



Production Date : 17/09/2004 - djt Digital Photography : DLI December 2003 Cadastre : DLI Precise Capture 120504 -mga

Topography: DLI October 2002 - (1 metre contour interval)



NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
1	M Moon	6 Carew Place Greenwood WA 6024	1. No objection to correcting the Administrative error, but objects to retrospective approval being granted without considering the impacts	(1). The City seeks to correct an oversight which occurred in 1987. The proposal does not intend to change the use or zoning of the reserves. There are no current applications for further development of this land. Any future proposed development would be subject to the appropriate approval process.
			2. The administrative action does not reflect the City's obligation to protect the environment, beach and biodiversity	(2). These issues are not the subject of this report. The application seeks to rectify an error only.
			3. No building should be further forward of where the surf club stands	(3). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 2 above.
			4. The beach should remain accessible	(4). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 2 above.
			5. The reserves should be looked at separately with regard to the management plan and leasing arrangements	(5). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 2 above.
			6. The administrative error was not looked at through Precinct Planning for Sorrento	(6). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1 (2) above.
			7. The error may be that the management and power of lease was misplaced	(7). This comment is incorrect and does not reflect the error.
			8. Will the Community be informed of any future commercial proposals	(8). Future Commercial activities are not the subject of this report. The land is currently reserved 'Parks and Recreation' under the

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
				Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) and DPS 2 and accordingly any development would be required to comply with the provisions of this reserve. Future development on this land would be subject to approval from the Department for Planning and Infrastructure under the Metropolitan Region Scheme. It would be likely that any commercial activity would be advertised for public comment.
			9. The advertising period should be extended	(9). The City has advertised the proposal for 30 days in accordance with the Department of Land Information's Guidelines. The proposal was advertised in the Community Newspaper, The West Australian and signs were displayed on the site. In addition the City has written to immediately adjoining property owners.
2	N F Gannon	79 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020	1. Objects as it may enable a future commercial activity such as a restaurant.	(1). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1(8) above.
3	M G Zakrevsky	49 Korella Street, MULLALOO WA 6027	Objects as the Joondalup Community Coastal Care Forum was not advised of the proposal Concerns relating to the Unallocated Crown Land (triangular portion of land) and the high water mark. What is the reason for this portion of land across the high water mark, and what is the history of this UCL.	(1). This submission has been addressed in 1 (9) above. (2). The UCL currently consists of beach, car park and has a portion of the Sorrento Surf Life Saving Club on it. The UCL does not have a Management Order over it, however the City does in fact manage the land and therefore the inclusion into Reserve 47831 will reflect the situation correctly. The history of this Crown Land is not relevant to this application.

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
			3. The reserve boundaries should be realigned to ensure that the public has full use of the beach and the City should not be involved in compensation when the high tide mark changes 4. The proposal should be presented to the Commissioners with a comprehensive report and attachments to show the history of the beach/coastal strip reserves in the Sorrento area and the implications.	 (3). The proposed excision of land will not influence the public use of the beach, but merely correct an error that should have been rectified in 1987. (4). A report has been presented to Joint Commissioners which details the matter which is subject to the application.
4.	Petition tabling 6 signatures		The exercise is a rezoning exercise and changes the permitted uses of the land There is a wider agenda relating to the use of the SSLSC and the proposed sitting of the club	(1). The proposed excision is not a rezoning exercise and does not change the use of the land.(2). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1 (2) above.
			3. The City has plans to relocate the Surf Club further north to a multi storey building and licensed premises.	(3). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1 (2) above.
			4. Ratepayers have not been consulted sufficiently	(4). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 3 (1) above.
			5. "Under the Administration of the Commissioners unnecessary proposals should be deferred"	(5). The Joint Commissioners have the authority to make the determination on council matters, whilst Council is suspended.
			6. Space may be leased to a licensed restaurant	(6). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1 (8) above.

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
			7. The advertising period should be extended.	(7). This objection has been addressed in submission 2 (1) above.
5.	Michael Caiacob	7 Rowan Place, Mullaloo	Commercial ventures may be permitted on this land	(1). This objection has been addressed in submission 1 (8) above.
			2. No statement to indicate what the land is reserved for or whether it becomes Local Reserve or Crown Reserve	(2). The land is Crown land and is reserved Parks and Recreation.
			3. The City has management arrangements over reserve 27732 without the power to lease, as it should be on the beaches	(3). The lease agreement has been in place since 1987. The City has the power to lease on Reserve 47831. The lease agreement is in place to enable the surf club to lease the Council owned building.
			4. Beaches should be protected and no building should be forward of where the surf club now stands and Reserve 47831 should be included in reserve 27732 to reflect management arrangements and remove the power to lease the beach and the Unallocated also included in reserve 27732 or a new reserve.	(4). This submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			5. The beach should remain protected and a swimming beach.	(5). The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			6. The error was not picked up at the Precinct Planning Stage	(6). This objection has been addressed in response to submission 1 (2) above.
			7. The Community should be consulted on any future ventures.	(7) The land is currently reserved 'Parks and Recreation' and accordingly any development would be required to comply with the provisions

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
				of this reserve. Future development on this land would be subject to approval from the Department for Planning and Infrastructure (DPI) under the Metropolitan Region Scheme(MRS). It would be likely that any commercial activity would be advertised for public comment.
6.	Petition sent in addition to 4 above detailing 15 signatories (Note some signatories same as 4 above).	NA	1. In addition to concerns raised in 4 above additional concerns are raised regarding ratepayers not receiving proper representation.	(1) This objection has been addressed in response to submission 4 (5) above.
7.	Marie Macdonald – (Late submission)	5 Mair Place, Mullaloo	The advertised plan did not indicate what reserves currently exist and before and after scenarios should have been given.	(1) The details of the Reserves were indicated on the advertised plans. There are two reserves and one area of unallocated land. It is intended that the portion of Reserve 27732 shown on the plan, and the unallocated land be included into reserve into Reserve 47831.
			2. A portion of the area proposed for Reserve 47831 is over a beach area and should not be subject of the lease.	2. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above. The lease agreement relates to the SSLSC only.
			3. A Commercial lease on this land is unacceptable	3. This submission has been addressed in 1 (8) above.
			4. The area should be retained for beaches	4. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			5. Buildings could be subject to damage from winter gales and could lead to expensive litigation	5. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.

NO	NAME OF	DESCRIPTION OF	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
	SUBMITTOR	AFFECTED PROPERTY		
			6. What is the reason for the new reserve to encompass the beach area and there is nothing in the documents to state what this is and there was a lack of information provided on this subject	6. Reserve 47831 is already an existing reserve which encompasses the beach area. This submission has been addressed in 1 (2) above.
			7. There is no reference to a report to Council on this subject, and hopes that it will be subject to a report to Council before finalization.	7. It is intended that a report be presented to the Joint Commissioners on this matter.
8.	Sue Hart (Late submission)	(Details not provided)	1. Objects as the beach, dunes and groyne which should be protected can be leased. It should not be possible to lease the beaches.	1. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			2. The beaches should be protected and no buildings should be permitted to be built forward of the SSLSC.	2. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			3. The City can lease the entire beach and the lease should be over the surf club only	3. The Reserve includes the beach area however the lease agreement relates to the surf club only.
			4. Issues should be looked at such as access to the beaches, and reserves, protection and biodiversity and the environment	4. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			5. The reserves should be looked at separately	5. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			6. The error was not looked at through the Precinct Planning for Sorrento	6. The submission has been addressed in 1(2) above.
			7. The management order and power to lease may have been misplaced.	7. The submission is addressed in 1 (7) above.

NO	NAME OF SUBMITTOR	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION SUMMARY	COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION
			8. There may be commercial development and will the community be consulted.	8. The submission is addressed in 1 (8) above