

CITY OF JOONDALUP

MINUTES OF SPECIAL ELECTORS MEETING HELD IN GREENWOOD SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, COOLIBAH DRIVE, GREENWOOD ON THURSDAY, 7 FEBRUARY 2002

ATTENDANCES

Mayor:

J BOMBAK, JP

Elected Members:

Cr P KADAK	Lakeside Ward
Cr P KIMBER	Lakeside Ward
Cr J F HOLLYWOOD, JP	North Coastal Ward
Cr A WALKER	Pinnaroo Ward
Cr T BARNETT	South Ward
Cr M O'BRIEN, JP	South Ward

Officers:

Chief Executive Officer:	D SMITH
Director, Resource Management:	J TURKINGTON
Director, Planning & Development:	C HIGHAM
Manager, Council Support Services:	M SMITH
Manager, Urban Design & Policy Services:	D BUTCHER
Publicity Officer:	L BRENNAN
Committee Clerk:	J AUSTIN
Minute Clerk:	L TAYLOR

In Attendance:

Mrs Cheryl Edwardes MLA	Member for Kingsley
Mr Ken Travers MLC	Member for North Metropolitan Region
Mr Graham Edwards MP	Member for Cowan
Mr Ray Halligan MLC	Member for North Metropolitan Region

APOLOGIES

Apologies: Crs Hurst, Mackintosh, Kenworthy, Patterson, Rowlands, Carlos, Baker & Nixon

There were 1103 members of the public in attendance who signed the attendance record.

For attendance list, click here: [Attendance070202.pdf](#)

ITEM OF BUSINESS

The Mayor opened the meeting at 1913 hrs; welcomed members of the public and thanked them for attending in large numbers.

The Mayor advised the planning ideas to be discussed during the meeting were just that, simply ideas.

He stated the ideas had never been put to Council or ratified by Councillors and were intended only as a basis for community discussion. The Mayor indicated he did not support many of the planning ideas and accordingly would not vote for these and understood the concerns of many of the residents of Greenwood.

MOVED Robert Mitchell, 73 Westhaven Dve, Woodvale that the meeting be adjourned to the school oval to allow those members of the community outside the gymnasium to hear proceedings.

Chief Executive Officer stated the meeting had been advertised to be held at the Greenwood High School. There is no sound system capabilities to accommodate a meeting being conducted on the oval; therefore it was necessary for the meeting to continue in the gymnasium as advertised.

There being no Seconder, the Motion

LAPSED

The Mayor reiterated his views and indicated these were shared by many of the elected members who had already signalled their voting intentions.

The Mayor supported the rights of residents to have access to full and clear information regarding all planning ideas.

Mayor Bombak introduced elected members present, Council staff and VIPs in attendance and advised apologies had been received from several politicians.

Ms Hart suggested it would be more appropriate for Mayor Bombak to be situated near the entrance door and to use a megaphone in order that members of the public outside the gymnasium could hear and participate in proceedings. To a suggestion that the meeting be adjourned to another time and location, Ms Hart stated too much preparation had gone into the evening and requested the meeting continue.

The Mayor advised the meeting had been convened in accordance with the Local Government Act 1995 at the request of a number of electors of the City of Joondalup and gave the definition of both an elector and elector voting rights under the terms of the Act.

Mayor Bombak stated any decisions made during the meeting were not binding on Council. Those decisions were required to be submitted to the full Council meeting to be held on 26 February 2002.

The Mayor reminded members of the public the rules of defamation applied in this public forum and advised the meeting would be both recorded and video taped and called for accurate and informed discussion.

PURPOSE OF MEETING

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the Precinct Action Planning for the suburb of Greenwood and the expectations of the residents of Greenwood that:

- (a) Council not to approve rezoning any land in Greenwood from Residential or Local Reserve to Mixed Use, Business or Commercial. To keep Greenwood as it is.
- (b) Council not to approve recoding Residential Density Codes in Greenwood to allow an increase in Residential Densities greater than what currently exists. Stop high density housing in Greenwood.
- (c) Council not to approve or support any changes to the Building Codes or any other planning or development standards administered by Council that would increase the density of development of the residential areas in Greenwood. Greenwood to retain its current amenity and appearance.
- (d) Other matters raised from the floor regarding the expectations of the residents of Greenwood and Council's planning and other services delivered to the residents of Greenwood.

This meeting has been advertised in the Joondalup Community Newspaper on Thursday 17 January 2002 and the Wanneroo Times on 5 February 2002

Chief Executive Officer read out Notices of Motion that had been received from Crs Kadak, O'Brien and Barnett and gave an explanation in respect of each Notice of Motion.

Ms Sue Hart, spokesperson for the Greenwood Preservation Group, addressed the meeting and gave an overview of the group's position. Ms Hart advised the reformed South Ward ratepayers group covered the areas of Greenwood, Kingsley and Warwick. She stated to date 2,500 signatories had signed the group's petition, indicating 95% of the people were against the precinct planning action plan.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME/MOTIONS

MOVED Bob Foston, 15 Mamo Place, Greenwood SECONDED (name and address not given) that, in relation to the proposed Notice of Motion – Cr P Kadak, Point 1 be amended to read as follows:

“That Council:

- 1 NOTES the widespread community concern expressed over the concept plan proposals for the suburbs of Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley and Woodvale including development adjoining parkland and new road links and high density housing on residential properties;*

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

One elector voted against the Motion.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Brian Anderson, 4 Blackall Drive, Greenwood that we the electors of the City of Joondalup wish to inform Council that we value the lifestyle in the suburb of Greenwood and wish to preserve the existing level of density housing and hereby move that Council:

- 1 DOES NOT approve rezoning any land in Greenwood from Residential or Local Reserve to Mixed Use, Business or Commercial (keep Greenwood as it is);**
- 2 DOES NOT approve re-coding Residential Density Codes in Greenwood to allow an increase in residential densities greater than what currently exists – (no high density housing);**
- 3 DOES NOT approve or support any changes to the Building Codes or any other planning or development standards administered by Council that would increase the density of development of the residential areas of Greenwood – (leave leafy Greenwood alone).**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Three electors voted against the Motion.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED B Moon, 6 Caroo Place, Greenwood that we, the ratepayers of the City of Joondalup move that the precinct action planning concepts and all associated activities, no matter at what stage they are at, be ceased immediately throughout our suburb of Greenwood, and not be revisited, under ANY format, at ANY time in the future. Hear us loud and clear – no putting this concept on hold, we want it stopped, never to return.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Two electors voted against the Motion.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Ron McMillan, 61 Sandalwood Drive, Greenwood that if we are unsuccessful in our quest to have the precinct planning concept binned, the Councillors and officers at the City inform us exactly what we, the electors, must further do to prove to them that we the electors, do not want, do not like, do not approve, and do not ever again want to see concepts like this for our suburb of Greenwood.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

Ten electors voted against the Motion.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Ron Griffiths, 29 Cassandra Way, Greenwood that we, the electors of the City of Joondalup:

- 1 wish to inform Council that the consultation process at the City of Joondalup is totally inadequate;**

- 2 we hereby move that the Council immediately develop a detailed consultation policy, which is advertised for public comment for a period of no less than 28 days. Please be advised that public comment must for a change be listened to and acted upon appropriately.**

AMENDMENT MOVED Steve Green, 39 Harness Street, Kingsley, SECONDED Darryl Davidson, 2 Conical Rise, Woodvale that the Motion be amended to read “60 days, not to include a Christmas period;”

The Amendment was Put and

CARRIED

The Original Motion, as amended being:

That we, the electors of the City of Joondalup:

- 1 wish to inform Council that the consultation process at the City of Joondalup is totally inadequate;**
- 2 we hereby move that the Council immediately develop a detailed consultation policy, which is advertised for public comment for a period of no less than 60 days, not to include a Christmas period. Please be advised that public comment must for a change be listened to and acted upon appropriately**

was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED John Cameron, 3 McGuinness Court, Greenwood that this meeting of electors moves that the City of Joondalup can revitalise the suburb by:

- 1 planting more native trees in parks and verges;**
- 2 installing better lighting and amenities in parks eg barbecues;**
- 3 putting more play equipment in parks and upgrading the play equipment we have;**
- 4 installing more cycle ways;**
- 5 giving Greenwood a community centre that all ages can use and share; an alternative to that is to revitalise and extend the scout hall;**
- 6 involving the community in any future plans eg new sheltered bus stops/public library.**

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Paul Petale, 135 Coolibah Drive, Greenwood that we, the electors of the City of Joondalup move that there be an absolute prohibition on geographic boundary alterations of all parks, public open space and reserves. The City’s proposed Park Policy is not adequate.

AMENDMENT MOVED Ron Griffiths, 49 Crossandra Way, Greenwood, SECONDED Chris Roberts, 39a Dericote Way, Greenwood that the word “alterations” be amended to read “reduction”.

The Amendment was Put and

CARRIED

The original Motion, as amended being:

That we, the electors of the City of Joondalup move that there be an absolute prohibition on geographic boundary reduction of all parks, public open space and reserves. The City’s proposed Park Policy is not adequate.

was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Garry Buttner, 10 Yulan Close, Greenwood that this meeting of electors moves a vote of no confidence in the senior administration officers and strongly recommends that they show more respect to their employers, us the ratepayers;

AMENDMENT MOVED Cherie Wood, 7 Eleanor Court, Craigie, SECONDED Chris Roberts, 39a Dericote Way, Greenwood that the words “senior administration officers” be deleted and replaced with the words “City of Joondalup planning officers”.

The Amendment was Put and

CARRIED

The original Motion, as amended being:

That this meeting of electors moves a vote of no confidence in the City of Joondalup planning officers and strongly recommends that they show more respect to their employers, us the ratepayers.

was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Valerie Kruszelnicki, 66 Cockman Road, Greenwood that this meeting of electors place all elected members on notice, that we expect and demand a greater respect for the use of our hard earned rates. We demand absolute transparency and accountability from Council and condemn the secrecy and top down decision-making approach.

Ms Mary Reading, 14 Beaumont Way, Greenwood spoke against the Motion.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Janet Strack, 11 Waitara Crescent, Greenwood that we the electors in the City of Joondalup, move a vote of no confidence in the Mayor and specifically direct him to engage more with the community to enhance our representation.

Messrs Johannes Jansen and Mr Ron de Gruchy spoke against the Motion.

Ms Sue Hart advised this Motion was not a personal attack against the Mayor, more putting the Office of the Mayor on notice.

The Motion was Put

Following concern being raised as to the counting of votes, Mrs Hart requested that the motion be put again and recounted.

The Motion was Put and

LOST

Ms Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood:

Q1 17 days ago, I submitted questions to Council requesting a breakdown of costs to date relating to the precinct planning concept? To date I have not received a response, and would like an answer.

A1 Officer's response: The costs to date amount to \$42,000. There is also additional costs associated with the distribution of both the mail-out and also the advertising. The costs for this financial year will be approximately \$50 - 50,500.

Q2 My question was very specific, seeking a breakdown including wages, telephone calls, rent. If the City outsources an amenity, those costs are included, therefore they should be put in now.

A2 Officer's response: In respect of the costs given, the City does not take a breakdown in respect of staff costs, on-costs, accommodation costs, leasing costs, air-conditioning etc. The City can provide a breakdown (in writing) to the best of its ability to Ms Hart. Most certainly the cost to the community for this financial year amounts to \$50 - 50,500.

Mr John Stenton, 2 Adenandra Way, Greenwood:

- Mr Stenton spoke in relation to the processes of the precinct planning concepts and raised his concerns in respect to the terminology used in documentation provided by Council.*

Mr Peter Clarke, 23 Pullan Place, Greenwood:

Q1 If a quarter of the people here this evening turn up at the Council meeting to be held on 12 February 2001, will the Shire be able to cater for them?

A1 Officer's response: Definitely not.

Q2 Do you think the meeting should be held at another venue, for example the WACA?

A2 Response by Mayor Bombak: The Arena Joondalup may be a suitable venue.

Mr Bob Stephens, 19 Dunkirk Road, Greenwood:

Q1 As the majority of the people here this evening are from Greenwood, our concerns are with Greenwood. Are our Ward representatives here this evening?

A1 Response by Cr O'Brien: Yes. My name is Mike O'Brien, I live at 45 Aberdare Way, Warwick. My card advertises that I am a Justice of the Peace. I am required to be available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day. I am available for the electors of the South Ward, for the same time. My telephone number is in the telephone book and also in the Council guide. My co-Ward Councillor is Cr Tanya Barnett.

Response by Cr Barnett: I have been elected by the members of the South Ward and as such I am your representative and I will abide by any decisions you want to place forward because as elected members we are bound to do that.

Q2 We have not heard from the elected members. Are they with us or against us?

A2 Response by Cr O'Brien: My view is the whole of the process should be scrapped. Provided tonight is Cr Barnett's Notice of Motion that will go before the Council meeting to be held on 26 February 2002 and I have indicated that I will be seconding that motion and voting for it.

Response by Cr Hollywood: I have received many e-mails from residents of Greenwood which I have kept. I am absolutely delighted about the feeling the people have with their areas. I became a Councillor because I am trying to save some bushland at Burns Beach. When the time comes that that land may be ear-marked for development, I have the addresses and telephone numbers of all the e-mails that came to my office and I will be calling on you to support me in my endeavours to save my bushland and animals. Yes, I will support your cause and I hope you will support my cause.

Response by Cr Walker: I also support you whole-heartedly. I also support any other ratepayers who have an issue they wish to be addressed by the Council.

Response by Cr Kadak: I represent the Lakeside Ward which includes Joondalup, Edgewater and Woodvale. Woodvale is also included in the Warwick district for the purposes of the concept planning exercise. I am happy to include in the Notice of Motion those points raised by Bob Foston. I have taken the liberty of speaking to the majority of elected members and those I have spoken to agree with it.

Response by Cr Kimber: I also represent the Lakeside Ward and congratulate you all for turning up this evening and apologise that you had to go through this process in the first place. I will second the motion at the Council meeting on Tuesday 12 February 2002 and hope the issue is defeated.

Mr Bob Foston, 15 Mamo Place, Greenwood:

- Mr Foston spoke in support of Cr Kadak's amendment to his Notice of Motion.
- Mr Foston requested Notice of Motion No 3 proposed by Cr O'Brien be re-worded as follows:

“That Council IMPOSES a moratorium on all Precinct Planning activity in the areas of Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley and Woodvale beyond the Special Electors Meeting as requested by the electors of the South Ward, held in the Greenwood and Kingsley localities in order to canvas community opinion in regard to the Precinct Planning processes being undertaken by the municipality’s Planning Department. That the meeting provides protection to future progress on this matter.”

Response by Cr O’Brien: This Notice of Motion was submitted prior to the petition being received for this evening’s meeting. The main purpose of the motion was to place a hold on proceedings until such time as the meetings had been convened and the opinion of the electors of the areas in question is sought. The proposed Notice of Motion to be submitted by Cr Barnett on the 26 February 2002, which I will second, brings finality to the issues.

Mr V Cusack, 2 Renegade Way, Kingsley:

Q1 I want to clarify points made by both Crs Kadak and Kimber. Their motion does not reflect what was passed here this evening. Could you clarify you are against the entire precinct planning process please?

A1 Response by Cr Kadak: I am certainly with my Council colleagues here when it comes to Greenwood, Kingsley, Warwick and Woodvale. The whole as referred to in Notice of Motion No 3 needs to be investigated and reviewed.

Ms Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood:

- *Ms Hart urged Councillors to give this issue the attention it deserved.*

Ms Colleen Burgess, 32 Norbury Way, Greenwood:

Ms Burgess raised concern at the lack of detail provided in the concept plans, which were displayed at the information evenings and placed on notice boards within the centres.

Ms Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way Kingsley:

Q1 I have a special interest in this issue because on the Kingsley map my house is actually knocked down. How can Council allow a map which has my property flattened with a road going through it without my prior knowledge and with me finding out by accident because one of my neighbours happened to glance at that map.

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: I am sure if Cr Kadak’s Notice of Motion is carried, the issue that has been raised by the speaker would be one of the top priority items to be addressed.

Q2 I was told by Cr Kimber that these plans had been drawn up by students as a project, yet in The West Australian yesterday (6 February 2002) it was brought up that Joondalup Council had either bought in consultants or specialists to draw this plan. Which is it Mr Mayor – is it students or consultants?

A2 Officer’s Response: Those plans were drawn up by staff and consultants.

Q3 How much did the consultants cost or is that within your \$50,000 budget?

A3 Officer's Response: That's correct.

Q4 On Monday, 11 February 2002 at Halidon Primary School, can the Chief Executive Officer please ensure that we have a sound system and a taping system so that we can go out on to the school oval where everybody can be involved in the meeting?

A4 Response by Mayor Bombak: Thank you for those comments. The venue tonight was not chosen by the City of Joondalup. The organisers insisted that this venue be selected.

Q5 Was the City of Joondalup asked for more public announcement equipment to facilitate the fact that those present wanted the meeting outside?

A5 Officer's Response: I understand that is correct.

Q6 Will the same situation apply to public announcement equipment for the meeting to be held for Kingsley next Monday?

A6 Officer's Response: The City will do everything that is possible to accommodate that request.

Q7 Question to person controlling the PA system – is it actually possible to get a PA system that will facilitate outside, that can tape outside, that can have a video outside and the people can use a system similar to this?

A7 Response given by PA operator: It can be done.

Mr Steve Green, 39 Harness Street, Kingsley:

Q1 Why has Cr Barnett's Notice of Motion been split up from the others?

A1 Officer's Response: The Notices of Motion have been submitted by Councillors as individual Notices of Motion. They have been read to you as they came from the Councillors.

Q2 Why is one on the 26 February 2002 and the others on the 12 February 2002?

A2 Officer's Response: The business paper that is to be considered by the Councillors at the Council meeting to be held on 12 February 2002 has already been published and has already been made a public document. The Notices of Motion in accordance with the City's Standing Orders, are required to be submitted within a certain time limit and the Notice of Motion by Cr Barnett was only received today – (7 February 2002).

Q3 When you want to rush something through, what law do you use to change that? Can we use that law again now?

A3 Officer's Response: There is no such law.

Q4 Can the Council meeting to be held on 26 February 2002 be held at Arena Joondalup?

A4 Officer's Response: It is my understanding that the motions from this meeting have to be submitted to the first meeting of Council that is held at the conclusion of this meeting i.e. 12 February 2002. If the Council wishes to defer consideration of those items to the meeting to be held on 26 February 2002, that is a matter for Council to resolve. The Act says quite explicitly the City is required to submit the motions to the first meeting of Council after the meeting has been held.

Response by Cr Barnett: There is a reason for my submission to be on that date. That is when the results of your meeting is actually to be dealt with, at the Council meeting to be held on 26 February 2002.

Response by Chief Executive Officer: If it is the wish of the Council during the meeting to submit the motions from this meeting to the meeting of 26 February 2002, which would be deemed to be the first which was practicable, and provided the City is not breaching any provision, and if the meeting is in agreement, there is no reason why the most practical meeting could not be deemed to be 26 February 2002.

Mr V Cusack, 2 Renegade Way, Kingsley:

Q1 Referring to the meeting to be held in Kingsley on Monday 11 February 2002, will Council staff have sufficient time to consider the motions from that meeting and tonight's meeting and bring them forward on 26 February 2002 to be presented to Council?

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Council staff do not comment in any way on the motions that come from the meeting at Kingsley. They are submitted as motions from this meeting for consideration by Council. There is no reason why the whole of the exercise could not be submitted to one meeting. The best way to approach that may be to call an extra-ordinary meeting of Council and, if you wish, to hold this meeting at the Arena, so that other business of Council which is governed by certain other regulations could be dealt with at a public venue.

Q2 Can we have proper notification through the newspapers, the Kingsley Preservation Group and the Greenwood Preservation Group so they can contact their members to let them know when this meeting will be held?

A2 Response by Chief Executive Officer: The request is taken on notice and every attempt will be made to accommodate this if a special meeting is proceeded with.

Ms Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way Kingsley:

Q1 Can we also have notification that the motions that were to be considered on Tuesday 12 February 2002 will not be heard at that time; that they will be moved?

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: Individual Councillors would be required to ask for their Notices of Motion to be deferred. As the Notices of Motion are already listed on the business paper, it will require a formal resolution of Council to defer these.

Q2 Question to Cr Kadak – do you mind if we move your motion to take place at this extra-ordinary meeting on the 26 February 2002 instead of on 12 February 2002?

A2 Response by Cr O'Brien: I think we can accommodate what the person is saying in having the Notices of Motion deferred.

Mr Bob Stephens, 19 Dunkirk Road, Greenwood:

Q1 Does Crs Kadak support or not support the proposals put forward by this meeting in their entirety?

A1 Response by Cr Kadak: My Notice of Motion says yes.

Mr Mitch Sideris, 12 Page Drive, Mullaloo:

- *Mr Sideris provided information in relation to the precinct planning process for Mullaloo Beach/Tom Simpson Park and the establishment and role of the Focus Group.*

Q1 Is the Director, Planning and Development now prepared to publicly present to us the design plans that you have submitted to your consultants so that we review them and ensure that they are in direct agreement with the wishes of our local community. We have asked you have been denied because it was not thought appropriate. We have written, but have not received an answer. I am asking for an answer this evening.

A2 Officer's Response: Mr Sideris is correct. There is a further plan in detail being developed at the request of the Council. This is as a result of a Council resolution that included further public consultation. The question for administration is whether to go to Council first, then to consultation; or to undertake consultation first as in this exercise, then to Council. My preference would be to go to Council first with a request to go out to public consultation with the plans.

Q3 Can you provide a copy of your design brief that went to the City's consultants? We have asked for this in writing, but it has still not been provided. When will this be received?

A3 Officer's response: The City will respond to that request prior to 26 February 2002.

Response by Chief Executive Officer: The officer will provide this at the earliest convenience.

Mr Steve Green, 39 Harness Street, Kingsley:

Q1 We are here this evening representing Warwick, Greenwood, Kingsley and Woodvale. Are you really going to put the other residents of Joondalup through this garbage as well? Isn't this enough to tell you to go away?

A1 Response by Cr O'Brien: I will certainly be supporting vigorously Cr Barnett's motion which encompasses the whole of the City.

Mr Paul Menaglio, 43 Dericote Way, Greenwood:

- Mr Menaglio queried whether residents would get beautified parks and whether money would be spent in their areas?*

MOVED Paul Menaglio, 43 Dericote Way, Greenwood, SECONDED Chris Roberts, 39a Dericote Way, Greenwood that the locations be changed in order that all dry parks and smaller parks be included as wet parks.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Ms Catherine Woodmass, 25 Calbourne Way Kingsley:

Q1 Is it necessary to move a motion to ensure that this meeting will happen on the 26 February 2002?

A1 Response by Chief Executive Officer: The 26 February 2002 is the date set for the ordinary meeting of Council. It may be that an extra-ordinary meeting of Council will be scheduled depending on the availability of venues. It would be intended to submit any other motions that arise from the Special Electors meeting to be held on 11 February 2002, to a meeting of the Council.

Q2 Will all residents who do not use Australia Post be notified?

A2 Response by Chief Executive Officer: The City is unable to comply with that because that would be an impossibility.

Q3 A full-page advertisement in the newspaper may be appropriate. Or alternatively, a letter from the Mayor inserted in the Wanneroo Times?

A3 Response by Chief Executive Officer: A full page advertisement is in order, if that is what the community wishes.

Mr Colin Rayner, 5 Hartley Court, Greenwood:

- Mr Rayner raised his concerns in relation to the total costings to date for the precinct planning concepts being given as \$50,000. He did not believe even in private industry that any individual working for an employer would ever go as far as this without their superiors knowing what was going on.*

MOVED Johannes Jansen, 6 Pullan Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Ron de Gruchy, 57 Ashmore Way, Sorrento that the meeting be closed.

The Motion was Put and

LOST

Ms Jo Milne, 80 Blackall Drive, Greenwood:

- *Ms Milne congratulated the organisers of this evening's meeting. She raised her concerns in relation to the whole precinct planning process.*

Mr Brian Thomas, 55 Elmhurst Way, Greenwood:

Q1 Where did the origin of the concept proposals generate from?

A1 Officer's Response: It is very clear the City has created considerable stress and anxiety. It is also clear that the communication could have been handled more appropriately, particularly where individual landowners were affected. It is reiterated that the concept plans were ideas and only ideas. The plans were never endorsed by the Council, but Council did endorse the process. The overall aim is to try and revitalise the City's suburbs. The community visioning workshops, at which a total of 275 people attended discussed those issues revolving around more traffic occurring in suburbs, anti-social behaviour etc. Obviously, the communication broke down and less people than anticipated attended the workshops to assist in developing those concepts. If this had occurred, it is believed the concepts would have been very different.

Q2 If it was already known there wasn't sufficient people to make the plan widespread so that everyone would agree with it, why did you push ahead with the plan without more consultation?

A2 Officer's Response: The reason was that at that stage the City realised there hadn't been the desired input. That is why the signboards were put out at centres to attract more input. Although small in number, there were some community members assisting in the process.

MOVED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood, SECONDED Mitch Sideris, 12 Page Drive, Mullaloo that any precinct planning for Mullaloo be halted in its entirety until such time as the new consultation process policy which is advertised for public comment for a period of no less than 60 days has been implemented.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Ms Vicky Delfos, 6 Mirbelia Court, Greenwood:

Q1 I believe some community consultation was undertaken. Would this have been in the form of the survey that was sent to 12,000 residents in this area? Also I asked one of the Councillors how many of those survey forms were actually returned. Were these people who had the opportunity to complete the survey forms fully informed of the consequences of their answers on those forms? It would be interesting to know the people who supported the "Yes" answer on those forms that have now changed their response to "No".

A1 *Officer's Response:* This question will be taken on notice and a response provided to you.

Q2 *When will this information be provided?*

A2 *Response by Mayor Bombak:* The information is not available here this evening, but will be provided to you.

Q3 *A member of staff has indicated to me that this is already going to happen and the public is just being shown what will happen in the process. Surely there must be a response to what a staff member has told me?*

A3 *Officer's Response:* The instruction to staff was certainly never that – it is not a 10 year plan. As stated earlier, they are simply concepts or ideas as part of a process that was adopted by Council. If such a comment was made, an apology is now given. The evenings at the library were very difficult for staff.

Cr M O'Brien:

- *At the meeting held on 13 February 2001, both Cr Kimber and myself were ordinary electors like those here this evening. We were not elected until May 2001 and were not aware of this situation because we had not canvassed the minutes. I apologise to any residents who I have not had the opportunity to respond to by e-mail.*
- *There are some green forms with a tear-off slip provided tonight. It would be appreciated if you would make contact with your Ward Councillors in relation to those forms and support the local electors and ratepayers association.*
- *Thank you to those people who have attended here this evening, under hot and difficult circumstances.*

Mr Paul Menaglio, 43 Dericote Way, Greenwood:

- *Referring to an accessway from Dericote Way down through to the Greenwood Shopping Village. Residents petitioned to stop this from being closed and thought they had been successful, but were left in the dark. Department of Land and Administration (DOLA) have put forward to the Minister that this accessway be closed. Where is this to date, as I wish to put forward a motion that this accessway is not to be closed? The residents are still against this. The person who moved next door to the access way assumed he could purchase the property and three months later put a request forward to close the accessway.*

MOVED Paul Menaglio, 43 Dericote Way, Greenwood, SECONDED Sue Hart, 24 Mamo Place, Greenwood that the pedestrian accessway from Dericote Way through to the Greenwood Shopping Village REMAIN open.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED

CLOSE OF BUSINESS

The Mayor thanked members of the community who attended and there being no further business, declared the meeting closed at 2130 hrs.