

Marmion Public Open Space Scedule Prepared by City of Joondalup : Urban Design & Policy, Cartographic Section: 01/06/2004 - djt

Publication Date : 01/06/2004 -djt 50 Digital Photography : DLI December 2003 No. Cadastre Data : DLI Precise Capture 12/05/2004

0 50 100 Meters




Prepared by City of Joondalup : Urban Design & Policy, Cartographic Section. 01/06/2004 - djt

Digital Photography : DLI December 2003 Cadastre Data : DLI Precise Capture 12/05/2004



Prepared by City of Joondalup : Approvals, Planning & Environmental Services - Urban Design & Policy, Cartographic Section.




Prepared by City of Joondalup : Approvals, Planning & Environmental Services - Urban Design & Policy, Cartographic Section.

SCHEME AMENDMENT



Scheme Amendment Process



| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Submissio | ons/petition received prior to adve | rtising | | |
| A | 623 signature petition. Marmion Sorrento Duncraig Progress & Ratepayers Association (Inc) | Refer petition address details. | We the undersigned, being electors and ratepayers of the City of Joondalup, and the broader community do respectfully request the Council of the City of Joondalup acknowledges the opposition to: The rezoning of Lot 61 Leach Street Marmion (CSIRO site) from Local Reserve, Parks and Recreation. | • The City acknowledges the petition. |
| | | | • Rezoning of Local and Regional Reserves for any purpose other than the purpose for which the land is reserved or for a public purpose. | |
| В | M Caiacob | N/A | Objection. | |
| | | | • I do not support this rezoning from Parks and Recreation to any other zone. | • Noted. |
| | | | • I do not support an Urban Development Zone in lieu of a Residential Zone. | • Noted. |
| | | | • I do not support the confusion being created between rezoning and the future development. This application is for a rezoning only but is not being advertised or portrayed as such. | • The City has undertaken public advertising of the proposed amendment in accordance with statutory obligations prescribed under the Town Planning Regulations 1967. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | • I do not support the miss information advertised in regards the environmental issues, cash in lieu of POS, street upgrades etc. | • The applicant undertook preliminary advertising. |
| | | | | • I am concerned that a subdivision only is talked about and not structure plans. | • The indicative subdivision plan would be used in formulating a structure plan over the site should Amendment 24 be ultimately approved by the Council and the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure. |
| | | | | • I am concerned that the applicant makes the comment that the subdivision will ensure low impact land use such as residential, meaning that residential may not be the only land use on this site. | • A residential land use is proposed for the site should Amendment 24 be ultimately approved by the Joint Commissioners and the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure. |
| | | | | • I am concerned at the expected increase of traffic in the area and its impacts. | • The City has reviewed the proposal including the applicants Traffic Report. It is considered that adequate vehicular sign lines can be achieved to ensure vehicle safety and manoeuvrability is not compromised. The future structure plan and subdivision |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SU | JBMISSION SUMMARY | | DUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|----|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | stages would look at this matter in greater detail. In addition the proposal is unlikely to result in major traffic impacts, particularly given that it proposes a low density code of R20 which is in keeping with the surrounding locality, should the amendment be approved. Refer to the traffic section of the report. |
| | | | | • | I am concerned at the detrimental effect on amenity by this project. | • | The submitter does not articulate how amenity will be detrimentally affected by the proposal. It is noted that a residential use is proposed upon the land that is in keeping with the surrounding locality. |
| | | | | • | The advertisement indicates that "residential zoning" would be more in character with the surround, but an Urban Development Zone is proposed. | • | The use of the 'urban development' zone is favoured over the 'Residential' zone as it ensures that a structure plan is required for the site. |
| | | | | • | I am concerned at the false advertising being submitted to the public such as; I am your newest neighbour, environmental issues, POS issues, possible alternative land uses etc. | • | The applicant undertook preliminary advertising. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | • I am concerned that the advertisement calls for submissions prior to Council advertising. The comments are not submissions and should not be used as such in the future as all facts were not available on the 12 August 2004 when the advert was placed. | • The landowner undertook their own advertising which is separate to the public consultation undertaken and controlled by the City as required under the Town Planning Regulations 1967. |
| | | | • I am extremely concerned by the fact that the advertisement uses a ratepayer funded info line to submit comments on this proposal. This application is by private company and the financial burden should not be borne by the ratepayers but by the private company. The costs for staff time, resources and materials used in replying to submissions should be reimbursed by Mr Satterley. | • The info line was not ratepayer funded, nor undertaken by the City. The applicant has fully paid the prescribed fee in order for the City to process this application. |
| | | | • I do not support any rezoning of a public purpose land use to a private purpose land use. | • Noted. |
| С | C M John | 36 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. I do not support this rezoning from Parks and Recreation to any other zone. | • Noted. |
| | | | • I do not support an Urban Development Zone in lieu of a Residential Zone. | • Noted. Refer to comments within submission B above. |
| | | | • I do not support the confusion being created between the rezoning and future development. This application is for a rezoning only but is not being advertised or portrayed as such. This advertisement needs to be reported by the | • The landowner undertook their own advertising. The City does not intend to lodge an objection to the landowner's |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | City Of Joondalup to the Minister for Fair-trading as it constitutes misleading advertising. | advertisement to the Minister for Fair Trading. In addition the City has undertaken its own advertising in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967 |
| | | | | • I do not support the misinformation advertised in regards to the environmental issues, cash in lieu of POS, street upgrades etc. The public receiving this issue will be encouraged to believe that the Council has given its go-ahead to the development (particularly given the use of the Councils information line number) and all that is now required is the go-ahead on the structure plans. What information will the Council be giving people who respond to the advertisement? | • The City has made available all information pertaining to this application for those persons who have made enquiries with the City. |
| | | | | • I am concerned that a subdivision only is talked about and not structure plans. | • Refer to comments within submission B above |
| | | | | • I am concerned that the applicant makes the comment that the subdivision will ensure low impact land use such as residential, meaning that residential may not be the only land use on this site. The applicant has not made clear his full intentions for the site under the 'urban development zoning'. | • Should the proposed amendment be ultimately successful, it is proposed to develop the site for residential purposes. An indicative subdivision plan has been submitted that shows a subdivision of 39 lots, however, this subdivision plan is not ensorsed by considered of the rezoning proposal. The |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | | required structure plan would outline the permitted uses. |
| | | | | • I am concerned at the expected increase of traffic in the area and its impacts. Marmion residents have had significant traffic problems with the area surrounding the site - and yet the Briefing papers provided as public records do not record these traffic issues. Why have these traffic issues been left out of these public records? | • Refer to comments within submission B above. The applicant's traffic report was tabled within the previous Council report. At that time, the City had not yet provided comments on this report. The City's comments on the traffic report are included within this report (refer to traffic section of this report). The traffic report has been made available for public viewing on request. |
| | | | | • I am concerned at the detrimental effect on amenity by this project. Marmion is already under the required POS for a suburban area. The zoning issue behind this site has been fought by the local community on two other occasions in the past 14 years. The local communities needs and opinions on the zoning of this site have not changed. A significant residential petition has been conducted on this issue (over 630 signatures)- of what use will the Council make of this petition? | • A portion of Marmion was subdivisied prior to the 10% requirement coming into effect, with the remainder of the suburb being subdivided after. A POS audit was undertaken, and it is the City's view that there is sufficient POS available in Marmion. The City has tabled and noted this petition in submission A above. No precedent has been set by previous applications as each application is assessed on |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | • The advertisement indicates that "residential zoning" would be more in character with the surround, but an Urban Development Zone is proposed. If this is the case why is the applicant going for an 'urban development zone' and not a 'residential rezoning'? | individual merit. The rationale behind the use of the 'urban development zone as opposed to the 'residential' zone was outlined within the previous Council report, however it is to ensure that a structure plan is required for the site. |
| | | | | • I am concerned at the false advertising being submitted and openly being canvassed in the public arena such as; I am your newest neighbour, environmental issues, POS issues, possible alternative land uses etc. This communication - seemingly under the banner of the Joondalup Council - is promulgating falsehoods in allowing the community to believe that the proposal has the blessing of the Council. Perhaps as a measure of good governance the Council could repudiate the bias and misinformation contained in the advertisement with its own advertisement in the Joondalup Times so that its ratepayers are correctly informed of the situation and the due process involved. | • The landowner undertook this advertising. The City undertook its own public advertising of the proposal in accordance with the requirements set out in the Town Planning Regulations 1967. A notice was placed in the West Australian and Local newspapers. |
| | | | | • I am concerned that the advertisement calls for submissions prior to Council advertising. The comments are not submissions and should not be used as such in the future as all facts were not available on the 12 August 2004 when the advert was placed. What does the Council intend to do to rectify this inaccuracy and | • The City included all submissions received with respect to this proposal, irrespective of the time in which they were submitted (before, during or after the |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | misinformation? | formal public advertising period), and this has been noted accordingly. |
| | | | | • I am extremely concerned by the fact that the advertisement uses a ratepayer funded information line to submit comments on this proposal. This application is by private company and the financial burden should not be borne by the ratepayers but by the private company. The costs for staff time, resources and materials used in replying to submissions should be reimbursed by Mr Satterley. Furthermore, any information gained in response to the applicant's advertisement cannot be considered an objective or true account of community opinion given the biased and misleading information contained in it. Which Joondalup Officer gave the Council's approval for the applicant to use this method of community consultation? | • The info line was not ratepayer funded, nor undertaken by the City. The applicant has fully paid the prescribed fee in order for the City to process this application. |
| | | | | • I do not support any rezoning of a public purpose land use to a private purpose land use. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • Given the precedents set by two previous attempts at the rezoning of the site- what efforts are being made by the Council in relation to obtaining Marmion Community opinion given the applicants recent full page advertisement in the Joondalup Times. | • No approval is required by the City for a landowner to undertake its own advertising which is separate to formal public advertising required under the Town Planning Regulations 1967 for scheme amendment proposals. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | • Will the Council only be relying on its rezoning signage on Lot 61 Leach St Marmion to counteract the misinformation given in the recent advertisement by the applicant? | • The City has undertaken formal public advertising of the proposal in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967. The purpose of this advertising is to solicit all views from the community. Advertising undertaken by the City consisted of signs on site, adjoining landowners being notified in writing and newspaper advertisements placed in both the local and state newspapers. Council shall take into consideration all comments raised within submissions received during the advertising period. |
| D | P Hastie | 10 Troy Avenue MARMION 6020 | WA | Objection. This is an existing public purpose reserve and was purchased with no guarantee of rezoning and on a buyer beware basis. The Council is not responsible for financial considerations when considering planning merit. | • The subject land does not have reserve status as this was cancelled by the State Government in 1974. Financial considerations are not a valid planning matter. It is correct that there is no guarantee that the site will be rezoned. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | The rezoning will have a detrimental effect on the existing amenity of the suburb (socially, visually, traffic issues, environmentally etc). | Should the rezoning be ultimately approved, the applicant has indicated via an indicative subdivision plan that it is intended to create approximately 39 single residential lots at the existing R20 residential density that prevails in the locality. Accordingly it is not considered that this development will have a detrimental impact on the surrounding locality, but will be in keeping with the surrounding development. See report for further details relating to traffic and environmental issues. |
| | | | | • This is the third rezoning attempt and the precedent previously set on two occasions was no rezoning of this reserve. | • No precedent has been set as each application is assessed on individual merit. |
| | | | | • Increased traffic in the area and vehicles entering Cliff Street will be a safety hazard. | • Refer to comments within submission B above. |
| | | | | • There is an undersupply of existing POS suitable for recreational pursuits in Marmion. This is below DPI policy requirements of 10%. We require more POS. | • A portion of Marmion was subdivided prior to the 10% requirement coming into effect, |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | | with the remainder of the suburb being subdivided after. A POS audit was undertaken, and it is the City's view that there is sufficient POS available in Marmion. |
| | | | | • There are a variety of existing housing choices within Marmion, including retirement, duplex, and single residential on large and medium lot sizes. | • There is a variety of existing housing in the area. |
| | | | | • Any one or two storey development, however sited, on top of this rise in natural topography will result in excessive building bulk and scale when compared to the surrounds. | • It is expected that should the rezoning be ultimately supported, the land would be developed in sympathy with the natural topography. The proposed residential lot levels and resultant residential dwellings will need to be coordinated with adjacent roads and development. The applicant has proposed the amendment to facilitate a development at R20. This is in keeping with the surrounding locality and therefore it is unlikely that it would have adverse impacts on the surrounding locality. |
| | | | | • The proposal is not compatible with surrounding land | a It is not sourced that the |
| | | | | use because it is not for a public purpose. Urban | • It is not agreed that the |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | development zone allows for land uses other than residential. | contemplated residential development is not compatible with the surrounding area. Should the rezoning be ultimately approved, the applicant has indicated the intension to subdivide the lot to create approximately 39 single residential lots at the existing R20 residential density that prevails in the locality. Any future structure plan will ensure that this occurs. |
| | | | | • The site could be used for public purpose (ie Community Centre, Tourist Centre, Research, Education Centre and natural bush reserve. | • The land is held in private ownership. The landowner has not stated an intention to develop the land for these purposes and should these land uses be sought, it is likely that the City or State Government would need to acquire the land, which is likely to be at considerable cost. |
| | | | | • Unreserving and rezoning the site for private commercial benefit is an improper purpose. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | • The proposal does not promote or provide benefit under Clause 1.1 to 1.4, 2.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5 of the strategic plan. | • This site is unable to fulfil all the matters listed under the Strategic Plan, no matter how it is used. It is considered that the proposal supports clause 3.3.1 of the Strategic Plan. |
| | | | | • Marmion is lacking in the provision of social and community facilities and other physical infrastructure, with <u>no</u> facilities available to the community. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | | • The proposal will not preserve but reduce the current standards of visual, environmental and social amenity and will increase traffic safety hazards. | • Refer to comments above. |
| | | | | • There are no additional employment opportunities close to living places within the suburb. | • Employment issues are not the subject of this application. |
| | | | | • The current zoning is compatible with and appropriate to the natural, built and social environment of Marmion. The rezoning of the site will be incompatible. | • Refer comments above. |
| | | | | • The site has significant flora and fauna and should be protected and retained for its remnant bush, biodiversity as well as its landscape quality to the surrounds. The natural environment has not been shown adequate regard in the preliminary determination of this proposal. | • Remnant vegetation and fauna on the site is considered by the EPA to be of local significance only. It is noted that only a small portion of the site contains remnant (natural) bush. In order for the land to be protected and retained as a |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | | whole, either the City or the state government would need to acquire the site. The site is not shown as a bush forever site and as such, it is unlikely that the State Government would purchase the site for environmental protection. Refer to comments in Council report. |
| | | | | • The needs and aspirations of the residents require this reserve to remain as a reserve for the preservation of the existing high amenity standards of the area. The environmental capacity of the area is dependent on this remnant bush land. | • It is not agreed that the environmental capacity of the area is dependant upon retention of this bushland. Refer comments in Council report. |
| Submission | s received during advertising per | riod | | | |
| Subinission | | | | | |
| 1 | Alinta Network Services Pty Ltd | N/A | | No objection. Gas mains in area. | • Noted. |
| 2 | Telstra | N/A | | • No objection. Telstra plant in area. | • Noted. |
| 3 | R & H Kuziela | 5 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | Objection. When I purchased my house I was under the impression that the CSIRO site was to remain Parks and Recreation. I was told by an ex Councillor that documents have gone missing relating to this. Is this true? | • Historical records pertaining to the matter have since been destroyed by the state government in accordance with their record retention |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | • We live in a peaceful happy environment. There is a lack of parks and community services (e.g. Hall, library, well kept bushland). | procedures.Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | | • Totally against urban development. There's too much thought of money and not enough of mother nature. Parks and Recreation was what the area was meant to be and should remain that way. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 4 | J M Honner | 2/23 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | No objection. I have no reason to oppose the proposed amendment. Having a park over the road in Cliff Street is enough park for the area. The increased population will be good for nearly all of Marmion especially the small shopping centre will benefit. So please rezone. | • Any population increase from the rezoning of the site is likely to be minimsl, however is likely to assist the local shopping areas though increased patronage. |
| 5 | W J Cox | 6020 and 7 Oz Road | WA cone WA | Non objection. It will clean up the currently untidy and under maintained area. | It is noted that the building is currently vacant and the bush areas have not been maintained. |
| 6 | B Murray | 11A Ozone Road MARMION 6020 | WA | Objection. I do not support the proposal as when I brought my land I was told and it was zoned for Parks and Recreation and not Urban Development. If this goes ahead I will be seeking compensation from the Council. | • The submitter is unable to seek compensation from the City as the zoning of the submitters land is not affected by the |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | S | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|--------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | proposal. In addition Council is required to consider all planning applications under current planning requirements. |
| 7 | Name withheld by request | Address withheld by request | • | We moved into the area as there is no high density housing and has a quiet environment. The road system is not adequate for additional housing blocks in such a confined area. You do not own the land and it is currently zoned as Parks and Recreation and should be returned to this. There is also evidence of wildlife in this area, which is seen, everyday. We would prefer to listen to the sounds of nature rather than the residing vehicles in an urban development. | • There is no high density housing proposed on the site. The R20 density code that applies to the site is the same as the adjoinging residential area. Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 8 | Name withheld by request | Address withheld by request | • | We as residents want the bush to stay as bush as promised by the Shire and CSIRO on 2 separate occasions. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | • | Very concerned about how many houses are to be built, they are not in tune with current block sizes. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | • | Traffic is a major concern. | • Refer to comments within submission B above. |
| | | | • | We don't have much coastal bush in Marmion. | • Noted, however much of the site has been cleared and only a small part of the site is remnant (natural) bush. |
| 9 | J Moore | 25 Finney Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | • Very disappointed that the Council has allowed this issue to be raised yet again. | • It is not at Council's discretion to allow this issue to be raised. The landowner has a right to make application to the City to rezone the site. Once an application is made, the City has a duty to process the application in accordance with relevant legislation. |
| | | | | • The City has an opportunity to redeem itself of its current less than impressive record by demonstrating its willingness to listen to the residents who will be directly affected by the proposed development and act on their wishes. | • All comments, both for and against, will be presented to the Council prior to a decision being made on the proposal. |
| | | | | • The site should be developed as public open space in keeping with family interests (i.e. recreational and open space facilities). | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 10 | M Idle | 27 Arkwell Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • The residents of Marmion still need a recreation building, which I see may be a use for the CSIRO building, which was abandoned nearly complete. That seems a waste to me. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | | • The bush area is valuable to us as the last vestige of natural area in this district. | • Refer to comments within submissions D and 8 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| 11 | A & P Barker | 35 Arkwell Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Too many suburbs are becoming choked with buildings. If that was the sort of lifestyle we wanted, then we would move to Subiaco, East Perth or the CBD. | • This is a subjective view for which a response by the City is not required. |
| | | | | • In our neighbouring suburb of Hillarys, we have seen what damage can be caused to an area with the ugly high density housing of Harbour Rise. We do not wish to have anything even approaching that in Marmion. | • This is a subjective view for which a response by the City is not required. |
| | | | | • The land should be retained as public open space and developed accordingly. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 12 | K Shea | 43A Beach Road MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • As a resident of Beach Road Marmion there are major traffic problems in this area and they should be addressed and resolved before any future land developments 'rezoning' takes place. Plans for Beach Road have been in dispute between Joondalup and Stirling Shires for many years. There are two entries to Marmion Parnell Ave and Beach Road. More residents, more cars. | • Refer to comments within submission B above. It is noted that Beach road is not the subject of this report. |
| 13 | C Borschoff | 7 Sheahan Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 14 | S Roux | 15 Ozone Road MARMION | WA | No objection. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | F | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | | OUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | 6020 | | • Existing site is an eyesore. | • | It is acknowledged that the building is currently vacant and the existing remaining bush has not been maintained. |
| | | | | • There is no need for additional POS (enough already). | • | Noted. |
| | | | , | • Urban Development will enhance the area and bring in fresh new activity. | • | Noted. |
| | | | | • I see no negative impact on this development. | • | Noted. |
| | | | | • There are four family members at this residence that approve this development. | • | Noted. |
| 15 | L Rogerson | 28 Peirse Way MARMION WA 6020 | | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 16 | G Slieker | 42 West Coast Drive MARMION WA | | • No objection. | | |
| | | 6020 | | • We have no objection to the rezoning of the site. We believe that the proposed development will become an asset to the Marmion community. | • | Noted. |
| | | | | • There is already a large parcel of open space/parkland directly opposite Lot 61 Leach Street, Marmion. | • | Braden Park is located directly opposite the subject site. |
| 17 | E J Bingham | 18 Peirse Way MARMION WA 6020 | | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 18 | T Dowling | 15 Sandstone Place | | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---|--|
| | | MARMION 6020 | WA | | |
| 19 | C Hyde | 20/17 Syree Court MARMION 6020 | WA | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; We would like to see a senior citizens centre and | Refer Attachment 6.Refer to comments within |
| | | | | community hall, library. | submission D above. |
| 20 | J W O'Mahoney | 5 Arkwell Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Leave this area for benefit of generation to follow. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • This area has great potential for many innovative community activities. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | | • I am sure the developers can find some other place to play their 'money game'. | • Not a relevant planning consideration. |
| 21 | J Dalglish | 21 Leach Street MARMION | WA | • No objection. | |
| | | 6020 | | • I see the opposition being put forward as being somewhat 'elitist'. Most of the residents have lived in this area 20+years. If their land hadn't been opened up they would not have had the enjoyment for that time, so why deprive others of an opportunity. For most of us change is inevitable. Many of the objectors resist that change no matter what. I have seen it before. I know what these people are like. Good luck. | Noted |
| 22 | Name illegible | No street address g SORRENTO | iven WA | No objection. Support letter as follows; | |
| | | 6020 | | • I live in close proximity to the CSIRO site and | • It is acknowledged that the |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SU | BMISSION SUMMARY | | DUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|---|---|---|
| | | | | | understand a proposal has been lodged to rezone the land for residential purposes. I believe the redevelopment of the site for residential housing will improve the amenity of the locality and remove the current eyesore from the suburb. | | building is currently vacant and the existing bush has not been maintained. The proposal is compatible with the surrounding residential area, and therefore unlikely to have a negative impact on the area. |
| 23 | Water Corporation | N/A | | • | No objection. Reticulated water and sewer available. | • | Noted. |
| 24 | P Deegan | 20 Braden Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 25 | T Purdew | 20 Braden Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 26 | G Blumberger | 26 Charsley Cresco MARMION 6020 | ent WA | • | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • | As a young family we would appreciate access to facilities like childcare, healthcare and library surrounded by a nice park/garden. | • | Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 27 | L R & J Stagoll | 42 Braden Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • | Refer to comments within submission 22 above. |
| 28 | Name illegible | No address given | | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | ٠ | As above |
| 29 | C Hannan | No address given | | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | ٠ | As above |
| 30 | B & A Spargo | 54 McKirdy Way MARMION | WA | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • | As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | | OUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|------------------|--|
| | | 6020 | | | | |
| 31 | C Roberts | No address given | | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above | •. • | As above |
| 32 | F Van Maanen | No address given | | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above | •. • | As above |
| 33 | E & C Sorvali | 5 McKirdy Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 34 See submission D | P Hastie | 10 Troy Avenue MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment including the following additional comments; |), • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • The proposed block plan is out of character with current and potential subdivision of existing bloc surrounding the proposed development. Approval shot only be considered if the blocks are in a battle-a format thus reducing the number of street front blocks keeping with the existing pattern. | as Id In | Although the submitted subdivision plan is only indicative, it is the City's view that the notinal configuration is similar to the modified grid subdivision design that surrounds the site. Excessive use of battle-axe lots should be avoided as it is not conducive to building or maintaining streetscapes, nor is it in keeping with existing streetscapes. |
| | | | | • The popularity of Lennard Pool as a surf scene l created an enormous level of congestion and reckl driving in Troy Avenue. The potential accident/injury due to inherent significant increase traffic from the proposed development will further re the amenity of the location. | ss • or in | Antisocial behaviour/reckless driving is a police matter. Refer to comments within submission B above. |
| 35 | D Sheppard | 8/74 Cliff Street MARMION | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • | Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | 6020 | | | |
| 36 | Name illegible | No address given | | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • Refer to comments within submission 22above. |
| 37 | R Dohmen | 63 Beach Road MARMION 6020 | WA | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • As above |
| 38 | K Chick | No address given | | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • As above |
| 39 | Mr I Properjohn | 6 Lever Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • As above |
| 40 | K Spargo | No address given | | • No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | • As above |
| 41 | Mr R Fazarri | 6 Gull Street MARMION 6020 | WA | No objection. I believe the proposal to develop the land as Residential to the same density as the surrounding land is a sound idea. The site is derelict, the bush land an eyesore and a potential fire hazard. I understand that some members of the public want the land to be purchased by Council and returned as Parks | It is proposed to develop the site at the R20 code, which is the same as the surrounding area. The City believes that there is sufficient recreational land |
| | | | | and Recreation and used for community purposes. Neither of these proposals have any merit as there is more than enough public space at Braden Park, and there would be potential traffic problems should a community building be built. I do not support the belief that the land has any | within and surrounding Marmion. The City has not identitied any current need for community facilities on the site. It is agreed that much of the |
| | | | | environmental value as the site is covered in regrowth, which is also in poor condition. There is also a significant bush reserve at nearby Star Swamp. | bush is not remanent vegetation, the site having been cleared previously. |

| P Fazzari | 8 Anembo Close DUNCRAIG WA | N. M. diation | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | 6023 | No objection. I believe the proposed redevelopment of the site for housing is consistent with the surrounding zoning and housing development. I am also aware that a local action group is advocating that the land should be used for parks or community purposes. I believe that this is inappropriate as there are sufficient parks in the area and the locality is already multiplication. | Refer to comments within submission 41 above. Noted. |
| M Fazzari | 24 Yarrimup Close DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | Non objection. | • Refer to comments within submission 41 above. |
| Mr F Da Rui | 19 Brockman Court DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above |
| Ms R Stillitano | 6 Anembo Close DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above |
| Mr A G Biagioni | 12 Baleine Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above |
| C Trichilo | 4 Marchese Pass STIRLING WA 6021 | Non objection. | • As above |
| Name illegible | 10 Avocet Road STIRLING WA 6021 | Non objection. | • As above |
| | Mr F Da Rui Ms R Stillitano Mr A G Biagioni C Trichilo | M Fazzari24 Yarrimup Close DUNCRAIG WA 6023Mr F Da Rui19 Brockman Court DUNCRAIG WA 6023Ms R Stillitano6 Anembo Close DUNCRAIG WA 6023Mr A G Biagioni12 Baleine Court SORRENTO WA 6020C Trichilo4 Marchese Pass STIRLING WA 6021Name illegible10 Avocet Road | Non objection.M Fazzari24 Yarrimup Close DUNCRAIG 6023• Non objection.Mr F Da Rui19 Brockman Court DUNCRAIG |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| 49 | Mr T G Bateman | 19 Braden Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • As a property owner adjoining Braden Park, I strongly object to the proposal due to the traffic safety reduction on Cliff Street and the attraction of undesirable (hooligan & drug users) to west end of Braden Park. This would occur due to proposed 'enhancement' by developer. Namely construction of BBQ and possible other shelters. | • Refer to comments within submission B above. Antisocial behaviour is a police matter. |
| | | | | • The developer's proposal for high density housing will create an unnecessarily high demand for such BBQ's because size of blocks is too small for family backyard activities. | • The developer proposes a low density code of R20, which is in keeping with the surrounding locality. The block sizes at the R20 density are to average 500m ² . |
| 50 | Ms C Bateman | 19 Braden Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • With the move to smaller families and the need for smaller dwellings, the correct planning for the redevelopment of the land is essential. It will be a precedence for all further redevelopment along the coastal strip. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • I object to the construction of a toilet block in Braden Reserve. | • Not a relevant planning consideration for this proposal. |
| | | | | • Adequate provision of car parking etc not provided for | • All car parking associated with |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | in proposal. Smaller high density building does not mean fewer cars. No provision for extra parking in zone. Learn from the problems with visitor parking at Subi Centro and Joondalup. | each residential dwelling is to be wholly contained upon each lot. On-street car parking and traffic treatment details will be considered during the future structure plan and subdivision application process should Amendment 24 be approved. |
| | | | | • Need to consider the installation of roundabouts and single direction roads – Troy and Ozone. | • This matter would be further investigated during structure plan and subdivision stage should Amendment 24 be approved. |
| | | | | • The redevelopment of the site has to be done sensitively and in keeping with residential ambiance of Marmion, not a blot on the landscape like the redevelopment of Flora Terrace, North Beach. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | | • Ask anyone the benefit of living in Marmion – all say it is the community spirit and the mixed population of the suburb. I don't agree that the redevelopment of Braden Park with BBQ's and toilets will add anything to the community and an unsympathetic development of the site will be an eyesore. | • The provision of BBq's and toilets in Braden Park is not related to the proposed rezoning. |
| 51 | Western Power | N/A | | • No objection. Western Power plant in area. | • Noted. |
| 52 | E Ilarda | 77 Archer Street PEARSALL | WA | Non objection. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | 6065 | • I believe the redevelopment of the site for residential housing will improve the amenity of the locality and remove the current eyesore from the suburb. | |
| 53 | Name illegible | PO Box 70 DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | As above |
| 54 | A Kelly | 30 Braden WayMARMION6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • I have been very fortunate to survive several 'near misses' when driving along the crest of Cliff Street, just near the CSIRO site. To add extra traffic (as housing will) can only make the area more dangerous. | submission B above. |
| 55 | W J Allan | 15/17 Syree Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 56 | R & C Webley | Margaret Street WATERMANS BAY WA 6020 | Non Objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • Refer to comments within submission 41 above. |
| 57 | S P Button | 27 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 58 | M Petkovic | 26 Freeman Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • I would rather see this land used for the community e.g. childcare, senior citizens, healthcare, recreation centre, community hall etc. | |
| | | | • There would be negative issues if the area is zoned for | • Refer to comments within |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | housing e.g. traffic safety, parking issues, loss of parkland for animals, loss of biodiversity, loss of natural surroundings. | submissions B, D & 50 above. |
| | | | • Give this land back to the people who already live here please. | • The land is not owned by the City. |
| 59 | D Williams | 38 Wilberforce Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in 52 above. | • Refer to comments within submission 41 above. |
| 60 | R Vagnoni | No address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in 52 above. | • As above. |
| 61 | H & J Kenworthy | 11 Mustique CrescentHILLARYS6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above |
| 62 | D B Tyler | 2 Hasper Place MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in 52 above. | • As above |
| 63 | A & S Penny | Owners of :The Bakers OvenMarmionVillageShopping Centre19 Sheppard WayMARMIONWA6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above |
| 64 | M Clancy-Lowe | 23 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in 52 above. | • As above |
| 65 | Mr J Crooks | 33 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA | Non objection. | • As above |
| | | 6020 | • The redevelopment of the site will remove a historic eyesore from the Marmion suburb and a land use, which | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | is totally out of character with the surrounding residential properties. | |
| | | | • I support the current proposal before Council and believe the proposed density is in keeping with the area. | |
| 66 | Ms J Crooks | 33 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA | Non objection. | • As above |
| | | 6020 | • I support the rezoning of the site to residential, which would result in the redevelopment of the site into lots of between 440m ² and 700m ² for quality single residential housing. This would be in keeping with the housing, which is occurring in Marmion and other coastal areas. | |
| 67 | M Elvin | 4 Peirse Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above |
| 68 | D G Benham | 30 West Coast DriveMARMION6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • I am concerned with additional traffic, lack of off road parking and high traffic frequency down the laneway, which has a blind corner into West Coast Drive. | • Refer to comments within submissions B & 50 above. |
| | | | • If rezoning occurs, development density must conform with the surrounding densities. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| | | | • Bearing in mind the very poor record of the Council at planning matters, I would strongly support legal action to block the development if this matter is not dealt with in an appropriate even handed manner. | • The application is being considered and dealt with in accordance with all relevant legislation. |
| 69 | F Cappon | 43 Ford Street | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | MARMION 6020 | WA | including the following additional comments; | |
| | | | | • Please develop the old CSIRO site to useable playground such as that at Sorrento Beach. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 70 | M Cappon | 43 Ford Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), comment as per submission 69 above and including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6 and comments in submission 69 above. |
| | | | | • Please do not develop the CSIRO site. Please return this area to public open space for our children to enjoy – there is little else in Marmion. | • Refer to comments within submission D above. |
| 71 | F Green | 43 Ford Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer to comments within submission 41 above. |
| 72 | C Hondris | 43 Ford Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 73 | Illegible | 18/160 West Drive SORRENTO 6020 | Coast WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above |
| 74 | M Sullivan | 17 Hasper Place MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in 66 above. | • As above. |
| 75 | G Neville | 17 Atoll Court MULLALOO 6027 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 76 | L C Treharne | 9 Hasper Place MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| 77 | G Passmore | 22A Rountree Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 78 | T W Gmeiner | 24 Charsley Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 79 | D Gray | 11 Braden WayMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 80 | R P Charles | 18 Charsley Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 81 | R Venoutsos | 4 Charsley Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 82 | D J Sala Tenna | 9 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 83 | K Kuriata | 15 Finney Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 84 | W Kuriata | 15 Finney Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 85 | D Murphy | 31 Pierse WayMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 86 | G Hutchinson | 22 Braden WayMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|--|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 87 | K Murphy | 31 Pierse Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 88 | P Burke | 18/160 West Drive SORRENTO 6020 | Coast WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 89 | J M Dalglish | 21 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 90 | Illegible | 27 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 91 | M Coufos | 1Arkwell Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 92 | S Dalglish | 21 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 93 | P Terry | 54 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 94 | D Parker | 50 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 95 | G Parker | 50 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 96 | R Gonzalez | 4 Kalyba Place DUNCRAIG | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 41 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | 6023 | | |
| 97 | B Audsley | 19 Cummings Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 41 above. | • As above. |
| 98 | P Danze | 18 Manakoora Rise SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 99 | L Maarchesani | 23 Bracadale Avenue DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 100 | Illegible | 8 Ulric Court DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 101 | D Ferguson | 4 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 52 above. | • As above. |
| 102 | J Powell | 17 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 103 | M & C Norman | 8 Stockdale Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Unreserving and zoning an area currently designated as a Parks and Recreation reserve, without full public consultation and consideration of all possible options, is not supported by us. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • This process must be driven in reference to the City's strategic plan (which was developed with community participation), not by commercial interests who act as if | • The City's stragetic plan is a relevate document. Consideration of the rezoning |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | 7 S | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | REC | NCIL'S OMMENDATION |
|-----|---|---|-----|---|-----|--|
| | | | | the unreserving and rezoning of the whole area is an inevitable consequence of their land purchase. | 0 | pplication will be considered on the relevant planning merits, not commercial interests. |
| 104 | 46 signature Petition on behalf of Satterley Property Group | Refer petition address details. | s • | We the residents of the City of Joondalup express out support for the rezoning of Lot 61 (14) Leach Street, Marmion (Old CSIRO Facility site) from Local Reserves 'Parks and Recreation' to 'Urban Development'. | | Refer comments in submission 1 above |
| 105 | B J Jennings | 22 James Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 22 above. | | Refer comments in submission 2 above. |
| 106 | D Eve | 56 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • | No objection. Support letter as per submission 42 above. | | Refer comments in submission 2 above. |
| 107 | C Golding | 8 Sheahan Way MARMION WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • A | As above. |
| 108 | C Meecham | 10 Sheahan Way MARMION WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | | Refer comments in submission 5 above. |
| 109 | E Freeman | 9 Drury Court MARMION WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • A | As above. |
| 110 | J Davies | 61 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | | Refer comments in submission 66 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| | | 6020 | | |
| 111 | J Norton | 60 Marine TerraceSORRENTO6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 112 | 36 signature Petition on behalf of residents of the City of Joondalup | Refer petition address details | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 113 | D Norton | 60 Marine TerraceSORRENTO6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 114 | P Ledger | Medical Centre 11 Sheppard Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 115 | L Hyde | 5/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Please think of senior people who need libraries, senior citizens and community halls. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 116 | R J & M O'Leary | 3 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Would like to see the CSIRO building retained and turned into a facility for the aged (ie hospice, senior citizens centre). | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 117 | V McGinnity | 20B Raleigh Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • .Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 118 | L Rodi | c/o Marmion Dental | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| | | Care MARMION WA 6020 | | 66 above. |
| 119 | 1 signature Petition on behalf of residents of the City of Joondalup | Refer petition address details | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 120 | R Prowse | Shop 10, Marmion Shopping Centre | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 121 | L Buswell | 17 Tauranga Retreat MINDARIE WA 6030 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 122 | C Shaw | 19 Sheppard WayMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 123 | L Damianides | 28 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • We do not want increased traffic or more roundabouts on Cliff Street especially at the intersection of Arkwell Way/Cliff Street & Troy Ave/Cliff St. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • We think that the native flora should be protected no matter what the eventual outcome. | • As above. |
| 124 | S Nicholls | 20 Freeman WayMARMION6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 125 | J B & S G Hollick | 14 Troy AvenueMARMION6020 | • Objection. Our property was purchased with an assurance that the site would always remain 'Parks and Recreation'. | • Council is required to consider all planning applications received based on current planning requirements. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SU | BMISSION SUMMARY | | DUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|--|----|---|---|---|
| | | | • | CSIRO was tolerated because it was hidden by trees/bush. | • | Noted. |
| | | | • | The proposed development under the R20 density code will become an eyesore of large houses crammed together on small lots of $500m^2$ each. | • | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • | This is a push by developers to make a lot of money and once rezoned, our peace, quiet and privacy will be gone. | • | Not a relevant planning consideration. |
| 126 | L Marns | 36 King Edward Drive HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 127 | Illegible | Trigg Island Café 360 West Coast Drive TRIGG WA 6029 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 128 | 22 signature Petition on behalf of residents of the City of Joondalup | Refer petition address details | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 129 | F L Parker | 14/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 130 | M T Coufos | 1 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • | Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • | Do not want increased traffic or more roundabouts on Cliff Street, especially at the intersection of Arkwell Way. | • | Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • | We think the native flora should be protected no matter | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|--------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| | | | | what the eventful outcome. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 131 | A Coufos | 1 Arkwell Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • Objection. Refer comments in submission 130 above. | • Refer comments in submission 130 above. |
| 132 | D Brice | 5B Lennard Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Marmion already has a deficiency in public open space (7.2 % instead of min 10%). | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Other comment made however it is unable to be deciphered and understood. | • No response. |
| 133 | G G & E R Botica | 23 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Property bought in 1985 because of close to ocean, established area, quiet street with parkland and little traffic. Proposed development does not excite us and traffic problems will be intense. It is strange that COJ can trumpet that it returned 400 hectares of bush land to pristine condition yet will support the destruction of parkland in Marmion where the recommended 10% of public open space is 7% or less. Why can't the existing building be used for public purposes and the bush land returned to pristine condition? We do not support the changes as proposed by the COJ. | Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| 134 | 2 signature Petition on behalf of residents of the City of Joondalup | Refer petition add details | lress | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 135 | R Powell | 54 Bourneme Crescent | outh | • Objection. Requests the site be conserved given existing Flora found within it and its elevation. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|--|--|---|
| | | WEMBLEY DOWNS WA 6019 | | |
| 136 | A Terranova | No address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 137 | A P Volleman | 23/17 Syree Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 138 | P McLennan | 10 Syree CourtMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 139 | C Warren | 6 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 140 | I V Grosvenor | 10/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 141 | G Warren | 3/3 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 142 | W R Warren | 6 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above |
| 143 | F W Parker | 14/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 144 | B E Dornom | 12/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 145 | 1 signature Petition on behalf of residents of the | Refer petition address details | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION C AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|----|---|--|
| | City of Joondalup | | | | |
| 146 | C J Volleman | 23/17 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | VA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 147 | K N Pearce | 24 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above |
| 148 | M Blackburn | 28/17 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 149 | P McCafferty | 16 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | As above |
| 150 | W E Negus | 22 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 151 | J Mirabella | 17/17 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 152 | E Stoffels | 21/17 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | As above |
| 153 | E J Van Maaren | 26/17 Syree Court MARMION W 6020 | WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 154 | F Billing | 66 Cliff Street MARMION W 6020 | VA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 155 | P K Billing | 66 Cliff Street MARMION W | NA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SU | JBMISSION SUMMARY | | UNCIL'S COMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|----|----|--|---|---|
| 156 | J Portwood | 6020 2 Syree Court MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above, however support subject to the public open space being contained onsite rather than a cash allocation. | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above. Cash in lieu contribution is favoured for reasons set out within the Council report. |
| 157 | J Scott | 4 Syree Court MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 158 | S M Scott | 4 Syree Court MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above |
| 159 | C R McLennan | 10 Syree Court MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • | As above. |
| 160 | J Allen | 61 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 161 | J Kitson | 13/74 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 162 | K & W Holtom | 15A George Street NORTH BEACH 6020 | | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 163 | C Meecham | 10 Sheahan Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 164 | P Goulding | 8 Sheahan Way MARMION 6020 | WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • | As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 165 | D Meecham | 10 Sheahan Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 166 | A Meecham | 10 Sheahan WayMARMION6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 167 | F Fiore | 55 Ashmore WaySORRENTO6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 168 | D Stewart | 5 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 169 | M Cifelli | 30 Tristania RiseDUNCRAIG6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 170 | S Corrigan | 56 Quinns RoadMINDARIE6030 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 171 | C Gray | 21 Manakoora Rise SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 172 | K & S Evans | 14 Bennetts PlaceSORRENTOWA6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above |
| 173 | B A Pearce | 24 Syree Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 174 | J & R Mastrangelo | 36 Montebello Avenue HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 175 | V Adamos | 2 Koolyn Grove KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 176 | J S Drysdale | 8 Myrtle Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 177 | M McDonald | 1 Whiley Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 178 | S Goodwin | 6 Honeysuckle Grove NEERABUP WA 6031 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 179 | D & M Ridge | 7 Clemton Place LANDSDALE WA 6065 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 180 | A Iasky | 5 Trout Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 181 | E Testi | 27 Truslove Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 182 | T Sampson | 19 Halliday Grove HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 183 | F E Davenport | 11 Horden Lane HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 184 | F Fazzari | 5 Wittenoom Place DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| 185 | R Margaria | 11 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6 |
| | | | | • With this new development we are going to have a busier area and it is out of character with adjoining streets. Now if the development has to finally go ahead maybe a lot less blocks and more open spaces. Also an entry opposite the right of way in Leach Street would be very dangerous as entry and exit to West Coast Drive is extremely dangerous. Hope common sense prevails and a solution to satisfy everybody. | • Refer comments in submissions B & D above. The entry points would be determined at the future Structure Plan and Subdivision stages should Amendment 24 be approved. |
| 186 | R &P Miller | 1 Leach Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Objection. Residential zoning not consistent with existing character of area. | • The 'urban development' zoning intended for the site is consistent with the surrounding area and under both the City's District Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme. |
| | | | | • Alternative uses for the site have not been investigated by the City. Marmion has no senior citizens, health care, recreation centre, community hall, library etc. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Traffic safety is a big issue | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Loss of amenity parkland and natural surroundings. Marmion already has a deficiency in Public open space. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION C AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|----|--|---|
| | | | | • The existing buildings could be put to good use for the community, as well as retaining the bushland. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 187 | B Alcock | 11 Lennard Street MARMION W 6020 | VA | Objection. Our native bushland is unique and is recognised as one of 25 hotspots in the world for its flora and fauna. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Residents of Joondalup today may not value our bushland but that doesn't mean it should be exterminated. | • As above. |
| | | | | • Mr John Paterson is quoted in the local press saying "As Council we are fully committed to preserving our natural heritage". It is now up to each commissioner to back your 2004 WA environment award by voting no to the proposal and set a correct precedent for every other Council in WA. | • As above. |
| 188 | C Morgan | 21 Braden Way MARMION W 6020 | VA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer comments Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Add to traffic chaos on Cliff Street (Cliff Street currently has poor lines of sight and is very dangerous). | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Do not bow to pressure by the landowner who purchased a park on the hope that it will be converted to residential. | • This is not a relevant planning matter. |
| | | | | • Existing park does not require any additional public pressure from adjoining housing development. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 189 | R M Smith | 1A Leach Street | | • Objection. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | • Proposal is totally at odds with current zoning. | • Trefer comments in submission 186 above. |
| | | | • Proposes an alternative arrangement where the central portion of the site containing existing CSIRO buildings is developed. The remainder of the site to be restored using indigenous vegetation and a path running through with a few benches to allow local residents to enjoy the birdlife and general tranquillity. | • Whilst this idea has merit in principle, the amount of development versus open space is problematic, given that small parcels of POS are not favoured given the difficultly in maintaing these areas. |
| 190 | S M Burnett | 1A Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. Lived in the area for 31 years and always appreciated our native land in the street. | • Noted. |
| | | | • Existing CSIRO buildings would be ideal for a community centre, which is badly needed in Marmion. | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | • Traffic would be horrendous in the quiet neighbourhood with so many residences | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 191 | S Carr | 105 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above |
| 192 | R Di Grandi | 14 Hinkler Loop MAYLANDS WA 6051 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |
| 193 | Mr M Whitten | 6 Chrysostom Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SU | UBMISSION SUMMARY | | DUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|--|----|--|---|---|
| 194 | N Mola | 22A Gribble Road GWELUP WA 6018 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 195 | Ms M Whitten | 6 Chrysostom Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 196 | 5 signature Petition on behalf of Satterley Property Group | Refer petition address details. | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 197 | T Mola | 22A Gribble Road GWELUP WA 6018 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 198 | D Mola | 22A Gribble Road GWELUP WA 6018 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 199 | C & P Easthope | 9 Broadbeach Boulevard HILLARYS WA 6025 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • | Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 200 | Ms S Kobelke | 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • | Objection. Considers that the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers) is heavily biased in favour of the applicant | • | The City is required to consider the proposed amendment, and does not to agree with this statement. |
| | | | • | Concern that there is a suggestion by the City of Joondalup that something needs to be done about this site and an indication that approval to the amendment may be the only way to go. | • | Refer comment above. |
| | | | • | Two previous rezoning proposals over the site have failed. Current landowner purchased the site for a rock | • | Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | bottom price but with a clear 'Caveat Emptor: from the CSIRO. Let the buyer beware, let the applicant beware. There is no reason, excuse, explanation or discussion required for the Council not to approve this rezoning. If its good enough to knock back the CSIRO is good enough to knock back a nominee company | |
| | | | | Concern with respect to community consultation comments within the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers). | • The previous Council report made it clear that this consultation was undertaken by the landowner which is additional to the public advertising required to be undertaken by the City under the Town Planning Regulations 1967. |
| | | | | • Claims traffic journey numbers appear to be well understated and a downplaying of the impact of increased traffic will have on Cliff Street. The assumption that any trips west to the beach would be walking and cycling is ludicrous. | • Refer comments in submission B above. The statement relating to any future traffic and pedestrian movements associated with the subject land. Given the land is approximately 200 metres from the ocean, it is highly unlikely that future residents would use their private vehicles to make this journey to the coast. |
| | | | | • Claims environmental reports completely differ to what other conservationists say. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | Considers that the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers) stating that 'the overall amount of land available within Marmion for recreational pursuits is considered sufficient' is heavily biased in favour of the applicant | of the site and concludes that the site is weed infested and vegetation found in this area does not possess any characteristics or attributes which would give it conservation significance. The City must make a recommendation in regard to this proposal. Statements assessing the proposal should not be misconstrued as bias towards the applicant. |
| | | | | • Claims that there is a 27% shortfall of POS in Marmion and rezoning will exacerbate the POS deficiency. Suggests that with infill deep sewerage, housing density and population will increase, putting greater pressure on POS. Need more POS not less. | • The 10% POS requirement should not be used as a benchmark to compare POS provided within Marmion as it was not wholly applied to the entire suburb, it is not understood how the 27% shortfall claimed by the submitter has been calculated. |
| | | | | • Considerable adverse impact on the amenity of the area due to increased noise, traffic and social problems, loss of POS and loss of visual appeal and enjoyment it brings. | • Refer comments in submissions B &D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | • Various comments with respect to the welfare of the Marmion community. | • These comments are not town planning related and are noted. |
| 201 | Mr S Kobelke | 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | Refer comments in submission 200 above. | • Refer comments in submission 200 above. |
| 202 | B Noordyk & T Gandy | 9 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | Non objection. We do accept that the development would be a natural progress and a positive step for the old CSIRO site and Marmion district. | • Noted. |
| | | | • If Braden Park was not there the need for open space would have been a concern. | • Noted. |
| | | | • Concerned that natural ground level has been built up and as such, future development upon the land will tower over houses over the opposite side of the street. | • The site has been filled and this issue (amongst others) would be addressed through the future structure plan application stage. |
| | | | • Do not favour subdivision options A or C as it will create antisocial behaviour in the laneway between Leach Street and West Coast Drive from pedestrians and vehicles, and sight line issues on Cliff Street. | • Subdivision Option C is favoured by the applicant and is only a concept plan. The applicant will be required to submit a structure plan should Amendment 24 by supported. Antisocial behaviour is a police matter. Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 203 | M Jones | 24 High Street SORRENTO WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| | | 6020 | | |
| 204 | Marmion Sorrento Duncraig Progress & Ratepayers | c/o 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA | Objection. | |
| | Assoc Inc | 6020 | • Title of property indicates that the site should have been returned to the State of WA 21 years after it was acquired by the CSIRO. This never happened. Was this a failure by a person or a process and who was responsible for this? | • The title of the property does not contain any encumbrances. Notwithstanding, this is a matter between the CSIRO and the State Government. |
| | | | • Twice (in 1990 and 1992) the City of Wanneroo rejected rezoning proposals over the site due to community objections. The precedent against rezoning of the site has clearly been set. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Refers to 600 signature petition in that it's the communities overwhelming wish that the site be retained for its original intended purpose and current zoning of Parks and Recreation. | • Noted. |
| | | | • Claims that there is a 27% shortfall of POS in Marmion and rezoning will exacerbate the POS deficiency. Suggests that with infill deep sewerage, housing density and population will increase, putting greater pressure on POS. Need more POS not less. | • Refer comments in submission 200 above. |
| | | | • Considerable adverse impact on the amenity of the area due to increased noise, traffic and social problems, loss of POS and loss of visual appeal and enjoyment it brings. | • Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | • Significant increases in traffic and safety issues in the adjacent streets are a major concern for residents were the development to proceed have not been addressed to the community's satisfaction. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Alternative community uses for the CSIRO buildings have not been considered and need further investigation by the City. Bushland areas surrounding the buildings are to be retained and protected from any development. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • The loss of biodiversity of the remnant bushland and its impact on the natural wildlife of this area are of concern were the development to proceed. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Disturbing tendency for the City to favour development over the wishes of the community. Claims bias being clearly shown in planning reports and briefing papers. | • Public advertising has shown that there is both opposition and support in the community for the proposed amendment. The City is unable to agree with these statements and claims. |
| | | | | • Suggests Marmion, as the southern gateway to the City of Joondalup has been forgotten as the City's main focus has been on northern development. There is no reason why the City can't buy back this site and provide Marmion residents with community facilities. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • The Marmion community require finality and certainty over the lands future in its favour. It's time the City put | • Noted. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | the community's interests first. | |
| 205 | L Smith | 8 Kempenfeldt Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 206 | R & S Wilson | 19 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | Non objection. | |
| | | | • Believes the proposal to develop the land as residential to the same density is appropriate for the site. | • Refer comments in submission 41 above. |
| | | | • Does not support the belief that the land has any environmental value as the site is covered in re-growth and in poor condition. There is a significant bush reserve at nearby Star Swamp. The Site is now derelict, the bushland an eyesore and potential fire hazard. | • As above. |
| | | | • Believes the Marmion Action Group is suggesting that the land be purchased by Council and developed as a park or used for community purposes. Neither of these proposals have merit as there is enough public space at Braden Park and there would be traffic problems should a community building be built. | • As above. |
| 207 | J Davies | 61 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA | • Non objection. | |
| | | 6020 | • I have to believe that the Council will ensure development is in keeping with adjacent properties. | • Amendment 24 proposes no change to the density code of R20, which is in keeping with the existing residential density. |
| | | | • The proposal for domestic dwellings is arguably the best outcome for ratepayers rather than the enormous cost of | • Refer comments in submission 41 above |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | demolition, redevelopment as open space and the high cost of ongoing maintenance, only a matter of metres from Braden Park. | |
| 208 | M Zakrevsky | 49 Korella Street MULLALOO 6027 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Interests of proper and orderly planning and the preservation of the amenity of the locality. 10% POS in Marmion is a requirement and the proposal will further reduce the POS already deficient in Marmion. | • Refer comments in submission D above, together with comments contained within the Council report relating to POS issues. |
| | | | | • Reference to the City's strategic plan with respect to the City being environmentally responsible, plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability and maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity. Agreeing to this proposal supports economic benefit to a developer and sets a precedent for other developers to buy reserve land through this undemocratic process and detrimentally effecting the parks and reserves system as embodied in DPS2. | • The site is not owned by the City so therefore it is not an asset under the control of the City. This proposal is unique in that it has not been used for legitate open space and needs to be considered in this context. |
| | | | | • Previous attempts to rezone the site have failed and so should this one. The site should remain reserve with buildings used for community benefit. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 209 | V K Zakrevsky | 49 Korella Street MULLALOO 6027 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • No regard has been given to strategically important future prospects of the City (Tourism, educational & | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | visitor centre or a community centre in a natural environment). The site has significance because of its landscape position, geomorphology and range of coastal native plant species. It is irreplaceable and should remain as reserve for community use. | • The EPA's advice, together with the City's independent landscape assessment of the site does not give credence to this statement. |
| | | | | • Suggests the proposal is contrary to the City's strategic plan 2003-2008, in particular 2.1.1 – Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity. It makes a mockery of all the vision and values statements. | • Refer comments in submission 208 above. |
| | | | | • DPS2 with all its discretionary clauses and delegated authority based on the view of planning officer is detrimental to good planning in the City. Anyone can build anything on any land unchallenged. | • The City provides a recommendation for the consideration of the Council, with the Minister for Planning determining the proposal. |
| 210 | M J Thompson | 38 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Objection. However supportive subject to the following matters being undertaken; | • Noted |
| | | | | • The Council, via the structure plan and relevant scheme provisions, implements provisions to modify the R-Codes to ensure that bulk and scale of new development is consistent with the surrounding area. Only if detailed area plan style provisions are implemented and enforced do I find 500m ² lots acceptable. | • The future structure plan over the site will take this issue into consideration. |
| | | | | • The land is developed at a R20 density and not a higher density. | • No change to the existing R20 density code is proposed. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | • Council requires a 10% POS contribution in the form of cash in lieu to be applied to improve Braden Park, but not to improve the land on the corner of Troy Avenue and West Coast Drive. which no one uses. | • The City supports a 10% POS contribution from the landowner at the future subdivision stage. The use of a cash-in-lieu contribution is preferred by the City, however this is at the discretion of the Western Australian Planning Commission. |
| | | | | • The land being returned to its previous contours – levels prior to construction of the large tanks as the land was significantly raised at that time. | • The future structure plan over the site will take this issue into consideration. |
| | | | | • Council requires a traffic management works to be undertaken to slow traffic on Cliff Street, manage intersection turns at Troy Ave and provide embayment car parking on Cliff Street fronting Braden Park. The traffic consultants work did not identify the vertical alignments on Cliff Street that cause sight distance issues and exacerbate travel speeds, whilst roundabouts are not desirable for bus manoeuvrability and island on Troy Ave to stop cars cutting the corner and removal of the pine tree blocking sight lines, are desirable. | • Refer comments in submission B above. The future structure plan over the site will take these issues into further consideration. |
| | | | | • Footpaths being constructed on all site boundaries to improve walk ability. | • The future structure plan over the site will take this issue into consideration. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | • Trees required on verges to replace trees removed by site development. | • The future structure plan over the site will take this issue into consideration. |
| | | | | • Concerned that Council has no intention to acquire the land for open space, yet gave an indication in DPS2 where the reservation was retained. | • The Parks and Recreation zoning was retained as previous rezoning applications were refused prior to DPS2 being gazetted in 2000. |
| | | | | • Raises other issues that are non planning related to this proposal with respect to alternative uses. | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| 211 | B Stribling | 64 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Concerned about traffic management in surrounding areas, particularly Cliff Street. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Expects full traffic management plan as part of the proposal. | • As above. |
| 212 | S Foley | 32 Cliff Street MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Already a traffic problem on Cliff Street with speeding and coming over the hill in a dangerous manner. Proposal has only one entry into residential area, which will be dangerous. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Removal of bushland will destroy flora and fauna. All | • Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | areas should have 10% POS, Marmion only has 6%. | D above. |
| 213 | A Highfield | 16 Troy Avenue MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • The increase in traffic will result in a more dangerous intersection (Cliff/Troy) | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • We will loose the regular group of black cockatoos that feed on the southern side of the site. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Marmion needs a community centre. | • As above. |
| 214 | T Highfield | 16 Troy Avenue MARMION 6020 | WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | | • Proposal fails to act in the interests of sustainability (loss of natural vegetation and fauna (red tailed black cockatoo). | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | | • Increased population puts added stress on the roads, current inhabitants, resources and services, which the suburb may not be able to cope with. | • It is expected that existing servicing and road infrastructure is able to accommodate the proposed development of the site for residential dwellings. |
| | | | | • Existing traffic safety issues at intersections will be exacerbated. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • If the development goes ahead, where is the POS for this growth in population? Current park situation is not | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | sufficient, so this will exacerbate this. | |
| 215 | E Highfield | 16 Troy AvenueMARMION6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Increase in traffic accessing the already dangerous road will impact on the safety of the community. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • Black cockatoos regularly feed on the vegetation at the southern end of the site. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • No indoor community facilities available to Marmion residents (e.g. community centre) | • As above |
| 216 | R Prestage | PO Box 7288 CLOISTERS SQUARE WA 6850 | • Objection. Land was sold to CSIRO as a research centre and on expiration of its use should be sold or given back to the City or state government to extend Braden park for public use to comply with its current environmental agenda. | • Refer comments in submission 204 above. In addition this statement and question relates to the CSIRO's disposal of the land and is not a relevant town planning consideration in dealing with this proposal. |
| | | | • An application for this land should have been made by the City and why wasn't this made? | • The City is not the current owner and accordingly the current owner has lodged an application on the land. |
| | | | • Various comments about the state governments urban consolidation agenda, resultant loss of backyards for recreation, and increased pressure of public open space as a result. | • The City suggests that these issues be raised with the state government. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | • Suggests previous Council report stating that sufficient POS exists in Marmion is misleading, nor does it appear to take into consideration a substantially increased population. | • The City is unable to concur with this view. Refer to comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Refers to various state government environmental processes for resuming land and suggests the subject land is a City asset. | • These comments are acknowledged, however are not relevant to this proposal. The land is not owned or managed by the City. |
| | | | | • Refers to various commercial and other non town planning related considerations (land purchase issues, buyer beware arguments and public consultation being biased and untruthful). | • These comments are not relevant town planning related matters to be taken into consideration in dealing with this proposal. Refer comments in submission B above, with regard to public consultation matters. |
| | | | | • Suggests that there is no evidence to support Percy Doyle reserve land exchange argument in an attempt to support the rezoning. | |
| | | | | • No double standards with respect to government or private land. Landowners must be treated equally. | • It is agreed that all landowners should be treated equally. |
| | | | | • Suggests the matter is bordering on corrupt and | • It is unclear in what context |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | misleading and should be deferred pending examination by a competent independent authority (CCCA) and this is requested. | the submitter uses the word 'corrupt'. The City is unable to concur with this view. Statutory timeframes apply to the consideration of the proposed rezoning. |
| 217 | R Westenhaver | 52 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. Believes the wishes of the residents should be considered ahead of monetary gain of a few individuals. | Assessment of the proposal is not based on financial matters. Advertising of the proposal shows that the community are both in favour and against the proposal. |
| | | | • Not excited about loosing our open space to accommodate comparatively high density housing along with increased traffic and parking. | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50 above. |
| 218 | M Macdonald | 5 Mair Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | Objection. Proposal relies on Government policy on 'infill', currently out for community comment. | • The proposal does not rely on such a policy but rather takes the position of the State Government into consideration as the ultimate decision with respect to this proposal rests with the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure. |
| | | | • Land performs a POS function and they have a right to | • The land may be used by some |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | this POS. The developer has no right to expect the rezoning of this land. | members of the community for passive recreation, however, the land was never developed as a bonafide reserve for recreation, and has not been maintained. |
| | | | | • Against removal of POS and resultant loss of remnant vegetation leaving to the depletion of the ozone layer. Suggests this will create precedent for large tracts of bushland being lost and the resultant destruction of flora and habitat for animals. | • It is very uncommon for privately owned land to be zoned 'Parks and Recreation' and as such, this proposal is unique and needs to be considered in this context. Refer to comments in submission D above |
| | | | | • Suggests use of residential zone rather than 'urban development' zone as other non residential landuses may be considered upon the land and creates uncertainty for nearby residents. It is fictitious to suggest that a structure plan process will provide certainty. | • Refer comments in submission B above and to comments within the Council report relating to use of the 'urban Development' zone. |
| | | | | • The proposal contains indicative plans for the site, with no requirements for the developer to apply for development approval that resembles the indicative plans. Sees this as dishonest and misleading. | • It is correct that the applicant is not bound by the indicative subdivision plan, however, it is expected to be used in formulating a structure plan over the site should Amendment 24 be ultimately approved by the Council and the Minister for Planning and |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | | Infrastructure through the resolution contained within this report. |
| | | | | • Against removal of R20 density code. | • No change to the R20 density code is proposed. |
| | | | | • Proposal removes rights of ratepayers to have certainty. Residents of the area purchased properties on the basis that this was an established area with open space. Finds no reason to allow this amendment. | • Council is required to consider all applications in accordance with current legislative requirements. The site has not been developed for bonafide open space. |
| | | | | • Developer should only be allowed to develop the land occupied by buildings. Should have been considered when the land was purchased. The developers cost benefit analysis would have encompassed this situation. | |
| 219 | K Murphy on behalf of Murphy family | 31 Arkwell Way MARMION | WA | Objection. | |
| | | 6020 | WA | • Proposal will result in increased traffic and population and to replace this bushland with a housing development will have a detrimental effect on this suburb. The surrounding streets will also be affected. | • Refer comments in submissions B &D above. |
| | | | | • Any development on this site will further reduce the amount of open space available in Marmion, which is already under the 10% that should be available. | • Refer comments in submissions D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | • Large number of children in Marmion and the proposal will substantially increase the traffic on our streets putting children at further risk. The land should revert to bushland as was originally intended and as it was before the CSIRO established its facility. | • Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| 220 | J Turnbull | 52 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Selling the land is inconsistent with the intent that it be retained in public ownership for public benefit and broader public interest and benefit. | • Not a relevant planning consideration. The land is currently privately owned. |
| 221 | J & D Chadwick | 27AWaterstonGardensHILLARYS6025 | Non objection. Like to support the development of a retirement/lifestyle village on the site. | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 222 | S Moore | 33 Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 223 | S Hays | 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Objection. Considers that the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers) is heavily biased in favour of the applicant | • Refer comments in submission 200 above. |
| | | | • Concern that there is a suggestion by the City of Joondalup that something needs to be done about this site and an indication that approval to the amendment may be the only way to go. | • As above. |
| | | | • Two previous rezoning proposals over the site have | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | failed. Current landowner purchased the site for a rock bottom price but with a clear 'Caveat Emptor: from the CSIRO. Let the buyer beware, let the applicant beware. There is no reason, excuse, explanation or discussion required for the Council not to approve this rezoning. If its good enough to knock back the CSIRO is good enough to knock back a nominee company | |
| | | | | • Concern with respect to community consultation comments within the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers). | • As above. |
| | | | | • Claims traffic journey numbers appear to be well understated and a downplaying of the impact of increased traffic will have on Cliff Street. The assumption that any trips west to the beach would be walking and cycling is ludicrous. | • As above. |
| | | | | • Claims environmental reports completely differ to what other conservationists say. | As above. |
| | | | | • Considers that the previous Council report (CJ 200 – 08/04 refers) stating that 'the overall amount of land available within Marmion for recreational pursuits is considered sufficient' is heavily biased in favour of the applicant | As above. |
| | | | | • Claims that there is a 27% shortfall of POS in Marmion and rezoning will exacerbate the POS deficiency. Suggests that with infill deep sewerage, housing density | As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | and population will increase, putting greater pressure on POS. Need more POS not less. Considerable adverse impact on the amenity of the area due to increased noise, traffic and social problems, loss of POS and loss of visual appeal and enjoyment it brings. | As above. |
| | | | • Various comments with respect to the welfare of the Marmion community. | |
| 224 | M John | 36 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. Refers to previous dialogue with the City over traffic related issues relating to the existing right of way (ROW) between Leach Street and West Cost Highway. Suggests that it will be utilised for road access to the west from the proposed development, further exacerbating traffic issues already experienced. | • Refer to comments in submission B above. The statement relating to trips west relate to any future traffic and pedestrian movements associated with the subject land. Given the land is approximately 200 metres from the ocean, it is highly unlikely that future residents would use their private vehicles to make this journey to the sea through the existing ROW. |
| | | | • Suggests blatant omission of traffic management issues in Council reports/briefing notes and bias and lack of reporting of Planning Officers to the Commissioners and ratepayers. | • The applicant's traffic report was tabled within the previous Council report. At that time, the City had not yet provided comments on this report. The City's comments on the traffic |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | report are included within this report (refer to traffic section of this report). |
| 225 | M Moon | 6 Carew Place GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Objection. This is not a rezoning, zones fall under part 3 of the DPS2 and reserves fall under part 2. This is an unreserving and zoning amendment. | • This is the objector's interpretation of the process. The proposal is dealt with as an amendment to the City's DPS2 in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations. In essence, the amendment seeks to change the zoning of the land. |
| | | | • Not proper and orderly planning to waive state policy under the guise of infill housing. None of the states policies suggest that open space be sacrificed to facilitate infill housing. The policies intent is to preserve open space to protect the environment and ensure it's retained for current and future generations and be a haven for flora and fauna. | • The site is unique in that it is a parcel of land within the City that is zoned 'parks and recreation' and which is privately owned. Whilst the state government's various policies relating to planning are taken into consideration, this application needs to be considered on individual merit. |
| | | | • Not proper and orderly planning nor should it be considered that the primary school which is not reserved for use as recreation and the foreshore, be included in the 10% POS calculation. | • Various POS figures were provided in the previous Council report in order to provide a comparison between the amount of POS reserved land within Marmion (as per |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| | | | Loss of the site for POS will exacerbate the current deficit of POS required under state government policy. The City can't ignore the importance of environment in its quest for infill housing. | current government policy) and what land is currently available in Marmion that can be accessed for recreational pursuits. Refer comment in submission D above, together with comments contained within the POS section of the Council report. As above |
| 226 | 60 signature Petition on behalf of Satterley Property Group | Refer petition address details. | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 104 above. | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 227 | Marmion Estates Pty Ltd | 18 Bowman Streer SOUTH PERTH WA | Non objection. The CSIRO tendered Lot 61 for sale in 2003 and Marmion Estates Pty Ltd successfully purchased the site with the intention of developing the land for residential housing, a land use that is identical to the immediate locality, conforms with the MRS zoning of the site and results in a built form not expected to be significantly different to that abutting the site and to the prevailing R20 density coding. To ensure development of the site is appropriate, a | • This is a submission from the landowner and no comment from the City is deemed appropriate. |
| | | | • To ensure development of the site is appropriate, a number of detailed studies, including a community consultation process, have been conducted on our company's behalf. The outcome of these studies has | |

| NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|---|---|
| | | | shown no reason why the Commissioners should not support this application. Summary of key issues are; | |
| | | | • Current R20 density code applied to the site is to remain unchanged and is identical to that applied to land surrounding the site. | |
| | | | • Land is not a formal reserve for recreation as its reserve status was cancelled in 1974 and sold to the CSIRO in freehold title. | |
| | | | • The CSIRO's acquisition and use of the site was not for parks and recreational uses. The zoning of the site under Town Planning Scheme No.1 should have reflected the use of the site as a marine research facility. The change in zoning was never undertaken. | |
| | | | • Whilst the development of the original landholding predated the current 10% POS provision requirement, it is now agreed through an audit conducted by the City, that 16% of the 115 hectares in Marmion, which includes foreshore reserve, or in excess of 18 hectares of land, is available for recreational pursuits. An alternative calculation, which excludes the foreshore reserve, indicates that there is 9.7% allocated for POS. Whilst 0.3% short of the nominated 10%, the minor shortfall is considered irrelevant acknowledging the suburbs proximity to the regionally significant Star Swamp Pasarya, With regard to the City's and State | |
| | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | AFFECTED | AFFECTED | AFFECTED PROPERTY shown no reason why the Commissioners should not support this application. Summary of key issues are; Current R20 density code applied to the site is to remain unchanged and is identical to that applied to land surrounding the site. Land is not a formal reserve for recreation as its reserve status was cancelled in 1974 and sold to the CSIRO in freehold title. The CSIRO's acquisition and use of the site was not for parks and recreational uses. The zoning of the site under Town Planning Scheme No.1 should have reflected the use of the site as a marine research facility. The change in zoning was never undertaken. Whilst the development of the original landholding predated the current 10% POS provision requirement, it is now agreed through an audit conducted by the City, that 16% of the 115 hectares in Marmion, which includes foreshore reserve, or in excess of 18 hectares of land, is available for recreational pursuits. An alternative calculation, which excludes the foreshore reserve, indicates that there is 9.7% allocated for POS. Whilst 0.3% short of the nominated 10%, the minor shortfall is considered irrelevant acknowledging the suburbs |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | | available for recreation within the Marmion locality is considered sufficient. | |
| | | | | • When the reserve was cancelled to allow the transfer in freehold title to the CSIRO, the City obtained the Percy Doyle Reserve in exchange for the land. | |
| | | | | • All these issues were addressed by the City Planner in his report (CJ 200 –08/04 refers), where it is clearly shown that there are no justifiable grounds for the Commissioners to refuse the application to rezone the land on the basis of POS requirements. A detailed report by environmental consultants Bowman Bishaw Gorham found there are no occurrences of rare, threatened, and endangered or priority flora or fauna within the site. The poor botanical condition of the vegetation on site means it is not part of the State Government's Bush Forever policy nor is it proposed for reservation and therefore has no regional or local conservation significance. | |
| | | | | • A further study by Parsons Brinckerhoff Engineering found there is no hazardous material arising from the previous marine facility use with detailed investigation indicating that the site does not possess a health hazard for future residents of the site and that further soil and groundwater testing is not necessary. | |
| | | | | • The existing roads surrounding the site carry less than 3000 vehicles per day, with direct lot access from these streets being acceptable under current road planning | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | | guidelines. In traffic engineering terms the development of the site will not have a significant impact on local streets. | |
| | | | | • The findings of the heritage assessment indicate there are no grounds for assessing the site for cultural heritage or ethnographic significance as it is not on any heritage registers. | |
| | | | | • Comments provided relating to the landowners community consultation exercises. Aware that a minority group within the community have lobbied against the rezoning. Grounds for this are publicly recorded and can be summarised as the outstanding POS issue, retention of the site for community purposes and deficiency and loss of POS in Marmion. The community group clearly support future development of the land by advocating a number of alternative uses for the site including childcare, senior citizens, health care, recreation, community, library and tourism. The impact on the community should any of these non residential uses be supported would be far more adverse that the current proposal as it would see a significant reduction of the amenity of the area through increased traffic, parking and noise problems. Should the City wish to pursue development of this nature they would be required to purchase the land at full market value and then fund the construction and maintenance of the facilities. This is a financial burden that the majority of the City of Joondalup ratepayers are unlikely to support. Whilst there has been no formal needs assessment of the area, | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | the City already has extensive community facilities at Percy Doyle Reserve, which could accommodate increased demand from the community in a far more efficient manner. | |
| | | | • Thanks the City for initiating the rezoning process to ensure a balanced and objective consideration of their proposal. Suggests as a result of this process, it is evident that the rezoning and development of the site will meet the needs of the City without prejudicing the lifestyle and amenity of the immediate residents. | |
| 228 | Satterley Property Group | 18 Bowman Street SOUTH PERTH WA | Non objection. Comments as per submission 227 above | • Refer comments in submission 227 above. |
| 229 | M Bowman | 290 Churchill Street SUBIACO WA 6008 | • Non Objection. Redevelopment of the land for residential purposes accords with good planning for the metropolitan area. | • Noted. |
| | | | • Submitter has personally inspected the land and reviewed findings of site investigations and botanical surveys. Key points to emerge from this include; | • Noted. |
| | | | Land was totally cleared of native vegetation when it was initially developed. All vegetation within the site has been planted as part of landscaping for the site. The values of the site in terms of vegetation and flora are very low. The vegetation is in poor condition and is not indigenous, notwithstanding that there are several indigenous species, which have | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | been planted. As a result of limited area and vegetation condition, the values of the site for fauna are also very low. Development of the site for residential purposes will not have any significant detrimental environmental effects in terms of flora, vegetation, habitats or fauna populations. Development of the site for residential purposes is consistent with broad scale environmental management objectives for the metropolitan area. The WAPC document 'Network City' represents the latest in strategic planning concepts for the metropolitan area and has been developed on the basis of extensive community and stakeholder inputs. The prime objective of Network City is to reduce urban sprawl and focus the demand and requirement for new homes using infill. This site is a perfect example to contribute to the reduction of urban sprawl through redevelopment/infill. For each new residence developed on the site there is a concurrent reduction in the need to provide new housing sites at the fringe of the City, potentially on naturally vegetated land. Development costs (provision of servicing infrastructure & community facilities) for this site is lower than would be the case for new development at the fringe. | • The submitter's comments on Network City are noted, however this document is only in draft form. It is noted that there are environmental benefits from reducing urban sprawl. |
| | | | | • Development of the site for residential purposes can be | • Noted. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | logically supported on both environmental and town planning grounds. | |
| 230 | D and M Klumpp | 17 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 231 | T Edgeworth | 65 High Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 232 | K Mackenzie | 22 Robin Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 233 | MC Meikle | 19 Sandstone Place MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 234 | T Beauchamp | 5 Elfreda Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 235 | G Beauchamp | 5 Elfreda Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 236 | T and I McMiles | 21 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 237 | J Baker | 2 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 238 | T Hardie | 28 McLairdy Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 239 | A and L Ryan | 30 Rountree Way | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 240 | D Young | 22 Whiley Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above. | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 241 | E Marra | 25 Sheppard Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 242 | C Rotham | 18 Myrtle Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |
| 243 | G Rafferty | 47 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |
| 244 | V Persich | 70 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 245 | L Mack | 59 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 246 | C Coyle | 55 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 247 | R Bramston | No address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • As above. |
| 248 | A Henderson | 53 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 249 | L Bullard | 51 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 250 | M Johnson | 2 Drakes Walk SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |
| 251 | D Daw | 5 Justin Drive SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |
| 252 | J Clancy – Lowe | 45 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above. | • As above. |
| 253 | C Rafferty | 47 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 254 | D Geddes | 101 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 255 | A Fiaschi | 29 Ross Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 256 | M Collins | 67 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 257 | No Name given | No address given | Drawing stating the environment should be saved. | • Noted. |
| 258 | J Curtin | 11 Baleine Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 259 | W Platt | 15 Baleine Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above. | • As above. |
| 260 | G Gould | 11 Baler Court MULLALOO WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | | 6027 | | |
| 261 | K McCalgan | 28 Gnobar Way MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 262 | J Woodhouse | 12 Coolangatta Route HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 263 | V Boyle | 21 Koombana Way KALLAROO WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 264 | J Campbell | 3 Coyle Road BELDON WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 265 | K Hannah | 7 Dalton Crescent KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 266 | M Entriken | 24A West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 267 | S Burrows | 180 Sequoia Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 268 | G Bracey | 21 Cornfield Place HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 269 | S Kapton | 56 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 270 | D Host | 3 Sheahan Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Objection. Our suburb has very little open space and changing the zoning to allow high density development would lead to community detriment. One of the main | Refer comments in submission D above |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | attractions of Marmion is the low density of housing and the proposed development is out of character. | |
| | | | • The proposed development will add higher traffic flow, especially along Shepherd Way, which is used by children who attend Marmion Primary School. | • Refer comments in submission B above |
| | | | • Proposed development will add a substantial amount of money to the developer and the City through rates, but nothing positive to local residents. | • This issue is not a valid planning matter. |
| | | | • Suggests that the City is not providing value for ratepayers in Marmion as there is a lack of Council provided services in the area. Suggests the land be used for community purposes. | Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | • Lack of bushland in the area and site should be rehabilitated back into a nature reserve or parkland as originally intended before the land was taken by the CSIRO. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 271 | R Perkins | 16B Jutland Rise OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 272 | N Ververis | 34 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Attachment 6 |
| | | | • We already have a deficiency in POS and removal of this reserve will further diminish that requirement. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 273 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | 66 above. |
| 274 | C Aitken | 6 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Would not like to live in an environment without bushland. Keeping a balance of housing and bushland is a very important aspect of the way of life West Australians have taken in the past as a right. Once suburban bushland is destroyed and developed it is lost forever so whilst we have an opportunity to keep and preserve it, let us do so and not let economic greed prevail. | • Noted. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 275 | N Judge | 3 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The buildings could be utilised for the benefit of the community. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 276 | M John | 7 Olive Close MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Already in the City of Joondalup we are faced with loss of our native bushland, this our heritage and what my children use. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • This is a City of Joondalup issue, whose parkland or remnant vegetation is next? | • This is not the subject matter of this report. |
| | | | • Retain the buildings for community use and let the residents care for their bushland. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 277 | P Judge | 3 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The City should be looking after ratepayer's interests, not big business. How can the Council qualify these changes without having preconceived to be in the pocket by private industry. Put ratepayers first, not last. | • The City, the Council and the WAPC will assess this proposal on the planning merits. Public advertising has indicated that there is both support and objection to the rezoning. |
| 278 | F Med | 6 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attchment 6. |
| | | 0025 | • As our population increases and housing demand grows, remnant vegetation becomes special and important to keep. | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | • An area of vegetation is a micro ecology in its own right and provides more than just a piece of nature and beauty to our environment. It is there to be appreciated and enjoyed by those who live along side it. | • As above |
| | | | • It is the duty of our generation to preserver and respect this vegetation for our children not to take or destroy it to feed our greed. | • As above |
| 279 | A Cox | 5A Bettles MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The site was an original farming site that was given to | • It is noted that the CSIRO no |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | the CSIRO for a marine research facility, not to then be resumed by government for housing development. | longer requires the facility. The land is now privately owned. |
| | | | • Once infill deep sewerage is completed, larger blocks will be subdivided further and there will be ample development opportunities in the area. | • There is no formal proposal to increase the density in the suburb of Marmion, irrespective of the completion of infill deep sewerage. |
| | | | • The site is only being rezoned for monetary gain with no consideration for the ratepayers. No consideration has been proven for the natural habitat nor existing percentage of POS in the suburb. | • Monetary issues are not a planning related matter. All views of the community, both for and against the proposal, are being considered. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • There is no burning need to develop this site when so much residential housing land is available in nearby Hillarys and surrounding suburbs as subdivision takes over. | • This is a subjective view for which no response is required. |
| 280 | B MacKenzie | 22 Robin Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 281 | K Kinsman | 36 Conica Crescent HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 282 | J Baker | 21 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| 283 | G Blackburn | 17 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 284 | K Bourke | 16 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 285 | Submittor requested submission be withdrawn | | | Submission withdrawn. |
| 286 | C Walsmley | 25 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 287 | B Stobbie | 45 Cook Avenue HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 288 | A Barre | 6 Charon Place CRAIGIE WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 289 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. I support the rezoning of the site to residential, which would result in the redevelopment of the site into lots of between 450m ² and 500m ² for quality single residential housing. This would be in keeping with the housing which is occurring in Marmion and other coastal areas | • Noted |
| 290 | S Woodhouse | 23 Carradale Glen HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 291 | Mr B Ashdown | 40 Bernedale Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 292 | Mrs N Ashdown | 40 Bernedale Way DUNCRAIG WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | 6023 | | |
| 293 | C Bellamy | 24 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 294 | M Pirone | 206 Walcott Street MOUNT LAWLEY WA 6050 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 295 | C Owen | 10 Maltara Loop QUINNS ROCKS WA 6030 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 296 | J Cirrone | 37 Charles Street KARRINYUP WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 297 | D Fan | 9 Redondo Court ILUKA WA 6028 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 298 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 299 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • As above. |
| 300 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 301 | Name illegible | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 302 | L Kaptein | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 303 | H Lemmens | 42 Cricklewood Way CARINE WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 304 | K Galvin | 22 Belhus Drive TRIGG WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 305 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 306 | M Deers | 173A West Coast Drive NORTH BEACH WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 307 | D Dunmall | 8 Corsiar Court HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |
| 308 | C Rowbotham | 386 Warwick Road WARWICK WA 6024 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 309 | Name illegible | Street address illegible | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 310 | P Phur | 6 Whitewater Lookout ILUKA WA 6028 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 311 | C and S Harper | 8 Ranford Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 312 | M Read | 7 Raleigh Road SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 313 | M Archer | 29 Whitmore Terrace HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 314 | C Clement | 301 Angove Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 315 | S Steitch | 118 Aristride Avenue KALLAROO WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 316 | Name illegible | Street address illegible | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 317 | C George | 72 Justin Drive SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 318 | J O'Connor | 5 Landsborough Way PADBURY WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 319 | V Walsh | 43 Cornfield Place HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 320 | Name illegible | 7 Lancett Court SORRENTO WA | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 321 | S Campbell | 3 Sheffield Place HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 322 | R Crawford | 1/20 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 323 | C Lancer | 9 Tessa Court DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 324 | B Harding | 1/8 Kathleen Street TRIGG WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 325 | L Scamaton | 99 Broadbeach Boulevard HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | As above. |
| 326 | J Stone | 16 Bennion Street TRIGG WA 6029 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 327 | R Botfield | 23A Gwelup Street KARRINYUP WA 6018 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| 328 | L Pilkington | 10 Redondo Court ILUKA WA 6028 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above |
| 329 | D Radstaat | 54 The Circle WARWICK WA 6024 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 330 | T Bell | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 331 | S Cain | Mallorca Avenue HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 332 | M Shaw | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289above | • As above. |
| 333 | C Samwell | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 334 | L Hughes | 10 Seaview Place QUINNS ROCKS WA 6030 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 335 | S Gough | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 336 | C Sealey | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 337 | R King | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 338 | S Giles | 19 The Rise WOODVALE WA 6026 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 339 | S Huller | 7 Stockwell Way KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 340 | K Lindell | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 65 above | • Refer comments in submission 65 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 341 | P Connor | 9 Bernard Manning Drive DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 342 | A Campbell | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 343 | R Rees | 173A West Coast Drive NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 344 | Name illegible | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 345 | P Quintana | 54 Lushington Drive PADBURY WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 346 | D Patterson | 9 Breeze Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 347 | G Bradshaw | 6 Claygate Way KINGSLEY WA 6026 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 348 | G Philcox | 8B Jason Place PADBURY WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 349 | N Green | 5B Frobisher Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 350 | Name illegible | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 351 | Name illegible | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 352 | Name illegible | No street address given | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | above | |
| 353 | M Blower | 24 Ewing Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 354 | T Martin | 50 Sherington Road GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 355 | J Walford | 63 Armytage Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 356 | A Miles | 21 Halliday Grove HILLARYS WA 6025 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 357 | K Gartrell | 31 Jervis Way SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 358 | R Green | 19 Ross Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 359 | L Payne | 38 Bannister Road PADBURY WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 360 | B Aveling | 29 Margaret Street WATERMAN WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 361 | G Carter | 17 James Street NORTH BEACH WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 362 | W Zeh | 6 Warwick Road SORRENTO WA 6020 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 363 | S Anderson | 12 Hutton Place | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | WOODVALE WA 6026 | | |
| 364 | E Pratt | 52 Cook Avenue HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 365 | L Bergin | 6 Leichardt Avenue PADBURY WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 366 | A Bishop | 77 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 367 | C Snart | 16 Caridean Street HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 368 | A Hospel | 329 West Coast Drive TRIGG WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 369 | N Billins | 30 Boynton Gardens ILUKA WA 6028 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 370 | R Leader | 27 Flora Terrace WATERMANS BAY WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 371 | S Bullirs | 30 Boynton Gardens ILUKA WA 6028 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 372 | A White | 3 Pindarie Place HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 373 | K Thomson | 27 Allenswood Road GREENWOOD WA 6024 | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |
| 374 | J Green | 26 Cockpit Way OCEAN REEF WA | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | | OUNCIL'S ECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | 6027 | | | | |
| 375 | G Jamieson | 7 Fortuna View ILUKA WA 6028 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • | As above. |
| 376 | W Rappard | 8 Grangemouth Turn KINROSS WA 6028 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • | As above. |
| 377 | A Staltari | 98 Flora Terrace NORTH BEACH WA | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • | As above. |
| 378 | K Grey | 3 Shore Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • | Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 379 | Name illegible | 10 Anandra Place MULLAOO WA 6027 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • | Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 380 | P Wilson | 10A Del Ambre Place SORRENTO WA 6020 | • | Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • | Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 381 | B and L Leach | 25 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • | | • | The application is for an amendment to the scheme only. The matters suggested would be addressed in further detail at the structure plan and subdivision application stage. |
| | | | • | The proponent's community consultation was not good and appeared to be for face value only. Many requests for further information were declined. This is not the way major changes to a community should be | • | Refer comments in submission C above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | approached. This lack of respect should not be encouraged or rewarded. | |
| | | | | • Reducing park and recreation areas in established suburbs with open space ratios that are below common levels should not be allowed. | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | | • Replacing park and recreation areas with residential development in well established suburbs should not be allowed without a community referendum/community consultation and must detail and provide evidence for the significant community benefits, including a detailed structural plan of the proposals. | • The proposed amendment has been subject to community consultation in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967. A Structure Plan for the site would be required should the proposed amendment be approved. Formal advertising of the structure plan will provide additional opportunities for the community to comment. |
| | | | | • Previous attempts to change the zoning and use of the land failed and there is no viable reason given as to why it should change now. | • No precedent has been set as each application is assessed on individual merit. |
| | | | | • The lot was never rezoned when initially transferred to the CSIRO. Without documentation proving why, it must be assumed that our forefathers at all government levels did not intend for any further development, particularly residential, to take place at the site. | • The site is now in private ownership, accordingly all applications are required to be considered in accordance with the relevant legislation. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | • We can't find a single viable and significant community benefit in the proposal. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • We moved to the area for the unique amenity it offered and the residents facing the land will be particularly impacted by many issues including loss of natural surroundings, biodiversity, visual quality, increase in traffic, parking problems and safety being compromised. We can't find one positive impact on our amenity within the proposal. | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50. |
| | | | | • The site is a unique area of natural bushland in the Marmion community. Retention of biological diversity in established communities is a stated objective of governments at all levels and must be adhered to. The current and previous owners have not maintained the natural bushland and have let it be degraded. The City recently won an environmental award for their bushland work, please continue it. | • Refer comments in submission D above |
| | | | | • If the POS requirement for Marmion is below the commonly accepted standard, then the level must be corrected, not further eroded. | • As above |
| | | | | • The City's commissioners, councillors and officers have a statutory and moral responsibility to output the interests of the community first and foremost. In this case, these considerations must give precedence to the local community. No authority with power to execute | • The Council (Joint Commissioners) has the authority to act whilst Council's elected members are suspended. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | such a change can presume to have authority to alter the amenity of a community without obtaining absolute support from that community. Communities within the City currently have no current elected Councillor representation at decision making level. A decision in favour of the amendment should therefore only be achieved by community referendum. | |
| 382 | H Schonknecht | 28 Barralier Way PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Noted. Refer Attachment 6 |
| | | | • Marmion has very little bushland. Any development of this site will create further dangerous traffic problems on an already dangerous Cliff Street. | Refer comments in submissions B & D above |
| 383 | J Benbow | 20 George Street NORTH BEACH WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6 |
| 384 | D Pannell | 13 Banool Crescent ALBANY WA 6330 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6 |
| 385 | S Jensen | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6 |
| 386 | C Bindon | 36 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 387 | Z Bailey | 9 Gemini Rise OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 388 | G Power | 33 The Grove WOODVALE WA 6026 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 389 | E Jones | 2 Dryandra Court | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| | | GREENWOOD WA 6024 | | |
| 390 | H Conduit | 453 Beach Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 391 | S Williams | 8 Galena Place CARINE WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 392 | J St John and J Deklerk | 96 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 393 | Submittor requested submission be withdrawn | | | • Withdraw submission. |
| 394 | J Dewar | 18 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 395 | J Zaknic | 15 Fluellen Way HAMILTON HILL WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 396 | T Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Open space would benefit more people, wider community, than any type of urban development – the core of community values. | Refer comments in submission D above |
| 397 | P Gorst | 51B Glenfield Road KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 398 | L Redhead | 5 Dawes Court PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 399 | A Herrmann | 6 Gordon Avenue | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 400 | G Stuart | QUINNS ROCKS WA 6 Lysander Drive HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 401 | L Vincenzo | 43A Anzac Road LEEDERVILLE WA | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 402 | J Bon | 17 Goss Avenue MANNING WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • No rezoning should take place because of the loss of amenity, parkland, natural surroundings, loss of biodiversity, traffic safety and parking issues. | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50 above. |
| 403 | B McAuliffe Poznik | 43 Tonkin Road HILTON WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • No development in Marmion parks as it needs its public spaces and parks. | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 404 | B Farrinya | 8 Thurston Street MT LAWLEY WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion already has a large POS deficiency and this will further diminish that requirement. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Loss of amenity, parkland, natural surroundings, loss of biodiversity, traffic safety and parking issues are all additional substantial reasons why there should be no rezoning. | • Refer B, D &50 above. |
| 405 | S John | 4 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | See Attachment 6.The petition submitted has |
| | | 0027 | | - The petition submitted has |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | • I hope the Council will take serious consideration of this petition – absolute no rezoning. | been tabled and noted in the Council report. |
| 406 | A King | 4 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • I strongly disagree with this proposal. No rezoning. | • Noted. |
| 407 | M Disbury | 8 Irian Grove RIVERTON WA 6148 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • It is one of the last remaining remnant bushland in the suburb | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • We are down to 7% POS and require 10% minimum. Where are you going to purchase land to bring it back up to the minimum level? | • As above |
| | | | • Why is the Council considering short term financial interest over the views of local ratepayers? | • Financial interest is not being considered as it is not a planning issue. |
| | | | • Why should developers gain financially while the community loses amenities? | • As above. |
| 408 | S Grosse | 4 Perry Close BATEMAN WA 6150 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • No rezoning. It is extremely important to keep as much POS as possible. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 409 | M Castillo | 32 Hazel Avenue QUINNS ROCKS WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | • Leave it alone. | • Noted. |
| 410 | B Redhead | 5 Dawes Court PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This land should go back to the people of Joondalup. | • The City does not own the land. It is currently under private ownership. |
| 411 | L Cohen | 30 Exford Way KARRINYUP WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Why can't the City of Joondalup buy the site back and use it for the benefit of the Marmion community, which, even though it pays high rates and taxes, has practically no community facilities. Modify the existing buildings and return the bushland to its former beauty. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 412 | D Nicholas | 6/9 St Marks Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 413 | P Pannell | 13 Bannool Crescent ALBANY WA 6330 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 414 | D Harrop | 3 Angelina Court KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 415 | D Grumont | 45 Waterford Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | Refer Attachment 6. |
| 416 | I Tallentire | No street address given | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | • Good quality bush blocks are rare and precious. They exemplify the concept of biodiversity and have to be put aside for conservation. It is an utmost priority to give attention to these remnants, as they are often the last to display some species communities. Already cleared areas have to be proposed for development, instead of these last authentic WA landscapes. They are also an excellent buffer zone in weed affected areas. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 417 | J Gorst | 51B Glenfield Road KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 418 | Name illegible | 34 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; We already have a deficiency in POS and removal of this reserve will further diminish that requirement. | Refer Attachment 6. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 419 | R Siewert | 106 Eton Street NORTH PERTH WA 6006 | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; Perth has already lost most of its urban bushland and its subsequent clearing for urban development and must protect its remaining bushland. This bushland contains valuable vegetation complexes and provides a corridor to coastal vegetation and should be protected. | Refer Attachment 6. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 420 | K and J Dawkins | 80 Northwood Street WEST LEEDERVILLE WA | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; The Marmion area is unique in that it has remained a | Refer Attachment 6.Noted. The beachfront is not |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | true beachside suburb. The pathway along the beach is unique. It is a relaxed pleasant place for people to walk along by the ocean. Development of the site to allow an alternate use other than open space is unnecessary and will forever change the spirit of the Marmion area. Important for the City to retain its true assets such as its relatively undeveloped beach front areas for the benefit of all the people living in its boundaries as well as those further a field. Beachfront is precious and should be available to all, not a select few. There is already plenty of housing in the area. The last thing we need is another Scarborough or Cottesloe. | the subject of this application. |
| 421 | J Morrison | No street address given | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; Against rezoning without intense community consultation and comment. | Refer Attachment 6. Refer comments in submission C above. |
| 422 | P Woodhouse | 139 Marine Terrace MARMION WA 6020 | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; Leave it alone. | Refer Attachment 6. Noted |
| 423 | P Glossop | 62 Mayfair Street MOUNT CLAREMONT WA | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; Concerned about the never ending urbanisation of our environment. Whilst not opposed to development and improvement, against degradation of our community. | Refer Attachment 6.Noted. |
| | | | • Concerned that we are turning our communities into concrete, traffic infested jungles. The thought that a parcel of land that is zoned 'parks and recreation' can be | • The rezoning process is in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | sold and rezoned at the wave of a pen sickens me. Why do people pay a premium to be near a little bit of nature if the Council have a right to rezone at will. | |
| | | | • The Joondalup Council have responsibility to their ratepayers not developers – keep the focus correct. Make your decision based on community response and needs, this has been debated three times in the past 14 years. The ratepayers want the land retained as is. | • The community response has been bothboth for and against the proposal. The Council will make a decision based on the planning merits of the proposal. |
| 424 | C Jensen | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This is an historical part of Marmion. Do not rip out its heart. | • Noted. |
| | | | • They have so little POS. Please do not take any more from them. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • There is sufficient traffic congestion in our suburbs, don't create more. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • Please City of Joondalup, restore our faith in you, no rezoning. | • Noted. |
| 425 - same submission as 687 | T Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The City should heed to the request of the Marmion community to maintain or improve the proportion of POS whilst preserving bush heritage. | • Refer comments in submission D above. Should the rezoning be approved and cash in lieu of |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | public open space be approved at the time of subdivision, the funds could be utilised to significantly upgrade Braden Park and other POS in the area. |
| 426 | F Flugge | 30A Edgecumbe Street COMO WA 6152 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The potential rezoning of any land designated parks and recreation to urban development is cause for concern. The fact that the land in question is home to the last piece of remnant native bush in Marmion makes it cause for alarm. It is home to a wide variety of plant and bird life, including rare species. There is a shortage of POS in the suburb already. It provides amenity value to residents of Marmion visitors and future generations. Why should this be ignored for a developer's private gain? The residents of Marmion deserve better, as does the wider community. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 427 | D Webb | 136 Castlecrag Drive KALLAROO WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 428 | H Clayton | 5 Cross Street SHENTON PARK WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Opposed because of the significant role the healthy bush has for the health of the community. The remaining bush in our urban landscape is priceless and the proposed rezoning is a short sighted and heavily discounts the environmental values of the site as well as the intrinsic | Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | and recreational values embedded in this bushland reserve. | |
| | | | • Urges the City to reconsider the proposed rezoning and think more intelligently and creatively about ways to achieve urban development that is environmentally sustainable and adds to the well-being of the Joondalup community as a whole. | • Noted |
| 429 | P Spenneit | 29 Brady Street GLENDALOUGH WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area of POS has already been compromised. No more infill. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Too few areas of urban bushland. Please keep what we have, give the future of the suburb a positive aspect, not one congested with housing and traffic. That's why there are POS planning laws in place. | • As above |
| | | | • The only things created by the rezoning will be traffic congestion, small blocks and very dense living. Nothing that will increase lifestyle in the area. | • As above. |
| | | | • The Joondalup Council have responsibility to their ratepayers not developers – keep the focus correct. Make your decision based on community response and needs, this has been debated three times in the past 14 years. The ratepayers want the land retained as is. | • Refer comments in submissions D and 423 above. |
| 430 | G George | 1 Peppermint Place MORLEY WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | • No community benefit in this proposal. We need more POS. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 431 | M Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This land should not be rezoned unless a broad section of it is reserved as parkland plus amenities to assist access to and educate visitors to the area. Existing building could be used public use, theatre, art studios, library etc. Essential that Council enhance amenities in the area, not provide a new housing subdivision where there is vacant land elsewhere. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 432 | A Harrop | 3 Angelina Court KINGSLEY WA 6026 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Has been using Marmion beaches and parks for many years and is totally surprised and disgusted that Marmion's only bit of remnant bushland is under threat of development. Why is the City so blatantly arrogant in its dismissal of both community and ratepayers wishes. No rezoning, if allowed, where next? | • Only a small portion of the site is remnant (natural) bush. The community are both for and against the proposal. The community has been invited to comment on the proposed Amendment 24 in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967. |
| 433 | J McAuliffe Poznik | 43 Tonkin Road HILTON WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion's already under its POS requirement. Who wants to live in the City of Joondalup when the Council | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | has no respect for the ratepayers and parkland in their community. | |
| 434 | S Danaher | 4 Baxter Way PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Why are Marmion residents fighting for their POS and only piece of remnant bushland for the 3 rd time in 14 years, which it is legally entitled to. Site has significant historical value and sense of community to all Marmion residents. | • Refer comments in submissions D and 432 above. |
| 435 | O Lamond | 94 Caridean Street HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should be reserved for POS. | • Noted. |
| 436 | D John | 7 Olive Close MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Wishes to remind the Council of the need for principles, ethical and not planning related, in respect to the site. Site was once a local reserve, de-gazetted to allow a marine research facility to be built at public expense for public use. If the CSIRO has decided to not operate from the site, the land should go back to a reserve. Marmion residents have been fighting many years to preserve a valuable piece of coastal bushland. The developer is fudging the environmental value (or lack thereof) to suit his purpose of bulldozing it all down for development. The principle is the site has been determined to have significant local environmental value. Bushland back to the community, no rezoning, Council should purchase | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | the land. | |
| 437 | G Glossop | 36 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Apart from the loss of amenity values, loss of natural heritage and flora/fauna to the district for our future generation is immense. They will have no idea, concept or appreciation for POS and nature in local communities, which our forefathers legislated to preserve. The City should focus towards the reservation of these amenities and very rare patches of nature within our close environment. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Question of defining boundaries of Local Government interests versus commercial interests. Are the people (Officers and councillors) who are meant to be devoted to the betterment of the City and the ratepayers serving the people of Joondalup? If there are any benefits to the City please explain and outline these benefits to the ratepayers, if not please divulge and disclose the purpose and background of the apparent support of this proposal and future development shown by the planning department of the City. | • These details are outlined in the Council report. |
| | | | • The way the whole issue has been handled is mystifying. The issue of rezoning this land has been discussed, debated and decided upon numerous times in the past. The City is well aware of the community's feelings and opinions from these past debates. Yet again the issue has arisen, this time however the fate of the land seems to be | • Please refer to Council report and comments within submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | sealed due to sale of the land to a developer. Why would any developer purchase a parcel of land zoned 'Parks and Recreation' if he didn't have some knowledge and confidence that he could have the land rezoned? How many times can these situations happen, each time the proposer gains more experience in how to counter Community's arguments, practice makes perfect. The history of this whole saga appears to have an odour. | |
| 438 - same submission as 135 | R Powell | 54 Bournemouth Crescent WEMBLEY DOWNS WA 6019 | | |
| 439 | N Duff | 7 The Grange MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 440 | H Hydr | Carnwrath Way DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 441 | Name illegible | 2 Lolium Close WOODVALE WA 6026 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 442 | P Hyde | 5 High Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • As above. |
| 443 | L Rose | 18 Captain Court HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 444 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|---|---|
| 445 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 446 | Name illegible | No street address given | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 447 | K Laval | 32 Lawley Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 206 above | • Refer comments in submission 206 above. |
| 448 | Mr I Kennedy | 18 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • Refer comments in submission 22 above. |
| 449 | Mrs A Kennedy | 18 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 450 | G Kolomyjec | 4 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 451 | M Turner | 39 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 22 above | • As above. |
| 452 | B Mitchell–D'Raine | 46 Partlet Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Non objection. Refer comments in submission 66 above | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 453 | C Selby | 36 Marine Terrace MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. Commends the Commissioners for conducting the affairs of the City in an excellent manner to date having | • Noted. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | full regard for the best interests of the ratepayers. Requests favourable consideration of this submission. | |
| | | | | • Long time resident of Marmion and have seen a steady decline in natural bushland areas in the neighbourhood. Further reduction is not compatible with the City's strategic plan of managing our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • What little POS is left is well used for dog exercise and children's play and any proposal to rezone these areas is strongly opposed by the community as demonstrated only a few years ago by local residents when a proposal was put forward to develop City of Joondalup dry parks, one of which was Cliff Park, Marmion. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • Believes the City's policy for responding and communicating with the community and providing effective community consultation is lacking in this instance and the fact that the developer has been allowed to purchase the site prior to this process taking place does not provide a fair and transparent decision making process to the community. | • Refer comments in submission C above. The disposal of the land is not the subject of this application. |
| | | | | • Questions the integrity of the results of the survey conducted by the developer and not impressed in the manner in which this was conducted. A comprehensive and fully independent survey should be commissioned before any decision is made. | • Refer comments in submission C above |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | • Urge the Commissioners to take into consideration the needs and desires of the Marmion community in making its decision on this or any other area. Many large size blocks in the area and owners are subdividing their land and with resultant increase in houses, this places even more importance on existing POS which is barely adequate according to minimum requirements. | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Requests the Commissioners consider the clear precedent set by previous failed rezoning of the site and that a change in ownership of the land should not be regarded as a factor in the decision making process. | • As above. |
| 454 | C B Ghersinich | 17 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. Strong opposition to the printing of an indicative subdivision plan on the back of Council letter. Believes this is deceitful practice and designed to confuse the ratepayers into believing they are commenting on a development, not a zoning change. | • It was clearly advised that comments were sought on the proposed Amendment 24 only. The subdivision designs were included to show an indicative design so submitters could get an idea of how future subdivision of the site may occur should the amendment be ultimately approved. |
| | | | • Best value for the site is for POS. The land was transferred to CSIRO without public scrutiny and its change of use was not in keeping with its original reservation. From Crown grant the land was for POS. | • The reserve status on this land was cancelled in 1974. The land was sold, as it was surplus to the needs of the CSIRO. Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|---|
| | | | | • Marmion has a shortfall of POS. The foreshore should not be included, as it comprises cliff faces with mainly no access and no facilities except an aged toilet block. | D above.Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | Two previous rezonings have failed with huge opposition. The proper course is for the Council to maintain the zoning and acquire the site for fair compensation for the zoned and intended POS purpose. This will require a substantial amount of money, but do not accept that this is a reason for not acquiring the site. The City must supply the community and ratepayers with services, which they pay. The south west ward has no Council infrastructure despite our long years as ratepayers. The money is being spent in the northern areas despite the growth in our population and infill due to sewerage connection. | • As above. Any acquisition of the site would be at a significant cost to the Council and City of Joondalup ratepayers, and is not currently being considered by the Council. |
| | | | | • Overwhelming support to retain the site as POS. Existing building is of high standard and supports community and social use. Natural bushland must be maintained as the last area in Marmion. Land is home too much flora and fauna. Our only other POS is Braden Park, which has no natural bush, no facilities and no parking. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • The narrow streets and hilly terrain make parking and public transport a problem. Development outcomes for the site would be unreasonable and undesirable. | • Refer comments in submission 50 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | • Current owners brought the land at a discount and knew the risk. How could they not be aware of the 2 previous failed applications. Details of this were on the contract of sale documents. | • Refer comments in submissiuon D above. |
| | | | • CSIRO were poor custodians of the site. Council should investigate the pipeline in Marmion Marine Park and the pump on the beach. Who is going to clean up that problem? | • This is not the subject matter of this report. |
| | | | • Responsibility of the Council and the Commissioners to ensure the POS in Marmion is lifted to the required standard and that the site is returned to the people from whence it was taken. This time, let it be forever. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 455 See submission B | M Caiacob | 7 Rowan Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Land was originally intended to be a reserve. This reserve was then part of the POS allocation for Marmion. On this basis combined with a POS allocation below 10%, there is no sustainable planning argument that supports the rezoning. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | There is a deficiency of public facilities provided for Marmion residents. This is an opportunity to consult with 3 interest groups (developer, ratepayers & Council) for sustainable | As above. Refer comments in submission C above. |
| | | | development outcomes but hasn't occurred. | C 40010. |
| 456 | M O'Neill | 37 Beach Road | Objection. | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | • There is only natural bushland left on this site. Marmion has no community facilities whatsoever. Our rates are spent expanding the City of Joondalup. The site should be POS for outdoor activities (picnics, library, theatre, arts etc). Endless possibilities, the infrastructure is there Not suitable to remove all possibilities for community services. The beach front is almost inaccessible. No money spent there. | D above. The beach front is not the subject of this application. |
| 457 | O, A & C Went | 7 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | Objection. We used to play across the road with our friends. We | Refer comments in submission |
| | | | grew up with the knowledge that the bush would be there forever. Although we no longer play in the bush, we still feel a strong attachment to it and would be very sad to loose it. It has been part of our life. If it is zoned for parkland, then that's how it should stay. It is not fair that some developer can come in and destroy our bushland. Where will the birds and wildlife go when the bush is gone? Our generation rely on you to look after our ever decreasing bush and open space. Where will th children of future generations play? You give us little hope for the future when the natural environment and al it contains is destroyed for the sake of more and more housing. Nature has a right to exist as well. Please do no touch our parkland. It should belong to everyone as it was originally promised. | D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|---|--|
| 458 | N & A van Wonderen | 13 Ozone Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Our decision to purchase our home was based predominantly on advice that the CSIRO site was to forever remain an 'A class' reserve. | • The Reserve status of the site was cancelled in 1974. Council is required to consider all planning applications in accordance with current planning requirements. |
| | | | • Current decisions made in relation to this site are based only on finance and not on quality of life for Marmion residents. | • Financial considerations are not a planning issue and do not form part of the assessment. |
| | | | • Proposal will destroy our lifestyle and the removal of native trees will be a major loss of flora and fauna in Marmion. Braden Park does not have the vegetation to support any native fauna. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Support against previous attempts to rezone the site was given by the then Lord Major of the time and current Member of Parliament, Rob Johnson. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 459 | D Ladd | 3A Ozone Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This area needs a community centre to be developed on the CSIRO site. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | The natural vegetation also provides a wild life | • Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | sanctuary and an area that does not require maintenance. | D above. |
| 460 | H Westenhaver | 52 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6 |
| | | | • For the third time please retain our POS. To imagine 39 double story dwellings perched high on this site is the worst proposal I have heard of. Not in keeping with the suburb and not in the interests of residents. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Suggests the land be used as a centre for the elderly. | • As above. |
| 461 | V Holman | PO Box 531 SCARBOROUGH WA 6922 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Want to see the area retained/returned to natural bush as there is no natural bush areas' surviving in Marmion. We need to reserve these types of bush for future generations. Council should buy the land and return it to the people as native bush. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 462 | A Dornan | 10 Gilmerton Way GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Keep your hands off our parklands and reserves. Preserve it for future generations. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 463 | T Ashby | 36 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Object to loss of parkland for 39 residential lots. Threatened again by greedy developers. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 464 | V Noak | 12 Marine Terrace | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|---|
| | | SORRENTO WA 6020 | the following additional comments;Comments relating to developer greed. | • This is not a planning related matter. |
| | | | • The proposal must not proceed. | • Noted. |
| 465 | W F Holman | 6/5 Pearl Parade SCARBOROUGH WA 6019 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Disappointed how this land has been processed. Transfer of land to CSIRO was not subject to public scrutiny and its change of use was not in keeping with its original reservation. Land should be set aside for POS use. | • The CSIRO's disposal of the land is not a relevant town planning consideration in dealing with this proposal. |
| 466 | L M Ghersinich | 17 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Refers to previous failed rezoning attempts due to strong opposition. Believes the land should be acquired, the bushland tidied up and buildings used for community uses. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Only native bushland in the area. Suggests proposal will create more traffic congestion, parking and noise. Cliff Street is a dangerous street. | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50 above |
| | | | • Developing the site into blocks would change the character of the area. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Protect the interests of ratepayers, not the developer who assumed a push over zoning change. Also disappointed in CSIRO not giving this land back to state govt or the | • Noted. As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | City considering it was rejected twice before from rezoning. | |
| 467 | J Moore | 1 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This land was to be returned to the people after 21 years and not developers. Traffic speeds down the hill on Cliff Street with no thought of Guikk Street intersection. More homes built will increase traffic flow and more hazards. To have POS would be great. | Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| 468 | E L Gannon | 79 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • POS is precious space and should not be sacrificed to enhance the bank balances of a few people to the detriment of the majority of the community. If this land goes, what's next? The future needs our POS. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 469 | F Westenhaver | 120 West Coast Drive SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Provide for community needs not development. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 470 | J Barnard | 18 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Please reconsider the plans and use the land for community purposes. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 471 | P Lambert | 18 Gull Street MARMION WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | 6020 | Please reconsider your decision. | • A decision on this application |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | is intended to be made at the Council meeting. |
| 472 | J Westenhaver | 120 West Coast Drive SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area should remain POS and building used by the residents/ratepayers. Local residents deserve a community centre for the rates that we pay. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 473 | J Shorland | 51 Lilburne Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | Objection. | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 474 | Name illegible | 19 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | Crown land should stay Crown land. Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 475 | Name illegible | 19 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 476 | B Caiacob | 7 Rowan Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5). | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 477 | N F Gannon | 79 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Any report that suggests the City of Joondalup would be liable for compensation when rejecting this rezoning is not true. CSIRO tender documents were clear, buyers beware and buyer knew the risks. | • It is noted that the City has no liability if this application is refused. |
| | | | • Previous failed rezoning attempts have created a precedent. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | • Retain the POS, we can't get any more. | • As above. |
| 478 See same submission 187 | B Alcock | 11 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • What value can we put on our biodiversity, as we don't know how much more valuable it will become in the future. Land is important to greenways and green plan eco-link model. | • Noted. |
| | | | • Think long term for the good of the whole community and its future generations. There will be no birds left in Marmion for a start. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 479 | C R & A Hey | 14 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Why bother having zoning laws? Developers (and everyone else) knows they aren't worth anything. They know the locals can be ignored and bulldozed. What used to be a pleasant beachside suburb is quickly becoming a suburban concrete nightmare. How selfish to sit on a 1000m2 lot when everyone knows developers have a god given right to make large profits and push Mr average out of the area. | • This is a subjective view for which no comment is required. |
| 480 | R & M Rauschenberger | 26 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Noted. |
| | | | • The residents, especially young families of Marmion are part of this country's future, and ensuring the site remains as is, provide the State and LGA a future | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | opportunity to provide an area, which can be used as a community centre, which currently does not exist. This could be a multi purpose centre, with its facilities used for marine and environmental research. | |
| | | | • It was mooted at a public meeting in the 1970's that the land would be returned to the community when no longer required by the CSIRO. No doubt the 21 year resumption clause in the original grant referred to just that. | • Refer comments in submission 204 above. |
| | | | • With the amount of evidence to support the status quo, it leaves little doubt what should occur. The question facing decision makers is one of ethics. | • Noted. |
| 481 | P Alcock | 11 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • This is a once in a lifetime opportunity to retain valuable natural asset in built up area of coastline, which already lacks sufficient recreational reserve. Once this is rezoned to provide building it is permanently lost for community use. Please do not create urban development on this site. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 482 | B I Trinidad & N L McParland | 6 Troy Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Is this going to be taken over by housing and lost? Couldn't the bush be retained and the buildings used for beneficial community facilities and not for housing or sporting complex (no skating rinks) | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 483 | A True | 119 High Street | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | SORRENTO WA 6020 | the following additional comments; When the land was transferred to the CSIRO by the state government it was a requirement that when the research use was no longer required, the land revert back to the State of WA. The proposed subdivision is at odds with the rest of Marmion and Sorrento. It is far too dense. | • Refer comments in submissions D & 204 above. |
| 484 | A Solbakke & E Keksuiss | 8 Troy Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Find it difficult to believe that the Council refuses to take into account the wishes of the local community. The loss of such valuable and bio diverse land would be a shame and sad loss for future generations. We moved here to take advantage of the POS and park areas. The community will not stand by and watch senseless destruction of our local environment. | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 485 | S Thorn | 6 Hodge Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • We require retention of POS and object to the sire being developed into a residential enclave of 39 homes. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 486 | E Teune | 1 Boon Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion is the neglected suburb of the City of Joondalup. There are very few facilities. This POS must remain. The buildings should stay and be used for community activities. If the site is rezoned, the monies raised should be spent in Marmion. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 487 | G Pedder | 13 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Proposed residential development will adversely impact on existing amenity of the area with loss of bushland and POS, traffic hazard increases, parking congestion and noise especially from traffic using the laneway to West Coast Drive as a shortcut. The site should be retained for its intended POS purpose. We prefer parkland before profit. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 488 | K McKinnon | 53 Sorrento Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | Objection. The proposed development placed far too much density on this site. Cliff/Troy St intersection is already dangerous and up to 80 more cars will make it overused. Marmion has a shortage of POS. City planning staff try and include the foreshore reserve as POS but this land is unusable and not POS for planning purposes. Other land shown as POS is actually zoned for future parking. To loose POS to high housing density depletes POS available creating more need for POS. We need quality of life, not more traffic and people. | See Attachment 6. Refer comments in submissions B & D. The density of R20 is to remain unchanged and is the same as the adjoining residential area. |
| 489 | V McKinnon | 53 Sorrento Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | Objection. The site and buildings would be well suited to public use as a seniors day centre and many elderly residents of the area would benefit. A library and arts area would also fit well. I do not want to see high levels of traffic as Cliff Street is quite dangerous. | Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| 490 | R Mazzucato | 1 The Strand | Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | BAYSWATER WA 6053 | the following additional comments; | |
| | | | • Too many parklands are being sacrificed for private developers gains. Would like to see the site retained for future generations. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 491 | T Mazzucchelli | 3 McKirdy Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • It would be an act of gross indecency both financial waste and amenity to destroy the buildings on this land. They are an asset that the community should utilise. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 492 See same submission 186 | R Miller | 1 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The proposal is out of context with the surrounding area. Loss of reserve has serious traffic safety issues. The social welfare requirements of our City have not been paid any attention. An increase in suburban noise will be detrimental to the existing high amenity standards. The architectural style difference will divide the suburb detracting from a sense of community and identity. Additional traffic and pedestrian movement through the immediate area will create unnecessary conflicts. | Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| 493 See same submission 186 | P Miller | 1 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The proposal fails to pay any attention o the social welfare of the local community and is not in keeping with existing residential development. Increase in vehicles in such a small area will create added stress on | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | the environment and parking which is already inadequate. Use the existing buildings for community use as Marmion does not have a local community hall or gathering place as do other suburbs. | |
| 494 | K Muir | c/o Shop 3, 9 Sheppard Way MARMION WA | • Standard objection form (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | 6020 | • Need to leave natural bushland areas for future generations and for the animals, birds, flora and fauna that exists in the Marmion area. Why do we have to clear every bit of land close to our cities and beaches to make way for ugly cement houses. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 495 | P & P Murray | 51 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Traffic in the area will be increased and a traffic thorough fare already exists to Hillarys Marina | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | A Parks and Recreation Reserve is more environmentally friendly There is currently as lack of POS in the area. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • As above. |
| 496 | D Nash | 11 Lyall Street SHENTON PARK WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should remain for public purposes. Once it is gone the decision can't be changed. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | • The proposal is motivated by financial gain. | • This matter is not a valid planning consideration. |
| 497 | J Otway | 15 Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Traffic flow would be increased. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • The land should remain as a nature reserve or parkland for the benefit of the community | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 498 | P Otway | 15 Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The density is out of character with the existing neighbourhood | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Traffic would be a problem | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • The area should not be rezoned and the native flora and fauna should be retained as a habitat for native declining bird species | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 499 | K Ovens | 91 Bayview Terrace CLAREMONT WA 6010 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5). | • See Attachment 6. |
| 500 | S Hart | 32 Pullan Place GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • What about consultation with the local community | • Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | • The natural environment is of concern | C above.Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 501 | R Hamilton | 20 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion has less than the 10% POS requirement | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • A community centre, which is on the bus route, may be a more appropriate use for the site. The number of buildings and car park should be reduced and should be re-vegetated with lawn space | • As above. |
| | | | • The area may also be used for a community look out, given the height of the location | • As above. |
| | | | • Tracks could be introduced through the re-vegetated natural bush as this is not available in other parks | • As above. |
| | | | • Space should be preserved in built up areas | • Noted. |
| | | | • The traffic flow would increase | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 502 | L Ghersinich | 11 Lyall Street SHENTON PARK WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land is a precious resource and should remain for community use | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 503 | H Frances | 47 Arkwell Way | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | including the following additional comments; The land and building should be used for public purposes Council should not be taking away any further POS | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • As above. |
| 504 | L & S Conway | 23 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should be developed into some form of community amenity | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The decision should be delayed until the next election. | • The Council has the authority to make a determination on this matter. Statutory timeframes require that the proposal be considered. |
| 505 | C Croft | 16 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should be POS, and the facilities could be used for a community centre to benefit the community, not just enable a few to make profit from it. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 506 | E Cohen | 12A Troy Avenue MARMION WA | Objection | |
| | | 6020 | • In the past similar rezonings have been voted against. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The site is ideally located to benefit the local community and the buildings could be used for community usage | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | The bush land should be retained and enhanced Marmion is short of open space by 27% and has no community facilities | As above.As above. |
| | | | • When sewer goes through the number of residents will increase substantially and the open space ratio will decrease | • It is not considered that there will be a significant increase in density at the R20 coding. |
| | | | • There is a growing need for a community amenity | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 507 | W Cohen | 12A Troy Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Refusal has already been given to development on this site on two occasions | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The existing amenity should not be changed , particularly when the area is already lacking in POS | • As above. |
| | | | • The density will already be increasing when sewer goes through | • There are no proposals for the density to be increased. |
| | | | • Traffic is already a problem and a laneway opening onto West Coast Drive would be hazardous | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • The buildings on the site should be converted into a community purpose site | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 508 | J & N Biffin | 18A Gull Street | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | including the following additional comments;The open space should be retained. Marmion needs | • Refer comments in submission |
| | | | more parkland The site should address community needs and not further development. | D above.As above. |
| 509 | M Boston | 66 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land could be put to better use such as a centre for aged and disabled persons, who may not be able to travel to other districts. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 510 | A Bradshaw | 15 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • There is already an under supply of public open space | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| | | | • Small lots will result in high traffic and noise. | • As above. It is unlikely that there will be significant noise impacts at the R20 code. |
| | | | • Existing properties were purchased on the basis of the existing zoning | • Council is required to consider all applications. |
| | | | • Natural bushland should be preserved | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The current proposal does not consider the needs of the existing residents and is intended to make money for the | • This matter is not a relevant planning consideration. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | land owner. | |
| 511 | T Brandis | 30 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion needs facilities and public amenities such as a local community hall, child care centre, Education centre, Library and park land, not more residential buildings | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Development for families not profit | • Noted. |
| 512 | G & T Brown | 7 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area was zoned for public open space, and as such should be used to provide amenities for the local community | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 513 | S Burnett | 3B Ozone Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area has been enjoyed as native land and as bird habitat | • Noted. The land was never developed as a bonafide recreation reserve |
| | | | • Traffic and parking would be an issue | Refer comments in submissions B & 50 above. |
| | | | • The land and the buildings should be converted into a community facility, particularly aged persons. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 514 | B Burton | 7/74 Cliff Street MARMION WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 6020 | • The bush land should be retained and the building used for a recreation centre, senior citizens centre or podiatrist centre. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Traffic is a concern, as currently it is already busy in this location | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 515 | D Sanders | 9 Ozone Road MARMION WA | • Objection | |
| | | 6020 | • The land could be put to better use for the benefit of the community. Marmion lacks amenities especially for the elderly. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Traffic is a concern | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | • Unit development would be inappropriate | • Unit development has not been proposed as part of this amendment application. Given that the proposed lots are intended to be 500m ² in area it is unlikely under the current coding that they could be developed as group housing sites. |
| 516 | C Brandis | 30 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • See Attachment 6. |
| 517 | J Brennan | 20 Tuart Road GREENWOOD WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|---|
| | | 6024 | • The building should be used for public purposes | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 518 | J Bromley | 101 Lilburne Road DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | Rezoning may be more appropriate in another location | • Noted. |
| 519 | A G Bryant | 6B Stocker Court CRAIGIE WA 6025 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area should be kept as open space for a recreation area | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 520 | F Cohen | 37 Grisker Road WANNEROO WA 6065 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The parks should be kept for public use | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 521 | J Dunn | 5 Godecke Rise CARINE WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The Parks and the bush land should be kept | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 522 | Name illegible | 6 Haynes Road SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The existing open space should be kept as there is not enough bush along the coast | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 523 | S Howard | 51 Sheppard Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | • Objects to the loss of public open space. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 524 | Y. Lee | 7 Mica Court CARINE WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • See Attachment 6. |
| 525 | T. Lightfoot | 7B Colo Court GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Opposed to the rezoning public open space | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 526 | F. Ferreri | 19 Hillwood Avenue WARWICK WA 6024 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | Natural spaces should be left alone | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 527 | J & F Mawsdley | 8 Bettles Street, MARION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Public open space should be retained | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 528 | C. Nyein | 28 Merivale Way GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • See Attachment 6. |
| 529 | A.K. Mort | 44 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5 | • See Attachment 6. |
| 530 | L. Otway | 15 Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • There is currently a surplus of housing estates and a lack of bush land | • Noted. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 531 | N. Otway | 15 Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Objects and notes that a community facility should be developed for teenage recreation | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 532 | V.M. Pearn | 12B Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should be used for the local community | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 533 | G. Pitt | 11B Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The parks should be retained. | • Refer comments in submission above. |
| 534 | G. Baker | 83 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The park and bushland should be left for native animals . We need to be environmentally responsible | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • High rise dwellings are not appropriate in this location. | • High-rise dwellings are not the subject of this application. |
| 535 | A & M Bancroft | 19B Beach Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion already has a deficit of POS | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 536 | J. Bertolucci | 18 Braden Road MARMION WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | 6020 | • The land should be used as a recreation centre for the aging population. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 537 | M. Bertolucci | 18 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • A Recreation Centre for aged and teens would be a better use for this site. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 538 | B. Deanus | 26 Martingale Avenue HENLEY BROOK WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should be retained as POS | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 539 | J. Gillham | 9 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The POS should be retained. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Dense housing would spoil character of the area. | • Asbove. |
| 540 | R. Wilkinson | 16 Rountree Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The current zoning should be maintained and the building used for community purposes. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The CSIRO should not have sold the land to a private developer and the City of Joondalup seeks the federal government to overturn the sale. | • This matter is not the subject of this report. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|
| 541 | F.A. & B.S. Wilson | 20 Radbourn Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The land should not have been sold to a private developer. | • Council cannot stipulate whom land can be sold to. |
| | | | • Public open space should be retained. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 542 | R. Wilson | 5 Endeavour Road HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The community should decide on the outcome. | • The community has been consulted on the proposed amendment and has been invited to make comment in accordance with Town Planning Regulations 1967. |
| | | | • The native fauna and fauna should be retained | • Refer comments in submission 66 D above. |
| | | | • Traffic is of concern | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 543 | N. King | 53 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area should be a passive recreation area for the citizens of Marmion | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | The site contains natural bushland and bird life.A high density development is not in keeping with the | As above.As above. |
| | | | character of Marmion. | |
| 544 | H. A. Kirby | 27 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • A community centre such as a community centre, kindergarten, library etc would be more beneficial | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Queries why the CSIRO has been able to sell land zoned Parks and Recreation. | • The CSIRO no longer required the research facility and accordingly it was sold as it was surplus to its requirements. This is not the subject of the report. |
| 545 | R.M. Laltham | 8 Sardstone Place MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • There is currently not enough public open spaces | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 546 | M & R Lowe | 36 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The POS should be kept for the benefit of the community, and to provide community facilities for the community | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Traffic would be dangerous and a traffic study should be available to the public. | • Refer comments in submission B above. The Traffic study has |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | been available for the public to view. |
| 547 | D & J Harvey | 54 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion needs a community centre. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Concerns are noted over the sale of the land as it should have reverted back to State Government | • This matter is not the subject of this report. |
| 548 see same submission | J.B. & S.G. Hollick | 14 Troy Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| 125 | | | • Our property was purchased with an assurance that the site would always remain 'Parks and Recreation'. | • Council is required to consider all applications under current planning requirements. |
| | | | • CSIRO was tolerated because it was hidden by trees/bush. | • Noted. |
| | | | • The proposed development under the R20 density code will become an eyesore of large houses crammed together on small lots of 500m ² each. | • The current R20 code, which is the same as the adjoining residential area is not proposed to change. |
| | | | • This is a push by developers to make a lot of money and once rezoned, our peace, quiet and privacy will be gone. | • This matter is not a relevant planning consideration. |
| 549 | P. Howarth | 57 Sheppard Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Objects to the proposal. The open space should be retained. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | • Objects to a high rise development | • Amendment 24 does not seek to facilitate a high-rise development, as no change to the current R20 density code is sought. |
| | | | • If required it should be turned into something everyone can enjoy | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 550 | C.W. & K.E. Hughes | 6 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The area would best be used for community purpose site | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | Large two storey homes would not be appropriate in this location. | • If the amendment is approved a residential use is proposed on the site, accordingly two storey homes may be considered in this location, as is possible in the existing surrounding residential area. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 551 | M. Ingram | 6 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • There is a greater need for trees/gardens and parks than there is for housing | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The proposed development "Will be multi storey | • The amendment does not seek |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | giants". Traffic will be increased The development is motivated by greed and money making | to facilitate a multi storey development. Refer comments in submission B above. This matter is not a relevant planning consideration. |
| 552 | M.A. Jackman | 16 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The building should be kept for recreational purposes | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Once sewered the population will double, hence the need for a community centre | • As above. Regardless of the availability of sewerage future development is required to comply at the R20 density. |
| | | | • A seniors meeting place would be ideal | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 553 | M. Johnson | 30 Bellbird Avenue HUNTINGDALE WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Public Open Space should not be sacrificed for housing and there are plenty of other areas to build on in WA | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 554 | B. Jones | 13/10 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Alternative uses for the site have not been investigated | • The site is not currently owned by the City. Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | • There will be loss of amenity, parkland and natural surroundings | • Noted. As above. |
| 555 | P. G. Pooley | 15 Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion is a low rise suburb and allows trees and birds to exist still. It should be kept this way | • Noted. |
| 556 | R. Turner | 30 Deering Street WESTMINSTER WA 6064 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Public open space should not be rezoned to residential | • Noted. |
| 557 | J. Selby | 36 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • There should be no further buildings on this site and the land should revert back to POS | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 558 | J.W. Westenhaven | 4 Ozone Road MARMION WA | Objection | |
| | | 6020 | • Marmion has a spacious character and this proposed development will bring excess crowding, more traffic, parking problems and is not compatible | • Refer comments in submissions B, D & 50. |
| 559 | D. Ticehurst | 1/57 Kariong Circle DUNCRAIG WA 6023 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | Public open space should not be rezoned | • Noted. |
| 560 | M. Trigwell | 38 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • See Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 561 | M. Turner | 39 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • See Attachment 6. |
| 562 | V. Walton | 35B Contour Drive MULLALOO WA 6025 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • See Attachment 6. |
| | | | • The bushland and building should be used for something else like a small hospital or resting home. | • Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • The bushland is good quality and should not be destroyed | • As above. |
| 563 | R. Wilkinson | 9A Ozone Road MARMION WA | Objection | |
| | | 6020 | • The bushland is home of much wildlife and should be preserved, there are few other places left like it. | • As above. |
| | | | • The land should be left as is and the building used for the community | • As above. |
| | | | • There will be problems with traffic and parking | • Refer comments in submissions B & 50 above. |
| 564 | H.W. Zeller | 8 Hodge Court MARMION WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | 6020 | There are no reasons to make any contemplated change | • Noted. |
| 565 | B. Clements | 17 Northumberland | Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | Avenue ALEXANDER | including the following additional comments; | • Noted. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | HEIGHTS 6064 | • Parklands should not be rezoned. | |
| 566 | P. Audas | 1 McKirdy Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 567 | P. Anderson | 31 Howell Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 568 | K.L. Chiew | 22 Finney Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 569 | E. J. Connelly | 7 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 570 | P. Gillham | 9 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 571 | E.M. Higgins | 15/10 Parnell Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 572 | A. Hope | 21 Howell Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 573 | K. Inglis | 50 Klem Avenue REDCLIFFE WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 574 | H. Kuziela | 5 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 575 | R. Kuziela | 5 Leach Street MARMION WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | 6020 | | |
| 576 | D. Liolios | Landsdale | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 577 | D. Mazur | PO Box 6263 East Perth WA 6892 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 578 | J. Milner | Address ineligible | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 579 | J. Mulhall | 16 Sheahan Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 580 | T. Penfold | 6 Warwick Place, GIRRAWHEEN WA 6064 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 581 | V. Richards | 13 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 582 | K & L Howell | 40 Ford Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 583 | C Shepherdson | 10A Mars Street CARLISLE WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 584 | G. Baker | 2 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5), including the following additional comments; | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| | | | • Marmion has a low rise character and this Hillarys style 'dolls house' development is not in keeping with the area | • This is a subjective view for which no formal response is required. |
| 585 | J. Tyson | 17A Gull Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 586 | L. Upfold | 16 Radbourn Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 587 | I & B Van Stokkum | 40 McKirdy Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 588 | R & M Went | 7 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |
| 589 | G. Albrechtson | 46 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection letter (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 590 | L. Albrechtson | 46 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |
| 591 | P. Alcock | 11 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |
| 592 | B. Alcock | 11 Lennard Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |
| 593 | B. Anderson-Tulett | C/- 36 West Coast Highway MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 594 | T. Ashby | 36 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |
| 595 | C Bakeberg | 19 Hasper Pl MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form 1 (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| 596 | L. Brady | 38 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 597 | P. Brown | 48 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 598 | E. Chapman | 10 Telford Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 599 | J. Donaldson | Address Ineligible | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 600 | D. Doyle | 44 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 601 | H. Frances | 47 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 602 | S Foley | 32 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 603 | N. Gannon | 79 Clontarf Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 604 | L.M. Ghersinich | 17 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 605 | P.M. Glossop | 62 Mayfair Street MT CLAREMONT WA | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 606 | J. Griffin | 26B Ashton Rise | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | WOODVALE WA 6026 | | |
| 607 | T. Griffiths | 34 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 608 | H. Hall | 40 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 609 | S. Haile | 22 Charsley Crescent MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 610 | R. Hamilton | 20 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 611 | S. Hays | 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 612 | S & J Hollick | 14 Troy Avenue MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 613 | M. Jackman | 16 Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 614 | S. Jensen | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 615 | C. Jensen | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 616 | H. Jolley | 75 Parnell Avenue | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | SORRENTO WA 6020 | | |
| 617 | P. Jones | 14 Syree Court MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 618 | T. Kirby | 27 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 619 | J. Kerr | 208 St Georges Terrace PERTH WA 6000 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 620 | T. Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 621 | S. Kobelke | 1 Hawkins Avenue SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 622 | H. Kuziela | 5 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 623 | R. Kuziela | 5 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 624 | M. Lowe | 36 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 625 | B. Lynch | 5 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 626 | K. McDonnell | 40 Braden Way MARMION WA | • Standard objection form 1 (refer Attachment 5) | • Refer Attachment 6. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | 6020 | | |
| 627 | R. Margaria | 11 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 628 | J. Margaria | 11 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 629 | Chris Morgan | 21 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 630 | M. Moon | 6 Carew Place GREENWOOD WA 6024 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 631 | J. O'Mahoney | 5 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 632 | J. Porter | 6 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 633 | D. Porter | 6 Coolangatta Retreat HILLARYS WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 634 | T. Prestage | Address Ineligible GWELUP | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 635 | M. Rauschenberger | 26 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 636 | R. Rauschenberger | 26 Braden Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|---|--|-----------------------------|
| 637 | D. Sanders | 9 Ozone Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 638 | Schoenknecht | 28 Barrallier Way PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 639 | a. Schoenknecht | 28 Barrallier Way PADBURY WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 640 | Submittor requested submission be withdrawn | | | • Withdraw submission. |
| 641 | B. Spencer | 24 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 642 | W. Spencer | 24 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 643 | R. Kolb | PO Box 301 NORTH BEACH WA 6920 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 644 | H. Stewart | Arkwell Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 645 | B. Stribling | 64 Cliff Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 646 | T. Thorp | 75 High Street SORRENTO WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 647 | F. Walker | Address Ineligible | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 648 | M. Walters | 38 Cliff Street | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | Refer Attachment 8. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|---------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | | MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 649 | R. Went | 7 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 650 | M. Went | 7 Leach Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 651 | H. Westnhaver | 52 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 652 | B. Wickson | 46 Arkwell Way MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 653 | R. Wilkinson | 9A Ozone Road MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 654 | B. S. Wilson | 20 Radbourn Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 655 | F. Wilson | 20 Radbourn Street MARMION WA 6020 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 656-665 | Numbers missed – no submissions | | • | • |
| 666 | M. Zakrevsky | 49 Korella Street MULLALOO WA 6025 | • Standard objection form (refer attachment 7) | • Refer Attachment 8. |
| 667 | 60 signature petition. Marmion Sorrento Duncraig | Refer petition address details. | Additional signatories to original petition. See submission 204 | • Refer comments submission 204. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUB | MISSION SUMMARY | | DUNCIL'S COMMENDATION |
|-------------------------|--|--|-------------|---|---|---|
| | Progress & Ratepayers Association (Inc) | | | | | |
| 668 See submission C | M John | 36 West Coast Drive MARMION WA | • (| Objects due to the following points: | | |
| & 224 | | 6020 | | There suburb of Marmion has a significant shortfall of POS | • | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | t | The lack of public open space will be exacerbated with the Water Corporation current sewerage infill programme | • | It is not expected that development at the R20 coding will create significant impacts, given that the minimum lot size for a duplex development is 900m ² . |
| | | | r v | Increasing population pressures in Marmion are also putting further pressure on limited public open space with increasing numbers of small families moving into the area | • | As above. |
| | | | • 7 | There is no other native bushland in Marmion | • | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | r t r | The site has an important historical value and two previous rezoning attempts by the CSIRO failed due to the overwhelming Community backlash over the removal of the natural bushland reserve and public open space | • | As above. |
| | | | | - | • | As above. |
| | | | e | The site has significant local environmental and ecological value as supported by a number of environmental assessments | | |
| | | | | | • | As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | | | • The development is out of keeping with current block sizes | • As above. |
| | | | | • The development would be totally out of context with surrounding landscape amenity | |
| | | | | Increased traffic | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | Increase in parking and parking adding pressure in surrounding streets and verges | • Refer comments in submissions B & D above. |
| | | | | Noise pollution | • It is not considered that a development at R20 density would have significant noise impacts. |
| | | | | • Accident liabilities between the ROW/Pedestrian Accessway and West Coast Drive as a result of the development utilising this laneway as a "short cut" to West Coast Drive. There is a lack of traffic study and there are already ongoing problems associated with this laneway as noted in correspondence to the City dated 15/12/2004 and attached with this submission. | • Refer comments in submission B above. The City has provided a specific response to the letter dated 15/12/2004. |
| | | | | • A petition with nearly 700 signatures was collected in August 2004 and this should be included as a submission. | • Noted. |
| | | | | • This is a money making exercise at the expense of | • This matter is not a relevant |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----|---|--|
| | | | | Marmion residents | planning consideration. |
| | | | | • The site provides a significant social amenity and a sense of community | • It is not considered that the site currently provides a social amenity function. |
| | | | | • The development is not in keeping with the intended public use | • Refer comments in submission 204 above. |
| | | | | • A number of alternative options should be considered for the site including a bushland Local Reserve that would provide amenity and an important ecological link with surrounding bush reserves in Sorrento, Waterman, North Beach and the coast. | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | | • Another marine or scientific organisation could utilise the site (correspondence from M. van Keulen Third Party is not included with this submission) | • As above. |
| | | | | • The current building footprint could be used for an aged care facility, with surrounding bushland maintained | • As above. |
| | | | | • If the current building footprint is to be developed, the special nature of the site demands an imaginative, high quality development, not the unexceptional and banal subdivision currently offered in the rezoning application | • As above. |
| | | | | • Council should acquire the land for its intended, zoned and needed purposes | • As above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION C AFFECTED PROPERTY | OF | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|----|---|---|
| | | | | • The site is a sanctuary for birdlife, a valuable community focus point for Marmion residents and mature trees, unique flora and soil distribution and in a high landscape position. | • As above. |
| 669 | M Walter | 38 Cliff Street MARMION WA | | Objection | |
| | | 6020 | | • The land should not be rezoned until an acceptable use to the Marmion Community is agreed to | • As above. |
| | | | | • The hierarchy of acceptable alternative uses for the land should only include: another research activity conducted by the Commonwealth, or another research facility conducted by the State, use of the disturbed part of the site for an aged care facility or the CSIRO should return the site to natural vegetation or acceptable open space. | • As above. |
| | | | | • Marmion does not have the benefit of 10% POS as required under State planning standards | • As above. |
| | | | | • The rezoning has been objected to on two previous occasions | As above. |
| | | | | • The lot is on a crest carrying busy traffic. There is excessive speeding through Cliff Street | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| | | | | • Additional traffic would also cause an additional risk to pedestrians | • As above. |
| | | | | • Should the developer offer to add parking opposite the | Refer comments in submission |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | UBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | site and abutting onto or over the park, a whether or not it is appropriate to sacrifi- land to the benefit of the developer, there added hazard to those pedestrians. Cash acceptable | ce further public e will be an |
| | | | Higher density will cause amenity issues a hill top site. | D above. It is not considered that a hilltop will increase amenity issues as the residential code proposed is R20, which is in keeping with the surrounding locality. |
| | | | Clear and effective community consultat undertaken. | ion should be • Refer comments in submission C above. |
| 670 See same submission 274 | C Aitken | 6 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 671 See same submission 275 | N Judge | 3 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 672 See same submission 276 | M John | 7 Olive Close MULLALOO WA 6027 | | |
| 673 See same submission 277 | P Judge | 3 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 674 See same submission 278 | Name illegible | 6 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 675 See same submission 279 | A M Cox | 5A Bettles Street MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 676 See same submission 435 | O Lamond | 94 Caridean Street HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | | |
| 677 See same submission 436 | D John | 7 Olive Close MULLALOO WA 6027 | | |
| 678 See same submission 437 | G Glossop | 36 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 679 See same submission 418 | Name illegible | 34 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 680 See same submission 272 | N Ververis | 34 West Coast Drive MARMION WA 6020 | | |
| 681 See same submission 419 | R Siewert | 106 Eton Street NORTH PERTH WA 6006 | | |
| 682 See same submission 420 | K and J Dawkins | 80 Northwood Street WEST LEEDERVILLE WA | | |
| 683 See same submission 421 | J Morrison | No street address given | | |
| 684 See same submission 422 | P Woodhouse | 139 Marine Terrace MARMION WA 6020 | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|
| 685 See same submission 423 | P Glossop | 62 Mayfair Street MOUNT CLAREMONT WA | | |
| 686 See same submission 424 | C Jensen | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | | |
| 687 See same submission 425 | T Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | | |
| 688 See same submission 426 | F Flugge | 30A Edgecumbe Street COMO WA 6152 | | |
| 689 | B Glossop | 2/3 Henning Crescent MANNING WA | Objection "Parks and Recreational Facilities " are not administered by local government Believes that this may set a precedent and queries which other parks are for sale The councillors don't listen to the ratepayers in the City of Logichter | The land is privately owned. It is uncommon that privately owned land within the City of Joondalup is zoned 'Parks and Recreation' and as such this proposal is unique. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | of JoondalupThe Council is ignoring the POS ratios and other matters | The City is unable to concur with this view. |
| 690 See same submission | D Webb | 136 Castlecrag Drive KALLAROO WA | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 427 | | 6025 | | |
| 691 See same submission 428 | H Clayton | 5 Cross Street SHENTON PARK WA | | |
| 692 See same submission 429 | P Spenneit | 29 Brady Street GLENDALOUGH WA | | |
| 693 See same submission 430 | G George | 1 Peppermint Place MORLEY WA | | |
| 694 See same submission 431 | T Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | | |
| 695 See same submission 432 | D Harrop | 3 Angelina Court KINGSLEY WA 6026 | | |
| 696 See same submission 438 | R Powell | 54 Bournemouth Crescent WEMBLEY DOWNS WA 6019 | | |
| 697 See same submission 433 | J McAuliffe Poznik | 43 Tonkin Road HILTON WA | | |
| 698 See same submission 434 | S Danaher | 4 Baxter Way PADBURY WA 6025 | | |
| 699 See same submission 402 | J Bon | 17 Goss Avenue MANNING WA | | |
| 700 See same | B McAuliffe Poznik | 43 Tonkin Road | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|
| submission 403 | | HILTON WA | | |
| 701 See same submission 404 | B Farrinya | 8 Thurston Street MT LAWLEY WA | | |
| 702 See same submission 382 | H Schonknecht | 28 Barralier Way PADBURY WA 6025 | | |
| 703 See same submission 405 | S John | 4 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | | |
| 704 See same submission 406 | A King | 4 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | | |
| 705 See same submission 407 | M Disbury | 8 Irian Grove RIVERTON WA 6148 | | |
| 706 | F Farrington | 8 Thurston Street MT LAWLEY WA | ObjectionWe need to keep as much public open space as possible | Refer comments in submission D above. |
| 707 See same submission 408 | S Grosse | 4 Perry Close BATEMAN WA 6150 | | |
| 708 See same submission 409 | M Castillo | 32 Hazel Avenue QUINNS ROCKS WA | | |
| 709 | H Schonknecht | 28 Barralier Way PADBURY WA 6025 | | |
| 710 See same | B Redhead | 5 Dawes Court | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| submission 410 | | PADBURY WA 6025 | | |
| 711 See same submission 411 | L Cohen | 30 Exford Way KARRINYUP WA | | |
| 712 See same submission 412 | D Nicholas | 6/9 St Marks Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 713 See same submission 413 | P Pannell | 13 Bannool Crescent ALBANY WA 6330 | | |
| 714 See same submission 414 | D Harrop | 3 Angelina Court KINGSLEY WA 6026 | | |
| 715 See same submission 415 | D Grumont | 45 Waterford Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 716 See same submission 416 | I Tallentire | No street address given | | |
| 717 See same submission 417 | J Gorst | 51B Glenfield Road KINGSLEY WA 6026 | | |
| 718 See same submission 395 | J Zaknic | 15 Fluellen Way HAMILTON HILL WA 6163 | | |
| 719 See same submission 396 | Y Kerr | 27 Merrifield Place MULLALOO WA 6027 | | |
| 720 See same | P Gorst | 51B Glenfield Road | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| submission 397 | | KINGSLEY WA 6026 | | |
| 721 See same submission 398 | L Redhead | 5 Dawes Court PADBURY WA 6025 | | |
| 722 See same submission 399 | A Herrmann | 6 Gordon Avenue QUINNS ROCKS WA 6030 | | |
| 723 See same submission 400 | G Stuart | 61 Lysander Drive HEATHRIDGE WA 6027 | | |
| 724 See same submission 401 | L Di vIncenzo | 43A Anzac Road LEEDERVILLE WA 6007 | | |
| 725 See same submission 394 | J Dewar | 18 Urbahns Way HILLARYS WA 6025 | | |
| 726 See same submission 383 | J Benbow | 20 George Street NORTH BEACH WA 6020 | | |
| 727 See same submission 384 | D Pannell | 13 Banool Crescent ALBANY WA 6330 | | |
| 728 See same submission 385 | S K Jenson | 2 Shoran Court OCEAN REEF WA 6027 | | |
| 729 See same submission 386 | C Bindon | 36 Clareville Crescent KALLAROO WA 6026 | | |
| 730 See same | L Bailey | 9 Gemini Rise | | |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| submission | | OCEAN REEF WA | | |
| 387 | | 6027 | | |
| 731 See same | G Power | 33 The Grove | | |
| submission | | WOODVALE WA | | |
| 388 | | 6026 | | |
| 732 <mark>See</mark> | E Jones | 2 Dryandra Court | | |
| submission | | GREENWOOD WA | | |
| 389 | | 6024 | | |
| 733 See | H Conduit | 453 Beach Road | | |
| submission | | DUNCRAIG WA | | |
| 390 | | 6023 | | |
| 734 See | S Williams | 8 Galena Place | | |
| submission | | CARINE WA 6020 | | |
| 391 | | | | |
| 735 See | E Smith | 3 Sedge Place | | |
| submission | | DUNCRAIG WA | | |
| 393 | | 6023 | | |
| 736 See | J St John & J Deklerk | 96 Marine Terrace | | |
| submission | | SORRENTO WA | | |
| 392 | | 6020 | | |
| 737 | Name illegible | No street address given | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 738 <mark>See</mark> | A Schonknecht | 28 Barrallier Way | | |
| submission | | PADBURY WA 6024 | | |
| 709 | | | | |
| Late Subr | nissions received after advert | | | |
| 739 | M Jamison | 33 Porteous Road SORRENTO WA | Objection | • Noted. |
| | | 6020 | • There is not enough public open space in Marmion | • Refer comments in submission D above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| | | | The vegetation is worth preserving and upgrading The area should be enjoyed as a reserve and open space by the community | As above.As above. |
| | | | • We should consider more that the dollar value of allowing developers to build there | • This matter is not a valid planning consideration. |
| 740 | S M Hard | 25 Marine Terrace SORRENTO WA 6020 | • No objection. See submission 42 above. | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |
| 741 | J Reid | 2 Naivasha Turn JOONDALUP WA 6027 | • No objection. See submission 66 above. | • Refer comments in submission 66 above. |
| 742 | I Campbell | 3 Sheffield Place HILLARYS WA 6025 | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • Refer comments in submission 289 above. |
| 743 | Name illegible | No street address given | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 744 | Z Busby | No street address given | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 745 | Name illegible | No street address given | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 746 | Name illegible | No street address given | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 289 above | • As above. |
| 747 | 6 signature Petition on behalf of Satterley Property Group | Refer petition address details. | See previous petition | • Refer comments in submission 104 above. |
| 748 | E M Abel | 2 Quay Court SORRENTO WA 6020 | • No objection. Refer comments in submission 42 above | • Refer comments in submission 42 above. |

| NO | NAME OF SUBMITTOR | DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED PROPERTY | SUBMISSION SUMMARY | COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 749 | M & S McCarthy | 156 Waterford Drive HILLARYS WA 6025 | Objection The area is of significant beauty and should kept as a site to be utilised by the public. | Noted. Refer comments in submission D above. |
| | | | • Additional traffic could be dangerous. | • Refer comments in submission B above. |
| 750 | D Armstrong Chief Executive Officer Surf Life Saving WA Inc | N/A | • The Surf Life Saving Club would like Council to consider retention of the facilities to allow for a SLSWA's Training and Development Arm – Surf Life Saving Academy and surrounding area for a useable park | • The site is not owned by the City. Refer comments in submission D above. |

SUBMISSION FOR ADVERTISING CSIRO

1. No to Unreserving and Existing Use Removal

2. No to zoning to Urban Development Zone.

As an objector to this proposal I wish the Council of the City of Joondalup to record and take my comments and wishes in to account, as required by clause 6.8.1(1) of the District Planing Scheme No2 whether planning issues or not. My wishes and comments, in addition to those typed below, are:

| Name | . Address: | and the second se |
|-------|------------|---|
| Date: | | |
| | | |

Planning merit:

There is no benefit to the community in this proposal. No employment opportunity exists. Current shortfall in Public Open Space will be exacerbated, traffic and parking problems will increase, bio-diversity in the region will reduce, cultural heritage will be decreased and a sense of community will be destroyed. Purchase of the site was conditional - with no guarantee of rezoning or zoning and the buyer beware basis.

The adequacy of the supply of Public Open Space in Marmion

There is an under supply of POS within the suburb of Marmion and adjoining suburbs, as noted by the City and the Objectors previously. The DPI intends 10% of POS provisions is governed by its uses within the suburbs. Parks and Recreations containing Natural Bush is not found anywhere in Marmion and to adequately supply diverse and sustainable POS this POS should not be Unreserved or Zoned.

We refer you to the letter from Mr Ken Adams - professional Planner:

Livable neighborhoods or Network City has not been identified as part of this application or reporting process and therefore not commented on.

The variety of Housing choice that is offered in the area.

There already exists a wide diverse choice in housing within the suburb of Marmion. Single residential of various sizes, architecture and cost, duplex, retirement, mortgaged or owned, rental of various sizes and costs, holiday facilities in the near vicinity.

Any further development will not vary the existing housing choice and is not a valid reason for this Unreserving of a Parks and Recreation Reserve and Zoning the land.

What is missing and what is required, is the social infrastructure and facilities to support the local community.

The impact of the proposed use in terms of

1. Building Bulk and siting

The siting and bulk of the proposal is out of context with the surrounding existing residential area. The elevation of the topography leads this site to landscape quality not residential development. Any Unreserving and Zoning for built development will pronounce any bulk ,regardless of siting , to the detriment of the existing high standards of visual amenity enjoyed today. Unreserving and Zoning is not supported.

2. Known potential uses for the land.

Known potential uses for this Parks and Recreation Reserve are Public Purpose Uses such as Community Centre, Community Educational Centre, Community Health Centre, Senior Citizens Centre, Tourism use natural bush reserve, etc etc.

These uses do not require an Unreserving or Zoning and are in character with and are considered proper and orderly planning for this existing suburb.

It is to be noted that these uses are restricted to the current building footprint, retention and expansion of the natural bush land and no community consultation has occurred to determine the communities wishes with regard to potential land use within a Local Reserve.

The Aims and objectives of the DPS-2.

Regional planning framework:

(a) Urban development in accordance with the statutory provisions of the Metropolitan Region Scheme and the planning principles embodied in state and regional policies relevant to the City, is deficient to the proposed Unreserving and Zoning proposal.

A 10 % POS requirement by DPI policy is deficient. Policies DC-1.9, 1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 5.3, 6.1

The MRS division 3 clause 19 states that regards to the purpose for which the land is reserved, are required in any decision.

No appeal or objection was lodged by the CSIRO during the introduction of the DPS-2, under the relevant Local or State Government legislation.

Local planning framework:

(b) The proposed Unreserving of this Parks and Recreation Reserve and Zoning the land, does not encourage or facilitate development of the City generally in accordance with the City of Joondalup Strategic Plan. The following strategies are not achieved by this proposed Unreserving and Zoning;

The City of Joondalup is environmentally responsible in its activities.

1.1 To plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability.

2.1.1 Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity.

The City of Joondalup is an interactive community.

- 4.3 To ensure the City responds to and communicates with the community.
- 4.3.1 Provide effective and clear community consultation.
- 4.3.2 Provide accessible community information.

4.3.3 Provide fair and transparent decision-making processes.

(c) The accountable planning framework, appropriate to the needs of a regional City and its diverse lifestyle opportunities have not been exercised by the Applicant or the City. The appropriate planning framework includes consultation, which has not occurred. The City's Public Participation Policy has not been entertained and the purpose for which the land is reserved has not been shown regard. This proposed Unreserving of a Parks and Recreation Reserve and Zoning of the land shows no regard to the needs of the existing diversity of lifestyle and its need for Parks and Recreation Reserve and bio-diversity. No regard has been given to strategically important future prospects of the City, such as Tourism and associated infrastructure required for that industry –incl education / visitor centres etc.

The requirements and needs of our existing and ageing population and the required future needs in regards to land use and facilities has not been considered.

(d) Facilitation and co-ordination and provision of social and community facilities and other physical infrastructure have not been investigated a possible option for this Parks and Recreation Reserve. The community may be accepting of Community Facilities etc and at the same time maintaining the reserved purpose of this site.

Land Use & Development

(e) To encourage development which will:

_ High standards of amenity, safety and welfare cannot be provided by this proposed Unreserving and Zoning. Existing amenity, due to the loss of the reserve and traffic safety issues, as previously highlighted, reduce current high standards enjoyed by the residents. The social welfare requirements of our City have not been paid any attention, and has not been encouraged by the City.

_ New housing should strive to be energy-efficient, the removal of this Parks and Recreation Reserve will effect the efficiency of the existing surrounding developments by way of air movement, increased ground temperature and noise generation.

_ Ensuring permanent and easy access by the public to recreation reserves cannot be achieved , as this Parks and Recreation Reserve is proposed to be Unreserved and zoned for developed.

_ There is no promotion by the proposed Unreserving and Zoning to development business and employment opportunities close to living places, and

 (f) The proposed Unreserving and urban design is not compatible with or appropriate to the natural, built and social environment of the City. Natural beauty and bio-diversity are not retained, dwellings will not fit architecturally with the surrounding existing dwellings and the social needs of an ageing community are not entertained.

Development Assessment & Approval Process

(h) No regard has been given to the needs of the community in the determination of the Unreserving and Zoning land use and development proposals of this Parks and Recreation Reserve. No broad community consultation has been conducted except by the residents, no assessment of the land use possibilities that exist for the Public, City or Developer has occurred.

<u>Heritage Conservation</u>

(i) The City is required to encourage the conservation and continued use of identified places and objects of cultural heritage significance, such as this site, but has failed to recognise this when reporting in the best interests of the community, with respect this proposed Unreserving and Zoning.

<u>Environmental Protection</u>

(j) Providing the Council and residents with appropriate mechanisms to protect identified places of landscape or environmental value within the City has not been adequately addressed by the City. Refer to the letter from CALM and the assessment report prepared for the CSIRO, to facilitate the sale.

(k) Ensuring that adequate regard is given to the protection of the natural environment in the determination of land use and development proposals in accordance with sustainable development principles has not been adequately addressed. Refer to the letter by CALM and the environmental study conducted by the residents.

<u>Urban Development</u>

(I) To promote planning, management and strategic control of development in a rational and systematic manner, taking into account the needs and aspirations of residents, and the environmental capacity of the area have not been adequately addressed. No consultation has been undertaken to ascertain the needs and aspirations of residents and the environmental capacity of the area has not been assessed by the City but palmed off to the EPA, without detailed investigation or reporting.

The residents, on a regional scale, have stated their needs and aspirations in petition form previously.

Development to Accord to the R-20 standard.

Development, if any, of this site should accord to the purpose for which this Parks and Recreation Reserve was reserved. To involve accordance, indicates an approval of this Unreserving and Zoning. This is objected to and the existing Reserve Status of this Parks and Recreation Reserve should be retained.

Agencies.

- An investigation into the sale of the CSIRO site ,under Section 13 Administrative Decisions (judicial review) Act, is current.
- Environmental Defenders Office; Zoning the current land from reserve to residential can be challenged on the basis that the land cannot be rezoned from reserve to residential, as planned, purely to enable a commercial benefit.
- CALM; The site is of value as an area of locally significant bushland because of its geomorphology, landscape
 position and range of native plant species present.
- City of Joondalup. The buildings in good repair and well maintained. "EHO correspondence"

2.3.4 Applications for Planning Approval on Local Reserves

2.3.4.1 The Council may consider applications for Planning Approval for land within a Local Reserve but shall have due regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the LocalReserve and the matters set out in Clause 6.8 ("Matters to be Considered by Council").
 6.8 MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED BY COUNCIL

6.8.1 The Council when considering an application for Planning Approval shall have due regard to the following:

(a) interests of orderly and proper planning and the preservation

of the amenity of the relevant locality;

This land is a Parks and Recreation Reserve and proper and orderly planning dictates that this Parks and Recreation Reserve needs to be retained to maintain the existing high standard of amenity. The original subdivision of Marmion , that still exists today , was approved at the time assessing that this Parks and Recreation Reserve be incorporated into the suburb. This requirement has not altered. Proper and orderly planing requires currently that 10% POS be available to the existing residents of the locality, excluding foreshores , school reserves , road reserves and the like.

The proposal is deficient when compared with the City's strategic plan ;-1.4.2 , 2.1.1 ,3.1.3 , 3.2.1 , 3.2.2 , and the Principle Activity Plan visions, principles and success factors and therefore is not proper and orderly planning.

The lack of provision of Public Open Space does not accord to the DPI or Council policies and is not proper or orderly planning.

The loss of natural landscape and remnant bushland does not align with the City's strategic direction and is not proper and orderly planning. This site forms part of the amenity of Marmion.

Proper and orderly planning to protect the amenity of Marmion has been exercised twice before by Council, and clearly indicates what proper and orderly planning principles are required in order to preserve the existing high standards of amenity. Not

only for the immediate residents but other residents in the locality as well as on a regional basis as indicated by the previously submitted petition and as required under a regional issue such as an Urban Development Zone.

Sustainability for our future generations, so they may be self sufficient, has not been addressed and therefore proper and orderly planning has not occurred.

(b) <u>any relevant submissions by the applicant;</u>

As received and notated in the report to Council by the City of Joondalup.

(c) any Agreed Structure Plan prepared under the provisions of

Part 9 of the Scheme: NIL

(d) any planning policy of the Council adopted under the provisions of clause 8.11;

| Cash-in-lieu of Car Parking | 3.1.12 |
|--|--------|
| Centres Strategy | 3.2.8 |
| Council Reserves And Parks | 5.2.2 |
| Height and Scale of Buildings within a Residental Area | |
| Public Participation | |

(e) any other matter which under the provisions of the Scheme the

Council is required to have due regard;

The reporting process does not indicate that Livable Neighbourhoods nor Network City criteria are part of this assessment and is not part of this submission.

The Council is required under the DPS-2, to have due regard to under the provisions of the scheme, to the following; Clause 2.3.2 (a) (c) (d),

The land was reserved for the public purpose of a Parks and Recreation Reserve. The land was a Parks and Recreation Reserve at the Gazettal date of the DPS-2 and the Council has previously approved a purpose of Marine Facility.

Clause 2.3.3 (a) (b) (c) (e)

Unless Council permission is granted no demolition or damage to buildings may occur, no tree can be removed or damaged, the land cannot be excavated, spoilt, or wasted so as to destroy its usefulness for the purpose for which it was reserved , and no development can be carried out or commenced.

Clause 2.3.4

The Council is to have due regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the Parks and Recreation Reserve. Clause 5.3.1.2

The Council, if it considers the site to be significant from the point of view of protection of the environment or landscape, may include the site site into schedule 5 of the DPS-2.

Policies and public documents of the Council.

Sustainability

Community consultation and participation

Biodiversity

Principle Activities Plan

Strategic Plan

State Government Policies.

The State Government policies / pending strategies of Network City and Livable Neighbourhoods, have not been identified by the City or Applicant as forming part of this process. These do not form part of any considerations open to the council.

(f) any policy of the Commission or its predecessors or successors or any planning policy adopted by the Government of the State of Western Australia;

The following policies of the West Australian Planning Commission apply;

DC-1.1Subdivision of land - general principles

DC-1.2 Development Control - general principles.

DC-1.9 Amendments to the Metropolitan Region Scheme

DC-2.3 Public Open Space in Residential Areas

DC-5.3Use of Land Reserved for Parks and Recreation

The State Government policies / pending strategies of Network City and Livable Neighbourhoods, have not been identified by the City or Applicant as forming part of this process. These do not form part of any conciderations open to the council.

(g) any relevant proposed new town planning scheme of the Council or amendment or proposed Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment insofar as they can be regarded as seriously entertained planning proposals:

The applicant and the City have not indicated if any amendment or proposed MRS amendments are entertained in the assessment of this unreserving and zoning of a Parks and Recreation Reserve. It has been noted by the public, that terminology used by the City of Joondalup has been derived from Network City and Livable Neighbourhoods.

The State Government policies / pending strategies of Network City and Livable Neighbourhoods, have not been formally or publicly identified by the City or Applicant as forming part of this process. These do not form part of any considerations open to the council.

(h) the comments or wishes of any public or municipal authority received as part of the submission process;

The following comments, in brief, have been received from public authorities:

- Environmental Defenders Office; The current land from reserve to residential can be challenged on the basis that the land cannot be rezoned from reserve to residential, as ,planned, purely to enable a commercial benefit.
- CALM; The site is of value as an area of locally significant bushland because of its geomorphology, landscape position and range of native plant species present.
- City of Joondalup. The buildings in good repair and well maintained. "EHO correspondence"

the comments or wishes of any objectors to or supporters of the application; (i)

The comments and wishes of the objectors is well documented. 1 Strategy Session, 2 Deputations, Numerous meetings with Ministers and agencies, Public Meetings, public information dissemination and consultation beyond that of the Applicant or the City of Joondalup, a petition with in excess of 600 signatures on a Regional basis. On previous consultation by the local MLA , 2 previous decisions by Council and regional consultation by the public the wishes and comments have been loud, well articulated , educated and state NO UNRESERVING AND NO ZONING OF THIS OR OTHER RESERVES.

any previous decision made by the Council in circumstances which are sufficiently similar for the previous (i) decision to be relevant as a precedent, provided that the Council shall not be bound by such precedent; and

There have been 2 previous failed attempts to zone this Parks and Recreation Reserve. Both amendments were defeated on public opposition and loss of amenity as key issues. The same issues are raised in this third attempted unreserving and zoning, Precedent has been clearly set previously and agreed upon by all parties, evident by lack of challenge or objection to the former decisions of a Local Government.

Past and Current ownership of the site is not a factor, to be regarded in the current pending decision process, but the outcomes of the previous decisions are.

As a Regional issue the precedent set previously as an expression of concern by the public over loss and alienation of such reserves, is indicated below.

MOVED Cr Baker SECONDED Cr Rowlands that Council CONSIDERS the concerns 11-06-02: expressed by the electors, with particular reference to any consideration given for the alienation of reserves, and the development of the draft Preservation of Public Reserves Policy. The Motion was Put and CARRIED.

(k) any other matter which in the opinion of the Council is relevant.

The following other relevant matters include;

- Additional traffic and pedestrian movement through the immediate area directed toward West Coast Highway, including the laneway closely abutting adjoining dwellings. Topography of the land in question dictates ingress and egress will created additional unnecessary traffic conflicts.
- The immediate areas will suffer an increase in suburban noise detrimental to the existing high amenity standards.
- Any new proposal will affect the visual amenity of the existing suburb. Architectural style difference will divide the suburb detracting from a sense of community and identity.

6.8.2 of the DPS-2 MATTERS REQUIRING DUE REGARD.

In addition to the matters referred to in the preceding subclause of this clause, the Council when considering whether or not to approve a "D" or "A" use application shall have due regard to the following (whether or not by implication or otherwise they might have required consideration under the preceding subclauses of this clause):

the nature of the proposed use and its relationship to the use of other land within the locality; (a) The nature of the proposed land use is exactly the same as the existing surrounding land uses. The existing sites relationship is to compliment and enhance the surrounding residential area by way of a Parks and Recreation Reserve public open space - natural bushland. Any removal of the Parks and Recreation Reserve or further development will detract and detrimentally effect the existing land use relationships and the existing high standard of amenity. This applies not only to the immediate area but throughout the suburb. The proposed land use is divorcing the relationship between the existing residential area and its cultural, landscape,

natural and social links with the community. This has the effect of destroying community identity.

(b) the size, shape and character of the parcel of land to which the application relates and the nature and siting of any proposed building;

The siting and size, shape and character of the land is part of the original subdivision of Marmion and the subdivision was designed with this parcel of land as a Parks and Recreation Reserve. The size and shape therefore does not entertain this land being developed due to road layouts, laneway layouts, public open space allocation. The proposal for residential development is out of context with the surrounding existing residential area. The elevation of the topography leads this site to landscape quality not residential development. Any Unreserving and Zoning for built development will pronounce any bulk , regardless of siting , to the detriment of the existing high standards of visual amenity enjoyed today. The character of the land also dictates green belt movement corridors for birds , insects, reptiles etc between the coast and Star Swamp.

Unreserving and Zoning is not supported.

the nature of the roads giving access to the subject land; (c)

PAGE No. : 6

The nature of the roads giving access to this site are quiet residential streets and main suburb thoroughfare. The proposed development would introduce additional traffic movements to the quieter areas and increase traffic on the main suburban network. The main access road is West Coast Highway – additional traffic generated will travel to this road ,furthering the add

(d) the parking facilities available or proposed and the likely requirements for parking, arising from the proposed development;

The proposed Unreserving and Zoning of the subject lot will result in additional parking within the locality. This is highlighted by the applicants submission indicating that street scapes will be upgraded. This, no doubt, will include on street parking as the lot sizes available in the possible future development will not allow visitor on site parking. Relying therefore on the provision of street parking in existing residential areas and on roads (Cliff Street) to the detriment of resident safety and amenity.

(e) any relevant submissions or objections received by the Council; and Addressed on previous pages.

(f) such other matters as the Council considers relevant, whether of the same nature as the foregoing or otherwise.

No other relevant matters for Council consideration have been highlighted by the City's administration ,in the tabled reports , public question time, strategy or briefing sessions and deputations.

<u>STRATEGIC PLAN</u>

2.1.1 Maintain and protect natural assets to retain bio-diversity.

2.1.2 Further develop environmentally effective and energy-efficient programs.

The City of Joondalup is environmentally responsible in its activities.

2.1 To plan and manage our natural resources to ensure environmental sustainability.

2.1.3 Develop a coordinated environmental framework, including community education.

OUTCOME OBJECTIVES STRATEGIES

4.3.1 Provide effective and clear community consultation.

4.3.2 Provide accessible community information.

The City of Joondalup is an interactive community.

4.3 To ensure the City responds to and communicates with the community.

4.3.3 Provide fair and transparent decision-making processes.

COMMUNITY Individuals or groups who interact, have a sense of identity and belonging, have obligations, and live in one place or district.

<u>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT</u> Community development in Local Government is concerned with building strong and selfreliant communities and having a Council that is responsive to community needs. Council will coordinate and make links within our community and between our community and other spheres of government, in order to meet the needs of our community. (Source: "An Introduction to Community Development in Local Government", 1999, Local Government Association of Queensland).

SUSTAINABILITY Sustainability in the context of sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. (Source: "Our Common Future ", 1987, World Commission on Environment and Development).

DOCUMENTS THAT FORM AN INTERGRAL PART OF THIS SUBMISSION.

1. Calm letter

2. Submission by Mr Ken Adams, planner.

http://www.joondalup.wa.gov.au/central/pubdocs/StrategicPlan0308 simple.pdf

http://living.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUstrategicpln/economicdev/demographics/business ecde v nwmetro pop comp 2031.asp

http://living.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUstrategicpln/economicdev/demographics/Marmionchar01 .pdf

http://living.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUstrategicpln/economicdev/demographics/Marmiomchar.p

http://business.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUurban/DPS2/maps rcode/marmion.pdf http://business.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUcouncilsupport/policies/2.3.6.pdf http://business.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUcouncilsupport/policies/2.6.3.pdf http://business.joondalup.wa.gov.au/BUcouncilsupport/policies/2.6.4.pdf http://www.joondalup.wa.gov.au/central/pubdocs/code of conduct.pdf http://www.joondalup.wa.gov.au/central/pubdocs/PAP 2004.pdf http://www.joondalup.wa.gov.au/central/pubdocs/PAP 2004.pdf http://www.wapc.wa.gov.au/cgi-bin/index.cgi?page=/cgi-bin/search/search.cgi http://www.wapc.wa.gov.au/cgi-bin/index.cgi?page=/cgi-bin/search/search.cgi http://www.wapc.wa.gov.au/publications/mrs/mrstext.html http://www.wapc.wa.gov.au/publications/policies/SPP/SPP 8.PDF

ATTACHMENT 6 CITY'S RESPONSE TO PROFORMA SUBMISSION

The following responses are provided to the proforma letter:

- A portion of Marmion was subdivided prior to the Department for Planning & Infrastructure's (DPI) policy requiring 10% of the area of a residential subdivision coming into effect, with the remainder of the suburb being subdivided after that time. A public open space (POS) audit was undertaken, and it is the City's view that there is sufficient POS available in Marmion. The City has no comment to make on the adequacy of the DPI's policy.
- The City has viewed the proposal, including the Traffic Report submitted by the applicant. It is not considered that the proposal will have major traffic impacts. This matter will be further investigated during the structure plan and subdivision stage, should Amendment 24 be ultimately approved, as will any need for additional on-street parking.
- Whilst it is acknowledged that any development of the vacant subject site would cause some increase in noise, it is considered unlikely that noise would be an amenity issue at the current and unchanging R20 density. Furthermore, the residential density code currently applicable to the land is identical to the residential density code applicable to the surrounding area and throughout the City of Joondalup generally. Accordingly it is considered that a development at this density is unlikely to have a detrimental impact.
- Future development of the subject site is not the subject of this Amendment process. Choices in housing forms are encouraged under the City's Strategic Plan.
- The design and style of future development on the subject site is not the subject of this Amendment process. Nevertheless, as is noted above, there is currently a significant diversity in housing forms in Marmion, as in many developing suburbs. It is noted that existing properties are being redeveloped.
- The majority of the vegetation on the site is not remnant (natural) bush as much of the site was cleared in 1975. Whilst it is acknowledged that bushland will be lost with development of the site, it must be noted that the reserve status of this lot was cancelled in 1974, and the site has not been developed or used as bonafide POS. The land is now in private ownership, and accordingly, the owner has requested that the site be rezoned. It is noted, however, that any request is considered on its merits. In addition, referral to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) is an amendment requirement, and the City has undertaken its own environmental evaluation of the subject site, as detailed in the report.
- The Amendment will facilitate residential development of the subject site which is considered appropriate rather than zoning that would facilitate commercial development. Home occupations can nevertheless occur in residential areas.
- This subject site is not recognised as having significant heritage value and is not included in the District Planning Scheme No 2 as a place having significant landscape or environment value.
- It is unclear how residential is out of context with the surrounding residential development. The Amendment deals with appropriately zoning the site. Any future developments will need to be assessed after the subdivision is approved and in accordance with the relevant development provisions. The proposed future lots sizes outlined in the indicative subdivision plan are consistent with R20 density of the surrounding residential area. Land uses that could be supported by the City under the current reserve status of the land are not are relevant consideration with this proposal.
- No justification to support this statement has been made. The subject site is bounded by roads, therefore air movement and ground temperature are unlikely to impact on the existing residences. It is not understood what connection is being made between energy efficiency and noise generation.
- Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations 1967, as is noted in the attached report. In addition, the developer undertook public consultation prior to

ATTACHMENT 6 CITY'S RESPONSE TO PROFORMA SUBMISSION

submission of and during the Amendment process. The City's Public Participation Policy is currently being developed, however, this Policy would not replace statutory consultation requirements for scheme amendment proposals.

- The land is not owned by the City nor proposed for commercial use and, as such, the development of tourist, aged and future other land use needs facilities are not within the City's capacity to consider as part of a comprehensive plan. The City needs to deal with any proposals it receives on privately owned land.
- The future lot layout of the subject site will be assessed at the Structure Plan and subdivision stages and is not the subject of this proposed Amendment.
- The proposal does not conflict with the City's Strategic Plan as the site has not been identified as having particular biodiversity warranting retention. The required statutory consultation has been undertaken.
- Appropriate mechanisms considered necessary to preserve significant vegetation can be put in place at the Structure Plan and subdivision stages of development.
- No details have been provided of any Judicial Review, and the City is not aware of any such investigation, however this is not a planning consideration that would prevent the Council considering this proposal.
- Precedent is not necessarily a valid planning consideration and any new proposals need to be properly considered on its merits in the current context under the current relevant legislations and policies.
- In accordance with scheme requirements and other related planning regulations, an amendment may be considered over this site
- It is important to note that the City is not considering an application for Planning Approval, rather, the proposal is an amendment to the District Planning Scheme. Therefore, Clause 6.8 of the DPS2 is not specifically relevant to this proposal to amend the zoning of the land.
- It is noted that this application is not been made under Clause 6.8.2, are therefore references made to 'D' and 'A' clauses are not relevant in considering the proposed scheme amendment.

PAGE No. : 1

CSIRO Site - Leach Street Marmion.

To the City of Joondalup;- Please find here my submission objecting to the <u>Un-</u> reserving and <u>Rezoning</u> of the Local park & Reserve at Lot 61 Leach Street , Marmion (CSIRO site). I object on the following planning grounds:

- This is an existing public purpose reserve and was purchased with no guarantee of rezoning and on the buyer beware basis. The Council is not responsible for financial considerations when considering planning merit.
- Any un-reserving and rezoning of this reserve will have a detrimental effect on the existing amenity of the suburb. Socially, visually, traffic issues, environmentally etc
 - This is the third rezoning attempt over residential land use v reserve, and the precedent previously set on two occasions, was no rezoning of this reserve.
- Increased traffic in the area and vehicles entering Cliff Street from the proposal, will be a safety hazard.
- * There is an under supply of existing Public Open Space suitable for recreational pursuits in Marmion. This is below DPI policy requirements of 10%. We require more POS.
- There is a variety of existing housing choices with in Marmion, including retirement, duplex, single residential on large and medium lot sizes.
- Any one or two storey development, however sited, on the top of this rise in natural topography will result in excessive visual bulk and scale when compared to the surrounds.
- The proposal is not compatible to the surrounding land use because it is not for a public purpose. Urban development zone allows for land uses other than residential.
- /• The site should be used for a public purpose. Ie; Community Centre, Tourist Centre, Research, Education Centre and natural bush reserve.
- Un-reserving and Rezoning a public purpose reserve for private commercial benefit, is an improper purpose.
- The proposal does not promote or provide benefit under clauses 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 3.2, 3.3 or 3.5 of the strategic plan.
- J. Marmion is lacking in the provision of social and community facilities and other physical infrastructure, with <u>no</u> facilities available to the community.
- Is the proposal will not preserve but reduce the current standards of visual, environmental and social amenity and will increase traffic safety hazards.
 - · There are no additional employment opportunities close to living places in the suburb.
 - The current zoning is compatible with and appropriate to the natural, built and social environment of Marmion. Any un-reserving or rezoning of a reserve will be incompatible.
 - The site has significant flora and fauna and should be protected and retained for its remnant natural bush, biodiversity as well as its landscape quality to the surrounds.
 - The natural environment has not been shown adequate regard in the preliminary determination of this proposed land use, un-reserving and rezoning.
 - The needs and aspirations of the residents require this reserve to remain as a reserve for the preservation of the existing high amenity standards of the area. The environmental capacity of the area is dependent on this remnant bush land.

Vame Address Signed Date THIS DOES NOT LIMIT MY SUBMISSION

ATTACHMENT 8 City's Response to Proforma Submission

- Financial considerations are not considered to be a valid planning consideration. Planning applications are assessed in accordance with current planning legislation. It is acknowledged the land was purchased with no guarantee of being rezoned.
- The proposed amendment does not seek to change the existing R20 density code allocated to the land, which is a low residential density code that prevails throughout the area. Technical advice received by both the applicant's traffic consultant and the assessed by the City suggest that the existing road network is adequate to accommodate the proposed development should the site be ultimately rezoned and subdivided to facilitate such development. Please refer Council report for details on traffic and environmental considerations
- No precedent has been set by the previous attempts to rezone the land. Each application is considered based on its merits and in accordance with current planning requirements
- The City has viewed the proposal including the applicants Traffic Report. It is not considered that the proposal will have major traffic impacts. This matter would be further investigated during structure plan and subdivision stage. Refer traffic section of the report.
- A portion of Marmion was subdivided prior to the 10% requirement coming into effect, with the remainder of the suburb being subdivided after. A POS audit was undertaken, and it is the City's view that there is sufficient recreation land available in Marmion.
- It is acknowledged that there is a variety of housing in Marmion.
- It is unlikely that a development at the R20 density would cause excessive visual bulk and scale and it is noted that this density is in keeping with the surrounding locality. Noiwithstanding, should the rezoning be approved, a structure plan is required and would address issues such as the form of the dwellings.
- The use of the Urban Development zone ensures that the applicant must submit a structure plan to council for consideration. The applicant has indicated their intention to develop the lots for residential purposes.
- The land is not owned by the City and as such, in order for these types of uses to be considered the land would need to be acquired by the City.
- The Reserve status of this land was cancelled in 1974. Under current planning requirements rezoning to Urban Development zone may be considered
- The strategic plan strategy 3.3.1 has been considered with regard to providing residential living choices.
- Employment issues are not the subject of this application
- Remnant vegetation and fauna on the site is considered by the EPA to be of local significance only. It is noted that only a small portion of the site contains remnant (natural) bush. In order for the land to be protected and retained as a whole, either the City or the state government would need to acquire the site. The site is not shown as a bush forever site and as such, it is unlikely that the State Government would acquire the site for environmental protection. Refer comments in Council report.