associated with the recent pathway construction in Ocean Reef;
- 2 RECEIVES a further report outlining the findings of the independent fire risk assessment

During discussion:

- Cr Hurst left the Chamber at 2027 hrs and returned at 2029 hrs.
- Cr Rowlands left the Chamber at 2028 hrs and returned at 2031 hrs.

Cr Mackintosh queried whether the Rangers would apprehend persons responsible for lighting fires and whether these people would be referred to the Police and charged with arson.

Director Community Development advised Rangers did not have the powers to apprehend, however appropriate information can be taken to relay to the Police. The matter would then be reported accordingly as a criminal matter with appropriate action being taken.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

C64-07/01 NOTICE OF MOTION - CR C BAKER - ENHANCED PARKING - TRAIN STATION COMMUTERS/RETAILERS - COLLIER PASS, JOONDALUP - [10277, 23184]

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Chris Baker has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 10 July 2001:

"That the City of Joondalup fully examine the merits of and if appropriate construct angular parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup with financial assistance from the Department of Transport of Western Australia, via Westrail."

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A full examination of the merits of the proposal is considered appropriate, however, such an examination will involve a number of related issues including:

- 1. negotiation with LandCorp with regard to infrastructure matters;
- timing of the design and construction of the second carriageway;
- the most appropriate design for parking in that location:
- pedestrian and vehicular access and safety;
- the future of the multi-storey parking facility adjacent to the rail station;
- cash-in-lieu of parking funding.

Some of these matters involve parties other than Council (eg. Department of Transport and LandCorp) therefore determining a specific time frame in which to complete this investigation will require further negotiation with these agencies.

MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr Rowlands that the City of Joondalup fully examine the merits of and if appropriate construct angular parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup with financial assistance from the Department of Transport of Western Australia, via Westrail.

Cr Baker spoke to the Notice of Motion.

FIRST AMENDMENT MOVED Cr Kadak, SECONDED Cr Kimber that the motion be amended to read:

That the City of Joondalup fully examine the merits of PROVIDING parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup, as well as other CBD sites, to enhance CBD parking with financial assistance from the Department of Transport of Western Australia via Westrail, LandCorp and other relevant parties.

SECOND AMENDMENT MOVED Cr Hollywood, SECONDED Cr Walker that an additional Point 2 be added to the Motion to read that:

"2 a report on the merits of providing parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup, as well as other CBS sites be submitted to the Council meeting scheduled to be held on 25 September 2001."

The Second Amendment was Put and
The First Amendment was Put and

CARRIED CARRIED

The Original Motion, as amended, BEING:

That:

- the City of Joondalup fully examine the merits of PROVIDING parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup, as well as other CBD sites, to enhance CBD parking with financial assistance from the Department of Transport of Western Australia via Westrail, LandCorp and other relevant parties;
- 2 a report on the merits of providing parking bays on the southern verge of Collier Pass, Joondalup, as well as other CBS sites be submitted to the Council meeting scheduled to be held on 25 September 2001.

Was Put and CARRIED

C65-07/01

NOTICE OF MOTION - CR G KENWORTHY - PARKS, MEDIAN STRIPS, VERGES AND OTHER COMMUNITY FACILITIES ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM - [05080, 27189, 10860]

In accordance with Clause 3.12 of the Standing Orders Local Law, Cr Gerry Kenworthy has given notice of his intention to move the following motion at the Council meeting to be held on Tuesday 10 July 2001:

"That the funds currently held in the City of Joondalup's Performing Arts Centre Reserve Account and those proposed to be included in this account in the draft budget for the 2001/02 Financial Year be redirected to expenditure on a Parks, Median Strips and Verges and Community Enhancement Program throughout the City of Joondalup which program will include:

1 bringing forward the grassing and/or reticulation of needy dry parks;

2 continuing the ongoing beautification of major distributor Median Strips and Verges;

ensuring all appropriate parks have children's play equipment and that

- this equipment is upgraded where required;
 - 4 providing or enhancing cricket practice nets or basketball hardstand courts in appropriate parks;
 - 5 upgrading needy footpaths where appropriate;
 - 6 providing funds for precinct enhancement;
 - 7 providing funds for new or enhanced existing sporting facilities; and
 - 8 any other appropriate expenditure of a similar kind."

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

3

In order to assist the Council to make an informed decision, the following additional information is provided.

The Performing Arts Centre Reserve Account holds \$1m at the end of the 2000/01 financial year. It is proposed that a further \$1m is transferred in to the Reserve Account in the 2001/02 financial year in the draft budget year.

The purpose of the Reserve Account is to assist with the design and development of a regional performing arts facility in the Joondalup City Centre.

Elected members will be aware that significant research has been undertaken to investigate the feasibility for a Regional Performing Arts Complex at Joondalup. The Consultants will conclude their report this week. The Joondalup Performing Arts Reference Group will consider the report at its meeting on 17 July 2001, following which a report will be presented to Council

Against a background of no, or very limited performing arts facilities, the research shows significant support from the professional, amateur and community sectors for the project. Discussions with Ministers, Politicians, Government officers, industry leaders revealed a high degree of support for the project.

The challenge is, and always has been, sourcing the funds. The project has been divided into two stages, the first comprising flexible theatre spaces and a performing arts laboratory is estimated to cost \$28m. This compares with Mandurah \$16m in 1997 which was designed to service a smaller catchment population. The first stage will provide for a variety of functions including performance, visual arts exhibitions, auditorium, school presentations, conferences etc. A further stage, comprising a 1,500 seat theatre proposed in the medium to longer term, is estimated to cost \$20m.

A presentation of the Consultant's findings is proposed in the near future as is a bus trip to visit the theatre at Mandurah

Elected members will also be aware the Minister for the Arts, the Hon Sheila McHale was invited to visit Joondalup later this year with a view to looking at the site for the performing arts facility and other developments in the City.

It is considered the City should continue to build its reserve account so that it is in a stronger position to support the project and to build credibility with other funding partners.

1. the reticulation of all of the City of Joondalup's remaining dry parks;

Response:

The development of dry parks is a high priority for the City's residents.

A criteria rating has been developed and the development of all dry parks has been listed on a priority basis.

It is not anticipated that all dry parks will be irrigated, as this would not be an environmentally acceptable or sustainable. Some areas have a high percentage of natural remnant vegetation worthy of protection and conservation.

Council's draft Five Year Capital Works Program totals \$1,242,225 and incorporates the reticulation of the majority of our priority one dry parks.

Total number of dry parks	-	142
Priority One Parks	-	22

Annual Maintenance

Expenditure increase - Approximately 15% of capital expenditure

Number of dry parks with existing conservation value

- 24

The indicative cost to reticulate the remaining dry parks is approximately in excess of \$7.5m in today's dollars.

the replacement of dilapidated and dated children's play equipment in parks within the City of Joondalup with new Children's playground equipment;

Response:

Council's Draft Five Year Capital Works Program for Park Play Equipment totals \$598,850 for the next five years.

This allows for the ongoing replacement and upgrading of existing items.

3. the repair of upgrading of footpaths within the City of Joondalup;

Response:

As highlighted in the Draft Five Year Capital Works Program it is estimated that the City still requires to expend approximately \$1M to upgrade the remaining slab paths within the City to an insitu concrete standard.

Council has currently allocated approximately \$160,000 per annum for footpath replacement purposes, which would result in all the remaining slab paths being replaced within the next 6 to 7 years.

further enhancement of the facilities in parks in the City of Joondalup;

Response:

The draft Five Year Capital Works Program does contain park enhancement works for both passive and active recreational areas.

These enhancement works are included in the following programs:

- · Parks Sporting Facility
- Play Equipment
- Park Fencing
- · Parks and Local Road Landscape Enhancement
- Parking Facilities
- Major Building Works
- Foreshore and Natural Areas Management

& 6. the improvement of needy Verges; or any other appropriate expenditure of a like or similar kind.

Response:

Council Officers are currently in the process of reviewing the City's Verge Local Law in accordance with the resolution of the Council at its meeting held on 12 June 2001, being that:

- "1 Council REVIEWS all existing local laws (and its powers to make new local laws) concerning Council's powers to actively encourage the owners and/or occupiers of rateable land to adequately maintain the verge area between their front property boundary line and the immediately adjacent road surface (proper) in the manner of a reasonable person ("the Review");
- 2 the Review be the subject of a report to Council."
- The review is looking at various issues such as:
- Compulsion option;
- Industry standards;
- Incentive schemes;
- Capital Works programs:
- Specified Area Rating;
- Water conservation;
- Australia wide review of local laws

The Five Year Draft Capital Works program does allow for some verge enhancement works for our Major Road network.

Legislative Requirement - Change of Purpose of a Reserve Account Section 6.11 (2)(a)&(b) of the Local Government Act 1995

Before a local government changes the purpose of a reserve account or uses the money in the reserve account for another purpose it must give one month's local public notice of the proposed change of purpose or proposed use. Any resolution to change the purpose or use the funds held in a reserve for another purpose must be passed by Absolute Majority.

The advertising requirement mentioned above is not required if the change of purpose or proposed use of the money has been disclosed in the annual budget for that financial year.

Any change of purpose of use of money in a reserve account is to be disclosed in the annual financial report for the year in which the change occurs.

MOVED Cr Kenworthy, SECONDED Cr Patterson that the funds currently held in the City of Joondalup's Performing Arts Centre Reserve Account and those proposed to be included in this account in the draft budget for the 2001/02 Financial Year be redirected to expenditure on a Parks, Median Strips and Verges and Community Enhancement Program throughout the City of Joondalup which program will include:

- 1 bringing forward the grassing and/or reticulation of needy dry parks;
- 2 continuing the ongoing beautification of major distributor Median Strips and Verges;
- 3 ensuring all appropriate parks have children's play equipment and that this equipment is ungraded where required:
- 4 providing or enhancing cricket practice nets or basketball hardstand courts in appropriate parks;
- 5 upgrading needy footpaths where appropriate;
- 6 providing funds for precinct enhancement;
 - providing funds for new or enhanced existing sporting facilities; and
- 8 any other appropriate expenditure of a similar kind.

AMENDMENT MOVED Cr Baker, SECONDED Cr O'Brien that the motion be amended to read: "that the funds proposed to be included in the City of Joondalup's Performing Arts Centre Reserve Account in the draft budget for the 2001/02 Financial Year be redirected to expenditure on a Parks, Median Strips and Verges and Community Enhancement Program throughout the City of Joondalup which program will include:

- bringing forward the grassing and/or reticulation of needy dry parks;
- 2 continuing the ongoing beautification of major distributor Median Strips and Verges;
- 3 ensuring all appropriate parks have children's play equipment and that this equipment is upgraded where required;

- 4 providing or enhancing cricket practice nets or basketball hardstand courts in appropriate parks;
- 5 upgrading needy footpaths where appropriate;
- 6 providing funds for precinct enhancement;
 - providing funds for new or enhanced existing sporting facilities; and
- 8 any other appropriate expenditure of a similar kind."

Discussion ensued.

Cr Kenworthy foreshadowed the following Amendment, should the Amendment under consideration not succeed, being: "That Council HOLDS a Referendum to find out whether the people of the City of Joondalup are willing to outlay on today's figures \$47,000,000."

During discussion:

Cr Nixon left the Chamber at 2100 hrs and returned at 2105 hrs. Cr Mackintosh left the Chamber at 2120 hrs and returned at 2122 hrs.

MOVED Cr Hurst, SECONDED Cr Nixon that the Amendment BE NOW PUT

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Amendment was Put and

LOST

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Amendment: Crs Kenworthy, Patterson, O'Brien, Rowlands, Baker and Kimber

Against the Amendment: Mayor Bombak, Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Barnett, Walker,

Hollywood, Nixon, Carlos and Kadak

Mayor Bombak sought clarification on the Motion moved by Cr Hurst that the Motion be Put. Chief Executive Officer gave an explanation in relation to this issue.

Discussion ensued.

MOVED Cr Carlos, SECONDED Cr Walker that the Original Motion BE NOW PUT.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

The Original Motion was Put and

LOST

It was requested that the votes of all members present be recorded:

In favour of the Original Motion: Crs Kenworthy, Patterson, O'Brien and Rowlands

Against the Original Motion: Mayor Bombak, Crs Mackintosh, Hurst, Barnett, Walker, Hollywood, Nixon, Carlos, Baker, Kadak and Kimber

Mayor Bombak queried the borrowings of the former City of Wanneroo to construct the Joondalup Civic Centre building, as well as the cost of construction.

Director, Resource Management advised construction costs totalled \$23,000,000, of which the Council borrowed no money towards construction.

To a further query from Mayor Bombak, Director Resource Management advised funds resulted from prudent investment and also prudent use of funds available to the Council.

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Council has been scheduled for 7.00 pm on TUESDAY, 24 JULY 2001 to be held in the Council Chamber, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Mayor declared the Meeting closed at 2143 hrs; the following elected members being present at that time:

J BOMBAK, JP

P KADAK P KIMBER

D S CARLOS

C BAKER

A NIXON

J F HOLLYWOOD, JP

A A WALKER

P ROWLANDS

T BARNETT

M O'BRIEN, JP

A L PATTERSON G KENWORTHY

U KENWUKII

J A HURST

C MACKINTOSH