SUMMARY OF TENDER SUBMISSIONS

	ls it Compliant? Yes or No	Comment Against Criteria						
Respondent & Description of Response		Capacity	Demonstrated Experience in completing similar services	Demonstrated understanding of the required tasks	Social and economic effects on the local community	Evaluation Score	Price Ranking	Qualitative Rank
Dalecoast Pty Ltd T/as Graffiti Systems Australia All requirements have been met.	Yes	In operation 16+ years with 35 FTE's. Provided responsibilities and experience of staff. Have comprehensive training program. Provided safety policy, no injuries past 5 yrs. Hold ISO 9001 accreditation.	Demonstrated considerable experience in contracts of a similar nature. Is City's current contractor and has contracts with Cities of Wanneroo, Swan & Subiaco.	Demonstrated comprehensive understanding of required tasks. Response included details of staff training, graffiti assessment procedures, administration & reporting and methodology for each form of graffiti removal.	Are located in Welshpool. They offer the use of environmentally friendly, biodegradable products at no additional cost to the City.	85.5%	Option A – 1 Option B – 1	1
Rolluka Nominees Pty Ltd T/as Kleenit All requirements have been met.	Yes	In operation 21+ yrs with 60+ operators. The experience & skills of key personnel was detailed with a list of equipment included. Have a well documented safety management system.	Demonstrated considerable experience in providing similar services to 6 local govts, the Dept of Housing & Works and the Public Transport Authority.	Demonstrated comprehensive understanding of City's requirements. The response included graffiti assessment processes, reporting procedures and graffiti removal methodology.	Are located in Kewdale. Use local suppliers and employ local personnel where possible.	85.2%	Option A – 2 Option B – 2	2

	ls it Compliant? Yes or No	Comment Against Criteria						
Respondent & Description of Response		Capacity	Demonstrated Experience in completing similar services	Demonstrated understanding of the required tasks	Social and economic effects on the local community	Evaluation Score	Price Ranking	Qualitative Rank
Graffiti Force Pty Ltd	Yes	In operation for 3	Demonstrated	Demonstrated a	Are located in	75.1%	Option A – 4	3
All requirements have been met.		yrs with 12 technicians and 4 management staff. Experience & skills of key personnel provided plus list of 6 spray units. They have a safety policy & procedures in place.	some experience in providing services similar to the scope & volume of the City's contract.	good understanding of the required tasks. Provided a breakdown of each method of graffiti removal plus reporting.	Morley. They have an environmental policy & are involved in a community service order programme involving offenders in the removal of graffiti.		Option B – 3	
FCT Surface Cleaning All requirements have been met.	Yes	In operation for 14 years with 13 FTE's and several part-time staff. Skills & experience of key personnel detailed. Equipment not listed, but referred to in methodology. Provided brief safety policy.	Demonstrated experience in removal of graffiti for various organisations. Information supplied was lacking in detail and did not provide sufficient information about scope and volume of work	Provided a brief methodology for 6 different surfaces. No response addressing reporting.	Are located in Osborne Park. They have a clean capture recycle machine for recycling of water on surface cleaning.	59.2%	Option A – 6 Option B – 7	4

ls it Compliant? Yes or No	Comment Against Criteria						
	Capacity	Demonstrated Experience in completing similar services	Demonstrated understanding of the required tasks	Social and economic effects on the local community	Evaluation Score	Price Ranking	Qualitative Rank
Yes	A small company in operation since	Did not demonstrate	No specific response was	Are located in Iluka. They use	50.7%	Option A – 3	5
	July 2007. They have 4 staff. Only 1 employee appears to work fulltime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volume of work.	experience in work of a similar scope & volume to the City's requirements.	provided. No specific methodology provided for graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate understanding of the City's requirements.	local suppliers & offer free of charge graffiti removal for places of worship and charities within the City boundaries.		Option B – 5	
Yes	In operation since February 2009. Has 1 field agent plus 2 admin staff. Insufficient evidence of field agent experience. Have sufficient equipment for 1 operator & have 1 subcontractor. Did not demonstrate	Did not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope and volume to the City's requirements.	Demonstrated a general understanding of graffiti removal with a brief statement addressing paint- out & chemical graffiti removal. Have concerns of their understanding of the scope & youwe of work	Are located in Tapping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffiti removal for places of worship and charities within the City boundaries.	44%	Option A – 5 Option B – 4	6
	Compliant? Yes or No Yes	Compliant? Yes or NoCapacityYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They have 4 staff. Only 1 employee appears to work fulltime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volume of work.YesIn operation since February 2009. Has 1 field agent plus 2 admin staff. Insufficient evidence of field agent experience. Have sufficient equipment for 1 operator & have 1 subcontractor.	Is it Compliant? Yes or NoCapacityDemonstrated Experience in completing similar servicesYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They have 4 staff. Only 1 employee appears to work fulltime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volume of work.Did not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope & volume to the City's requirements.YesIn operation since Ebruary 2009. Has 1 field agent plus 2 admin staff. Insufficient evidence of field agent experience. Have sufficient equipment for 1 operator & have 1 subcontractor. Did not demonstrateDid not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope and volume to the City's requirements.	Is it Compliant? Yes or NoCapacityDemonstrated Experience in completing similar servicesDemonstrated understanding of the required tasksYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They have 4 staff. Only 1 employee appears to work fulltime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate subcontractors. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volumeDid not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope & volume to the City's requirements.No specific response was provided. No specific methodology provided for graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volumeDid not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope and volume of work.YesIn operation since February 2009. Has 1 field agent evidence of field agent experience. Have sufficient equipment for 1 operator & have 1 subcontractor. Did not demonstrateDid not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope and volume to the City's requirements.Demonstrated a general understanding of 	Is it Compliant? Yes or NoCapacityDemonstrated Experience in completing similar servicesDemonstrated understanding of tasksSocial and economic effects on the local communityYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They have 4 staft. Only 1 employee appears to work fullime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate sufficient capacity to meet the City's scope and volume of work.Did not demonstrate subcontractors. Did not demonstrate subcontractors.No specific response was provided for graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate subcontractors. Did not demonstrateAre located in lluka. They use local suppliers & offer free of charge graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate subcontractors.YesIn operation since February 2009. Has 1 field agent plus 2 admin staff. Insufficient equipment for 1 operator & have 1 subcontractor. Did not demonstrateDid not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope and volume to the City's requirements.Demonstrate a general understanding of graffit removal addressing paint- out & chemical graffit removal datorstatic subcontractor. Did not demonstrateAre located in Tapping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffit removal data strement addressing paint- out & chemical graffit removal data strement addressing paint- of their understanding of thes cope & volume of workAre located in Tapping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffit remova	Is it Compliant? Yes or NoCapacityDemonstrated Experience in completing similar servicesDemonstrated understanding of the requiredSocial and economic effects on the local communityEvaluation ScoreYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They have 4 staff. Only a pears to work fulltime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate subcontractors. Did not demonstrate subcontractors.Did not demonstrate syscific requirements.No specific response was provided. No specific methodology provided for graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate subcontractors.Are located in lluka. They use local suppliers & of work of work of a similar scope & volume to the City's requirements.Are located in charge graffiti removal methods. Did not demonstrate superince in work of a similar scope and volume of work.Are located in lluka. They use local suppliers & of worksip and charities within the City's requirements.Are located in Taping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffiti removal with a brief statement addressing paint- out & chemical graffiti removal if it removal for places of worship and charities.Are located in Taping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffiti removal with a brief taye concerns of their understanding of the scope & volume of workAre located in Taping. They utilise local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffiti removal if the would for places of worship and chariti	Is it Compliant? Yes or NoDemonstrated CapacityDemonstrated Experience in completing similar servicesDemonstrated understanding of the required tasksSocial and economic effects on the local communityEvaluation ScorePrice RankingYesA small company in operation since July 2007. They appears to work fultime in graffiti removal. They also have 2 subcontractors. Did not demonstrate subcontrate of work.Did not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope & volume to the City's scope and volume of work.Did not demonstrate experience in work of a similar scope & volume to the City's requirements.No specific response was provided. for graffiti removal for places of worship and charities within the City's requirements.Are located in local suppliers & offer free of of worship and charities within the City's requirements.Option A - 3 Option B - 5YesIn operation since February 2009. Has 1 field agent evperience in work of a similar scope and volume to the city's requirements.Did not demonstrate ageneral understanding of graffit removalAre located in Tapping. They utiles local suppliers where possible and offer free of charge graffit removal for places of worship and charities with a brief statement ageners of worship ard charities with a brief statement ageners of worship ard charities with a theif subcontractor. Did not demonstrateDid not demonstrate evperience.Demonstrated a graffit removal for places of worship and charities within the City boundaries. </td

	ls it Compliant? Yes or No	Comment Against Criteria						
Respondent & Description of Response		Capacity	Demonstrated Experience in completing similar services	Demonstrated understanding of the required tasks	Social and economic effects on the local community	Evaluation Score	Price Ranking	Qualitative Rank
The Trustee for Mesics Drilling Trust T/as Quick Smart Enviro Clean All requirements have been met.	Yes	In operation since November 2008 with 1 FTE and 2 casual staff providing graffiti removal. No documented skills or experience for staff other than owner undertaking training in dry ice/ peel away methods. Did not demonstrate ownership of any traditional graffiti removal equipment.	Are a new company. Provided references for demonstrations of 2 removal methods only. Do not have any established contracts.	Demonstrated an understanding of graffiti removal in general, but did not adequately address suitability of their processes on specific surface types & locations.	Are located in Jayne Brook. Removal methods stated as environmentally friendly using no chemicals, however disposal of material not addressed.	35%	Option A – 7 Option B – 6	7
Top That! Executive Cleaning Services Did not address selection criteria. Only offered graffiti paint-out method	No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

No. Years	Penalty/Bonus Scenario	Graffiti Systems Australia	West Aus Graffiti Removal	Quick Smart Enviro Clean	JVR Surface Cleaning	FCT Surface Cleaning	Kleenit	Graffiti Force Pty Ltd		
Option A (Ex	Option A (Exclusive of Penalty/Bonus Arrangement)									
1 Year	n/a	\$254,599	\$683,780	\$1,091,138	\$727,425	\$951,472	\$397,174	\$712,877		
5 Years	n/a	\$1,363,194	\$3,664,767	\$5,850,679	\$3,901,180	\$5,100,704	\$2,129,173	\$3,821,891		
Option B (Inc	Option B (Inclusive of Penalty/Bonus Arrangement)									
1 Year	Maximum penalty applied (did not meet target for 12 months)	\$236,340	\$787,801	\$898,370	\$760,159	\$948,126	\$377,315	\$677,233		
	Maximum bonus applied (Met target for 12 months)	\$261,218	\$870,728	\$992,935	\$840,176	\$1,047,928	\$417,033	\$748,520		
5 Years	Minimum cost of Contract over 5 yrs with maximum penalty applied each year	\$1,267,367	\$4,224,557	\$4,817,478	\$4,076,327	\$5,084,292	\$2,023,341	\$3,631,637		
	Maximum cost of Contract over 5 yrs with maximum bonus applied each year	\$1,400,774	\$4,669,248	\$5,324,581	\$4,505,414	\$5,619,481	\$2,236,324	\$3,265,394		

PRICE ASSESSMENT

Note: The rates offered by West Aus Graffiti Removal and Quick Smart Enviro Clean were different for each graffiti removal method. For the purposes of this evaluation, their lowest rate (graffiti paint-out) was used in the above calculations. It is not possible to predict which removal method is used more frequently as it is entirely dependent on the graffiti type and surface it has been applied to.