



City of
Joondalup

DRAFT AGENDA FOR BRIEFING SESSION

to be held on
TUESDAY 19 APRIL 2005
in Conference Room 1,
Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup
commencing at 6.30 pm

GARRY HUNT
Chief Executive Officer
15 April 2005

BRIEFING SESSIONS

The following procedures relating to the conduct of Briefing Sessions were adopted at the Council meeting held on 31 August 2004.

PURPOSE OF BRIEFING SESSIONS

For the most effective decision-making, elected members/Commissioners must have the opportunity to gain maximum knowledge and understanding of any issue presented to the Council on which they must vote. It is reasonable for elected members/Commissioners to expect that they will be provided with all the relevant information they need to understand issues listed on the agenda for the next or following ordinary Council meetings. The complexity of many items means that elected members/commissioners may need to be given information additional to that in a staff report and/or they may need an opportunity to ask questions of relevant staff members. This is achieved by the elected members/commissioners meeting as a body to receive a briefing on issues listed for Council decision. It is considered Briefing Sessions are much more efficient and effective than elected members/Commissioners meeting staff on an individual basis for such a purpose, with the added benefit that all elected members/Commissioners hear the same questions and answers.

Briefing Sessions conducted by the City are open to the public with the exception of confidential items that are to be considered by Council behind closed doors. In addition to having the opportunity to receive detailed presentations from staff and consultants about matters that are to be on the Council Meeting Agenda for decision, Briefing Sessions are the forum used by the City to receive deputations from the public, ratepayer and other community groups, about matters of interest and due for consideration and decision of Council.

To protect the integrity of the decision-making process it is essential that Briefing Sessions be conducted in keeping with agreed procedures that are consistently applied.

PROCEDURES FOR BRIEFING SESSIONS

- 1 Dates and times for sessions should be set well in advance where practical.
- 2 The CEO will ensure timely written notice and the agenda for each session is provided to all members.
- 3 Session papers should be distributed to members at least three days prior to the meeting. This does not preclude submission of late items where considered appropriate by the CEO.
- 4 The Mayor/Chairman of Commissioners or other designated member is to be the presiding member at all sessions.
- 5 Elected members/Commissioners, employees and consultants shall disclose their financial and conflicts of interest in matters to be discussed.
- 6 Interests are to be disclosed in accordance with the provisions of the Act as they apply to ordinary Council meetings. Persons disclosing a financial interest will not participate in that part of a session relating to their interest and shall leave the meeting room.

- 7 There is to be no opportunity for a person with an interest to request that they continue in the session.
- 8 A record should be kept of all sessions. As no decisions will be made, the record need only be a general record of items covered but should record disclosures of interest with appropriate departures/returns.
- 9 Elected members/Commissioners have the opportunity to request matters be included on the agenda for consideration at future Strategy or Briefing Sessions by:
 - (a) Request to the Mayor/Chairman;
 - (b) Request to the Chief Executive Officer; or
 - (c) Submitting a Notice of Motion to a Council meeting in keeping with Standing Orders.
- 10 An exception to point 7 above would be a situation where a consultant who has/declares a financial interest in the matter, is asked to attend a Strategy or Briefing Session to provide information only, on that matter being considered at the Session.
- 11 Briefing Sessions will be open to the public unless the session is being briefed on a matter for which a formal Council meeting may be closed.
- 12 Briefing Sessions will be the forum that ratepayer, community and other groups and members of the public can make a deputation on Council meeting agenda matters before the Council. Persons wanting to arrange deputations must do so in keeping with the procedures then applicable.
- 13 Items to be addressed will be limited to matters listed on the forthcoming agenda.
- 14 Briefings will only be given by staff or staff and consultants, for the purpose of ensuring that elected members and the public are more fully informed.
- 15 All questions and discussions will be directed through the chair. There will be no debate style discussion, as this needs to take place in the ordinary meeting of Council when the issue is set for decision.
- 16 A period for Public Questions be held at the commencement of Briefing Sessions that relate only to items on the agenda.

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time is provided at meetings of Council or briefing sessions that are open to the public.

Public question time is not a public forum for debate or making public statements. The time is limited to asking of questions and receiving responses. This procedure is designed to assist the conduct of public question time and provide a fair and equitable opportunity for members of the public who wish to ask a question. Public question time is not to be used by elected members. Members of the Council are encouraged to use other opportunities to obtain information.

Questions raised at the Briefing Session must relate only to items on the agenda.

Prior to the Meeting/Briefing Session

To enable prompt and detailed responses to questions, members of the public are encouraged to lodge questions in writing to the Committee Clerk by close of business on the Friday prior to the Council meeting or Briefing Session at which the answer is required. Answers to those questions received within that time frame, where practicable, will be provided in hard copy form at that meeting.

At the Meeting/Briefing Session

A register will be provided for those persons wanting to ask questions to enter their name, and the order of registration will be the order in which persons will be invited to ask their questions.

Public question time will be limited to the legislative minimum of fifteen (15) minutes and may be extended by resolution of the Council, but the extension of time is not to exceed ten (10) minutes in total. Public question time will be limited to two (2) questions per member of the public. When all people who wish to do so have asked their two (2) questions, the presiding member may, if time permits, provide an opportunity for those who have already asked their two (2) questions to ask further questions.

During public question time at the meeting, each member of the public wanting to ask questions will be required to provide a written form of their question(s) to a Council employee.

Where the number of required questions exceeds the number able to be asked, the member of the public may submit the unasked questions to the Council, where they would be 'taken on notice' and a written response provided.

The procedure to ask a public question during the meeting is as follows:

- persons are requested to come forward in the order they registered;
- give their name and address;
- read out their question;
- before or during the meeting each person is requested to provide a written form of their question to a designated Council employee;
- the person having used up their allowed number of questions or time is asked by the presiding member if they have more questions; if they do then the presiding member notes the request and places them at the end of the queue; the person resumes their seat in the gallery;
- the next person on the registration list is called;
- the original registration list is worked through until exhausted; after that the presiding member calls upon any other persons who did not register if they have a question (people may have arrived after the meeting opened);
- when such people have asked their questions the presiding member may, if time permits, provide an opportunity for those who have already asked a question to ask further questions;
- public question time is declared closed following the expiration of the allocated time period or where there are no further questions.

The Mayor or presiding member shall decide to:

- Accept or reject the question and his/her decision is final;
- Nominate a member of the Council and/or Council employee to respond to the question;
- Due to the complexity of the question, it be taken on notice with a written response provided as soon as possible, and included in the agenda of the next briefing session or Council meeting, whichever applicable.

The following rules apply to public question time:

- *question time is not to be used by a member of the public to make a statement or express a personal opinion;*
- *questions should properly relate to Council business;*
- *question time shall not be used to require an Elected Member or an officer to make a personal explanation;*
- *questions should be asked politely in good faith and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely or be defamatory on a particular Elected Member or Council employee;*
- *where a response has been provided to a question asked by a member of the public, and where that response, in the opinion of the presiding person, adequately deals with the question, there is no obligation to further justify the response;*
- *where an elected member is of the opinion that the question is not relevant to the business of the City of Joondalup or that a member of the public is making a statement, they may bring it to the attention of the meeting.*

It is not intended that question time should be used as a means to obtain information that would not be made available if it was sought from the City's records under Section 5.94 of the Local Government Act 1995 or the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act 1992. Where the response to a question(s) would require a substantial commitment of the City's resources, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) will determine that it is an unreasonable impost upon the City and refuse to provide it. The CEO will advise the member of the public that the information may be sought in accordance with the FOI Act 1992.

Disclaimer

Responses to questions not put in writing are provided in good faith and as such, should not be relied upon as being either complete or comprehensive.

DEPUTATION SESSIONS

Council will conduct an informal session on the same day as the Briefing Session in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup, commencing at 6.30 pm where members of the public may present deputations by appointment only. (Please note that deputation requests are to be received by no later than 4.00 pm on the Monday prior to a Briefing Session.)

A time period of fifteen (15) minutes is set-aside for each deputation, with five (5) minutes for Commissioners' questions. Deputation sessions are open to the public.

** Any queries on the agenda, please contact Council Support Services on 9400 4369*

CITY OF JOONDALUP – BRIEFING SESSION

to be held in Conference Room 1, Joondalup Civic Centre, Boas Avenue, Joondalup on
TUESDAY 19 APRIL 2005 commencing at 6.30 pm

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1 OPEN AND WELCOME

2 DEPUTATIONS

3 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The following questions were submitted by Ms Sue Hart, Greenwood to the Briefing Session held on 30 March 2005:

Re: CSIRO Site, Marmion:

Q1 During an earlier deputation this evening, Mr Glenn McLeod stated he had spoken to the City's lawyers. Is it correct that the City has lawyers looking at this issue?

A1 Following the deferral of this Item at the Council meeting held on 15 March 2005, the City sought further advice on this issue and engaged McLeod and Co, who have been involved in discussions with the Satterley Group.

Q2 Have Commissioners seen the Terms of Reference and the legal advice given to the City regarding this issue?

A2 Yes, we have received legal advice.

Q3 Listed in the Warrant of Payments are two payments to Richard Hooker amounting to \$5,500 each paid on 1 February 2005. On stamped page 36 under the heading Cancelled Payments issued prior to February 2005 there is a cancelled payment of \$6,022.50. What is the total amount paid to Mr Hooker for services rendered to the Inquiry and the total to be paid to Mr McLeod on behalf of staff in relation to the Inquiry?

A3 The total amount paid to Richard Hooker for services rendered to the Inquiry is \$5,500 (inclusive of GST).

As at 30 March 2005 the total amount paid to McLeod's Barristers & Solicitors in relation to the Panel Inquiry is \$44,921.45 (inclusive of GST).

The following questions were submitted by Mr M Sideris, Mullaloo to the Briefing Session held on 30 March 2005:

Q1 There has been no deputation from the applicant in relation to the proposed development at Hillarys Boat Harbour.

A1 The offer of a deputation was made to the applicant and was declined.

Q2 In relation to the proposed tavern development at Hillarys Boat Harbour, will this item be deferred to allow the public to make comment?

A2 *Response by Cmr Paterson:* That is a decision Commissioners will make at the Council meeting on Tuesday, 5 April 2005.

Q3 In relation to the Warrant of Payments, and particularly in relation to credit card details and the amount of information reflected within the Warrant of Payments, at what stage is the report requested by Cmr Smith?

A3 The report is being prepared.

Q4 When will the report be submitted?

A4 It is not known at this stage.

The following questions were submitted by Mr S Kobelke, Sorrento to the Briefing Session held on 30 March 2005:

Re: CSIRO Site, Marmion:

Q1 Why does the officer's report make no mention of the fact that the CSIRO report states that the northern corridor should be maintained and protected?

A1 This report is a consolidated position based on all of the information, including comments that have been obtained during the public submission period.

Q2 The comment indicates that the remnant vegetation will be looked after. Do you believe that the developer will look after any vegetation on the site?

A2 *Response by Cmr Paterson:* That is a decision Commissioners will make at the Council meeting on Tuesday, 5 April 2005.

The following questions were submitted by Mr T Thorp, Sorrento to the Briefing Session held on 30 March 2005:

Re: CSIRO Site, Marmion:

Q1 The administration has not door knocked in the area to establish local support for this rezoning. Why is the administration supporting the development over the wishes of the majority of people living in the area, who do not want the site rezoned?

- A1 The law suggests that public comment should be gained by inviting public submissions by way of advertising or other means, and does not allow for canvassing. The role of the officers is to give an independent viewpoint on the matter, and also to include the wishes of the public in that process.

Response by Cmr Paterson: It is not the role of the administration to doorknock residents.

- Q2 *Why is the administration promoting this rezoning of the site against the wishes of the residents of the area?*

- A2 It is not a matter of the staff promoting an issue, they are required to provide advice to the Council and the Council will make a decision.

The following question was submitted by Mr M Caiacob, Mullaloo to the Briefing Session held on 30 March 2005:

Re: CSIRO Site, Marmion:

- Q1 *Did the applicant apply for assessment under Network City?*

- A1 The applicant did not apply for assessment under Network City. Network City is a draft document prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission and has not been endorsed as policy by the government at this stage. The draft document is strategic in nature and not a document that is used to assess planning applications or proposals.

4 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

5 DECLARATIONS OF FINANCIAL INTEREST/INTEREST THAT MAY AFFECT IMPARTIALITY

6 REPORTS

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| ITEM 1 | POLICY REVIEW – [26176]..... | 1 |
| ITEM 2 | PROPOSED CONSULTATION PROCESS - PROTOCOLS FOR PUBLIC QUESTION AND STATEMENT TIME – [02154, 08122 12950 10567]..... | 11 |
| ITEM 3 | NOTIFICATION OF VISITS BETWEEN JOONDALUP AND JINAN SISTER CITIES DURING 2005 – [52469] [11014] | 16 |
| ITEM 4 | NAIDOC WEEK – [42831] | 21 |
| ITEM 5 | MINUTES OF THE SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING - 24 MARCH 2005 – [00906] | 26 |
| ITEM 6 | BORROWINGS FOR THE UPGRADE OF THE CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE – [55570] .. | 29 |
| ITEM 7 | WARRANT OF PAYMENTS 31 MARCH 2005 – [09882] | 33 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| ITEM 8 | FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 MARCH 2005 – [07882] | 35 |
| ITEM 9 | TENDER NUMBER 037-04/05 WHITFORDS LIBRARY AIRCONDITIONING REPLACEMENT – [20569] | 37 |
| ITEM 10 | NUMBER 039-04/05 JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION RECEPTION AREA UPGRADE – [50569] | 42 |
| ITEM 11 | TENDER NUMBER 041-04/05 SUPPLY AND LAYING OF CONCRETE KERBING – [58569] | 46 |
| ITEM 12 | PROPOSED TRAFFIC TREATMENTS – RALEIGH ROAD AND ADJACENT STREETS, SORRENTO – [04429] | 50 |
| ITEM 13 | PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 29 TO DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 TO REZONE FROM 'COMMERCIAL' TO 'RESIDENTIAL' AND AMEND THE DENSITY CODE FROM R20 TO R40: LOT 674 (107) EDDYSTONE AVENUE, CNR PERILYA ROAD, CRAIGIE – [83567] | 55 |
| ITEM 14 | CHANGE OF USE FROM MEDICAL CENTRE TO RESIDENTIAL BUILDING (SHORT STAY ACCOMMODATION): LOT 407 (3) GLENELG PLACE, CONNOLLY – [03180] | 60 |
| ITEM 15 | DEDICATION OF PORTIONS OF MITCHELL FREEWAY – [04013] | 71 |
| ITEM 16 | SUBDIVISION REFERRALS PROCESSED 1 - 31 MARCH 2005 – [05961] | 74 |
| ITEM 17 | MINUTES OF THE SENIORS INTERESTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 16 FEBRUARY 2005 – [55511] | 75 |
| ITEM 18 | RECONSIDERATION OF CONDITION OF RETROSPECTIVE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL FOR ADDITIONS: LOT 2 (160B) WATERFORD DRIVE, HILLARYS – [43516] | 77 |

7 REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

8 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

9 BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

10 OUTSTANDING PETITIONS

11 REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER

ITEM 1 POLICY REVIEW – [26176]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To seek Council endorsement of a revised process for the review and development of policies at the City of Joondalup.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report recommends a new framework for the development and review of policies at the City of Joondalup. Further it recommends that the framework incorporate two distinct sets of policies:

- 1 *Council Policies* - strategic policies that set governing principles and guide the direction of the organisation to align with community values and aspirations. These policies have a strategic external focus and align with the Mission, Vision and Strategic Directions.
- 2 *City Policies* - policies that are developed for administrative and operational imperatives and have an internal focus.

The framework proposes that Council and City policies will be subject to Council review and sanction however they will be subject to a different process for development and review.

Council policies, according to the proposed framework, will be developed and reviewed by the Policy Committee and be subject to public participation processes in recognition of the community leadership role Council has in guiding the formation and development of the City, and in representing the values and interests of the broader community. City policies will be developed by officers for Council consideration and these policies will still require Council endorsement however this will occur as part of the normal Council meeting cycle.

This report recommends that Council, by an Absolute Majority:

- 1 *DISBANDS the Policy Manual Review Committee;*
- 2 *ESTABLISHES a Policy Committee comprising membership of the five Commissioners with the following terms of reference:*
 - (a) *To make recommendations to Council on the development and review of strategic (Council) policies to identify the direction of the Council;*
 - (b) *To Initiate and formulate strategic (Council) policies;*
 - (c) *To devise and oversee the method of development (level and manner of community consultation) for the development of strategic (Council) policies;*
 - (d) *To review the Council Policy Governance Framework in order to ensure compliance with provisions of the Local Government Act 1995.*

BACKGROUND

During 2003 the elected Council of the day established a Committee to review its policies. With the suspension of the elected Council in December 2003 and appointment of five Commissioners, there was a need to appoint representation to the Committees of the Council. At the Council meeting held on 17 February 2004 it was requested that the formation of the Policy Manual Review Committee be referred to a future Strategy Session for consideration. A report was presented to Council on 20 July 2004 (*refer CJ158 – 07/04*) recommending that the Council disband the Policy Manual Review Committee. The following motion was put and carried unanimously:

That the consideration of the role of the Policy Manual Review Committee, and protocols for the review and adoption of new policies be REFERRED to a workshop to be attended by Commissioners.

Each policy of Council has a review timeframe. The current process for review, as stated in the Policy Manual is that:

'As the review period for a policy is reached, a report is prepared for Council consideration on the effectiveness of the policy, any variations which may have occurred in implementing the policy, the reasons behind those variations and any recommendations for amendment. At least annually, the entire policy manual will be reviewed by each responsible Directorate. The review process will be co-ordinated by Council Support Services.'

The annual review of policies is currently overseen by the Policy Manual Review Committee who then refers policies through to Council for endorsement.

The process for policy-making at the City has been the subject of considerable discussions by Council at Strategy Sessions on February 8th and March 1st and 22nd. These discussions have centred on the process for policy initiation, formulation, adoption, and implementation and the roles and responsibilities of Council and the CEO in the policy-making process.

A number of options have been discussed by Council at the Strategy Sessions for the development and review of major or strategic policies by Council. Discussion at the Strategy Session indicated a preference for the following option:

The current Policy Manual Review Committee to be disbanded and replaced with the Policy Committee with revised terms of reference. The Policy Committee to have the responsibility for overseeing the review and development of policies and determining appropriate community consultation methodologies for those policies that have community significance. Membership of the Committee to comprise all five Commissioners. Final policy endorsed by Council.

The following priorities for the development of major policies were identified at the Strategy Session of March 1 2005:

- Economic Development and Employment;
- Use of outsourcing;
- Environment and Sustainability;
- Development along coastal areas;
- Community Consultation and Participation;

- Joondalup Central Business District;
- Parking as a business;
- Land and Building Assets;
- Services – transparency of subsidy;
- Sponsorships of services, events, facilities
- Services – business platform, community platform;
- Major Events – local, regional

The following issues were also discussed at the Strategy Session on March 1 2005 and have been used to guide the development of the proposed framework for the development and review of Council policies:

- The long term sustainable success of Joondalup is linked to its identity as a region and a regional policy is needed;
- There is a need to differentiate between Council policies and organisational procedures;
- Policies to be clearly linked to the role of Council;
- Council's role is to decide what services it will deliver and also to ensure that the services are efficient and effective – sustainability issues are not just environmental but also about holistic management. Parking would fall into this area;
- Sustainability should include cultural and parking;
- The Policy Committee to be involved in the process and method for the development of policies and its terms of reference to be amended;
- The Policy Committee should determine the level of engagement necessary with the community;
- Maximum of 3 – 5 issues for major policy development with statement of intent developed;
- Broad overarching policies needed and procedures to stem from these;
- The role of Council is statutory. Council's role is to direct, oversee, monitor and determine policies, and Council is also responsible for the allocation of resources;
- Focus on policies to be in line with the 20 year time horizon of the Strategic Financial Management Committee;

Strategic Plan: This item has a general connection to the Strategic Plan.

DETAILS

In 1999/2000 the Council undertook a complete review of the former City of Wanneroo policies that it had inherited as a result of the split of the former City. This review reduced the number of policies from in excess of three hundred to the current number of approximately seventy-five. The review focussed mainly on extracting administrative procedures from the policy statements of the City. The review also sought the establishment of a corporate procedures manual to assist officers in the day-to-day operations of the organisation.

In February 2005 a further review was undertaken of the City's Policy Manual with a view to delineating between those policies that were of a strategic nature and those policies that were required for administrative and/or operational imperatives.

Attachment 1 outlines a framework for policy development at the City of Joondalup that has been developed as a result of Council deliberations at the Strategy Sessions conducted in February and March. The framework represents the role of Council in setting strategic (Council) policies and sanctioning operational (City) policies, and the role of the CEO in

providing support and advice to the Council for the development of City policies and overseeing the development of procedures to facilitate the implementation of the Council policies.

Statutory Provision:

The Local Government Act 1995 is the legislation under which Local Government bodies are constituted and contains detailed reporting and operational requirements which a Council has a duty to comply with.

The Act establishes the framework for the system of local government in Western Australia. Section 1.3 (2) states that the Act is intended to result in:

- a) Better decision-making by local government
- b) Greater community participation in the decisions and affairs of local governments
- c) Greater accountability of local governments to their communities; and
- d) More efficient and effective local government.

The degree to which this is achieved is dependant on the processes and practices for planning, and policy development.

Part 3 of the Act outlines the functions of local governments:

- Section 3.1 - A *general function* to provide for good government
- Section 3.4 - A *legislative function* to make local laws, and
- Section 3.8 - An *executive function* to provide services and facilities.

The separation of powers and duties in relation to the Council and the Chief Executive Officers as detailed in the Local Government Act 1995 are:

Under the Act (Section 2.7) the role of the Council is to:

- (a) Direct and controls the local government's affairs;
- (b) Be responsible for the performance of the local government's Perth's functions.
- (c) Oversee the allocation of the local government's finances and resources; and
- (d) Determine the local governments policies.

Section 5.41 of the Local Government Act specifies the functions of the Chief Executive Officer:

- (a) Advise the council in relation to the functions of a local government under this Act and other written laws.
- (b) Ensure that advice and information is available to the council so that informed decision can be made.
- (c) Cause council decisions to be implemented.
- (d) Manage the day-to-day operations of the local government.
- (e) Liaise with the mayor on the local government's affairs and the performance of the local government functions;
- (f) Speak on behalf of the local government if the mayor agrees;

- (g) Be responsible for the employment, management, supervision, direction and dismissal of other employees (subject to section 5.37 (2) in relation to senior employees);
- (h) Ensure that records and documents of the local government are properly kept for the purposes of this Act and any other written law; and
- (i) Perform any other functions specified or delegated by the local government or imposed under this Act or any other written law as a function to be performed by the CEO.

It is important to note that some of the powers and functions of the Council can be delegated to the CEO.

Under the Act Section 5.42 states:

- (1) A local government may delegate to the CEO the exercise of any of its powers of the discharge of any of its duties under this Act other than those referred to in section 5.43 and this power of delegation.
- (2) A delegation under this section is to be in writing and may be general or as otherwise provided in the instrument of delegation. (Absolute Majority required).

Section 5.44 provides limitations to this power of delegation, those being:

In turn the CEO may delegate to any employees the exercise of any of the CEO's powers or the discharge of any of the CEO's duties other than the power of delegation. The delegation must be in writing.

Policy Implications

The report recommends a revised process for the development and review of Council policies.

Strategic Implications:

This report provides the framework and guidelines within which policies will be developed at the City of Joondalup. It will contribute to achievement of the Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008.

Sustainability Implications:

The review and development of policies will align with the strategic directions established by Council and outlined in the Strategic Plan 2003 – 2008. Council's vision is to be '*A sustainable City and community that are recognised as innovative, unique and diverse*'. The Strategic Plan was designed to reflect the themes of economic, social and environmental sustainability as well as good governance.

Community Consultation:

The process of public policy-making is as important as the product of that process. Effective policy making cannot occur without substantial public participation.

The report recommends that major Council policies be subject to community consultation processes as determined by the Policy Committee.

COMMENT

The development and review of policies in local government goes to the very heart of corporate governance. Effective corporate governance is only possible with a recognition and acceptance of legislative and administrative means that clearly establish the roles and responsibilities of the Council, Councillors and Council Officers.

Effective outcomes are best achieved where the role and focus of councillors as a collective corporate body, is directed to policy formulation and strategic development. The role of staff is to implement the policies and strategies of the Council through an accountable, effective and efficient application of resources and assets.

The Local Government Act 1995 defines the role of the Council and Councillors as setting the direction the local government should take and the role of the CEO to implement the decisions of Council. The intent, therefore, of the Local Government Act was for the Council to set strategy and policy direction while the implementation of that direction and the day-to-day operations was to rest with the CEO.

In terms of the very different and discrete roles of the Council and the CEO it is imperative that the differences between major (Council) policies, operational (City) policies, and procedures be clearly understood so that Council and the CEO (and staff) can achieve Council objectives.

The Local Government Act defines the roles and responsibilities of Council and the Chief Executive Officer so as to ensure that the local government fulfils its obligations and exercises its powers and function appropriately. The revised process for the development and review of policies at the City of Joondalup, described in this report, is based on the roles and responsibilities as defined in the Local Government Act and translates such obligations into practical mechanisms for the setting of policy (Council and City) and the processes for implementation of policies which combine to create an environment for effective management and operation of the City of Joondalup.

The framework proposed in this report assigns responsibility for the initiation, formulation and adoption of strategic (Council) policies that guide decision making to the Council, and implementation of such policy to the CEO.

Local Government is representative democracy and as such is required to consult and engage its community on major policy matters, and in so doing, reflect the aspirations of that community. Local Governments have an important role in building strong communities. Engaging the community should be highly valued and a goal that influences all activities of local government.

The proposed framework for the development and review of policies described in this report recommends that when major Council policies are being developed and reviewed that community engagement is an important consideration so that the policy making process ensures that all community viewpoints are heard and considered in the formulation of policy. This will also ensure that Council does not make policy in a vacuum, and the community participates and feels a part of the governance process. Further, it will ensure that the Council acts in the community interest.

The process for the review and development of policies described in this report makes a clear distinction between the role of the Council and the CEO, which is represented in the table below:

| | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Council Policies (What) | Council | External Focus |
| City Policies (How) | Council/CEO | Internal Focus |
| Procedures (Who/When/Implementation) | CEO | Internal Focus |

Council has a statutory role to determine the policies for the City of Joondalup and the role of Council in setting policy will be most effective when it is linked with appropriate management practices and processes that ensure implementation of such policies. Council policies should set the standards for the organisation to achieve and make strategic policy decisions that guide staff in their decision-making processes.

Those policies that set direction (Council Policies) and reflect community values and therefore have significant impact on the community will, according to the framework proposed, undergo a comprehensive development process under the guidance of the Policy Committee that will involve, where the Committee determines it appropriate, engaging the community in policy debate, whereas those policies that are developed for administrative and/or operational imperatives (City Policies) will be developed by Council officers for Council consideration and be subject to the normal Council meeting cycle for Council review and endorsement.

The proposed framework, if endorsed by Council, will necessitate a review of the current Policy (2.3.1) Policy Development & Review Process and a revision of the terms of reference for the Policy Committee.

The following terms of reference were suggested at the Strategy Session of 1 March 2005:

- Make recommendations to Council on the development and review of strategic policies to identify the direction of the Council;
- Policy to be developed;
- Devise and oversee the method of development (level of community consultation).

Research has been conducted into the policy development processes by the Western Australian Local Government (WALGA), Australian Local Government Association (ALGA), and the Department of Premier and Cabinet, to inform the proposed process for the City of Joondalup.

The following information reflects that research:

WALGA develops policies in the following areas:

- 1 Community – key issues within this policy area include aged, centralisation/decentralisation of Government services, community security, disabilities, emergency management, health, libraries, recreation, and youth;
- 2 Development - key issues in this policy area include building, land use planning, native title, and telecommunications;
- 3 Environment – key issues within this policy area include, greenhouse emission reduction, sustainable development, natural resource management, and salinity;

- 4 Governance – key issues within this policy area include, elected member development, grants, and Local Government Act and Associated Legislation;
- 5 Transport – key issues within this policy area include aerodromes, heavy haulage, public transport, roads,
- 6 Waste Management.

WALGA develops policies in the following areas:

- 1 Environment – key issues within this policy area include national packaging covenant, natural resource management, coastal management, sustainability, greenhouse and climate change, waste and recycling, environmental management system, strategic partnerships;
- 2 Indigenous Issues – key issues within this policy area include commitment, and Native Title;
- 3 Roads and Transport
- 4 Online Services
- 5 Regional Development - encompasses a number of different policy areas including infrastructure, finance, environmental, and social policy.
- 6 Social – key issues within this policy area include population and ageing, health, housing, sport and recreation, family and children, youth services, immigration, disabilities, arts and women in local government.
- 7 Economics and Finance

The Department for Premier and Cabinet develops policies in the following areas:

- 1 Social Policy – key issues within this policy area include mental health, children, concessions, family violence, Indigenous communities, poverty, ageing, health, community service provision, (including funding and provision), homelessness, and drugs and alcohol.
- 2 Citizenship, Civics and strengthening democracy- key issues within this policy area include public participation;
- 3 Community Safety – key issues within this policy area include community safety, and crime prevention;
- 4 Export and Trade – key issues within this policy area include international trade and investment;
- 5 Sustainable Tourism Development – key issues within this policy area include the protection the Ningaloo Coast.

Whilst it is not possible to impose the key policy areas from WALGA, ALGA and the Department of Premier and Cabinet onto the City of Joondalup's policy framework the research does provide some examples of broad policy areas for Council's consideration.

The key strategic or major Council policy areas would be best placed to drive and align with the key focus areas of the Strategic Plan – Community, Environment, Development and Governance. Council's policy directions and statements would, therefore, be in the following areas:

- Community Sustainability – to incorporate broad statements of intent with regard to service provision, community consultation, public participation, community partnerships, leisure and recreational activities, and arts and culture.

- Environmental Sustainability – to incorporate broad statements of intent with regard to protection and enhancement of natural environment, transport choices, and developing the physical character of Joondalup.
- Economic Sustainability – to incorporate broad statements of intent with regard to regional development and cooperation, local employment, support for the business sector, infrastructure, major events.
- Governance – to incorporate broad statements of intent with regard to Council’s role and responsibilities in terms of community leadership, setting direction, representation and advocacy of community interests, promoting the needs and aspirations of the community, stewardship of community resources, service provision, regulatory functions, and the integration of social, environmental and economic considerations into decision making.
- The framework proposes that Council policies contain statements of strategic intent to guide the City’s decision-making and operations. Once developed they should be aligned to the identified outcomes contained within the Strategic Plan and associated management practices and delegations should be cross reference to each document. Each of these major Council policy statements will also be supported by specific City policies that will be driven by the major policy statements.
- It is evident that the current Policy Manual will need a rigorous review to clearly delineate Council policies from City policies and procedures, and a Working Party comprising key staff has been convened to undertake this review. It is intended that a report will be provided to the Policy Committee following this review.

Good governance is about formalising and making clear and consistent the decision-making processes in the organisation. The framework proposed in this report will help facilitate decision-making and appropriate delegation of accountability and responsibility within and outside the organisation and ensure that the varying needs of the stakeholders are appropriately balanced; that decisions are made in a rational, informed and transparent fashion; and that those decisions contribute to the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the organisation.

The model described in this report has been developed to enable the Council to focus on the larger issues, to delegate with clarity, to control management’s job without interfering in the day-to-day operations, and importantly, to truly lead the organisation by placing primary importance on issues of organisational purpose (ends) from other organisational issues (means).

The Council Policy Governance Framework proposed in this report sets an overarching governance hierarchy or policy governance model that will enable the Council and the CEO to collectively:

- Provide effective and accountable strategic leadership;
- Exercise effective control over the operations of the City; and
- Add value to the activities undertaken.

The proposed Council Policy Governance Framework, therefore, establishes a system whereby Council, through policy leadership, establishes the blueprint for the community’s future and the CEO is accountable for the execution of that blueprint.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Framework for Policy Development at the City of Joondalup

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Absolute majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY:

- 1 DISBANDS the Policy Manual Review Committee;**
- 2 ESTABLISHES a Policy Committee comprising membership of the five Commissioners with the following terms of reference:**
 - (a) To make recommendations to Council on the development and review of strategic (Council) policies to identify the direction of the Council;**
 - (b) To Initiate and formulate strategic (Council) policies;**
 - (c) To devise and oversee the method of development (level and manner of community consultation) for the development of strategic (Council) policies;**
 - (d) To review the Council Policy Governance Framework in order to ensure compliance with provisions of the Local Government Act 1995.**

Appendix 1 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach1brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 2 PROPOSED CONSULTATION PROCESS - PROTOCOLS FOR PUBLIC QUESTION AND STATEMENT TIME – [02154, 08122 12950 10567]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

For the Council to give consideration to the proposed consultation process with members of Council appointed committees for the development of procedures relating to public question and statement time.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A panel comprising of three (3) persons was established in late 2003 to undertake a review of the City of Joondalup's governance processes.

The panel presented a final report, which was initially considered by the Council in November 2004 where it was deferred to the December 2004 meeting to be considered in conjunction with the resolutions carried at the annual meeting of electors.

At the ordinary meeting of the Council held on 14 December 2004 it was agreed as follows:

- *NOTE the request from the electors to include a public statement time at Council meetings and Briefing sessions;*
- *AGREE to give consideration to the inclusion of a public statement time when reviewing the guidelines relating to public question time;*
- *AGREE to the community being involved in developing protocols for public question time and statement time within the constraints imposed by the Local Government Act 1995 and the need for Council meetings to progress the ordinary business of the Council;*

It is recommended that Council AGREES to invite all members of the following committees to be part of developing the protocols for public question time and statement time:

- *Conservation Advisory Committee*
- *Sustainability Advisory Committee*
- *Senior Interests Advisory Committee*
- *Youth Advisory Council*
- *CBD Enhancement Committee*

BACKGROUND

A Governance Review Panel (the Panel) established in September 2003 comprising of:

- Mr Steve Cole, Director Capacity Building – Department of Local Government and Regional Development – (Chairman);
- Councillor Ian Mickel, President – Shire of Esperance;
- Mr Cliff Frewing, Executive Manager – Financial and Information Services – City of Swan and President, WA Division of Local Government Managers Australia;
- Mr Bruce Wittber, Consultant (Executive Officer).

The Council at its meeting held on 23 November 2004 resolved to:

- 1 *DEFER consideration of Responses to Governance Review to allow it to be considered at the same time as motions from the Annual Meeting of Electors that relate to this matter;*
- 2 *the Acting CEO be requested to write to the Minister advising that the community is requesting the release of the Governance Report for public information and asking whether the Minister is prepared to release the report;*
- 3 *nothing in this resolution prevents the Administration from carrying out preliminary administrative tasks in connection with a review of:*
 - *Guidelines relating to public question time*
 - *Protocols and procedures relating to strategy and briefing sessions*
 - *Standing Orders*
 - *Code of Conduct*
 - *Electronic controls within the Council Chamber*

The Council at its meeting held on 14 December 2004 resolved to:

- *NOTE the request from the electors to include a public statement time at Council meetings and Briefing sessions;*
- *AGREE to give consideration to the inclusion of a public statement time when reviewing the guidelines relating to public question time;*
- *AGREE to the community being involved in developing protocols for public question time and statement time within the constraints imposed by the Local Government Act 1995 and the need for Council meetings to progress the ordinary business of the Council.*

The above resolution was primarily as a result of the recommendations of the Governance Review – Final Report that was conducted into the operations of the City of Joondalup in late 2003.

DETAILS

Statutory Provision:

The Local Government Act 1995 and associated Regulations require that a period of time be allocated at the commencement of every Council meeting for the asking of and responding to questions asked by members of the public. The rules associated with the conduct of public question time are detailed within the legislation; however, public statement time is not a statutory requirement.

Policy Implications:

The Council does not have a policy relating to public question and statement time but it has adopted a form of guidelines/procedures that assist the Presiding member with the conduct of public question time.

Financial Implications:

There are currently no funds allocated to undertake an extensive consultation process.

Strategic Implications:

Outcome – The City of Joondalup is an interactive community

4.3 To ensure the City responds to and communicates with the community

4.3.3 Provide fair and transparent decision-making processes.

Community Consultation:

In order to involve the community in establishing such protocols and being mindful of the process and costs, it is proposed to request the attendance of members of the five Council appointed advisory committees being: -

- Conservation Advisory Committee
- Sustainability Advisory Committee
- Senior Interests Advisory Committee
- Youth Advisory Council
- CBD Enhancement Committee

Sustainability:

The City recognises its responsibilities to work with its community towards an environmentally, socially and economically sustainable future. Consideration of the recommendations of the Governance Review Panel will enhance the social aspect of sustainability by demonstrating improved governance practices for the benefit of the community of the City of Joondalup.

From a quick analysis of the local government industry it appears that the Cities of Swan, Stirling and Subiaco allow a public statement time at the respective Council meetings. The

guidelines associated with the individual public statement times vary amongst the three local governments.

Prior to undertaking the consultation process for the development of the guidelines for public question and statement time and to adhere to the intent of the resolution of the Council, being “*within the constraints imposed by the Local Government Act 1995 and the need for Council meetings to progress the ordinary business of the Council*”, it is requested that a set of agreed parameters be set by the Council within which the consultation process will work. These parameters need to address such issues as:

- A reasonable duration of public question and statement time to allow the normal business of the Council to be transacted;
- In which forum should the public statement time be introduced: briefing sessions or ordinary/special Council meetings?
- Should statements relate to items on the agenda or to matters affecting the operations of the local government?
- Should there be a limit to the number of statements and time of the statements and questions:
- Should people be able to ask a question and make a statement at the same meeting?
- If the number of persons wanting to make a statement or ask a question outweighs the time allocated for statement and question times how are they extended?
- Should there be a limit to the number of questions able to be asked by one individual?
- Should there be a time limit on the statement an individual makes?
- Should there be a need to require all questions to be submitted in writing?
- If there is a limit to the number of questions per person, does this include the written questions received prior to the meeting?
- Should there be a deadline for written questions received prior to the meeting that expect a response at the meeting; what is the procedure for those received after that deadline, do they then become part of the restricted number of questions that person may ask?
- What special arrangements need to be made to assist people with disabilities.

COMMENT

Utilising the membership of these committees will allow for a cross representation of the community, from the youth to the seniors, who have committed to the Council decision making process by being involved at the committee level. These people also have an understanding of the Council process and will be able to constructively assist in the development of the protocols.

The consultation will be in the form of a workshop and facilitated by staff of the City of Joondalup. It is intended to establish clear ground rules with the participants relating to the legislated requirements and the intent of the Council decision.

It is therefore requested to commence the process by contacting each of the Presiding Members of those committees referred to above and advising them of the City’s intention prior to inviting the individual members to participate.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council AGREES to invite all members of the following committees to be part of developing the protocols for public question time and statement time:

- **Conservation Advisory Committee**
- **Sustainability Advisory Committee**
- **Senior Interests Advisory Committee**
- **Youth Advisory Council**
- **CBD Enhancement Committee**

ITEM 3 NOTIFICATION OF VISITS BETWEEN JOONDALUP AND JINAN SISTER CITIES DURING 2005 – [52469] [11014]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To advise and seek Council endorsement for sister city visits between Jinan, China and Joondalup during 2005.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Friendly relations between the City of Joondalup and the City of Jinan in eastern China have been developing since 2000. A Memorandum of Friendly Talks was signed between the two Cities in 2002 and in September 2004 the Chairman of Commissioners led a delegation to Jinan where a Protocol of Friendly Relations was signed. This was followed by a visit to the City by delegates from Jinan in November 2004 when an official protocol agreement formalising the Sister City Relationship between the two Cities was signed.

The Jinan Foreign Affairs Office has recently contacted the City requesting that the City host a delegation from Jinan, to be led by Mayor Bao, in August 2005. The City has also been extended an invitation to the China Shandong (Jinan) Twin Cities Tourism Forum to be held from 21-23 October 2005 in Jinan. A copy of the invitation is shown as “Attachment A” to this report.

The City is currently developing a long-term Relationship Plan, which will guide the development of the Sister City Relationship over the next 5 to 10 years. It is expected that the Relationship Plan will recommend, among other details, biennial visits between the two Cities rather than annual ones. However, given the relative freshness of the Sister City Relationship it is considered reasonable that the City consolidate the relationship by hosting an inbound and undertaking an outbound delegation during 2005. This will assist the relationship to flourish. It is envisaged that the Relationship Plan would be a point of discussion during the proposed visit in August by Mayor Bao Zhiqiang and his delegation. The discussion will enable agreement for the long-term management of the relationship including agreement on future visitations by both Cities.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1 *APPROVES that the delegation from Jinan, China lead by Mayor Bao Zhiqiang is received in August 2005;*
- 2 *REQUESTS the Chairman of Commissioners to issue official invitations to Mayor Bao Zhiqiang and his delegation;*
- 3 *REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to commence arrangements for the hosting of the delegation and provide a report back to Council in the near future outlining the details and itinerary for the visit in August 2005;*
- 4 *ACCEPTS the invitation from Mayor Bao Zhiqiang of the Municipal People’s Government of Jinan for the Chairman of Commissioners to lead a delegation to*

- Jinan to attend the International Tourism Fair and to further strengthen relationships established during 2004 between the two Cities;*
- 5 *AUTHORISES the Chairman of Commissioners to hold formal discussions with the City's key stakeholders to seek their support and attendance at the proposed visits between the Sister Cities during 2005;*
 - 6 *ADVISES Mayor Bao Zhiqiang of the Municipal People's Government of Jinan that travel and accommodation costs would be borne by each delegate and/or their respective organisations;*
 - 7 *LISTS for consideration a budget allocation of \$15,000 in 2005-06 budget to ensure costs associated with hosting an inbound delegation and an outbound delegation can be met.*

BACKGROUND

Friendly relations between the City of Joondalup and the City of Jinan in eastern China have been developing since 2000. A Memorandum of Friendly Talks was signed between the two Cities in 2002 and, in late 2003, the City received an invitation to lead a delegation to Jinan in September 2004 where a Protocol of Friendly Relations to establish a formal Sister City relationship was signed. In August 2004 the Chairman of Commissioners extended an invitation to official representatives from the City of Jinan to form a delegation to visit Joondalup in November 2004.

The delegation lead by Mr Duan Yihe, Director of the Standing Committee of Jinan's People Congress from Jinan was received by the City of Joondalup from 19 – 22 November 2005. During this visit the two Cities signed an official protocol agreement formalising the Sister City relations between the two Cities.

Further details on the history of the relationship with Jinan can be found in the following reports, previously submitted to Council:

CJ007 - 02/04 – Invitation to further formalise friendly relations with Jinan in Shandong Province, China – [52469] [11014]

CJ155 - 07/04 – Delegation to formalise friendly relations with Jinan in Shandong Province, China – [52469] [11014]

CJ250 – 11/04 A Protocol for Friendly Relations with the City of Jinan in Shandong Province, China – September 2004 [52469] [11014]

CJ306 – 12/04 Overview of the Official Visit from Jinan Delegation (Shandong province), China to Joondalup – November 2004 – [52469] [11014]

Strategic Plan:

This item links to the City's Strategic Plan 2003-08 under Key Focus Area One - Community Wellbeing through the following outcome:

“The City of Joondalup is recognised globally as a community that value and facilitates Lifelong Learning”

Sustainability Implications

The Sister City relationship with Jinan, China has been built on economic and social outcomes.

The visits in 2004 have opened up many opportunities for Joondalup that will support the City's economic development pathway.

Edith Cowan University has signed a Memorandum of Understanding with Jinan University and will be exchanging research opportunities and increasing their student intakes from Jinan.

The WA Police Academy has made an offer to Jinan to train 20 senior level officers at the Academy during 2005.

The West Coast TAFE and the Joondalup Health Campus are developing a training program for nurses from Jinan.

The City of Joondalup is progressing discussions on public servant training for senior level officers from Jinan and these talks will be progressed as outcomes of the proposed visits during 2005.

Community Consultation

A web page has been constructed that contains up to date information on the progress of the relationship with online opportunities for the community to comment or provide ideas for the City to consider. The City has received interest from various groups and individuals offering support and ideas for the ongoing relationship.

DETAILS

On Friday 25 March 2005, the Jinan Foreign Affairs Office contacted the City requesting to know if the City would be able to host a delegation from Jinan, to be led by Mayor Bao Zhiqiang, in August 2005. The City was informed that the actual dates would be provided once details had been finalised and the City of Joondalup has advised that a visit will be possible during the month of August 2005.

Furthermore, on Wednesday 30 March 2005, the City received an official invitation for the City of Joondalup to lead a delegation to visit Jinan in October 2005. The purpose of the visit will be to attend the China Shandong (Jinan) Twin Cities Tourism Forum from 21-23 October 2005 in Jinan. A copy of the invitation is shown as “Attachment A” to this report.

Policy Implications:

At this point in time the City does not have any associated policy to guide the Sister City Relationship. A policy and long term relationship plan is currently being developed which

will provide overarching guidance to enable the Jinan and Joondalup relationship to work effectively for both parties.

The recent request and invitation from Jinan have come prior to the drafting of the policy document and once endorsed all future reports with regard to the Sister City relationship will reflect the direction of the policy and the plan.

Financial Implications:

In 2004/05, \$15,000 was set aside for the Jinan-Joondalup relationship. The funding was set aside from within the Learning City corporate project budget.

This report recommends that Council lists for approval the sum of \$15,000 in the 2005/06 budget, to ensure that potential costs associated with the hosting of the August 2005 inbound delegation from Jinan and the outbound delegation to Jinan can be met. This will include catering, travel costs, accommodation, purchase of gifts and promotional activities.

COMMENT

The City is currently developing a long-term Relationship Plan, which will guide the development of the Sister City Relationship over the next 5-10 years. The Plan is being developed with involvement from the City's key stakeholders, Commissioners and staff who have contributed their views on how the relationships should be managed and maintained. A survey was distributed in March 2005 to collect the views and aspirations of stakeholders, commissioners and key staff. The surveys are currently being analysed and collated and will provide the basis for formulation of the Relationship Plan and Policy. The plan and policy will be drafted by May 2005 and will provide the necessary protocols for exchanges into the future.

It is envisaged that the Relationship Plan will be a point of discussion during the proposed visit in August by Mayor Bao and his delegation. The Plan will enable agreement for the long-term management of the relationship and this will include agreements on future visitations by both Cities. Given the relative freshness of the Sister City Relationship it would be considered reasonable that during 2005 we need to consolidate the relationship by hosting an inbound and undertaking an outbound delegation in a single year. This will greatly assist the relationship to flourish and reach the level of trust and respect that has been gained to date. The Plan will consolidate more appropriate arrangements into the future. For example an outbound delegation could travel to Jinan biennially and a delegation from Jinan would also be received biennially. This would ensure that the relationship has a single major interaction annually and would serve to ensure the cost of maintaining the relationship is managed efficiently.

Other outcomes from the visits during 2005 would be to develop ideas for exchange that have been raised during the 2004 visits. This would include further discussion on the potential for training exchange programs and tourism development opportunities. Once exchange programs have been agreed and are operational then the two Cities will be in a stronger position to measure tangible outcomes of the relationship. The first program to become operational is expected to commence in July and will see the WA Police Academy training 20 senior police from Jinan in Joondalup for a 3-month program. The program will cost \$125,000AUD.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A Invitation from Mayor Zhiqiang to attend the China Shandong (Jinan) Twin Cities Tourism Conference.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION**That Council:**

- 1 APPROVES that the delegation from Jinan, China lead by Mayor Bao Zhiqiang is received in August 2005;**
- 2 REQUESTS the Chairman of Commissioners to issue official invitations to Mayor Bao Zhiqiang and his delegation;**
- 3 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to commence arrangements for the hosting of the delegation and provide a report back to Council in the near future outlining the details and itinerary for the visit in August 2005;**
- 4 ACCEPTS the invitation from Mayor Bao Zhiqiang of the Municipal People’s Government of Jinan for the Chairman of Commissioners to lead a delegation to Jinan to attend the International Tourism Fair and to further strengthen relationships established during 2004 between the two Cities;**
- 5 AUTHORISES the Chairman of Commissioners to hold formal discussions with the City’s key stakeholders to seek their support and attendance at the proposed visits between the Sister Cities during 2005;**
- 6 ADVISES Mayor Bao Zhiqiang of the Municipal People’s Government of Jinan that travel and accommodation costs would be borne by each delegate and/or their respective organisations;**
- 7 LISTS for consideration a budget allocation of \$15,000 in 2005/06 budget to ensure costs associated with hosting an inbound delegation and an outbound delegation can be met.**

Appendix 2 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach2brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 4 NAIDOC WEEK – [42831]

WARD - Lakeside

PURPOSE

To seek endorsement by Council on the proposed approach to celebrate indigenous culture during NAIDOC (National Aboriginal Islander Day Observance Committee) week July 3 - 10 2005.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

It is proposed that the City celebrates NAIDOC week in 2005 and builds on the highly successful inaugural celebrations held in 2004. The City has formed a working group to discuss potential activities and events to celebrate NAIDOC week in 2005 including:

- Official flag raising ceremony to launch NAIDOC week;
- Special welcome to country at the City's Citizenship Ceremony;
- Aboriginal art exhibition, art workshops and art talks at the Blender Gallery;
- Special visits by Aboriginal elders to specific interest groups within the community such as libraries and senior citizen centres;
- Schools' banner competition; and
- Community concert to celebrate Aboriginal music and culture with performances by special Aboriginal artists.

This report recommends that Council:

- 1 *APPROVES the City's participation in celebrating NAIDOC week in 2005;*
- 2 *LISTS for consideration an amount of \$15,000 in the 2005/06 budget process;*
- 3 *LISTS NAIDOC week in the dairy of events;*
- 4 *APPROVES NAIDOC week to be an annual event for the City.*

BACKGROUND

NAIDOC week is a way of celebrating and promoting a greater understanding of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and their culture. It is also an opportunity to celebrate the uniqueness of their traditions and cultures and to bring issues of concern to the attention of governments and the general public.

In 2004, the City of Joondalup hosted a series of special and very successful inaugural celebrations to celebrate a greater understanding of indigenous culture and heritage as part of NAIDOC week. Celebrations featured special guest Bob Randall and his partner Hazel MacKinnon. Bob, known as the 'Songman' is a registered traditional owner of Uluru and has recently published a book of his life and his experience as a stolen generation child. His

partner Hazel, is a celebrated artist and sculptor and her work has featured at The Blender Gallery in Central Walk.

A major highlight of 2004 NAIDOC celebrations was a free concert held at Arena Joondalup where Bob Randall entertained over 500 people with songs and stories of the stolen generation together with other support acts.

The other major highlight included an official flag raising ceremony where hundreds of invited guests watched Aboriginal elder Cedric Jacobs raise the Australian flag and City's Chairman of Commissioners John Patterson raise the Aboriginal flag outside the Council building.

DETAILS

This year, NAIDOC Week will extend from Sunday 3 July through to Sunday 10 July 2005. NAIDOC week is a way of celebrating and promoting a greater understanding of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and their culture. It is also an opportunity to celebrate the uniqueness of their traditions and cultures and to bring issues of concern to the attention of governments and the general public.

The City has been actively involved in developing an understanding of indigenous heritage and developing strategic plans such as the Tourism Development Plan and the Cultural Plan as well as developing networks that can facilitate progress toward issues such as reconciliation and re-engagement with local indigenous culture.

It is proposed that the City of Joondalup again celebrates NAIDOC week in 2005 and builds on the highly successful inaugural celebrations held in 2004. The City has formed a working group to discuss potential activities and events to celebrate NAIDOC week in 2005 including:

- Official flag raising ceremony to launch NAIDOC week;
- Special welcome to country at the City's Citizenship Ceremony;
- Aboriginal art exhibition, art workshops and art talks at the Blender Gallery.
- Special visits by Aboriginal elders to specific interest groups within the community such as libraries and senior citizen centres;
- Schools' banner competition; and
- Community concert to celebrate Aboriginal music and culture with performances by special Aboriginal artists.

While the above activities are still to be finalized, the City is in the process of extending invitations to key stakeholders to become involved and develop a strong and successful partnership approach to celebrate NAIDOC week this year.

The City is keen to consult and work closely with relevant staff and key external stakeholders, particularly with the Northern Suburbs Reconciliation Group (NSRG) and Yirri Yaakan to ensure there is Indigenous representation and input into the development of proposed activities and events to celebrate NAIDOC week. In particular, the City is working closely with these two indigenous key stakeholders to provide direct links with Indigenous performers, art and craft making activities, displays and indigenous tours around the City.

Other key stakeholders that have been identified include ECU, West Coast TAFE and the WA Police Academy. The City has sought involvement from all key stakeholders and

representation on the working group to develop a collaborative approach for NAIDOC week celebrations in 2005. Sponsorships have and are currently being sought from the key stakeholders and this is currently in negotiation stage.

Financial Implications:

An estimated \$15,000 funding to celebrate NAIDOC week in 2005 will be submitted as a new proposal in the 2005/06-budget deliberation process.

The City is also currently seeking a \$5,000 grant through the Department of Indigenous Affairs. The amount will be utilized to assist in the implementation of various activities including the official flag raising ceremony to launch NAIDOC Week, the Art Exhibition, Art workshops and Art talks and the concert.

The City is also working closely with the NSRG to seek funding through Lotterywest. It is envisaged that the NSRG will seek funding and the City will auspice the funds on their behalf if deemed necessary. The City has already received funding from Lotterywest for the Joondalup festival and hence cannot reapply directly to Lotterywest for NAIDOC week celebrations.

Last year the City's stakeholders provided sponsorship of approximately \$5,000 to support the event. A sponsorship package will be prepared with the intention of raising a similar amount this year. A total budget allocation including sponsorships for the event will be \$20,000.

\$ 10,000 – Council Funded (if grant is successful otherwise \$15,000)

\$ 5,000 - Grant funding

\$ 5,000 - Sponsorships

Strategic Implications:

The celebrations for NAIDOC week links to the City's Strategic Plan under the key focus areas of Community Well Being and Organisational Development as follows.

| Outcome | Objective | Strategies |
|--|--|--|
| The City of Joondalup is a cultural centre. | 1.2 To meet the cultural needs and values of the community. | 1.2.1 Continue to enhance and create new cultural activities and events. |
| The City of Joondalup provides social opportunities that meet community needs. | 1.3 To continue to provide services that meet changing needs of a diverse and growing community. | 1.3.2 Provide quality-of-life opportunities for all community members. Maintain and protect natural assets to retain biodiversity. |
| The City of Joondalup is recognized as a unique City. | 4.4 to develop community pride and identity. | 4.4.1 Build and develop marketing opportunities to promote the City. |

Sustainability Implications:

The NAIDOC week celebrations will have a wide range of sustainability implications across the City and its community, providing a range of economic, social and environmental benefits.

Social

- Bring indigenous issues of concern to the attention of governments and the general public.
- Assist in the reconciliation process;
- Improved community understanding of the local indigenous heritage and cultural values;
- The project meets the cultural needs and values of the community;
- The proposal will have a positive effect on the cultural facilities/values;
- The proposal addresses the diverse needs of all sectors of the community;
- Stronger relations and the building of trust with the Aboriginal community and in particular the Northern Suburbs Reconciliation Group; and
- Greater community profile of the City of Joondalup and its commitment to reconciliation.

Environmental

- The project will support the protection of natural assets, particularly areas of cultural significance.

Economic Benefits

- The undertaking of events and celebrations will raise the level of awareness and profile of indigenous heritage and has the potential to have a strong flow on economic benefit to the local indigenous community to develop a tourism and business product;
- The proposal creates and promotes cultural tourist attractions; and
- The proposal supports marketing strategies to for the promotion of the City of Joondalup as a tourist attraction.

Community Consultation:

The City is keen to undertake consultation and work closely with relevant staff and key external stakeholders, particularly with the NSRG and Yirri Yaakan to ensure there is Indigenous representation and input into the development of proposed activities and events to celebrate NAIDOC week.

Key stakeholders have been identified and have or will be approached to seek their involvement and collaboration for NAIDOC week celebrations and representation on the working group.

The Project leader will facilitate consultative processes utilising e-mail, mail and meetings to ensure all stakeholders are fully informed and granted full opportunity to offer input to the process.

COMMENT

The project involves a range of formal and informal activities to celebrate and promote a greater understanding of the uniqueness of indigenous culture and heritage during NAIDOC week in July 2005. The celebratory activities also provide a forum in which to bring issues of concern to the attention of governments and the general public and enable the City of Joondalup community to learn about and gain an appreciation of the history of the land, relationship with the Aboriginal people and how to become more involved in the reconciliation journey.

The raising of the Aboriginal Flag was raised last year through the Youth Advisory Committee requesting that the City fly the Aboriginal flag on an ongoing basis. The protocols associated with flying the Aboriginal flag are currently being addressed in the City's Cultural Plan, which is scheduled for completion in August 2005. In the absence of this protocol for NAIDOC week 2005 the official flag raising ceremony will still fly the Aboriginal and Australian flags together during NAIDOC week.

The City does not have a major regular annual event that draws people to the City during the winter months. NAIDOC week is such an event that could become an annual draw card and the City would be advantaged if it were to undertake NAIDOC week celebrations as part of its annual events calendar.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 APPROVES the City's participation in celebrating NAIDOC week in 2005;**
- 2 LISTS for consideration an amount of \$15,000 in the 2005/06 budget process;**
- 3 LISTS NAIDOC week in the dairy of events;**
- 4 APPROVES NAIDOC week to be an annual event for the City.**

ITEM 5 MINUTES OF THE SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING - 24 MARCH 2005 – [00906]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

The unconfirmed minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 March 2005 are submitted for noting by Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Sustainability Advisory Committee (SAC) met on 24 March 2005. Items of Business included a presentation on acid sulphate soils and items to be referred to the Committee.

This report recommends that Council NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 March 2005 forming Attachment 1 to this Report.

DETAILS

The unconfirmed minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting, held on 24 March 2005 are provided at Appendix 1.

ITEM 1 ACID SULPHATE SOILS PRESENTATION

A resolution was passed at the 10 February 2005 meeting for the Sustainability Advisory Committee that:

“INVITES an expert speaker from the Department of Environment to make a presentation on acid sulphate soils at the next Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting and invites Directors, Commissioners, representatives for the Yellagonga Regional Park Catchment Group (YRPCG), Friends of Yellagonga and City of Joondalup planning staff.”

The presentation on acid sulphate soils to the Sustainability Advisory Committee follows a letter dated 15 December 2004 from Mr Cusack. This letter expressed concerns related to the risk of acid sulphate soils disruption associated with recent development approvals.

Several Committee members requested clarification on matters relating to hydrology concerns at the Yellagonga Regional Park and other issues raised in the presentation relating to the science of acid sulphate soils.

Dr Appleyard from the Department of Environment gave a presentation to the Sustainability Advisory Committee on acid sulphate soils. The presentation covered topics relating to the cause, effect and future implications of acid sulphate soils and the mitigation and management responses for local government authorities including the City of Joondalup.

ITEM 2 ITEMS TO BE PRESENTED TO THE COMMITTEE

The Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 10 February 2005 included an item from the Chief Executive Officer relating to the administration and management of items to be presented to the Committee.

Due to unforeseen circumstances the Chief Executive Officer was unable to attend the 10 February meeting and the Committee resolved to defer the item to the next Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting on 24 March 2005.

The Chief Executive Officer explained the background behind Council appointed Committees and advised that the Sustainability Advisory Committee operates under Standing Orders of Council. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for ensuring that due process is followed and will ensure that training sessions are provided to all relevant staff.

This may mean that the Chief Executive Officer may not allow a deputation or agenda item under certain circumstances. The Chief Executive Officer stressed the importance of the Committee members having a clear understanding of their role. Council may amend this role upon request.

Discussion ensued on the issue of Committee recommendations to Council, how items are referred to Committees of Council for comment and the need for integration across Council Committees and business units.

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the recommendation made by the Committee remains unedited, however the Chief Executive Officer reserves the right to make a comment if there is an alternate view.

The Chief Executive Officer spoke on the need for new members of advisory committees to be briefed on correct procedures. One of his Key Performance Indicators is to provide an induction process for new Councillors and this could be extended to include members of advisory committees.

GENERAL BUSINESSAsthma Ride

Cmr. Anderson commented on the success of the bike ride to raise funds for asthma research. Over 6000 cyclists took part in the ride, which ended at the Joondalup City Centre during the Joondalup Festival.

Sun Fair

Cmr. Anderson advised the Committee that the University of Western Australia is hosting the Perth Sun Fair on Sunday 3 April 2005. The Sun Fair is aimed at introducing the people of Perth to sustainable living concepts, technology and lifestyles. Further information will be distributed.

Ms Goeft requested the Chairperson's email address so she is able to place items on future agenda.

Other items of ongoing general business were discussed including a report on best practice vehicle fleet management, the EcoHouse project, the Beyond Declarations Sustainability Conference and Joondalup Shuttle Bus.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 The unconfirmed minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 March 2005.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 24 March 2005 forming Attachment 1 to this Report.

Appendix 3 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach3brf190405.pdf](#)

V:\Ben\Sustainability Advisory Committee\SAC Attachments 24_03_05\Sustainabilty Advisory Committee Report 24_03_04 Final.doc

ITEM 6 BORROWINGS FOR THE UPGRADE OF THE CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE – [55570]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To seek the approval of Council to borrow \$3,000,000.00 for the upgrade of the Craigie Leisure Centre from the WA Treasury Corporation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the 2004/05 budget process, Council identified the need to fund the upgrade of the Craigie Leisure Centre by borrowing \$3,000,000.00. The borrowing and repayments were included in the 2004/05 adopted budget with provision for a 10-year loan with quarterly repayments of principal and interest.

Invitations to quote were forwarded on 1 April 2005 to the ANZ Banking Group Ltd, Commonwealth Bank of Australia, National Australia Bank Ltd, Westpac Banking Corporation and the WA Treasury Corporation. Quotations closed on 8 April 2005 with quotations being received from all suppliers.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1 *CHOOSES WA Treasury Corporation as the successful supplier of a fixed interest loan of \$3,000,000.00 for a period of 10 years, subject to the approval of the Treasurer;*
- 2 *SEEKS the approval of the Treasurer to borrow \$3,000,000.00 from the WA Treasury Corporation;*
- 3 *AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with WA Treasury Corporation to borrow \$3,000,000.00 in accordance with their submitted quotation, subject to any minor variations including the actual interest rate, that may be agreed between the CEO and WA Treasury Corporation.*

BACKGROUND

The 2004/05 adopted budget includes borrowings of \$3,000,000.00 to fund the upgrade of the Craigie Leisure Centre. The current project timetable indicates that these funds will be expended between May and August 2005.

The City invited respondents to its previous tender for banking services and the WA Treasury Corporation to quote for the loan. Invitations were extended to the ANZ Banking Group Ltd, Commonwealth Bank of Australia, National Australia Bank Ltd, Westpac Banking Corporation and the Department of Treasury and Finance with all providing quotations by the due date.

DETAILS

The Craigie Leisure Centre upgrade timetable and financial cashflow forecast indicates that project funding will be required during May to August 2005.

A single draw down of \$3,000,000.00 is proposed for May 2005 in order to limit the impact of the potential risk associated with interest rate increases in subsequent months. Unused funds will be invested until required with the City's other investments, which achieved a return of approximately 5.75% pa in March 2005. This is considered the best option over the life of the loan when compared to the risk of interest rate increases if partial draw downs are undertaken. The \$3,000,000.00 will be borrowed over a 10-year term with equal quarterly repayments in arrears which include payments of interest and principal.

On 1 April 2005, the City sought quotations for the loan and received competitive responses on 8 April 2005 from the ANZ Banking Group Ltd, Commonwealth Bank of Australia, National Australia Bank Ltd, Westpac Banking Corporation and the WA Treasury Corporation. An evaluation model comparing the total costs over the life of the loan provided by each respondent was prepared and reviewed by an evaluation panel using the indicative fixed interest rates, as at 8 April 2005, for the 10 year period. These ranged from 6.04% to 6.63%.

One respondent provided an additional option of fixing interest rates for less than 10 years and using a variable rate for the remainder of the term, however this did not provide any advantage over the fixed interest rate quotations.

The actual interest rate can only be finalised at the point which the City enters into the loan agreement with the supplier therefore Council will choose the supplier subject to the Treasurer's approval, noting that the actual interest rate will be set when the City formally confirms the borrowings with the successful supplier. Following Council's approval of the successful supplier, the City will seek the Treasurer's approval before completing the contractual documents and drawing down the funds.

Statutory Provision:

Council is empowered to borrow money under section 6.20 of the Local Government Act 1995 (the Act). Section 6.21 of the Act provides that the local government is only to exercise its power to borrow, with the prior approval of the Treasurer. Security offered for the borrowings by a local government is limited to security over "general funds" which is defined to mean revenue from general rates, government grants which were not given to the local government for a specific purpose; and such other sources as prescribed.

The Department of Treasury and Finance provides borrowing guidelines which were used in obtaining the quotations and in completing the process. This included obtaining a quotation from the WA Treasury Corporation and the Treasurer's final approval of the process is required before completing the borrowing.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Financial Implications:

The 2004/05 budget includes interest expenditure of \$93,000 and repayments of principal of \$75,000 during 2004/05, associated with these borrowings. The total indicative cost including interest and charges over the 10 year loan period is \$1,035,422.

As the repayments made are quarterly in arrears there will be no physical cash payment during the 2004/05 financial year, however based on accrual accounting principles an accounting entry of approximately \$30,200 for interest and \$36,776 for principal will be recorded in the City's books of account.

Strategic Implications:

The City's forward financial plans have considered the need to borrow funds to undertake the upgrade of the Craigie Leisure Centre. The repayment of principal and interest effectively spreads the costs of the upgrade over a 10 year period which aligns the costs with the consumption of benefits to the community over this timeframe.

Sustainability Implications:

Not applicable

Community Consultation:

Not applicable

COMMENT

The evaluation process identified WA Treasury Corporation as the lowest cost provider of funds to the City and is the recommended supplier. The WA Treasury Corporation quoted a fixed interest rate of 6.04% (as at 8 April 2005) for 10 years. The total interest and charges over the life of the loan is \$1,035,422.

Following Council's approval, the City will seek the Treasurer's approval before completing the contractual documents and drawing down the funds. Whilst the actual contracted interest rate will differ from the rate quoted on 8 April 2005, it is unlikely that such changes will result in a significant variance to the total cost as evaluated. All suppliers will be impacted by the changing interest rates and the comparative advantage by WA Treasury as evidenced from this quotation will be maintained.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION**That Council:**

- 1 CHOOSES WA Treasury Corporation as the successful supplier of a fixed interest loan of \$3,000,000.00 for a period of 10 years, subject to the approval of the Treasurer;**
- 2 SEEKS the approval of the Treasurer to borrow \$3,000,000.00 from the WA Treasury Corporation;**
- 3 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with WA Treasury Corporation to borrow \$3,000,000.00 in accordance with its submitted quotation, subject to any minor variations including the actual interest rate, that may be agreed between the CEO and WA Treasury Corporation.**

ITEM 7 WARRANT OF PAYMENTS 31 MARCH 2005 – [09882]**WARD - All****PURPOSE**

The Warrant of Payments for the month ended 31 March 2005 is submitted to Council for approval.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report details the cheques drawn on the funds during the month of March 2005 and seeks approval by Council for the payments listed.

| FUNDS | DETAILS | AMOUNT |
|---|--|------------------------|
| Director Corporate Services & Resource Management Advance Account | | Nil |
| Municipal Account | 70328 – 70772 & EFT 2180 – 2424 and 43A, 46A – 51A and FS/1646 & FS/1695 | 15,363,945.30 |
| Trust Account | | Nil |
| | | \$15,363,945.30 |

The Director Corporate Services & Resource Management Advance Account is an imprest account. All future creditor payments will be made through the Municipal Account and the Director Corporate Services and Resource Management Advance account will be closed at the end of April 2005 as approved by Council at its meeting of 14 December 2004 (CJ308-12/04).

The cheque and voucher registers are appended as Attachments A & B.

The total of all other outstanding accounts received but not paid at the close of March 2005 was \$818,161.16

CERTIFICATE OF THE DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE SERVICES & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

This warrant of payments to be passed for payment, covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$15,363,945.30 which is to be submitted to Council on 26 April 2005 has been checked, is fully supported by vouchers and invoices and which have been duly certified as to the receipt of goods and the rendition of services and as to prices, computations and costing and the amounts shown were due for payment.

.....
 PETER SCHNEIDER
 Director Corporate Services & Resource Management

CERTIFICATE OF CHAIRMAN OF COMMISSIONERS

I hereby certify that this warrant of payments covering vouchers numbered as indicated and totalling \$15,363,945.30 was submitted to Council on 26 April 2005.

.....
 JOHN PATERSON
 Chairman of Commissioners

DETAILS**Statutory Provision:**

The Council has delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the Municipal and Trust Funds, therefore in accordance with Regulation 13(1) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, a list of accounts paid by the CEO is prepared each month showing each account paid since the last list was prepared. In addition regulation 13 (4) requires that after the list of payments has been prepared for a month, the total of all other outstanding accounts is to be calculated and a statement of that amount is to be presented to the Council.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A Warrant of Payments for Month of March 2005
 Attachment B Municipal Fund Vouchers for Month of March 2005

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority.

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES for payment the following vouchers, as presented in the Warrant of Payments to 31 March 2005 certified by the Chairman of Commissioners and Director Corporate Services & Resource Management and totalling \$15,363,945.30.

| FUNDS | DETAILS | AMOUNT |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Director Corporate Services & Resource Management Advance Account | | Nil |
| Municipal Account | 70328 – 70772 & EFT 2180 – 2424 and 43A, 46A – 51A and FS/1646 & FS/1695 | 15,363,945.30 |
| Trust Account | | Nil |
| | | \$15,363,945.30 |

Appendix 4 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach4brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 MARCH 2005 – [07882]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

The March 2005 financial report is submitted to Council to be noted.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The March 2005 year to date report shows an overall variance (under spend) of \$18.2m when compared to the year to date revised budget approved by Council at its meeting of 15 March 2005 (CJ030-03/05).

This variance can be analysed as follows:

- The **Operating** position (Change in Net Assets Before Reserve Transfers) shows an actual surplus of \$16.8m compared to a budgeted surplus of \$12.3m at the end of March 2005. The \$4.5m variance is primarily due to a favourable variance in income from rates instalment interest and charges, interest income, employee costs, consultancy costs, administration costs and utilities.
- **Capital Expenditure** is \$1.1m against the year to date budget of \$3.1m. The \$2.0m under spend is due to the deferral of heavy and light vehicle purchases and IT related projects.
- **Capital Works and Corporate Projects** expenditure is \$9.1m against the year to date budget of \$20.8m. This is a timing difference of which \$5.9m relates to normal Capital Works while \$5.8m relates to Capital Works classified as Corporate Projects. Total committed funds in relation to all Capital Works are \$10.6m.

DETAILS

The financial report for the period ending 31 March 2005 is appended as Attachment A.

Statutory Provision:

In accordance with Section 6.4 of the Local Government Act 1995 a local government is to prepare an annual financial report for the preceding year and such other financial reports as are prescribed. Regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 details those other financial reports which need to be prepared and states that they are to be presented to Council and recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which they are presented.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Financial Report for the period ending 31 March 2005.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Financial Report for the period ending 31 March 2005 be NOTED.

Appendix 5 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach5brf190405.pdf](#)

v:\reports\council\2005\rm0524.doc

ITEM 9 TENDER NUMBER 037-04/05 WHITFORDS LIBRARY AIRCONDITIONING REPLACEMENT – [20569]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To seek the approval of Council to choose Diamond Industries Pty Limited as the successful tenderer for the Whitfords Library airconditioning replacement.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tenders were advertised on 23 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Whitfords Library Airconditioning Replacement. Tenders closed on 10 March 2005. Six submissions were received from: Mechanical Project Management Pty Ltd, Australian Airconditioning Services Pty Ltd, Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd, A J Baker & Sons Pty Ltd, Jako Industries Pty Ltd and Diamond Industries Pty Ltd.

It is recommended that in relation to tender number 037-04/05, Council:

- 1 *DEEMS the tenders submitted by Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd and Jako Industries Pty Ltd as non-conforming in accordance with Regulation 18(2) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 because they failed to fully comply with the essential requirements specified in the request for tender;*
- 2 *CHOOSES Diamond Industries Pty Ltd as the successful tenderer for the Whitfords Library Airconditioning Replacement (Tender No. 037-04/05) in accordance with the Lump Sum Price of \$244,120.00 excluding GST;*
- 3 *AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Diamond Industries Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Diamond Industries Pty Ltd.*

BACKGROUND

The current airconditioner is of the evaporative type, which increases the humidity when operational in the summer and does not provide any heating in the winter. This has been a major issue for a number of years, resulting in staff and customer discomfort both from the heat in summer and no heating in winter. There is a duty of care in respect to Occupational Health and Safety issues both for staff and patrons.

The humidity is also damaging the stock, resulting in increases in the costs paid to LISWA. There is a distinct musty smell after 3-4 humid days in a row as the paper etc becomes damp.

On a recent visit to the Woodvale Library by the air-conditioning representative a reading of 40 degrees was recorded inside the facility. High temperatures and humidity can also affect photocopiers.

The vision for libraries for people to come and spend time in the libraries will not be as effective if people cannot stay due to uncomfortable conditions.

DETAILS

Tenders were advertised on 23 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Whitfords Library Airconditioning Replacement. Tenders closed on 10 March 2005. Six submissions were received from: Mechanical Project Management Pty Ltd, Australian Airconditioning Services Pty Ltd, Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd, A J Baker & Sons Pty Ltd, Jako Industries Pty Ltd and Diamond Industries Pty Ltd.

The first part of the tender evaluation process is the Conformance Audit Meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to ensure that all essential requirements have been met. Tenders not meeting all the essential requirements are deemed to be non-conforming and are eliminated from further consideration.

The tenders submitted by Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd and Jako Industries Pty Ltd did not meet a significant proportion of the essential requirements, including the non-submission of an Offer Form by Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd. Accordingly it is recommended that their tenders be deemed non-conforming.

Australian Airconditioning Services Pty Ltd requested an alteration to their tendered price after discovering errors in their calculations. They were advised that their only options were to let their price stand or withdraw their tender. They chose to withdraw their tender.

The tenders submitted by Mechanical Project Management Pty Ltd, A J Baker & Sons Pty Ltd and Diamond Industries Pty Ltd met all the essential requirements and were submitted for further consideration.

The second part of the evaluation process involves an independent assessment of the qualitative and quantitative criteria by each member of the Evaluation Panel. Each member of the Evaluation Panel assessed the tender submissions individually against the selection criteria using the weightings determined during the tender planning phase. The Evaluation Panel then convened to submit and discuss their assessments, leading to a ranking of each submission in order of merit.

Under the City's Contract Management Framework, the tenders were assessed by the Evaluation Panel using a weighted multi-criterion assessment system and AS 4120-1994 'Code of Tendering', ensuring compliance with *Regulation 18(4) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

The Selection Criteria for Tender Number 037-04/05 are as follows:

Performance and experience of Tenderer in completing similar projects

- Relevant industry experience, including details of similar work undertaken. Details of previous projects should include, but not necessarily be limited to, description, location, construction amounts, date, duration, client etc.
- Past record of performance and achievement
- Level of understanding of Tender documents and work required
- Written references from past and present clients (names and contact numbers are not sufficient)

Capability and competence of Tenderer to perform the work required

- Company structure
- Qualifications, skills and experience of key personnel
- Equipment and staff resources available
- Percentage of operational capacity represented by this work
- Financial capacity, e.g. letter from accountant to the effect that the Tenderer
 - (a) Has handled previous contracts of this size satisfactorily and
 - (b) Is currently able to do so
- Compliance with Tender requirements – insurances, licences etc
- Quality systems
- Occupational Safety and Health management system and track record

Beneficial effects of Tender / local content

- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the City of Joondalup community
- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the Regional community
- Value added items offered by Tenderer
- Sustainability / efficiency / environmental

Methodology

- Detail the procedures and process they intend to use to achieve the requirements of the Specification
- Provide an outline of the provisional works programme

Tendered Price/s

- The Price to supply the specified goods or services
- Schedule of Rates for additional goods or services, variations and disbursements
- Discounts, settlement terms

Statutory Provision:

The statewide public tender was advertised, opened and evaluated in accordance with the *Local Government (F & G) Regulations 1996*, where tenders are required to be publicly invited if the consideration under a contract is expected to be or is worth more than \$50,000. The expected consideration for this contract is expected to exceed the Chief Executive Officer's Delegated Authority in relation to the acceptance of tenders to \$100,000.

Policy Implications:

The City's Policy on purchasing goods and services encourages local business in the purchasing and tendering process. Of the conforming tenders, Mechanical Project Management Pty Ltd and A J Baker & Sons Pty Ltd are located in Osborne Park, which is within the Region. The recommended tenderer, Diamond Industries Pty Ltd, is located in Bentley, which is within neither the City nor the Region.

Financial Implications:

| | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Account No: | 1.3620.6862.C101.4232 |
| Budget Item: | BCW091 |
| Budget Amount: | \$290,000 |
| YTD Amount: | \$14,450.00 |
| Tender Price: | \$244,120.00 excluding GST |

Strategic Implications:

There will be an improvement in customer service and working conditions for staff, and reduced lost time due to workplace injuries and strains.

Sustainability Implications:

Not Applicable

Community Consultation:

Not Applicable

COMMENT

The evaluation process identified Diamond Industries Pty Ltd as the highest ranked tenderer and the Evaluation Panel considered that they have the capability and resources to carry out the work on a value for money basis.

The Evaluation Panel therefore recommend Diamond Industries Pty Ltd as the preferred tenderer.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION**That in relation to Tender Number 037-04/05 Council:**

- 1 DEEMS the tenders submitted by Envar Engineers and Contractors Pty Ltd and Jako Industries Pty Ltd as non-conforming in accordance with Regulation 18(2) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 because they failed to fully comply with the essential requirements specified in the request for tender;**
- 2 CHOOSES Diamond Industries Pty Ltd as the successful tenderer for the Whitfords Library Airconditioning Replacement (Tender No. 037-04/05) in accordance with the Lump Sum Price of \$244,120.00 excluding GST;**

- 3 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Diamond Industries Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Diamond Industries Pty Ltd.**

ITEM 10 NUMBER 039-04/05 JOONDALUP ADMINISTRATION RECEPTION AREA UPGRADE – [50569]**WARD - All**

PURPOSE

To seek the approval of Council to choose Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd as the successful tenderer for the Administration Reception security upgrade.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tenders were advertised on 26 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Administration Reception Area Upgrade. Tenders closed on 15 March 2005. Two submissions were received from: Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd and Glenbourne Nominees T/As Business Interiors.

It is recommended that in relation to tender number 039-04/05 Council:

- 1 *CHOOSES Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd as the successful tenderer for the Administration Reception Area Upgrade (Tender No. 039-04/05) in accordance with the Lump Sum Price of \$188,660.00 excluding GST;*
- 2 *AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd.*

BACKGROUND

The City of Joondalup conducted an internal audit of building security at the Joondalup Administration Centre in early 2003. The audit reported a number of inadequacies and recommended the appointment of an appropriate consultant to carry out a review of its security services and access levels and provide a best practice overview of the way the City provides security services.

Consultant group 'Consultel' was commissioned to undertake the review that provided strategic recommendations to address security concerns. A major issue was the lack of physical security and visitor control at the ground floor reception area.

This project proposal focuses directly on staff/visitor access control on the ground floor Reception and Customer Service areas of the Administration Centre and includes restricting access to the lifts and staff areas on the ground floor.

The upgrade comprises the demolition and removal of existing infrastructure and the supply and installation of new office cabinet work, partitions and furniture (refer to Attachment 1).

DETAILS

Tenders were advertised on 26 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Administration Reception Area Upgrade. Tenders closed on 15 March 2005. Two submissions were received from: Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd and Glenbourne Nominees T/As Business Interiors.

The first part of the tender evaluation process is the Conformance Audit Meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to ensure that all essential requirements have been met. Tenders not meeting all the essential requirements are deemed to be non-conforming and are eliminated from further consideration.

The tenders submitted by Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd and Glenbourne Nominees T/As Business Interiors met all the essential requirements and were submitted for further consideration.

The second part of the evaluation process involves an independent assessment of the qualitative and quantitative criteria by each member of the Evaluation Panel. Each member of the Evaluation Panel assessed the tender submissions individually against the selection criteria using the weightings determined during the tender planning phase. The Evaluation Panel then convened to submit and discuss their assessments, leading to a ranking of each submission in order of merit.

Under the City's Contract Management Framework, the tenders were assessed by the Evaluation Panel using a weighted multi-criterion assessment system and AS 4120-1994 'Code of Tendering', ensuring compliance with *Regulation 18(4) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

The Selection Criteria for Tender Number 039-04/05 are as follows:

Performance and experience of Tenderer in completing similar projects

- Relevant industry experience, including details of similar work undertaken. Details of previous projects should include, but not necessarily be limited to, description, location, construction amounts, date, duration, client etc.
- Past record of performance and achievement with a local government
- Past record of performance and achievement with other clients
- Level of understanding of Tender documents and work required
- Written references from past and present clients

Capability and competence of Tenderer to perform the work required

- Company structure
- Qualifications, skills and experience of key personnel
- Equipment and staff resources available
- Percentage of operational capacity represented by this work
- Financial capacity
- Risk assessment
- Compliance with Tender requirements – insurances, licences etc
- Quality systems
- Occupational Safety and Health management system and track record

Beneficial effects of Tender / local content

- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the City of Joondalup community
- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the Regional community
- Infrastructure / office / staff / suppliers / subcontractors within the City of Joondalup
- Value added items offered by Tenderer
- Sustainability / efficiency / environmental

Methodology

- Provide an outline of the provisional works programme

Tendered Price/s

- The Price to supply the specified goods or services
- Schedule of Rates for additional goods or services, variations and disbursements
- Discounts, settlement terms

Statutory Provision:

The statewide public tender was advertised, opened and evaluated in accordance with the *Local Government (F & G) Regulations 1996*, where tenders are required to be publicly invited if the consideration under a contract is expected to be or is worth more than \$50,000. The expected consideration for this contract is expected to exceed the Chief Executive Officer's Delegated Authority in relation to the acceptance of tenders to \$100,000.

Policy Implications:

The City's Policy on purchasing goods and services encourages local business in the purchasing and tendering process. Glenbourne Nominees T/As Business Interiors are located in Osborne Park, which is within the Region. The recommended tenderer, Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd, is located in East Perth, which is not within either the City or the Region.

Financial Implications:

| | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Account No: | 1.3620.6862.C101.4227 |
| Budget Item: | BCW 1045 |
| Budget Amount: | \$200,000 |
| YTD Amount: | N/A |
| Tender Price: | \$188,660 excluding GST |

Strategic Implications:

Improve the level of security to the reception and customer service areas by restricting access to staff work areas. The upgrade will also improve the customer service turnaround times.

Sustainability Implications:

N/A

Community Consultation:

N/A

COMMENT

The evaluation process identified Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd as the highest ranked tenderer and the Evaluation Panel considered that they have the capability and resources to carry out the work on a value for money basis.

The Evaluation Panel therefore recommends Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd as the preferred tenderer.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Layout Plan

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION**That in relation to tender number 039-04/05 Council:**

- 1 CHOOSES Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd as the successful tenderer for the Administration Reception Area Upgrade (Tender No. 039-04/05) in accordance with the Lump Sum Price of \$188,660.00 excluding GST;**
- 2 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Dawn Express Construction Pty Ltd.**

Appendix 12 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach12brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 11 TENDER NUMBER 041-04/05 SUPPLY AND LAYING OF CONCRETE KERBING – [58569]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To seek the approval of Council to choose Kerb Qic & Co as the successful tenderer for the supply and laying of concrete kerbing.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tenders were advertised on 26 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing. Tenders closed on 15 March 2005. Four submissions were received from: Cocktail Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerbing West Extruded Concrete Kerbing, Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co, WA Kerbing Pty Ltd and Works Statewide Kerbing.

It is recommended that in relation to tender number 041-04/05, Council:

- 1 *CHOOSES Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co as the successful tenderer for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing (Tender No. 041-04/05) in accordance with the Schedule of Rates, as outlined in Attachment 1 to this Report;*
- 2 *AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing, in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co.*

BACKGROUND

Each year the City completes capital and maintenance works to roads, requiring the supply and laying of concrete kerbing. The estimated annual value of kerbing required exceeds \$50,000. The tender includes provision of mountable, semi-mountable and flush kerb, and pram ramps to the City's and Main Roads Western Australia standards.

DETAILS

Tenders were advertised on 26 February 2005 through statewide public notice for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing. Tenders closed on 15 March 2005. Four submissions were received from: Cocktail Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerbing West Extruded Concrete Kerbing, Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co, WA Kerbing Pty Ltd and Works Statewide Kerbing.

The first part of the tender evaluation process is the Conformance Audit Meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to ensure that all essential requirements have been met. Tenders not meeting all the essential requirements are deemed to be non-conforming and are

eliminated from further consideration. All tenders submitted met all the essential requirements and were submitted for further consideration.

The second part of the evaluation process involves an independent assessment of the qualitative and quantitative criteria by each member of the Evaluation Panel. Each member of the Evaluation Panel assessed the tender submissions individually against the selection criteria using the weightings determined during the tender planning phase. The Evaluation Panel then convened to submit and discuss their assessments, leading to a ranking of each submission in order of merit.

Under the City's Contract Management Framework, the tenders were assessed by the Evaluation Panel using a weighted multi-criterion assessment system and AS 4120-1994 'Code of Tendering', ensuring compliance with *Regulation 18(4) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

The Selection Criteria for Tender Number 029-04/05 are as follows:

Performance and experience of Tenderer in completing similar projects

- Relevant industry experience, including details of similar work undertaken. Details of previous projects should include, but not necessarily be limited to, description, location, construction amounts, date, duration, client etc.
- Past record of performance and achievement with a local government
- Past record of performance and achievement with other clients
- References from past and present clients

Capability and competence of Tenderer to perform the work required

- Company structure
- Qualifications, skills and experience of key personnel
- Equipment and staff resources available
- Compliance with Tender requirements – insurances, licences etc
- Quality systems
- Occupational Safety and Health management system and track record

Beneficial effects of Tender / local content

- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the City of Joondalup community
- The potential social and economic effect of the Tender on the Regional community
- Value added items offered by Tenderer
- Sustainability / efficiency / environmental

Tendered Price/s

- The Price to supply the specified goods or services
- Schedule of Rates for additional goods or services, variations and disbursements
- Discounts, settlement terms

Statutory Provision:

The statewide public tender was advertised, opened and evaluated in accordance with the *Local Government (F & G) Regulations 1996*, where tenders are required to be publicly

invited if the consideration under a contract is expected to be or is worth more than \$50,000. The expected consideration for this contract is expected to exceed the Chief Executive Officer's Delegated Authority in relation to the acceptance of tenders to \$100,000.

Policy Implications:

The City's Policy on purchasing goods and services encourages local business in the purchasing and tendering process. WA Kerbing Pty Ltd are located in Wangara, which is within the Region. The other tenderers, including the recommended tenderer, are not located in either the City or the Region.

Financial Implications:

In accordance with Operation Services annual maintenance budgets as authorised by Council.

Strategic Implications:

N/A

Sustainability Implications:

N/A

Community Consultation:

N/A

COMMENT

The evaluation process identified Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co as the highest ranked tenderer and the Evaluation Panel considered that they have the capability and resources to carry out the work on a value for money basis. The Evaluation Panel therefore recommends Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co as the preferred tenderer.

As a part of contract management processes, the City will regularly review/monitor the Contractors' performance and service quality to ensure services meet the City's standards.

Subject to Council approval, the contract term will be for an initial period of twelve (12) months. There will be an option to extend the contracts for a further twenty four (24) months that will be subject to suitable performance by the Contractors in annual performance reviews, which ensure that the requirements of the contract have been met. Subject to a satisfactory outcome of each review an extension, in increments of twelve-month periods, will be made. The duration of each contract will not exceed three (3) years.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Schedule of Rates

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That in relation to Tender Number 041-04/05 Council:

- 1 CHOOSES Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co as the successful tenderer for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing (Tender No. 041-04/05) in accordance with the Schedule of Rates, as outlined in Attachment 1 to this Report;**
- 2 AUTHORISES the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), on behalf of the City, to enter into a contract with Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co for the Supply and Laying of Concrete Kerbing, in accordance with their submitted tender, subject to any minor variations that may be agreed between the CEO and Lattimore Holdings Pty Ltd T/As Kerb Qic & Co.**

Appendix 13 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach13brf190405.pdf](#)

V:\Reports\Council\2005\Rm0519 - Kerbing.doc

ITEM 12 PROPOSED TRAFFIC TREATMENTS – RALEIGH ROAD AND ADJACENT STREETS, SORRENTO – [04429]

WARD - South Coastal

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to address the petitioners' concerns in relation to excessive speed and traffic volumes in Raleigh Road and adjacent streets in Sorrento.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In June 2003 (CJ299-12/03), a 35-signature petition from residents of Raleigh Road, Sorrento was presented to Council for consideration. The petitioners requested that consideration be given to the closure of Raleigh Road, west of Frobisher Avenue, and the creation of a left turn out only situation from Raleigh Road onto West Coast Drive in Sorrento, thereby reducing traffic volumes and vehicle speeds in Raleigh Road.

In order to assess the traffic implications of this request, a residents' working group was established and it was decided to review the traffic issues within the Sorrento area bounded by West Coast Drive, Hawkins Avenue, Kempenfeldt Avenue, Steele Road, Cowper Road, Stockdale Avenue and Ross Avenue.

Over the period 5 May 2004 to 2 March 2005 a total of seven meetings were held with a residents' working group to define the issues and then consider possible remedial treatments.

The working group developed a preferred option of traffic treatments and all residents and landowners in the above study area were sent a copy of this proposed Traffic Management Concept for comment.

There was strong support for the concept with some minor modifications, which have now been incorporated and endorsed by the residents' working group.

Accordingly this report recommends that Council:

- 1 *LISTS the sum of \$193,000 for consideration in the Draft 2005/06 Five Year Capital Works Budget for the Traffic Management Treatment of Raleigh Road and Robin Avenue;*
- 2 *LISTS the sum of \$174,000 for consideration in the 2006/07 year of the Draft 2005/06 Capital Works Budget for the second stage Traffic Management Treatment of Sorrento;*
- 3 *ADVISES the petitioners accordingly;*
- 4 *THANKS the working party members for their input and time into developing the Traffic Management Treatment of Sorrento.*

BACKGROUND

In June 2003 a 35-signature petition was presented to Council regarding traffic concerns in Raleigh Road, Sorrento. At the Council Meeting of 16 December 2003, it was resolved that Council:

- “1 APPROVES the formation of a local area traffic study for Raleigh Road and surrounding streets;*
- 2 CONTINUES to support the targeting of excessive speed and anti social behaviour through community involvement in the “Community Speed Watch” Program;*
- 3 ADVISES the petitioners accordingly;*
- 4 REQUESTS that consultation be undertaken with the residents during the preparation of the Local Area Traffic Study.”*

Subsequently a working group was formed, being drawn from residents in the “Old Sorrento Area” bounded by West Coast Drive, Hawkins Avenue, Kempenfeldt Avenue, Steele Road, Cowper Road, Stockdale Avenue and Ross Avenue. A total of seven meetings were held between 5 May 2004 and 2 March 2005. Representatives from the WA Police Service and Main Roads WA were requested to participate in the working group meetings, however, neither organisation was unable to provide a representative due to other commitments.

DETAILS

All roads within the study area are classified as Access Roads with the exception of West Coast Drive which is classified as a District Distributor “B” in the City’s Functional Road Hierarchy. The annual average daily traffic volumes and 85 percentile vehicle speeds on selected roads in the study area are as follows:

| Location | Annual Average Daily Traffic Volume | 85th percentile speed |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Raleigh Rd east of West Coast Dr | 879 | 52Km/hr |
| Frobisher Ave north of Raleigh Rd | 283 | 54Km/hr |
| Robin Ave east of West Coast Dr | 389 | 45Km/hr |

The crash history of the roads and intersections within the study area were reviewed for the period 1 January 1999 to 31 December 2003 and there were no significant crash issues identified.

Following the decision of Council to undertake public consultation with the residents of Raleigh Road and adjacent streets in the Sorrento area, all the residents and landowners within the area were contacted in writing, seeking nominations to participate in a working group to review the issues, the available data and to consider the most appropriate course of action.

A total of 34 residents indicated a willingness to participate and the first meeting was held on Wednesday 5 May of which 13 residents attended.

The residents identified a number of traffic related issues within the study area and following discussion at this meeting, officers of the City undertook to consider these issues and to also provide additional historical traffic volume and speed information at the next meeting.

Following the meeting on 26 July 2004 and at the request of the committee members, the distribution of the representatives was revised to generally provide two representatives per street.

The committee considered the possible options available to address the identified concerns over a number of meetings in order to refine the overall plan.

At locations where road widening was being proposed, the extent of these works was marked in paint on the road pavement and verge for residents to consider. At the same time all residents and landowners in the study area were posted a plan and a questionnaire requesting their consideration of the proposed treatments.

A total of 432 questionnaires were distributed and 196 replies were received (45% response). Of the responses received a total of 140 (71%) respondents agreed with all of the proposed traffic management treatments.

There was a clear indication of residents' support for the concept as proposed. However, there were a limited number of issues that were raised by residents for consideration. These were:

- Concern at the loss of verge in Raleigh Road as a result of the proposed blister islands. The street representative requested these be changed to raised plateaus and this has been incorporated into the concept plan subject to consultation on this treatment with the street residents.
- Concern with the effectiveness of the proposed roundabout at the intersection of Raleigh Road and Frobisher Avenue. As a result the roundabout concept design was altered to address this concern.
- Residents of Robin Avenue requested an additional raised plateau in Robin Avenue west of Clare Street and this has been incorporated in the concept plan.
- Six residents in Cowper Road were against the installation of two blister islands south of Raleigh Road. The residents' working party recommended that alternative traffic management treatments be investigated in consultation with the Cowper Road residents.
- The working group requested that the proposed painted median in Padbury Circle incorporate concrete traffic islands at intersections. These have been incorporated into the concept plan.

The total estimated cost of the traffic treatments is \$387,000. Whilst not specifically part of the traffic study, the residents' working group considered that further investigation was required to assist pedestrians and cyclists to cross West Coast Drive in the area and that further consideration also be given to improving vehicular access at the West Coast Drive and The Plaza intersection.

The residents' working group agreed to the Traffic Management Concept Plan subject to the above amendments. They also recommended that the works be undertaken over two financial years and that the proposed treatments in Raleigh Road and Robin Avenue both be constructed in the first financial year. The estimated cost of the treatments for both of these roads is \$213,000. A copy of the agreed Traffic Management Concept is shown at Attachment 1.

Consultation:

A residents' working group was formed to develop and consider the Traffic Management Concept and a total of 7 meetings were held.

All residents and landowners in the Sorrento study area were posted a copy of the Traffic Management Concept Plan and were requested to complete a questionnaire indicating their support or concerns regarding the concept.

A series of on site meetings with individual residents was also undertaken to discuss issues and concerns as required.

Financial Implications:

The estimated cost of the overall Traffic Management Treatment is \$387,000. The Study Group has recommended a first stage treatment of Raleigh Road and Robin Avenue, which is estimated to cost \$213,000.

Funds of \$20,000 were previously listed in the Capital Works Program to undertake minor traffic treatment at the intersection of Raleigh Road and Frobisher Avenue.

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Account No: | Project 6524 |
| Budget Item: | Intersection Treatment Minor |
| Budget Amount: | \$20,000 |
| YTD Amount: | \$0 |
| Actual Cost (First Stage): | \$213,000 |

It is therefore considered that funding can be listed for each stage over the next two financial years.

COMMENT

The final traffic management scheme outcome for the Sorrento area is based on being acceptable to the local community, while also satisfying the criteria of improving safety of all road users and improving amenity for local residents.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Traffic Management Concept Plan.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple majority

RECOMMENDATION**That Council:**

- 1** **LISTS the sum of \$193,000 for consideration in the Draft 2005/06 Five Year Capital Works Budget for the Traffic Management Treatment of Raleigh Road and Robin Avenue, Sorrento;**
- 2** **LISTS the sum of \$174,000 for consideration in the 2006/07 year of the Draft 2005/06 Capital Works Budget for the second stage Traffic Management Treatment of Sorrento;**
- 3** **ADVISES the petitioners accordingly;**
- 4** **THANKS the working party members for their input and time into developing the Traffic Management Treatment of Sorrento.**

Appendix 6 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach6brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 13 PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO 29 TO DISTRICT PLANNING SCHEME NO 2 TO REZONE FROM 'COMMERCIAL' TO 'RESIDENTIAL' AND AMEND THE DENSITY CODE FROM R20 TO R40: LOT 674 (107) EDDYSTONE AVENUE, CNR PERILYA ROAD, CRAIGIE – [83567]

WARD - Pinnaroo

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's consent to initiate Amendment No 29 to District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2) for the purposes of public advertising.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Lot 674 (107) Eddystone Avenue, corner Perilya Road, Craigie is currently zoned 'Commercial', with a density code of R20 (Attachment 1). An application has been received to rezone the lots to 'Residential' and apply a density code of R40 (Attachment 2 refers), to facilitate the future development of eight (8) grouped dwellings upon the lot (Attachment 3 refers).

The current 'Commercial' zoning allows the Council to consider grouped dwelling development as a discretionary ('D') use under clause 6.6.2 of DPS2, however, any residential development would be anticipated to be a component of the commercial development of the site. Rezoning the land to 'Residential' will allow future grouped dwelling development upon the subject lots to be considered as a permitted ('P') use under DPS2.

The site is currently vacant, however it was formally used as a Shell service station. The lot is immediately adjacent to the Craigie Plaza Shopping Centre and opposite a residential area.

The development of residential dwellings will assist in maximising use of public transport and other community facilities that are available in close proximity to the site. This promotes environmental and economic sustainability. The proposed land use is considered to be compatible with adjoining and surrounding uses.

It is recommended that Council:

1. *Pursuant to Section 7 of the Town Planning and Development Act 1928, ADOPTS amendment No 29 to the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No. 2 to rezone Lot 674 (107) Eddystone Avenue, Craigie from 'Commercial' with a density code of R20 to 'Residential', with a density code of R40, for the purposes of advertising for a period of 42 days;*
2. *Prior to the advertising period commencing, FORWARDS the proposed amendment to the Environmental Protection Authority in order to decide if an environmental review of the site is required.*

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location: Lot 674 (107) Eddystone Avenue, Craigie.
Applicant: Joe Conway, Director Conway Projects.
Owner: Family Holdings Pty Ltd
Zoning: **DPS:** Commercial
MRS: Urban
Strategic Plan: Strategy 3.3.1 – Provide residential living choices

The lot is currently vacant and is located immediately adjacent to the Craigie Plaza Shopping Centre. The subject lot is opposite an existing residential development at a low (R20) density. To the east of the site, on the opposite side of Eddystone Avenue a lot has recently been rezoned from ‘Mixed use’ to ‘Residential’ and recoded from R20 to R40 to facilitate the future development of fourteen (14) grouped dwellings.

The service station was constructed on the site in 1978. This was operated by Shell until 2001, when lack of viability led to its closure. Demolition of the building and structures took place in August 2002, after preliminary investigation and environmental assessment had been undertaken.

DETAILS

An application has been received to rezone Lot 674 (107) Eddystone Avenue, Craigie from ‘Commercial’ to ‘Residential’ and amend the density code from R20 to R40. Under the current R20 density coding, a maximum of four (4) dwellings could be accommodated. Under the proposed density a maximum of nine (9) dwellings could be accommodated, however the indicative development submitted by the applicant shows eight (8) dwellings (Attachment 3).

The indicative development plan indicates the development of single storey dwellings, which will front both Eddystone Avenue and Perilya Road. The relationship between the subject site and the shopping centre site will be addressing through the design of the units, and will include windows on the west walls of the dwellings and appropriate uniform fencing.

Applicant’s Submission

The applicant has raised several points in support of the amendment application as summarised below:

- The subject site is proposed to be rezoned for residential purposes, as development for ‘Commercial’ related purposes is not considered to be a practical option on the basis that the existing commercial centre adjacent to the subject site is large enough to service the needs of the surrounding area and that a number of tenancies in this centre have been vacant for some time. The proximity of other competing commercial centres also contributes to the lack of demand for additional retail or commercial space.
- Although grouped dwelling development may be considered under the existing ‘Commercial’ zoning at the Council’s discretion, the applicant is seeking to rezone the land to residential so that future grouped dwelling developments upon the site would be a permitted use. Moreover, wholly developing the site for residential purposes would be contrary to the intent of the commercial zoning.

- The proposed development of the subject site for residential purposes is a practical alternative as the site is well placed to take advantage of the commercial facilities provided by the centre itself and the public transport and other community facilities.
- The proposed rezoning would be in line with the Liveable Neighbourhoods Community Design Code by promoting a mix of housing types, lot sizes and densities in areas close to neighbourhood and town centres (see R13 ‘Mix’ of Lot Sizes’ under Element 1 – Community Design). The Liveable Neighbourhoods Community Design Codes seek to promote a range of higher residential densities close to commercial centres in order to promote the economic viability of these commercial centres by increasing patronage.
- Preliminary investigation and environmental assessment of the site has been undertaken. A soil validation report was subsequently commissioned and established that the site is fully remediated and suitable for future development.

Statutory Provision:

Section 7 of the Town Planning and Development Act 1928 (TPD ACT 1928) together with section 25 of the Town Planning Regulations 1967 enable Local Authorities to amend a Town Planning Scheme and sets out the process to be followed (Attachment 4 refers).

Should the Council support the initiation of the proposed amendment for the purposes of public advertising, the proposed amendment is required to be referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) to decide whether or not a formal environmental review is required. Should the EPA decide that an environmental review is not required, upon the City’s receipt of written confirmation of this from the EPA, the City advertises the proposed amendment for 42 days.

Upon closure of the advertising period, the Council considers all submissions received during the advertising period and would resolve to either grant final approval to the amendment, with or without modifications, or refuse the amendment. The decision is then forwarded to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), who makes a recommendation to the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure. The Minister can either grant final approval to the amendment, with or without further modifications, or refuse the amendment.

Sustainability Implications:

The rezoning will facilitate the development of eight (8) medium density dwellings. The development of medium density housing is considered appropriate given the location of the subject site in close proximity to the Craigie Plaza Shopping Centre and community facilities. The development may increase the number of people living within walking distance of the shopping centre by providing additional housing choices and an increased density and will assist in providing greater patronage. This accords with strategy 3.3.1 ‘Provide Residential Living Choices’ of the City’s Strategic Plan and the state government policy – Liveable Neighbourhoods Community Design Code.

Community Consultation:

The Town Planning Regulations 1967 require that, should Council adopt the amendment, it be advertised for a period of forty-two (42) days. All adjoining landowners would be notified in writing, a notice placed in the Joondalup Community Newspaper and West Australian Newspaper and a sign placed on the site.

COMMENT

The amendment seeks to facilitate eight (8) medium density dwellings. Given the current lot size and R20 density coding, a total of four (4) dwellings could be accommodated. Under the proposed R40 density code a total of nine (9) grouped dwellings could be hosted on the site.

The R40 density code proposed for the land represents a ‘doubling’ of housing density that currently applies to the site and to land in the immediate locality generally. However the form and configuration of the (eight) 8 grouped dwellings proposed for the site (as shown in attachment 3) are of similar scale and type to existing development prevailing within the surrounding area. In addition, the lot on the opposite side of Eddystone Avenue has recently been rezoned to ‘R40’. The subject lot is currently vacant and has been untidy for some time and future development would dramatically improve the appearance of the site.

The development proposed for the land (grouped dwellings) can be considered by the City under either the current ‘Commercial’ or proposed ‘Residential’ zoning. Under the current zoning however, it is noted that residential development would be anticipated to be a component of the commercial development of the site. With respect to the proposed rezoning from ‘Commercial’ to ‘Residential’, the rezoning of the land is supported as the future use of the land is proposed to be for residential purposes. Proper and orderly planning principles dictate that the zoning applied to the land should closely align to the use of the land.

Accordingly there are no implications with respect to permissibility of the residential land use or the form of future developments proposed for the site, as a development application would need to be made to the City of Joondalup for its approval, irrespective of the class of development being discretionary or permitted.

Whilst it is recognised that there would be a loss of commercial zoned land, it is acknowledged that commercial uses on this site do not appear viable given its location in close proximity to an existing commercial centre. It is anticipated that the Craigie Plaza Shopping Centre will benefit from additional patronage as a result of the subject site being developed for residential purposes by creating additional demand for local retail services. The development of lots for residential purposes is expected to take advantage of the existing bus service, community services and retail facilities that are available in close proximity to the site, which promotes environmental and economic sustainability.

It is not expected that the proposed rezoning will generate any traffic related issues, and therefore a traffic survey/study has not been deemed necessary.

Overall, it is considered that the rezoning of the site to allow for the development of grouped dwellings is compatible with adjoining and surrounding uses, and is likely to enhance the amenity of the immediate area. It is recommended that the Council initiates and adopts the proposed amendment to DPS2 for the purposes of public advertising for a period of 42 days.

ATTACHMENTS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Attachment 1 | Proposed Amendment No 29 to District Planning Scheme No 2 Zoning Map |
| Attachment 2 | Proposed Amendment No 29 to District Planning Scheme No 2 R Code Map |
| Attachment 3 | Indicative site plan for future 8 grouped dwelling development |
| Attachment 4 | Town Planning Scheme Amendment process flow chart |

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 Pursuant to Section 7 of the Town Planning and Development Act 1928, ADOPTS Amendment No 29 to the City of Joondalup District Planning Scheme No. 2 to rezone Lot 674 (107) Eddystone Avenue, Craigie from ‘Commercial’ with a density code of R20 to ‘Residential’, with a density code of R40, for the purposes of advertising for a period of 42 days;**
- 2 Prior to the advertising period commencing, FORWARDS the proposed amendment to the Environmental Protection Authority in order to decide if an environmental review of the site is required.**

Appendix 7 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach7brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 14 CHANGE OF USE FROM MEDICAL CENTRE TO RESIDENTIAL BUILDING (SHORT STAY ACCOMMODATION): LOT 407 (3) GLENELG PLACE, CONNOLLY – [03180]

WARD - Marina

PURPOSE

For Council to consider approval for a change of use application from a Medical Centre to a Residential Building (eleven self-catering apartments to be used for short stay accommodation) at Lot 407 (3) Glenelg Place, Connolly.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The property is zoned “Mixed Use” and is located between the Connolly Shopping Centre to the north and the Connolly Community Centre to the south. To the east, at the rear of the property, is the Fairways Retirement Village and the Joondalup Country Club is approximately 350 metres north.

The property was approved for use as a medical centre in 1987. It is currently occupied by one tenancy, which uses approximately 20% of the total building area. The remainder of the building has been vacant for approximately two years. The property is zoned “Mixed Use” under District Planning Scheme No 2 (DPS2).

It is intended to change the use of the whole of the building from Medical Centre to a Residential Building which is a “D” use within the Mixed Use zoning. The building will be converted into eleven self-contained units to be used as short stay accommodation ranging from 1–21 days. The maximum number of guests at any one time will be 28 persons. There will be no meals or alcohol provided by the establishment. The proposed use is consistent with that of a residential building

Eleven objections to this proposal have been received mostly from residents living at the Fairway Retirement Village at the rear of the existing Medical Centre. Most of the objections related to potential noise, anti-social behaviour and the loss of the pedestrian link from Fairway Village to the shopping and community facilities. There is no evidence to suggest that short stay residents will create greater noise than could reasonably be expected within a residential area. Given that the building has been vacant for an extended period of time, the proposed use will improve surveillance and help eliminate anti-social behaviour within the carpark of the development.

It is considered that the proposed use is consistent with the mixed use zone objectives and it is recommended that Council approves the “D” use of a Residential Building within the Mixed Use zoning.

BACKGROUND

| | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Suburb/Location: | Connolly |
| Applicant: | Hennie Joubert |
| Owner: | Jowebo Investments Pty Ltd |
| Zoning: | DPS: Mixed use |
| | MRS: Urban |

The property is zoned “Mixed Use” and is located between the Connolly Shopping Centre to the north and the Connolly Community Centre to the south. To the east, at the rear of the property, is the Fairways Retirement Village and the Joondalup Country Club is approximately 350 metres north. The location of the development site is shown on attachment 1.

The existing buildings were approved as a Medical Centre in 1987. The applicant advised Council that approximately 80% of the premises have been vacant for over 2 years.

Permitted uses within the Mixed Use zone include bank, hairdresser, beauty parlour, corner store, lunch bar, office, consulting rooms, or a single house.

DETAILS

Statutory Provision:

The relevant provisions of District Planning Scheme 2 that control development within this zone and are applicable to the application for planning consent include:

Zone Objectives

3.5 *The Mixed Use Zone*

3.5.1 *The Mixed Use Zone is intended to accommodate a mixture of residential development with small businesses in a primarily residential scale environment. The predominant non-residential uses will be office, consulting, dining and limited retail uses occupying the street frontage of lots.*

The zoning will provide an intermediate stage between Residential and Commercial or Business Zone areas. A high level of pedestrian amenity should be provided.

The objectives of the Mixed Use Zone are to:

- (a) provide a diversity of landuse and housing types compatible with the maintenance of residential amenity;*
- (b) allow appropriate businesses to locate and develop in close proximity to residential areas;*
- (c) allow for services to be provided locally.*

Local Amenity

6.8 *Matters to be Considered by Council*

6.8.1 *The Council when considering an application for Planning Approval shall have due regard to the following:*

- (a) *interests of orderly and proper planning and the preservation of the amenity of the relevant locality;*
- (b) *any relevant submissions by the applicant;*
- (c) *any Agreed Structure Plan prepared under the provisions of Part 9 of the Scheme;*
- (d) *any planning policy of the Council adopted under the provisions of clause 8.11;*
- (e) *any other matter which under the provisions of the Scheme the Council is required to have due regard;*
- (f) *any policy of the Commission or its predecessors or successors or any planning policy adopted by the Government of the State of Western Australia;*
- (g) *any relevant proposed new town planning scheme of the Council or amendment or proposed Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment insofar as they can be regarded as seriously entertained planning proposals;*
- (h) *the comments or wishes of any public or municipal authority received as part of the submission process;*
- (i) *the comments or wishes of any objectors to or supporters of the application;*
- (j) *any previous decision made by the Council in circumstances which are sufficiently similar for the previous decision to be relevant as a precedent, provided that the Council shall not be bound by such precedent; and*
- (k) *any other matter which in the opinion of the Council is relevant.*

6.8.2 *In addition to the matters referred to in the preceding subclause of this clause, the Council when considering whether or not to approve a “D” or “A” use application shall have due regard to the following (whether or not by implication or otherwise they might have required consideration under the preceding subclauses of this clause):*

- (a) *the nature of the proposed use and its relationship to the use of other land within the locality;*
- (b) *the size, shape and character of the parcel of land to which the application relates and the nature and siting of any proposed building;*
- (c) *the nature of the roads giving access to the subject land;*
- (d) *the parking facilities available or proposed and the likely requirements for parking, arising from the proposed development;*
- (e) *any relevant submissions or objections received by the Council; and*
- (f) *such other matters as the Council considers relevant, whether of the same nature as the foregoing or otherwise.*

Rationale for the Proposal

The site currently consists of two buildings that are joined by a common roof and veranda. The buildings accommodate four independent commercial units. It is proposed to convert the existing building into five one-bedroom studio apartments, three one-bedroom with living area apartments and three two-bedroom with living area apartments. Each unit will have its own external entrance and bathroom/toilet facilities. There will be no cooking facilities, although a microwave and refrigerator will be provided in each unit.

District Planning Scheme 2 gives the same meaning to a Residential Building as the definition contained within the Residential Design Codes 2002. The Residential Design Codes 2002 defines a Residential Building as;

a building or portion of a building, together with rooms and outbuildings separate from such buildings but incidental thereto; such building being used or intended, adapted or designed to be used for the purpose of human habitation:

- *Temporarily by two or more persons; or*
- *Permanently by seven or more persons,*

who do not comprise a single family, but does not include a hospital or sanatorium, a prison, a hotel, a motel, or a residential school.

Neither the DPS2 nor the Residential Design Codes provide specific development requirements for a Residential Building. The site has a R20 density code for a single house, grouped dwellings and multiple dwelling developments. The density coding of R20 does not apply to a residential building, which has been established through previous legal advice.

The change of use will include the creation of an independent reception area and office within the building from where the apartments will be managed. There will be no restaurant or meal facilities provided. It is considered that the proposal is consistent with a Residential Building not a hotel or motel facility.

Design and Amenity

The overall external design of the existing development is not proposed to change considerably other than new entrances to the individual units to the rear, eastern and southern side of the development and a secure walled parking area for use by patrons of the short stay accommodation apartments. The applicant proposes to construct a new footpath at the rear of the development to provide access to the rear units.

Car Parking

For the purpose of calculating parking requirements under Table 2 of DPS2, the proposed development is a Residential Building. The car parking standards for a Residential Building will result in the following:

| Ratio | Required | Provided |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| 1 parking bay per 2 persons | 14 bays | 26 bays |
| Totals | 14 bays | 26 bays |

The applicant also proposed to construct a secure parking area for the apartments. This involves reorganising the parking bays at the eastern end of the carpark nearest the community centre. This proposal will partially restrict the movement of vehicles using the community centre but overall there will be no loss of parking bays. The current parking area used by the medical centre and community centre is divided between the subject lot, the community centre and a communal access leg. A reciprocal access agreement over the medical centre, community and shopping facilities was drafted in 1990. The agreement only covers access to and between the individual lots and does not designate reciprocal parking between Lots 407 & 404 (community centre). It should also be noted that 13 parking bays will be separated by the security wall and the majority of the parking bays on site will be available to patrons using the community centre. Reconfiguration of the carpark will also require landscaping of the existing parking area and remedial works and landscaping on the adjoining site.

Setback requirements

The setbacks for the proposed development are determined under clause 3.2.1 of the Residential Design Codes 2002 – Set Back of Buildings Generally.

| Setback | Required | Provided |
|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Front | 6.0 metres | 23.0 metres minimum |
| Southern Side Boundary | 1.5 metres | 3.0 metres |
| Northern Side Boundary | 1.0 metres | 1.0 metres |
| Rear | 1.5 metres | 3.0 metres |

Community Consultation

The proposed development was advertised for 14 days in accordance with clause 6.7.2 of DPS2 by way of letters sent to 94 local residents being the properties deemed most affected by the proposal. A total of 14 submissions were received, being 3 non-objections and 11 objections.

A summary of the objections is as follows:

| Objection | Officer's Comment |
|--|---|
| Reduction in property values | Cannot be substantiated. No evidence that short stay accommodation will affect the property values of existing residential area. Joondalup Country Club that is approximately 350m north offers short stay accommodation. |
| Unit size and usage not in keeping with area | Mixed-use zoning intended as a transition between residential and commercial zoning therefore it may be expected that smaller or higher density units would be appropriate in this zoning. |

| Objection | Officer's Comment |
|--|--|
| Noise & disruption of Retirement Village residents | No substantial evidence that the proposed use will cause greater noise than that of a single residential dwelling |
| Comings & goings, day and night will create excessive noise and encroach on privacy | No substantial evidence that the proposed use will cause greater noise than that of a single residential dwelling |
| Short stay apartments would attract undesirable elements that may cause problems for elderly residents. There is already a problem of hoons using car park between 1am – 3am and this problem may increase if proposal goes ahead. | Proposed change of use has the potential to increase surveillance. The secure parking area may help to reduce speeding cars within the carpark. |
| Pollution created by overnight residents Fumes from cars | No substantial evidence that the proposed use will cause significant health issue |
| Development will disturb the peace | No substantial evidence that the proposed use will cause greater noise than that of a single residential dwelling |
| Object to noise factor and personal safety as generally there is more noise when people are on holiday | No substantial evidence that the proposed use will cause greater noise than that of a single residential dwelling |
| Parking at dentist and hall (community centre) will be affected | The proposed use of Residential Building for short stay accommodation has a less intensive demand for parking than that of the current approved use as medical centre. It is recommended that the approved be subject to reciprocal parking agreement formalising access to additional parking bays for the community centre |
| Gate way at rear of medical centre provides pedestrian access to community centre and shopping reduces distance to walk | Recommend pedestrian access agreement as condition of approval |
| Boundary fence too close – invasion of privacy | Setback of 3.0 metres complies with R-Codes |
| Size of units & facilities too small for medium to long term accommodation | Proposed use is for short stay accommodation. |
| Would like to see building used as medical centre | Noted |

Health and Safety

The proposal would constitute a lodging house under the Health Act 1991. This will require the applicant to meet all relevant provisions of the Health Act and City of Joondalup Local Laws with regard to Lodging Houses. These include the following:

- The applicant must provide a kitchen that complies with the Class 1 requirements of the Health (Food Hygiene) Regulations 1993. Minimum size of kitchen shall be 0.65m² per person where lodgers prepare their own meals, 0.125m² per person where meals are provided by the keeper or manager; and

1m² per person where the kitchen and dining area are combined, but in any case cannot be less than 16².

- Dining areas to be in accordance with the City of Joondalup Health Local Laws 1999. A dining room must be a minimum of 0.5m² per person but not less than 10m² and be in close proximity to the kitchen.
- The keeper or manager of the lodging house is required to reside continuously within the lodging house. Applicant is required to provide details.
- Procedures for washing of linen and cleaning of apartments to satisfy the requirements of the Health Act.

COMMENT

Design and Amenity

The design and appearance of the existing development will not change significantly. To maintain the amenity to adjoining developments it is recommended that the proposed pedestrian path that will provide access to the rear short stay apartments be constructed close to the existing development and that a landscape strip adjoining the rear dividing fence be provided to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning & Environmental Services.

To ensure that the proposed apartments are used as a Residential Building for short stay accommodation special conditions that allow the Council to control and monitor the use of the development are proposed.

Car Parking

The proposed security wall will provide a private secure parking area for patrons of the apartments but will still allow surveillance of the parking area beyond the parking area to adjoining sites. The majority of parking on the site will still be available for use by patrons of the community centre and adjoining commercial area. It is recommended that the change of use to a Residential Building be approved subject to a formal legal agreement securing the remainder of parking bays on lot 407 for a reciprocal parking agreement. All remedial works to the parking area on the adjoining lot is to be at the expense of the applicant.

Community Consultation

Eleven objections to the proposed change of use have been received, mostly from the residents of the Fairways Retirement Village. One of the primary concerns has been in relation to the existing gate at the rear of the site that provides pedestrian access to the shopping and community centres. It is considered that this gate provides an important pedestrian link that crosses private land. Currently there is no formal access agreement for the occupants of the Fairway Retirement Village to cross the development site and gain access to the adjoining shopping area and/or community centre. To address the concerns an access agreement that secures the long-term pedestrian link across the site for the residents of Fairway Retirement Village is proposed as a condition of approval.

Concern has been raised regarding potential noise from the proposed short stay apartments. The City has no evidence from existing short stay apartment within the City of Joondalup that would suggest that this type of residential building generates greater noise than that of a single residential dwelling. Issues in relation to excessive noise can be controlled under provisions of the Health Act.

CONCLUSION

The objective of the Mixed Use zone is to provide an intermediate stage between Residential and Commercial or Business zone areas. The zone is intended to accommodate a mixture of residential development with small businesses in a primarily residential scale environment. The objectives of the Mixed Use zone are to:

- Provide a diversity of land-use and housing types compatible with the maintenance of residential amenity;
- Allow appropriate businesses to locate and develop in close proximity to residential areas; and
- Allow for services to be provided locally.

The proposed short stay units are essentially a residential use and the scale of the existing development being single storey provides integration between the commercial and community uses and the retirement village to the east. Generally it is considered that the proposed change of use to a Residential Building for the purpose of short stay accommodation is in keeping with the objectives of the Mixed Use Zoning under DPS2, which is to provide an intermediate stage between Residential and Commercial or Business Zone areas.

Occupation of the currently vacant units will improve surveillance of the area and reduce the potential for anti-social behaviour within the carpark. It is considered that the proposal will not negatively affect the amenity of the adjoining residential zoning.

The proposed short stay accommodation is well located near strategic regional transport links and facilities such as the Joondalup Golf Course and Country Club and the Joondalup City Centre. While there is a general strategic focus to provide tourist or short stay accommodation within the City Centre a facility catering for a maximum of 28 people is not expected to significantly detract from the Joondalup City Centre and in turn contributes to a range of short stay accommodation within the municipality.

It is recommended that the Council approves the change of use from Medical Centre to a Residential Building for the purpose of short stay accommodation subject to the following special conditions:

- A formal agreement being registered on the title that the pedestrian link be open at all times to residents of the Fairway Retirement Village and that two pedestrian gates be provided in the proposed security wall.
- A landscaping buffer strip be provided between the pathway provided access to the proposed rear apartments and the units of the Retirement Village to the east. A landscaped strip between the proposed path and the dividing fence will help to improve separation between the dwellings and provide increased visual and acoustic privacy.
- Conditions restricting the use of the building for short stay accommodation.
- A reciprocal parking agreement between the subject site and the community centre.
- A detailed parking plan for the bays in the southeastern corner of the community centre ensuring that the proposed works provide adequate room for manoeuvring. All remedial works required on the adjoining parking area of the community centre.

ATTACHMENTS

| | |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Attachment 1 | Location Plan |
| Attachment 2 | Aerial Photo |
| Attachment 3 | Development Plans |
| Attachment 4 | Site Photos |

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 EXERCISES discretion under clauses 3.3 and 4.8 of District Planning Scheme No 2 and determines that:**
 - (a) the proposed self catering apartments at Lot 407 (3) Glenelg Place Connolly, being classed as a Residential Building for the purpose of short stay accommodation is consistent with the objectives and purpose of the Mixed Use zone;**

- 2 APPROVES the application for Planning Consent dated 04 June 2004 submitted by Hennie Joubert on behalf of the owners, Jowebo Investments Pty Ltd, for a change of use from Medical Centre to Residential Building (short stay accommodation) at Lot 407 (3) Glenelg Place Connolly, subject to the following conditions:**
 - (a) A pedestrian access agreement to be registered on the certificate of title being Lot 407 (3) Glenelg Place Connolly. The agreement is for the benefit of the residents of the Fairway Retirement Village so that they can cross the site to the commercial and community facilities on the adjoining lots. All costs associated with the agreement to be paid by the applicant;**
 - (b) The existing gate within the dividing fence at the north-east corner of the site to remain open and accessible to the residents of the Fairway Retirement Village;**
 - (c) The provision of two pedestrian gates within the proposed security wall to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services. The gates to be left unlocked at all times;**
 - (d) A landscape buffer to be provided between the rear path accessing the proposed units and the dividing fence on the eastern boundary;**
 - (e) A landscaping plan to be provided prior to the lodgement of a building licence and approved to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services. Landscaping plan to include upgrade of existing landscaping on site and remedial works in relation to reconfiguration of parking area;**

- (f) A reciprocal parking agreement to be registered on the certificate of title between the subject site and the adjoining Community Centre Lot 404, Glenelg Place, Connolly. All cost and expenses associated with the agreement to be paid by the application;
- (g) In relation to the proposed reconfiguration of the parking area, all remedial works required on the adjoining parking area of Lot 404 to be at the expense of the applicant;
- (h) The parking bays, driveways and points of ingress and egress to be designed in accordance with the Australian Standard for Offstreet Carparking (AS2890). Such areas are to be constructed, drained, marked and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning & Environmental Services prior to the development first being occupied. These works to be done as part of the building programme;
- (i) Provision must be made for disabled access and facilities in accordance with the Australian Standards for Design for Access and Mobility (AS 1428.1);
- (j) The units are to be used for short stay accommodation and not for human habitation on a permanent basis and:

 - (i) the maximum length of stay for each lodger is three months in a 12 month period;
 - (ii) to be managed to the satisfaction of the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services. Details of the proposed management of the units is to be submitted for approval by the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services. Any changes to the approved method of management is required to be submitted for approval by the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services;
 - (iii) a register of lodgers shall be kept. The register shall:

 - (a) show the name and address of every lodger staying within the units and the unit occupied;
 - (b) be signed by the lodger;
 - (c) include their date of arrival and departure;
 - (d) be kept on the premises of the serviced apartments or at such other place as agreed to by Council and shall be open to inspection on demand by an authorised Council officer;
 - (iv) if the management of the service apartments agreed to by the Council in point (ii) above involves an on-site manager, then only one unit can be allocated to the on-site manager for permanent occupation;

- (k) Should the application require minor modifications to comply with the conditions of approval, revised plans are requested to be submitted to the City of Joondalup and approved by the Manager Approvals, Planning and Environmental Services.**

Footnote:

In regard to condition (j) the applicant must provide the following additional information prior to the issue of a building license:

- 1 A kitchen area that complies with the Class 1 requirements of the Health (Food Hygiene) Regulations 1993 and sufficient dining areas in accordance with the City of Joondalup Health Local Laws 1999. Minimum size of kitchen shall be 0.65m² per person where lodgers prepare their own meals or 1.0m² per person where the kitchen and dining areas are combined. A dining room must be a minimum of 0.5m² per person but not less than 10m² and be in close proximity to the kitchen;**
- 2 The keeper or manager of the lodging house is required to reside continuously within the lodging house;**
- 3 The applicant to provide details regarding the washing of linen and cleaning of apartments;**
- 4 The application is to meet all relevant provisions of the Health Act and City of Joondalup local laws 1999 in regard to Lodging Houses;**
- 5 Where food is prepared for patrons, the kitchen shall comply with the Health (Food Hygiene) Regulations 1993;**
- 6 Changes to the development plans may require a new development application.**

Appendix 8 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach8brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 15 DEDICATION OF PORTIONS OF MITCHELL FREEWAY – [04013]

WARD - Lakeside, Pinnaroo, South Coastal, South, Marina, North Coastal

PURPOSE

Council is requested to support dedication of several portions of constructed road that form the Mitchell Freeway, but which have not been formally dedicated as road reserve.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The applicant, Complex Land Solutions, acting under instructions and on behalf of Main Roads Western Australia, is seeking Council support for the dedication of portions of the Mitchell Freeway that are currently not dedicated as road reserve. The subject portions of the Freeway are shaded grey on the attached plans (refer Attachments 1 and 2).

The dedication of the land within the Mitchell Freeway is an administrative function, and does not have any implications for the City of Joondalup.

It is therefore recommended that Council:

- 1 *CONCURS with the dedication of the land, as detailed and contained in Attachments 1 and 2 to this Report, as road under Section 56 of the Land Administration Act;*
- 2 *REQUIRES Main Roads WA to indemnify the City of Joondalup against any claims arising from the dedication of the land.*

BACKGROUND

Suburb/Location: Mitchell Freeway
Applicant: Complex Land Solutions
Zoning: **DPS:** Regional Road
MRS: Primary Regional Roads

DETAILS

The applicant, acting under instructions and on behalf of Main Roads Western Australia, has notified the City that the following portions of Mitchell Freeway require dedication as road reserve:

| Applicants Annotated Number (refer Attachments 1 & 2) | DLI Allocated Lot Number | DLI Plan/Diagram Number | Location Description |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 10 | Lot 748 | P13529 | West of Eddystone Avenue and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 10A | Lot 601 | P97196 | North of Eddystone Avenue and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 11 | Nil | Nil | Okely Road |

| | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|---------------|--|
| 11A | Unallocated Crown Land | Swan Loc 9067 | North of Hepburn Avenue and south of Ocean reef Road. |
| 12 | Lot 6 | P17185 | North of Ocean Reef Road and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 13 | Lot 441 | D70213 | North of Ocean Reef Road and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 14 | Lot 600 | D74448 | North of Eddystone Avenue and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 15 | Not Part of the Application | | |
| 16 | Lot 4 | P17185 | North of Eddystone Avenue and south of Hodges Drive. |
| 17 | Lot 1 | P11934 | East of Okely Road – intersection of Okely Road and Whtifords Avenue |
| 18 | Unallocated Crown Land | Nil | West of Okely Road – intersection of Okely Road and Hepburn Avenue. |
| 19 | Unallocated Crown Land | Nil | East of Okely Road – north of the intersection of Okely Road and Hepburn Avenue. |
| 20 | Unallocated Crown Land | Nil | East of Okely Road – south of the intersection of Okely Road and Hepburn Avenue. |
| 21 | Not Part of the Application | | |
| 22 | Nil | Nil | Okely Road |
| 23 | Lot 849 | P10409 | North of Tuart Road – south of Hepburn Avenue. |
| 24 | Lot 150 | D41921 | North of Warwick Road – south of Tuart Road. |
| 25 | Lot 51 | P12574 | North of Warwick Road – south of Tuart Road. |
| 26 | Lot 51 | P12574 | North of Beach Road – south of Warwick Road. |

The subject portions of land are within the Main Roads protection boundary and reserved under the Metropolitan Region Scheme for road purposes. The proposed dedication does not involve any road construction, and is administrative in nature only.

The applicant has confirmed that the land identified for dedication is already held in public ownership and action to consolidate the individual landholdings to the State of Western Australia is under way through the Department of Land Information (DLI).

Statutory Provision:

A dedicated road reserve is one that has been created by lawful process, whether by approval of plan creating the road, or by publication in the government Gazette or State newspaper of a notice of dedication, or by registration against Crown Land Title of an order declaring that the land has been set-aside for such purposes.

Occasionally it will be discovered that a road that has been used by the public for many years is not dedicated. In this situation a resolution is required to dedicate the land as a public road in accordance with Section 56 of the Land Administration Act

COMMENT

The applicant advises that the subject portions of the Mitchell Freeway have not been formally dedicated as road reserve and are required to be so dedicated.

The Mitchell Freeway is under the care and control of Main Road and therefore there are no implications with respect to the proposed dedication of the land for the City of Joondalup. However, it is appropriate that the City of Joondalup be indemnified by Main Roads against any claims arising from the dedication of the land.

The proposed dedication of the land within the Mitchell Freeway is supported. Stringent DLI requirements require Council to endorse the dedication using the wording outlined in part 1 of the recommendation below.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachments 1 Location plan of land to be dedicated as road reserve.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 CONCURS with the dedication of the land, as detailed and contained in Attachment 1 and 2 to this Report, as road under Section 56 of the Land Administration Act;**
- 2 REQUIRES Main Roads WA to indemnify the City of Joondalup against any claims arising from the dedication of the land.**

Appendix 9 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach9brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 16 SUBDIVISION REFERRALS PROCESSED 1 - 31 MARCH 2005 – [05961]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

This report is to advise the Council of subdivision referrals received by the City for processing in the period 1-31 March 2005.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Attachment 1 is a schedule of the Subdivision Referrals processed from 1 –31 March 2005. Applications were dealt with in terms of the delegation adopted by the Council in October 2004.

DETAILS

Nine subdivision referrals were processed within the period. The average processing time taken was 18 days, which compares favourably with the statutory timeframe of 42 days. The subdivision applications processed enabled the potential creation of two (2) residential lots and eight (8) strata residential lots. Two applications were not supported. These applications are as follows:

Ref: SU127656 – 500 Burns Beach Road, Burns Beach

This application was not supported as approval of the subdivision would be premature in the absence of an Agreed Structure Plan and therefore prejudice the overall planning of the area.

Ref: SU1928-04.01 – 13 Tottenham Road, Joondalup

This application was not supported, as the plan does not show common property. This is required due to an access easement (right of carriageway) currently existing on the lot.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Schedule of Subdivision Referrals

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council NOTES the action taken by the subdivision control unit in relation to the applications described in this Report for the month of March 2005.

Appendix 10 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach10brf190405.pdf](#)

ITEM 17 MINUTES OF THE SENIORS INTERESTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 16 FEBRUARY 2005 – [55511]

WARD - All

PURPOSE

To provide Council with the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee meeting held Wednesday 16 February 2005.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A meeting of the Seniors Interest Advisory Committee was held on Wednesday 16 February 2005. The unconfirmed minutes of this meeting are submitted for noting by Council.

It is recommended that the Council:

- 1 *NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interest Advisory Committee held on Wednesday 16 February 2005;*
- 2 *NOTES the Committee's congratulations to Commissioners and Council officers on the outstanding success of the Sunset Valentine Concert and recommendation that the Sunset Valentine Concert be held annually.*

BACKGROUND

The Seniors Interests Advisory Committee was formed in 2001, originally called the Strategic Advisory Committee – Seniors Interests. The committee meets on a monthly basis and comprises of individuals from the community; representatives from organisations who provide services to seniors, and government departments. The City is represented through the attendance of the Manager Community Development Services and is provided with administrative support from the Community Development Officer.

During the past six months, each member has researched strategic issues significant to the lives of seniors in the community and presented the findings to the committee for discussion and debate. So far topics covered have been – employment, the changing health needs and physical activity for seniors.

DETAILS

At the meeting held 16 February 2005, Lew Thorstensen spoke of the outstanding success of the Sunset Valentine Concert held at the Joondalup Resort on the evening of Monday, 14 February 2005. Lew asked if the committee agreed he would like to put forward a recommendation to Council for this concert to be held annually.

MOVED Lew Thorstensen, SECONDED Allyn Bryant that the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee extends congratulations to Commissioners and Council officers on the outstanding success of the Sunset Valentine Concert, and recommends it is held annually.

Officer’s Comment – Given the success of the Sunset Valentine Concert, and the appeal to senior members of the community for this kind of event, the above recommendation is noted by the Community Services team.

There were two other items of particular interest to the committee. These items are outlined further in the minutes of the meeting and are summarised as follows:

- That the members of the committee suggested representatives of the key political parties be invited to address the meeting regarding policies of particular interest to seniors;
- That a seminar pertinent to the interest of seniors be organised before the conclusion of the tenure of the existing committee in May 2005; and

The minutes of the committee have listed the issue of discounts for seniors at the Craigie Leisure Centre as an ongoing item for discussion. A report on this matter is due to be presented to Council in June 2005. The Seniors Interest Advisory Committee will be part of the consultation process used to develop a policy position regarding this matter.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 Minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee Meeting held Wednesday, 16 February 2005.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1 NOTES the unconfirmed minutes of the Seniors Interests Advisory Committee held on Wednesday 16 February 2005 forming Attachment 1 to this Report;**
- 2 NOTES the committee’s congratulations to Commissioners and Council officers on the outstanding success of the Sunset Valentine Concert and the recommendation that the Sunset Valentine Concert be held annually.**

Appendix 11 refers.

To access this attachment on electronic document, click here: [Attach11brf190405.pdf](#)

**ITEM 18 RECONSIDERATION OF CONDITION OF
RETROSPECTIVE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL FOR
ADDITIONS: LOT 2 (160B) WATERFORD DRIVE,
HILLARYS – [43516]**

WARD - Whitfords

This Item Is Confidential - Not For Publication

A full report has been provided to Joint Commissioners under separate cover.

- 7 REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**
- 8 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**
- 9 BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS**
- 10 OUTSTANDING PETITIONS**
- 11 REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONERS**

BUSINESS OUTSTANDING FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS

OFFICE OF THE CEO

AMENDMENT TO CITY'S STANDING ORDERS LOCAL LAW (ex CJ307-12/02 – ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS)

2(a) Motion 1 (*requesting Council to make the various changes to public question time*) be considered as part of the further review of the City's Standing Orders Local Law:

COUNCIL'S MEETING CYCLE – ex CJ195-08/04

“4 during the next review of the City's Standing Orders Local Law REQUEST a report be provided to the Council on whether Briefing and Strategy Sessions can be formally recognised in the Standing Orders Local Law but with flexibility as to the procedures that would apply.”

Status: A further review of the Standing Orders Local Law is being undertaken. A draft version of the Standing Orders Local Law 2005 was submitted to the City's solicitors. A report is being prepared for presentation to the Commissioners.

MEETING OF THE POLICY MANUAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HELD ON 18 SEPTEMBER 2003 – ex CJ213-09/03

“3 DEFERS consideration of:

(a) *Policy 2.5.1 Commercial Usage of Beachfront and Beach Reserves – as detailed in Attachment 2 to Report CJ213-09/03 pending a further report being presented to the Policy Manual Review Committee incorporating additional recommendations;*

(c) *Policy 2.6.4 – Environmental Sustainability – as detailed in Attachment 2 to Report CJ213-09/03 pending referral to the Environmental and Sustainability Committee for consideration;*

Status: Reports will be submitted to the Policy Manual Review Committee.

DISBANDING OF POLICY MANUAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (ex CJ158-07/04 – POLICY MANUAL REVIEW COMMITTEE)

“that consideration of the role of the Policy Manual Review Committee, and protocols for the review and adoption of new policies, be REFERRED to a workshop to be attended by Commissioners.”

Status: A report was submitted to the Strategy Session held on 12 April 2005.

11 May 2004 Cmr Smith requested that the following comment, from the Minutes of the Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting held on 29 April 2004, be taken into consideration within the proposed review of the Policy Manual:

“General Business

Mr Carstairs indicated that it was important to ensure that sustainability issues are embedded into Council policy during the next 12 months to ensure ongoing sustainable outcomes in the City. Mr Carstairs believes that it is important to identify targets, outcomes and timeframes to implement these to ensure the best sustainability outcomes in the future.”

Status: This matter will be addressed through the Policy Review Committee.

MAYOR D CARLOS (SUSPENDED) – REQUEST FOR PAYMENT OF MAYORAL ALLOWANCE – ex CJ118-06/04

“that no determination is made on this matter at this time and the item be DEFERRED until the McIntyre Inquiry completes its deliberations and issues a Report.”

Status: A report will be submitted following the completion of the McIntyre Inquiry.

LANDSCAPING PLANS FOR JOONDALUP REGIONAL CULTURAL FACILITY AND REDESIGN OPTIONS OF COUNCIL CHAMBER (ex CJ248-11/04 – JOONDALUP REGIONAL CULTURAL FACILITY SITE ACQUISITION)

“3 REQUIRE that a report detailing forward landscaping plans for the site be prepared for consideration of Council taking into account the cultural and performing arts needs of the community, which will be assessed through a collaborative consultation process involving educational institutions, performing arts groups, arts consultants and other stakeholders;

5 REQUIRE an urgent review be conducted and interim report prepared and presented at the December 2004 Council meeting with regard to the costs and options of redesigning the Council Chamber to meet the provisions of the Governance Review and allow for greater availability and usage for performing arts and other community events.”

Status: In relation to Point 3, consultation will take place as soon as is practicable and it is envisaged that a report will be submitted to Council in early 2005. In relation to Point 5, a meeting has been held with architects to discuss possible project plan options. It should be noted that the purchase of the site was finalised through the execution of the offer and acceptance.

RELEASE OF REPORT OF THE FORENSIC AUDITOR – ex C70-11/04

“That due to questions and motions raised at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, the Joint Commissioners CONSIDER releasing, at the Council meeting immediately following receipt of the information outlined below, the report of the Forensic Auditor into the employment contract of the former Chief Executive Officer that is currently marked confidential subject to:

The Acting CEO being requested to contact the following for comment on this proposed course of action, asking them to provide any information they consider should be taken into account by the Council when it makes its decision:

- Deloitte Touché Tohmatsu, the Forensic Auditor
- Mr McIntyre, who is conducting the current Inquiry into the City of Joondalup
- Fiocco’s Lawyers”

Status: Correspondence was forwarded to the relevant parties following the Annual General Meeting.

Fiocco Lawyers had no objection; Mr McIntyre had no position, however, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu objected to the release of information on the basis that, without full understanding of the scope and context of the audit, it may not be correctly interpreted in the public arena. On that basis, it is not proposed to release the information at this time.

This document has been admitted as evidence into the McIntyre Inquiry into the City of Joondalup. Advice has been sought from McLeod’s Lawyers relating to the ability of members of the public gaining access to this document. The McIntyre Inquiry is currently anticipated to conclude at the end of July 2005.

GOVERNANCE REVIEW PANEL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ex CJ299 - 12/04 Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 1 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, the Joint Commissioners:

- AGREE not to release the contents of the Governance Review Panel – Final report at this stage and will review the decision not to release the report pending the response from the Minister.
- the document referred to as Responses to Recommendations the “City of Joondalup Governance Review 2003” LIE ON THE TABLE until such time as the Review becomes public.

Status: Letter received by the City on 20 December 2004 advising that as the final report is the ‘property’ of the City and it is the decision of the City for it to be released. A report will be presented to the April 2005 round of meetings.

This document has been admitted as evidence into the McIntyre Inquiry into the City of Joondalup. Advice has been sought from McLeod’s Lawyers relating to the ability of members of the public gaining access to this document. The McIntyre Inquiry is currently anticipated to conclude at the end of July 2005.

REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 1 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, the Joint Commissioners:

- 2 (c) NOTE their decision of 23 November 2004 (Item CJ276-11/04 refers) and AGREE to undertake a review of the:
- (i) guidelines relating to public question time;
 - (ii) protocols and procedures relating to strategy and briefing sessions;
 - (iii) City's Standing Orders Local Law;
 - (iv) City's Code of Conduct;
 - (v) electronic controls within the Council Chamber;
 - (vi) Induction program for Mayors and Councillors/Commissioners;

Status: Reviews of the relevant governance documents have commenced and will be presented to the Council on an as-required basis.

ESTABLISHMENT OF PROTOCOLS (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

in relation to Motion 1 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, the Joint Commissioners:

- 2 (d) ESTABLISH clear protocols relating to:
- (i) the attendance of invited guests or specialist advisors to Council meetings;
 - (ii) the working relationship between the Mayor and CEO that complements the relevant sections of the Local Government Act 1995;
 - (iii) elected members requiring access to information and requests for action;
 - (iv) necessary requirements for proposing amendments and changes to recommendations at Council meetings.

Status: Reviews of the relevant governance documents have commenced and will be presented to the Council on an as-required basis.

CONSIDERATION OF PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME AT COUNCIL MEETINGS AND BRIEFING SESSIONS (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 11 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, the Joint Commissioners:

- (b) AGREE to give consideration to the inclusion of a public statement time when reviewing the guidelines relating to public question time;
- (c) AGREE to the community being involved in developing protocols for public question time and statement time within the constraints imposed by the Local Government Act 1995 and the need for Council meetings to progress the ordinary business of the Council.

Status: Reviews of the relevant governance documents have commenced and will be presented to the Council on an as-required basis.

REVIEW OF CODE OF CONDUCT – NON-VILIFICATION OF RATEPAYERS (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 12 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, NOTE that recommendation No 25 referred to in the motion is a recommendation of the Governance Review Panel and cannot be altered by the City, however, the issue on non-vilification of ratepayers will be considered as part of the review of the Code of Conduct.

Status: This will be taken into consideration when reviewing the Council's Code of Conduct.

REVIEW OF GUIDELINES RELATING TO PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 13 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004 NOTE that issues relating to the conduct at public question time will be taken into consideration when reviewing the guidelines relating to public question time.

Status: Reviews of the relevant governance documents have commenced and will be presented to the Council on an as-required basis.

OCEAN REEF BOAT HARBOUR DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 18 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004, REQUEST a detailed report be submitted for consideration by the Joint Commissioners addressing the issue of community consultation and coastal management in relation to the Ocean Reef Boat Harbour Development project.

Status: The Ocean Reef Boat Harbour Development Project Control Group, comprising representatives from the City, Department of Planning and Infrastructure, LandCorp and Clifton Coney Group, is finalising a study program, consultant briefs and costs estimates and it is proposed to present a report to Council in early 2005.

REQUEST FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO POLICY 2.2.8 - LEGAL REPRESENTATION FOR ELECTED MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES – ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND MANAGER AUDIT & EXECUTIVE SERVICES
– ex C74-12/04

- 4 REQUEST the Director Corporate Services and Resource Management to provide a report to the next meeting of Council on:
- (a) the management of legal advice to the City in relation to the Inquiry;
 - (b) any possible conflict in relation to the engagement of Mr McLeod by Mr Clayton Higham.

Status: On 24 December 2004 the Director Corporate Services and Resource Management was subpoenaed to the Inquiry. The CEO is directly responsible for pursuing matters identified in this Item.

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING – MR MICHAEL SMITH – ex CJ025-02/05 - REQUEST FOR FUNDING ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO POLICY 2.2.8 – LEGAL REPRESENTATION FOR ELECTED MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES – ELECTED MEMBER (SUSPENDED) AND MANAGER MARKETING COMMUNICATIONS AND COUNCIL SUPPORT

- “4 DEFERS the application from Mr Michael Smith to allow the Chief Executive Officer to:
- (a) provide advice to the Commissioners on how access to this policy impacts on officers’ access to the City’s legal representative;
 - (b) check that the application provided is complete in all respects.”

Status: The City’s Internal Inquiry Officer is researching information for the Chief Executive Officer in this regard.

REQUEST FOR FURTHER FUNDING ASSISTANCE PURSUANT TO POLICY 2.2.8 – LEGAL REPRESENTATION FOR ELECTED MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES – ELECTED MEMBERS (SUSPENDED) – ex CJ026-02/05

“That Council DEFERS the matter relating to the request for funding assistance pursuant to Policy 2.2.8 – Legal Representation for Elected Members and Employees – Elected Members (Suspended being O’Brien, Mackintosh and Kimber) to a Special Meeting of Council with the purpose of the meeting to consider the following specific matters:

- 1 the provision of a form of security for any further funding provided to suspended elected members by the Council;
- 2 an indication from suspended elected members pertaining to the City’s Insurance Policy including:
 - (a) the level of access currently existing under the policy;
 - (b) the dates the insurance policy was accessed;
 - (c) any process undertaken to seek or approval being granted for retrospective approval for the payment of legal costs incurred;
- 3 an indication as to whether or not Council should set a limit on the amount of funding to be provided to suspended elected members, pending the finalisation of the Inquiry.”

Status: The City’s Internal Inquiry Officer is researching information for the Chief Executive Officer in this regard.

STRATEGIC AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT OF A COUNTRY TOWN RELATIONSHIP - ex CJ278-11/02

“that Council DEFERS any decision to enter into a city-country sister City relationship until further analysis can be undertaken.”

Status: This item has been determined as a low priority for Council in 2005 and will be reconsidered in 2006.

OPTIONS FOR PURCHASE OF CORPORATE VEHICLES - ex CJ230-10/04

4 request the Sustainability Advisory Committee to investigate and report to the Council on options (including hybrid vehicles) relating to the operating of corporate vehicles that adhere to best practice sustainability principles.

Status: The Sustainability Advisory Committee has formed a working group of three members to work with Council Officers to look at this matter.

EDGEWATER QUARRY SITE - (ex CJ300 - 12/04 - Site Acquisition - Works Depot)

REQUEST the City’s officers in acknowledgement of public submissions received to the Business Plan and in the interests of the long-term strategic planning for the City, undertake a needs and opportunities analysis of the Edgewater Quarry site and report back to Council.

Status: A project plan is being prepared to guide the needs and opportunities analysis. Currently research on previous work that has been done on this site is being collated and will provide the background to the project plan.

This project is currently on hold.

CORPORATE SERVICES AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

CREDIT CARD PAYMENT DETAILS (ex CJ009-02/05 - WARRANT OF PAYMENTS – 31 DECEMBER 2004)

“2 REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to provide a report to Council in due course on the detail to be provided in the Warrant of Payments in relation to credit card payments, such report to outline:

- the role of the Council;
- processes used by other local governments;
- advice from the Department of Local Government;
- legal requirements;
- recommendations of the City’s Auditors;
- any other information considered appropriate by the CEO;”

Status: A report will be submitted to Council in due course.

TENDER REGULATIONS – (ex CJ043-03/05 2004 COMPLIANCE AUDIT RETURN)

“3 EXPRESSES its concern that the Tender Regulations have not been followed and advises the Department of Local Government and Regional Development that the Council has requested that a report on this matter be submitted to the Audit Committee;”

Status: A report will be submitted to the Audit Committee.

PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

LOT 1 OCEANSIDE PROMENADE, MULLALOO (ex C83-05/03 NOTICE OF MOTION NO 4 – CR M CAIACOB)

“that Council AGREES and RESOLVES to incorporate Lot 1 Oceanside Promenade, Mullaloo into Tom Simpson Park reserve proper and makes any and all necessary changes to the status and zoning of the land as per the Council Officers recommendation in CJ118-05/02.”

“that consideration of the Notice of Motion - Cr M Caiacob – Lot 1 Oceanside Promenade, Mullaloo be DEFERRED pending submission of a report.”

Status: A report will be presented to Council following a review of the City’s asset portfolio.

TOM SIMPSON PARK AND TEN LOTS IN MERRIFIELD PLACE, MULLALOO (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 16 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004 NOTE that a report will be presented to the Joint Commissioners in early 2005 on the matter of including Lot 1 Oceanside Promenade and the grassed road reserve adjacent to Tom Simpson Park into Tom Simpson Park, and the reservation of 10 lots in Merrifield Place, Mullaloo;

Status: A report will be presented to Council following a review of the City’s asset portfolio.

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO CURRAMBINE STRUCTURE PLAN NO 14 – DELETION OF THE RESIDENTIAL MIXED USE PRECINCT AND REPLACEMENT WITH A SMALL LOT RESIDENTIAL PRECINCT AND MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE DEVELOPMENT PROVISIONS – ex CJ088-04/04

“3 a separate report giving further consideration to the provision of retail land uses for the Currambine locality in relation to the City’s POLICY 3.2.8 – Centres Strategy, and retail floorspace allocations across the City, as noted in Schedule 3 of DPS2, be prepared;”

Status: Partially addressed in Report to Council 27 April 2004. Remainder to be reported as part of the Centres Strategy review which is intended to be undertaken in 2004/2005.

ISSUES IN RELATION TO ACID SULPHATE SOILS – ex CJ024-02/05 - MINUTES OF THE SUSTAINABILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING, 10 FEBRUARY 2005

3 INITIATES appropriate research into the matter of Acid Sulphate soils considering the issues raised by the Sustainability Advisory Committee and seeks input from the Western Australian Local Government Association, Department of Planning and Infrastructure and other relevant State government agencies.”

Status: Investigation is currently under way.

ACID SULPHATE SOILS – INTERIM REPORT 2 – ex CJ062-04/05

“2 NOTES a final report will be presented to Council following comment from the appropriate stakeholders within the City of Joondalup, including the Sustainability Advisory Committee, concluding the final recommendations and findings of the City’s investigation into Acid Sulphate Soils.”

Status: The report has been released for comment and will be presented to the Sustainability Advisory Committee, the next meeting of which is scheduled for 5 May 2005. Comment from other stakeholders is also being sought. The final report is anticipated to be submitted to Council on 7 June 2005.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

PROPOSED NEW STRUCTURES FOR CRIME PREVENTION IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA – ex CJ338-12/02

“4 NOTES that Council will be advised as the matter progresses both through Desk of the CEO reports and a further report to Council.”

Status: A report was presented to Executive Management on 11 October 2004, with a further report outlining the City’s requirements to be decided at a future Executive meeting. The City’s decision was forwarded for consideration at the WALGA North Zone meeting on 25 November 2004.

At the WALGA North Zone meeting held on 25 November 2004 it was agreed that the item regarding the proposed Community Safety and Crime Prevention partnership be deferred to allow member Councils to provide their responses to the City of Stirling.

SORRENTO DUNCRAIG AND OCEAN RIDGE LEISURE CENTRES OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS – ex CJ093-04/04

“3 NOTE that this arrangement be reviewed as part of the proposed Leisure Plan to be developed by the City.”

Status: Funding for development of the Leisure Plan was approved in the 2004/05 budget and worked commenced in November 2004. The development of the Leisure Plan will take approximately six months. The Leisure Plan is underway at this time and on time for July finalisation.

LEISURE CENTRES (ex CJ299 - 12/04 - Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004)

In relation to Motion 8 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 22 November 2004:

- (b) AGREE to review industry related fees and charges to ensure the leisure centres programs and services are at an equitable price;
- (c) DEVELOP a membership specifically for Seniors to be launched in conjunction with the opening of the new facilities at Craigie Leisure Centre;

Status: A review of industry fees and charges for leisure centres has been completed. The review looked at similar facilities and services to Craigie Leisure Centre, outlining competitors’ prices and developing an industry average for particular prices. The review clearly outlined Craigie Leisure Centre offers facilities and services in line with the industry average.

A new Seniors membership has been developed for the opening of the aquatic facilities at Craigie Leisure Centre. The GOLD membership will provide a range of specifically designed seniors’ exercise classes at both Craigie Leisure Centre and Sorrento Duncraig Leisure Centre and will include aqua-aerobics, gym and group fitness classes. The 10% discount price will be retained for City of Joondalup residents who wish to be members of the gym or undertake term program courses.

LOCATION OF 50 METRE POOL AT CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE OR AN ALTERNATIVE LOCATION – (ex JSC29-08/04 – MINUTES OF 2004/05 BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETINGS)

“2 REQUEST that a report be submitted to Council as to whether a 50 metre pool should be located at Craigie Leisure Centre or at an alternative location;”

Status: The City has committed in September 2004 to a refurbishment project to the aquatic facilities at the Craigie Leisure Centre. Further development of the City’s aquatic facilities, i.e. a 50 metre pool, would only occur as a result of:

- (1) Detailed analysis of the performance of the Craigie Leisure Centre once the refurbishment has been completed.
- (2) Detailed market research that considers all market segments.

The Craigie Leisure Centre redevelopment project is inclusive of a geothermal water heating system which could cater for a further 50 metre water space.

ABORIGINAL ISSUES IN THE CITY OF JOONDALUP – (ex JSC29-08/04 – MINUTES OF 2004/05 BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETINGS)

“4 REQUEST that a report be submitted to Council on raising the profile of Aboriginal issues in the City of Joondalup as a significant part of the Cultural Plan.”

Status: The forthcoming Cultural plan for the City will address raising both the profile of Aboriginal issues and the level of community exposure to local programs presenting Aboriginal artistic endeavour and culture. A comprehensive strategy addressing issues relating to the presentation of Aboriginal cultural activities, the participation of Aboriginal people in civic life in the city, and the consequential raising of community awareness of Aboriginal issues will be available for consideration as part of the draft cultural plan.

ABORIGINAL FLAG (ex CJ334 – 12/04 - Minutes of the Youth Advisory Council Meeting – 22 September 2004)

4 that the City’s position regarding the Aboriginal flag be addressed as part of the Cultural Plan.

Status: The Cultural Mapping Document identified indigenous recognition and heritage issues that might be addressed in the City’s Cultural Plan. The flying of the aboriginal flag in the forecourt of the Council administration building was identified as a desirable initiative to promote recognition of indigenous culture by the City.

The aboriginal flag has been flown on various occasions in the past, including NAIDOC week in 2004.

POLICY POSITION – YOUTH CURFEW (ex CJ334 – 12/04 - Minutes of the Youth Advisory Council Meeting – 22 September 2004)

2 the recommended policy position that the City of Joondalup actively resists any course of action such as a youth curfew that limits the right of young people to move freely within the public domain until adequate and direct consultation has occurred with young people and other stakeholders and all other proactive approaches have been explored, and that a detailed report regarding this recommendation be provided to Council;

Status: The Youth Advisory Committee has failed to achieve a quorum for the two meetings held in 2005. This has meant that this issue has not progressed and cannot be reported to Council by the proposed date of April 2005. The matter will be discussed by the Youth Advisory Committee at the first possible opportunity. A subsequent report will be forwarded to Council.

STRATEGIC PLAN FOR CITY'S ART COLLECTION – ex CJ014-02/05 - ART COLLECTION PURCHASES

“3 REQUESTS that a strategic plan be developed for the art collection that takes into account an acquisition and disposal plan, and contains a strategy for the display of art works throughout the City's offices and appropriate buildings within the City of Joondalup, such as Edith Cowan University.”

Status: The Request to develop a strategy on the Art Collection will need to be developed in consultation with ECU and should include the incoming Visual Arts Project Officer (position currently filled only on part time temporary basis). The development of a strategic plan for the City's Art Collection is considered an important step in progressing the management of the artwork owned by the City. Guidelines for the strategy will be developed as a result of the Cultural Plan.

DISCOUNTED FEES FOR SENIORS ATTENDING CRAIGIE LEISURE CENTRE – ex CJ015-02/05 - MINUTES OF THE SENIORS INTERESTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD 17 NOVEMBER AND 15 DECEMBER 2004

“3 REQUESTS that the matter of discounted fees for seniors attending Craigie Leisure Centre be resolved by Council in time for the 2005 Budget round of meetings.”

Status: A report outlining the City's strategy for the development of fees and charges for 2005/2006, and in particular discounts and specific memberships for Seniors, will be presented to Council.

INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATIONS**FIRE BREAKS AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS TO BEACHES IN OCEAN REEF (ex CJ004-02/04 – ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON MONDAY 17 NOVEMBER 2003)**

in relation to Motion 4 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 17 November 2003:

(c) REQUEST the CEO to provide Council with a report and suitable recommendations once investigations concerning the second fire break have been completed;

Status: As part of future staged development of Iluka, the developers intend submitting to the City design solutions for either a raised boardwalk or pathway linking the coastal dual use path to the northwestern portion of the Iluka subdivision. It is at that time that consideration to the second firebreak can be given by the City.

PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTIONS – HAWKER AVENUE, FARNE CLOSE AND SANDAY PLACE, WARWICK (ex CJ260-11/04)

4 *REQUEST the Acting Chief Executive Officer to conduct a further parking survey within relevant localities that are affected by patrons utilising the Warwick Rail Station and the Greenwood Station following a six month period after the commissioning of the Greenwood Rail Station.*

Status: A further parking survey will be conducted in June/July 2005.

LOT 118 – MARMION AVENUE, MINDARIE – PROGRESS REPORT – ex CJ282-11/04

- 1 ENDORSE the proposed Bush Forever Negotiated Planning Solution (NPS) outlined in Report CJ282-11/04 subject to the deletion of any reference to the formation of a Joint Development Group and AUTHORISE the Chief Executive Officer to complete documentation for a Negotiated Planning Solution relating to Bush Forever on Lot 118 Marmion Avenue, Mindarie and submit the documentation to Council for formal agreement in conjunction with recommendations relating to valuations now being obtained and to include advice to Council relating to the need to prepare a Business Plan and consult the community on this matter;
- 4 SUPPORT the proposal of the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a further report to Council setting out how, and under what legal structure, the owner Councils should progress the intended development of Lot 118 Marmion Avenue, Mindarie.

Status: A report was presented to Council Meeting 5 April 2005. This item may therefore be removed from the agenda.

TENDER NO 014-04/05 PROVISION OF SECURITY AND PATROL SERVICES IN THE CITY OF JOONDALUP – CITY WATCH (ex CJ272-11/04)

- 5 REQUEST a report be submitted to Council for consideration prior to the extension of the contract beyond two years.

Status: The requested report will be provided to Council in November 2006.

PATROLS AND SAFETY/SECURITY ISSUES (ex CJ004-02/04 – ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON MONDAY 17 NOVEMBER 2003)

in relation to Motion 11 of the Annual General Meeting of Electors held on 17 November 2003:

REQUEST the CEO to review the effectiveness of the current programme of patrols on Thursday, Friday and Saturday nights;

Status: The City reviewed the contract specifications for the recently awarded tender for the Provision of Security and Patrol Services. These changes to the contract specifications were developed as a result of a detailed and independent evaluation of the services and have been incorporated in the new contract. The ongoing review of this program's effectiveness will be considered as part of annual reviews and prior to the extension of the contract beyond two years, as indicated in item above. This item may therefore be removed from the agenda.

OUTSTANDING PETITIONS

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>A 264-signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup in relation to the extension of Ocean Reef Road requesting a consultation process which includes the option of dedicating the land as a Community Recreational Reserve - as a community and tourist passive recreational amenity as parkland with walkways and the restoration and regeneration of the original natural environment.</p> <p>Comment: This matter has been addressed in Report CJ303-12/04 and this item may therefore be removed from the agenda.</p> | <p>18 May 2004</p> <p>Strategic and Sustainable Development</p> |
| <p>A 25-signature petition from Kallaroo residents opposing on safety grounds, the proposed site (Batavia Place) for the location of a carpark for the Pre-Primary at Springfield Primary School, Bridgewater Drive, Kallaroo.</p> <p>Comment: The application was referred back to the applicants to consider alternative options. The applicants have now advised that they are not proceeding with the proposal. This Item may therefore be removed from the Agenda.</p> | <p>11 November 2003</p> <p>Planning and Community Development</p> |
| <p>A 55-signature petition has been received from residents of the City of Joondalup strongly urging the City to reconsider the decision to increase fees for the Movements for Healthy Bodies and Teen Aerobics classes presented at Sorrento Duncraig Leisure Centre.</p> <p>Comment: All submissions will be taken into consideration as part of the Fees and Charges Review, which is scheduled for 2005.</p> | <p>12 October 2004</p> <p>Planning and Community Development</p> |
| <p>A 57-signature petition has been submitted on behalf of Greenwood residents requesting the Council to investigate ways of curbing unruly traffic behaviour in Sherington Road, Greenwood.</p> <p>Comment: This Petition will be considered as part of the 2005/06 Draft Budget deliberations.</p> | <p>23 November 2004</p> <p>Infrastructure and Operations</p> |
| <p>A 50-signature petition has been received requesting the City to provide traffic management in Dollis Way, Kingsley as a matter of urgency.</p> <p>Comment: Investigations will be carried out and a report will be submitted to the Council Meeting on 17 May 2005.</p> | <p>22 February 2005</p> <p>Infrastructure and Operations</p> |
| <p>A 150-signature petition has been received on behalf of residents of the City of Joondalup requesting the construction of a footpath alongside the Dollis Way roadway, to link up with the footpaths in Whitfords Avenue, on either side of Dollis Way.</p> <p>Comment: Investigations will be carried out and a report will be submitted to the Council Meeting on 17 May 2005.</p> | <p>22 February 2005</p> <p>Infrastructure and Operations</p> |

REPORTS/PRESENTATIONS REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONERS

| | DATE OF REQUEST - REFERRED TO - |
|---|---|
| <p>Use of the Council Chamber</p> <p>Discussion ensued on the ability to make the chamber available for hire, on a cost-recovery basis, for certain formal occasions. It was requested that guidelines be prepared to assist the Mayor/Chairman in approving use of the Council Chamber.</p> <p>Comment: A report will be presented to a future Strategy Session.</p> | <p>9 November 2004</p> <p>Office of the CEO</p> |
| <p>Council Meetings</p> <p>Cmr Anderson requested a report on the costs associated with holding a Council meeting at a suitable location besides the Council Chamber within the City of Joondalup.</p> <p>Comment: Research of appropriate venues is currently being undertaken along with examination of the legislative requirements. A report will be presented to Council in the near future.</p> | <p>26 October 2004</p> <p>Office of the CEO</p> |