

MINUTES

ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

TIME: 5.30PM

THURSDAY 23 APRIL 2015

CITY OF WANNEROO

Managing waste and recovering resources responsibly
Constituent Members: Cities of Perth, Joondalup, Stirling, Vincent and Wanneroo
Towns of Cambridge and Victoria Park















CONTENTS

1	DEC	CLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS	3
2	ATT	ENDANCE / APOLOGIES / LEAVE OF ABSENCE	3
3	DE	CLARATION OF INTERESTS	4
4	PUE	BLIC QUESTION TIME	4
5	ANI	NOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON	4
6	APF	PLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	4
7	PE1	TITIONS / DEPUTATIONS / PRESENTATIONS	4
8	CO	NFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING	4
	8.1	Ordinary Council Meeting – 19 February 2015	4
9	СНІ	EF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REPORTS	5
	9.1	Financial Statements for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015	5
	9.2	List of Payments made for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015	7
	9.3	Request from the City of Wanneroo to assist in the management of Green Waste	8
	9.4	Resource Recovery Facility "No Glass" Campaign	12
10	MEI	MBERS INFORMATION BULLETIN – ISSUE NO. 22	23
11	MO	TIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	23
12	UR	GENT BUSINESS	23
13	QUI	ESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	23
14	MA	TTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC	23
	14.1	BioVision request to amend financing of the Resource Recovery Facility	24
	14.2	Provision of CEO Services to the Western Metropolitan Regional Council	25
15	NEX	(T MEETING	26
16	CLC	OSURE	26

1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Chair opened the meeting at 5.33pm

2 ATTENDANCE / APOLOGIES / LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Councillor Attendance

Cr R Fishwick JP (Chairman) City of Joondalup Cr B Stewart (Deputy Chairman) City of Stirling

Cr J Bissett Town of Victoria Park

Cr D Boothman
Cr J Carey
Cr J Davidson OAM JP
Cr R Driver
Cr K Hollywood
Cr D Newton JP
City of Stirling
City of Vincent
City of Perth
City of Wanneroo
City of Joondalup
City of Wanneroo

Cr S Proud City of Stirling
Cr S Withers Town of Cambridge

Absent

Cr E Re City of Stirling

MRC Officers

Mr B Callander (Chief Executive Officer)

Mr G Hoppe (Director Corporate Services)

Ms L Nyssen (Executive Support)

Ms L Douglas (Admin Officer)

MRC Observers

Peg Davies (Waste Education Officer)

Member Council Observers

Mr N Ahern (City of Perth)

Mr J Buckley (Town of Cambridge)

Mr N Claassen (City of Joondalup)

Mr D Forster (City of Perth)

Mr M Littleton (City of Stirling)

Mr A Murphy (City of Joondalup)

Mr J Paton (City of Vincent)

Mr C Pavitt (City of Wanneroo)

Mr S Sciberras (City of Stirling)

Mr D Simms (City of Wanneroo)

Mr J Wong (Town of Victoria Park)

Visitors

Nil

Members of the Public

Nil

Press

Nil

3 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Interest Type	Financial Interest	
Name and Position of Person	Gunther Hoppe, Director Corporate Services, MRC	
Report Item No. and Topic	14.2	
Nature of Interest	Council to consider my appointment of Acting CEO of	
	Western Metropolitan Regional Council	

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

5 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON

Nil

6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

7 PETITIONS / DEPUTATIONS / PRESENTATIONS

Nil

8 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

8.1 ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING – 19 February 2015

The Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 19 February 2015 have been printed and circulated to members of the Council.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of Council held on 19 February 2015 be confirmed as a true record of the proceedings.

RESOLVED

Cr Bissett moved, Cr Hollywood seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

9 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REPORTS

9.1	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE MONTHS ENDED 31 JANUARY 2015 AND 28 FEBRUARY 2015
File No:	FIN/5-05
Appendix(s):	Appendix No. 1 Appendix No. 2 Appendix No. 3
Date:	7 April 2015
Responsible Officer:	Director Corporate Services

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide financial reporting in line with statutory requirements which provides useful information to stakeholders of the Council.

BACKGROUND

Reporting requirements are defined by Financial Management Regulations 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.

The financial statements presented for each month consist of:

- Operating Statement by Nature Combined
- Operating Statement by Nature RRF Only
- Operating Statement by Function
- Statement of Financial Activity
- Statement of Reserves
- Statement of Financial Position
- Statement of Investing Activities
- Information on Borrowings
- Tonnage Report

DETAIL

The Financial Statements attached are for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015 and are attached at **Appendix No. 1 and 2** to this Item. The Tonnage Report for the 8 months to 28 February 2015 is attached at **Appendix No. 3.**

The complete suite of Financial Statements which includes the Operating Statements, Statement of Financial Position, Statement of Financial Activity and other related information are reported on a monthly basis.

The estimates for Provisions for Amortisation of Cell Development, Capping and Post Closure expenditure are based on the estimated rates per tonne calculated with reference to estimated excavation cost of various stages of the landfill and the life of the landfill. An adjustment is made (if necessary) at the end of the year based on actual tonnages on a survey carried out to assess the "air space" remaining and other relevant information.

Summary of results for the period ended 28 February 2015

	Actual	Budget	Variance
	t	t	t
Tonnes – Members	243,368	268,606	(25,238)
Tonnes – Others	13,878	23,660	(9,782)
TOTAL TONNES	257,246	292,266	(35,020)
	\$	\$	\$
Revenue – Members	28,893,430	32,018,801	(3,125,371)
Revenue – Other	3,797,354	4,936,746	(1,139,392)
TOTAL REVENUE	32,690,784	36,955,547	(4,264,763)
Expenses	30,780,541	34,024,129	3,243,588
Profit on sale of assets	9,159	7,722	1,437
Loss on sale of assets	(317,009)	(5,898)	(311,111)
NET SURPLUS	1,602,393	2,933,242	(1,330,849)

Commentary

Member tonnes for the 8 months to February 2015 are 25,238 tonnes below budget, primarily as a result of differences in the timing of waste deliveries and the closure of the Wanneroo Materials Recovery Facility.

Non-member tonnes are 9,782 tonnes below budget, primarily as a result of the loss of a large commercial customer early in the year which has developed its own facility.

Expenses are \$3.2 million below budget primarily as a result of delays in the commencement of a number of landfill projects, staff vacancies and the reduced tonnes to landfill, which in turn results in savings on the landfill levy and airspace.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Financial Statements set out in Appendix No. 1 and 2 for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015, respectively, be received.

RESOLVED

Cr Stewart moved, Cr Boothman seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

9.2	LIST OF PAYMENTS MADE FOR THE MONTHS ENDED 31 JANUARY 2015 AND 28 FEBRUARY 2015
File No:	FIN/5-05
Appendix(s):	Appendix No. 4 Appendix No. 5
Date:	7 April 2015
Responsible Officer:	Director Corporate Services

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide details of payments made during the periods identified. This is in line with the requirement under the delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), that a list of payments made from the Municipal Fund since the last Ordinary Council meeting be presented to Council.

COMMENT

The lists of payments for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015 are at **Appendix 4 and 5** to this Item and are presented to Council for noting. Payments have been made in accordance with the delegated authority to the CEO which allows payments to be made between meetings. At the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 4 September 2014, the Council delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the Municipal Fund. In order to satisfy the requirements of Clause 13(2) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations, a list of payments made must be submitted to the next Council meeting following such payments.

It should be noted that generally all payments are GST inclusive and the Mindarie Regional Council is able to claim this tax as an input credit when GST remittances are made each month to the Australian Tax Office.

Months Ended	Account	Vouchers	Amount
		Cheques	\$47,280.77
31 January 2015	General Municipal	EFT	\$5,374,405.22
		DP	\$442,752.99
		Inter account transfers	<u>-</u>
		Total	\$5,864,438.98
		Cheques	\$53,081.85
28 February 2015	General Municipal	EFT	\$3,832,669.51
		DP	\$432,230.13
		Inter account transfers	\$4,200,000.00
		Total	\$8,517,981.49

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the list of payments made under delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer, for the months ended 31 January 2015 and 28 February 2015 be noted.

RESOLVED

Cr Proud moved, Cr Davidson seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

9.3	REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF WANNEROO TO ASSIST IN THE MANAGEMENT OF GREEN WASTE
File No:	WST/28-02
Appendix(s):	Appendix 6
Date:	8 April 2015
Responsible Officer:	CEO

SUMMARY

The report seeks consideration of a request from the City of Wanneroo (CoW) for the Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) to assist in providing a recycling solution for the green waste it collects and receives.

BACKGROUND

The CoW provides a green waste service to its ratepayers and also produces green waste through its parks and gardens activities and has requested the MRC to assist them in determining the most appropriate solution for this waste stream. The CoW also provides bulk green waste collection services to the City of Joondalup (CoJ).

During discussions at the Strategic Working Group, the CoW and both the CoJ and the City of Stirling (CoS) have indicated that they would support the MRC's involvement in this type of venture. The CoS has indicated that it currently contracts out this service and would only be interested in participating in a new service when the contract expires on 8 October 2015 but has a 12 month extension. The MRC's remaining member councils indicated that they would not initially be interested in utilising this service, but there was broad support for the MRC proceeding in this direction.

The following table indicates the amount of green waste collected by the CoW, CoJ and the CoS.

Member Council	Green Waste (Estimated Tonnes)
City of Wanneroo	16,000
City of Joondalup	14,000
*City of Stirling	18,000

^{*}City of Stirling has contracts in place for green waste and would only consider being part of any solution once the current contract has expired.

Historically, the MRC has only provided services that are applicable to all of its member councils. The possibility for the MRC to diverge from this practice was the subject of discussion at the Strategic Workshop held on 22 January 2015, where a report developed by Hyder entitled "Service and Governance Options Review" (refer Appendix 6) was presented. The report reviewed the range of services provided by Regional Councils (or similar entities) across Australia and categorised them into the following five broad groups:

- "1. Full service managing all waste services currently conducted by local councils in addition to owning and operating waste facilities
- 2 Infrastructure services owning and operating some processing facilities and providing support services such as regional waste strategy, education services and tendering services

- 3. Centralised service delivery managing services related to processing facilities but not collection services
- 4. Basic service delivery similar to MRC's current operations
- 5. Administrative management no involvement with running a facility, just administrative support for member councils."

On review of the range of services provided across Australia and the waste management issues currently being raised in Western Australia by the Waste Authority, Department of Environment Regulation and Local Government (WALGA), Hyder made the following recommendation:

"Hyder recommends that MRC and its member councils adopt an infrastructure service model approach. This provides an opportunity to deliver the land, infrastructure and processing services where it is most beneficial to do so, or to outsource to the market where it is most efficient to do so."

Hyder also considered that the success of the recommended model or any other of the models relies on a commitment from the member councils to the preferred approach and based on its research across Australia, the effective models have the following core elements:

- Highest level commitment to a centralised strategy that has been developed in consultation with key parties.
- Availability of a realistic delivery mechanism for the strategy via appropriately qualified and resourced staff, funding and realistic timeframes.
- Alignment of the centralised strategy with government priorities and resourcing.
- Stability in council membership, and in core delivery staff and management, both within the councils and the regional council.

DETAIL

The MRC has reviewed a range of solutions for this waste stream including:

- processing the waste into marketable soil enhancer/compost,
- processing the waste into marketable soil enhancer/compost and blending it with the Resource Recovery Facility (RRF) soil enhancer/compost, to bring the RRF compost into compliance with the Australian Standard, or
- procuring services for this waste product on behalf of the member councils.

A key consideration for either of the first two options is ensuring that the end product is produced to a standard that is required by the targeted end user group/market.

The pros and cons of this project were discussed at the Strategic Working Group meeting on Wednesday, 8 April 2015, where general support was given for the MRC to get involved in projects of this type. It was acknowledged that not all members may require these services and that any costs associated with these services would be paid for by the members who require the service, rather than being subsidised by the other members not utilising the services.

The MRC is well positioned to assist its member councils in dealing with waste issues and based on the aggregation of tonnes (economies of scale) it is likely to achieve a more cost effective outcome than councils operating in isolation.

CONSULTATION

The project has been presented to the Strategic Working Group.

LEGAL COMPLIANCE

Legal advice was sought to ensure that the current Constitution permits the MRC to provide services to some but not all member councils. The advice indicates that the MRC has the ability to provide this type of service.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Any costs associated with new services provided by the MRC to a subset of its member councils will be ring-fenced to that contract, with costs separated in the MRC's accounts and an agreed proportion of the MRC's existing costs being allocated to the project. The project costs will be borne fully by the councils using the service.

STRATEGIC/COMMUNITY AND CORPORATE/BUSINESS PLAN IMPLICATIONS

Strategic Community Plan 2013/14 - 2033/34				
Objective 1:	Improve collaboration between participating councils as primary			
Strategy 1.2	stakeholders on maters associated with waste management solutions			
Objective 2:	Continually assess and utilise the best appropriate waste management			
Strategy 2.2	solutions			
Objective 3:	Identify and adopt approaches to waste minimisation, resource recovery			
Strategy 3.1	and the associated community engagement			

Corporate	Business Plan 2013/14 – 2016/17
Strategies	Actions
1.2.1	Continue with the Strategic Working Group and encourage attendance by all member council representation
2.2.1	Keep current with new developments in applied waste management, through networking with peer groups and conferences
3.1.4	Explore options with waste industry and member councils to improve/expand services

COMMENT

The request from the member councils to have the MRC assist in developing solutions for its green waste stream is aligned to MRC's strategic positioning and the recommendations contained in the "Service and Governance Options Review" developed by Hyder.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Council:

- 1. supports the concept of providing services to individual or a number of member councils on the basis that the cost of the service provision will be funded by the member councils that benefit from the services provided; and
- 2. advise the Cities of Wanneroo, Joondalup and Stirling that it will work with them in finding solutions for their green waste streams.

RESOLVED

Cr Bissett moved, Cr Driver seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

9.4	RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY "NO GLASS" CAMPAIGN
File No:	WST/208
Appendix(s):	Nil
Date:	10 April 2015
Responsible Officer:	Geoff Atkinson

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide Council with a detailed proposal in respect of the "No Glass" campaign for approval and to gain access to the \$200,000 set aside for this project.

The "No Glass" campaign is aimed at improving the quality of the soil conditioner produced by the Resource Recovery Facility (RRF), improving the diversion rate of the RRF and reducing the overall costs borne by the member councils.

BACKGROUND

At the Special Council meeting held on 26 June 2014 to approve the 2014/15 budget, questions were raised in relation to \$200,000 allocated for an education/behavioural campaign called the "No Glass" campaign aimed at reducing the amount of glass being placed in the green top bin by residents. The administration committed to providing a report to council to seek approval for the project prior to expending the funds.

Subsequently the Mindarie Regional Council (MRC) lodged a funding application to the Waste Authority originally seeking \$200,000 in funding. The Waste Authority indicated strong support for the project and requested that it be expanded. The MRC modified its submission to include the suggestions by the Waste Authority being, bin audits and gaining feedback from the participants through focus groups and other means of communication. This resulted in the project cost increasing to \$260,000, but this was considered acceptable based on a commitment of support from the Waste Authority. The project is for a campaign targeting up to 170,000 households and includes:

	Cost (\$)
Focus groups	5,000
Bin audits (baseline)	10,000
Sticker, flyer design and advert design	5,000
Flyer production	45,000
Flyer letterbox drop	15,000
Sticker production	80,000
Sticker application to bins and accompanying Flyer drop	45,000
Adverts, before stickers, at time of stickers, post stickers and	20,000
summer follow up	
Bin audits	20,000
focus group feedback, community survey	15,000
TOTAL	260,000

The proposed timing of the project has also been fluid as a result of the pending RRF shutdown. The RRF receives 100,000 tonnes of general household waste annually. The process results in the creation of approximately 25,000 tonnes of soil conditioner with a 51% diversion rate with approximately 25% of process losses. The soil conditioner is of a

high quality but due to an excessive amount of glass in the process it does not comply with the Australian Standard making it difficult to market. This is a result of the glass being placed in the general waste stream (green top bin) by the householders.

There are likely to be a number of benefits to member councils if this glass can be shifted out of the green top bin into the yellow top recycling bin including:

Improved quality of the soil conditioner

The quality of the soil conditioner produced by the RRF is of a high standard, except that it has too high a fraction of glass, which is devaluing the product and limiting its use.

The current Australian Standard requires there to be <0.5% dry matter of particle contaminants of glass, metal and hard plastics. The RRF soil conditioner typically averages just under 1.0%.

A drop in the glass fraction found in the RRF soil conditioner would see the product being far more usable and marketable, which in turn could improve the overall economics of the operation.

Reduced overall processing costs

Member councils are currently paying \$138.50 per tonne to have their green top bin waste processed by the MRC, either at the RRF or directly to landfill.

By comparison, member councils are paying in the order of \$30 to have their yellow top bin processed.

To the extent that glass can be transferred from the green top bin to the yellow top bin, it will result in a direct process cost saving to member councils.

Increased RRF diversion

Where there is less glass placed into the green top bin, there will be less glass generated as residue from the RRF process.

This has a twofold benefit in terms of reducing the landfill costs associated with RRF glass residues and generating an improved diversion rate for the RRF.

To date, the education to the community with regard to the green top bin has been largely centred around:

- placing organics in this bin,
- · keeping Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) out of this bin,
- that a beneficial product, a soil conditioner, is being produced, and
- waste is being diverted from landfill.

Standard recycling campaigns as to what goes into the yellow top bin have also taken place with this bin being seen as the principal place of source separation.

Residents have never been specifically told not to put glass in the green top bin and have in fact even been told to put broken glass in it. This mixed messaging and lack of clear direction, as well as over flow glass 'contamination' from the yellow top bin, is resulting in the contamination we are experiencing in the green top bin.

DETAIL

In response to the level of interest the Waste Authority has shown in the MRC's "No Glass" campaign initiative, the MRC has been working with the Waste Authority to develop a template guideline. The guideline will be made available to other councils wanting to implement similar campaigns, with the MRC as a pilot case study. A summarised extract of the guidelines, tailored to the MRC, is presented below which outlines the objectives, actions and outcomes of the "No Glass" campaign.

THE "NO GLASS" CAMPAIGN PLANNING FRAMEWORK

(utilising draft Waste Authority education guidelines)

Step 1 Background - current position

Other research needed to determine the current position

It is important to have a clear understanding of the current position – how much glass is in the green top bin and is there any difference between the member councils? This will determine the starting base line contamination before the project commences. It would also enable a targeted communication effort, focused on councils where the greatest change might be achieved.

Currently, the three Councils whose waste primarily goes to the RRF are Wanneroo, Joondalup and Vincent. If we can determine the current level of glass content in the green top bins from these three Councils, we will have a benchmark for the start of the program. This can then be used to monitor progress and measure change. The first bin audits will be undertaken at the start of the campaign.

This is also important to the Waste Authority as it wants to encourage the use of an evidence-based approach with a stronger research component both before and after to determine the effectiveness of the program.

Other infrastructure and/or service changes in the region

The RRF will enter a maintenance phase during August to October. During this period, all household waste from the region will be landfilled. It will be important to communicate the source separation message generally to the community ahead of the shutdown, to minimise recyclables going to landfill during this period, and then to re-focus the message on to glass ahead of the RRF starting up again.

Step 2 Situation Analysis - establish where you want to be

Specific waste targets

The MRC knows what the acceptable level of glass composition in the soil conditioner is, and its target is to reach that level through this communication program which addresses source separation behaviour.

The bin audits undertaken at Step 1 will determine type and volume of glass, and will determine the message provided to the community in each area.

Additional research needed

Some research is proposed at this point to test the message and the messaging. Focus groups are one option - one in each of the three Councils - but an alternative could be to use social media networks and ask the question: "What one message would it take to make you remember to never put glass in your green bin?" The MRC could use its Talking

Rubbish blog, and could communicate with Earth Carers on Facebook asking Earth Carers to ask their networks.

Additional information will come from the following research activities:

- Discussion with the waste education staff at the Town of Cambridge to see what can be learned from their results with their communication about glass.
- Cambridge undertook a program several years ago to trial an organics bin we could revisit the research undertaken at that time to see what interventions worked best.
- The MRC will also liaise with other Regional Councils to see how they deal with the issue of glass in the green top bin.

Step 3 Aims and Objectives

The overall aim is to achieve a permanent reduction in the glass composition of the green top bin. This will be achieved by influencing source separation behaviour in relation to glass.

The SMART objective is to achieve a measurable decrease in glass contamination in green top bins in the three Council areas of interest by October/November 2015 when the RRF starts up again.

The required behaviour change will be achieved by following the components of the DEFRA model (p 32 of the Waste Education Guidelines): Enable, Engage, Encourage and Catalyse.

What will be measured to determine whether change is happening

Regular bin audits will determine whether, and to what extent, the composition of glass in the green top bins is decreasing.

Step 4 Target audiences

The target groups are all householders in the three Councils participating in the pilot program - Joondalup, Wanneroo and Vincent.

The pilot program will also need to engage with:

- Staff at the three Councils including counter staff and people who handle calls from residents about waste issues
- Elected members so they are fully informed and supportive
- Community groups and organisations that are part of the Councils' communication networks
- Schools in the areas.

It may also be part of the program that hard-to-engage groups may need to be targeted specifically, e.g. ESL (English Second Language) community groups.

Step 5 Branding and message - developing communication

The key message is "no glass at all in the green top bin". However, as outlined in the strategy below, the first phase is a message about source separation, followed by the "NO GLASS" message.

Branding

For the pilot project, the MRC will co-brand with the Waste Authority and with the individual Councils. The Recycle Right website is of some use in this campaign as SMRC already has a "No Glass" in green top bin message. Co-branding with Recycle Right is being considered.

Step 6 Strategy and Communication Methods

Overall approach

In broad terms the program will involve several phases:

- Community awareness of contamination issues in the bins February to May
- Bin audits to determine a baseline of glass contamination in the green top bin April to May
- Preparing for RRF shutdown focus the community on the source separation message – June
 This will be the preparatory stage for the NO GLASS campaign to follow.
- RRF shutdown for maintenance August to October.
 Continue to focus on the importance of source separation to reduce recyclables going to landfill during this period. Launch the NO GLASS campaign.
- RRF starts up again October / November.
 Continue the NO GLASS campaign.
- Summer campaign peak season for glass consumption December to February.
 Campaign peaks.
- Final phase March.

 Final auditing to test glass levels in bins and to test glass levels in soil conditioner.

Standardised communication would occur across the MRC region including:

- Info on MRC and Council websites and social networking pages
- Info in Council newsletters, ads and communication to residents including rates notices if possible, including future waste guides
- Communication of the message at community fairs and events through the presence of the Roaming Recycler mobile display, and direct to Earth Carers
- Information developed for staff, elected members, community groups and schools
- Sign at Tamala Park landfill

With several Councils involved in the pilot there is the opportunity to trial some different communication methods to determine the effectiveness of each. Our expectation is that communication effectiveness improves with frequency and with increased personal engagement.

Our strategies across the three Councils could explore the relative effectiveness of one of these. As Wanneroo and Joondalup share much of the same local media, they could work together, with an expanded approach in Vincent.

Recommended communication strategies:

Wanneroo and Joondalup	Vincent
 Bin stickers attached to bins Flyer delivered to each household Ads in local papers every third week Shopping centre and library displays 	 Bin stickers attached to bins Flyer delivered to each household Ads in local papers every third week Shopping centre and library displays
	Plus Trialling: - Bins checked and personal contact made with householders who are not complying. Could get EarthCarers or the MRC casual pool involved.
	OR
	- Increase the frequency of ads in local papers
Escalate during peak season by: - Increasing the frequency of ads - Also investigate use of social media	Escalate during peak season by: - Increasing the frequency of ads - Also investigate use of social media
	Plus Trialling: - Do another flyer to each household

Step 7 Campaign Activities

Specific communication material and activities to be developed/undertaken include:

- Bin stickers (quantity for Joondalup, Wanneroo and Vincent) design and production
- Bin stickers application
- Flyers (separate print runs for Joondalup, Wanneroo and Vincent) design and production
- Flyers distribution through a distribution company
- Newspaper ads design and placement
- Signage at Tamala Park
- New NO GLASS IN GREEN BIN banner for use with Roaming Recycler displays
- Bin checks process of how this will be done, who will do it, and what process will be followed if non-compliance

The MRC will work with the Waste Authority on the development of this material.

The bin audit work is a separate contract, to be scoped, costed and funded by the MRC. It is critically important to the ability to gauge whether or not the program has worked.

Step 8 Timeline

Month	Project activity	Specific communication tasks
May	Bin audits to determine a baseline of glass contamination in the green top bin	 Review audit findings Determine key messages Brief designer to develop ad, flyer and banner Coordinate communication with Councils
June	Preparing for RRF maintenance phase. Phase one is the source separation message. This will be the preparatory stage for the NO GLASS campaign to follow.	 Test message and presentation Finalise ads - First ads run across all three Councils by late June Finalise stickers – Stickers to be placed on bins progressively during June and July. Flyer to be delivered to households to advise about source separation and NO GLASS message (delivered in tandem with bin stickers) Brief Council staff and elected members Info onto websites Book displays in shopping centres and libraries
August – October	RRF shutdown for maintenance. Continue to focus on source separation to reduce recyclables going to landfill during this period. NO GLASS CAMPAIGN STARTS	 Ads run every three weeks during this period Displays in shopping centres and libraries Bin checking in Vincent – develop strategy to manage non-compliance
October - November	RRF starts up again. Continue the NO GLASS campaign. November - Bin audits to determine whether any change in glass content in green top bin. Refocus before the peak season if the results are not being achieved.	 Ads run every three weeks during this period Prepare second flyer for Vincent Review audit findings Determine whether any change required to the message or types of glass to be targeted Bin checking continues in Vincent

December - February	Peak season for glass consumption. Escalate the NO GLASS campaign to avoid excess/overflow bottles going into green top bin.	 Ads run every two weeks during this period Flyer to be delivered to households in Vincent Bin checking continues in Vincent
March 2016	Final auditing to test glass levels in bins and to test glass levels in soil conditioner.	 Communication activity finishes Final ad to the community to report on results achieved and to say thank you

If the MRC proceeds with this project the Waste Authority has indicated it would fund the additional elements of the project such as bin audits, focus groups and additional communications. Whilst no final financial commitment has been made by the Waste Authority it is clear that they will fund the flyers, stickers and additional communications estimated to be approx. \$150,000 if these works are completed in this financial year. The Waste Authority has indicated that it will provide support for communications over the length of the project (12 months) and additional funding may be applied for in 2015/16.

The MRC and member councils will largely be responsible for bin audits, internally. In addition to managing the audit work to support this program, the MRC will provide funding and staff for the administration support for this project. This will include:

- Project managing
- production of newsletters
- website and social media updates
- media releases
- display support material (events/shopping centres) and
- monitoring and reporting of the project's effectiveness.

Member Councils will support the process by assisting in the collection of bins for the audit. There is the potential for the bin auditing to be outsourced with the funds being received from the Waste Authority.

With the Waste Authority's financial assistance, greater flexibility exists in the budget to improve the campaign's success. The assistance will be provided in support of rather than as a replacement of MRC funding.

Step 9 Monitoring and evaluation - Evaluate effectiveness

Two measures will determine the effectiveness of the campaign:

- reduced levels of glass in bins as measured through bin audits;
- a reduction in the glass fraction in the RRF soil conditioner. BioVision (operators of the RRF) will perform ongoing in-house and independent soil conditioner testing as part of their testing regime.

CONSULTATION

Discussions at MRC's Waste Education Strategic Steering Group (WESSG) and Community Education and Engagement Group (CEAG) have frequently revolved around the glass problem.

The 'No Glass' campaign was devised and discussed at WESSG early in 2014 and the draft sticker and flyer were produced and distributed through WESSG to gain comments from Member Councils.

The 'No Glass' campaign was also discussed at the MRC Strategic Working Group meetings and the Group was invited to review the drafts and to provide feedback or any suggested alterations from their Councils.

LEGAL COMPLIANCE

NIL

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

NIL

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The MRC is seeking approval to spend the \$200,000 allocated to the campaign in the 2014/15 budget and will include a further \$200,000 in the 2015/16 budget for approval to provide bin auditing, project management and support, application of stickers on bins, general advertising and communications to complete the project.

Funding of \$150,000 (estimated) can be expected from the Waste Authority if expended in 2014/15 and it has been indicated by the Waste Authority that further funding will be available in 2015/16.

STRATEGIC/COMMUNITY AND CORPORATE/BUSINESS PLAN IMPLICATIONS

The campaign has strong alignment with the MRC's strategic plan, demonstrated below.

Strate	Strategic Community Plan 2013/14 - 2033/34	
2.1	Operate waste management activities effectively	
2.2	Continually assess and utilise the best appropriate waste management solutions	
2.3	Make ongoing reviews of waste streams to ensure optimal recovery/diversion is being achieved	
3.1	Identify and adopt approaches to waste minimisation, resource recovery and associated community engagement	

The glass is an identified problem in the RRF soil conditioner. This campaign will improve the marketability and range of uses for the end product. This will reduce the overall processing costs for the member councils and improve waste diversion from landfill.

Corporate Business Plan 2013/14 – 2016/17		
Strategies	Actions	Responsible Officer
1.2.3	Assist the Waste Education Strategic Steering Group (WESSG) in raising their profile at the Council level	CEO
1.5.6	Pursue opportunities which may present savings to the organisation	DCS
2.3.2	Educate member councils and community as to how best manage their problematic wastes	DCS
2.3.3	Pursue alternative treatment options for the RRF residue to improve waste recovery/diversion performance and better uses for materials recovered	DCS
2.3.4	Education team to continue to pursue an education campaign focussed on achieving improved long term waste recovery/diversion performance and better uses for materials recovered	DCS
3.4.4	Engage with existing member councils to improve waste recovery/diversion targets through sorting at source and varied collection strategies	CEO/DCS
3.5.2	Develop new opportunities to educate the community about the waste hierarchy and the impact they can have on improving waste outcomes	DCS
3.5.3	Provide leadership on improving waste recovery/diversion through participation in relevant forums, committees and public consultation groups	CEO/DCS
3.5.4	Evaluate projects and initiatives against a framework which takes into account their economic, social and environmental outcomes	CEO/DCS

This project supports a number of the Corporate Business Plan's actions. These include improving waste diversion/recovery through education to also achieve a number of economic, social and reputational benefits.

COMMENT

This issue has been widely talked about since the opening of the RRF in 2009 and this project is a direct result of these discussions, with the intent of proactively seeking to deal with a problem waste stream. For a limited investment there are potentially significant enduring financial gains to be made, along with an improved reputation.

This project is seen as a positive partnership with the Waste Authority and their willingness to help fund the project is seen as a strong endorsement of the value proposition associated with the campaign.

It is believed that the "No Glass" project being proposed will result in a quick and significant change in people's behaviour simply because they are receiving clear instruction on the matter for the first time.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Absolute Majority

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

A. That the Council:

- 1. Approves the implementation of the "No Glass" campaign as detailed in this report; and
- 2. Endorses the expenditure of the \$200,000 allocated to this project in the 2014/15 Budget.

B. That the Council:

- 1. Approves a further allocation of \$200,000 in the 2015/16 Budget to ensure the continuation of the campaign in the 2015/16 financial year; and
- 2. continues to work with, and lodge funding applications to, the Waste Authority on the campaign.

Absolute Majority Required

RESOLVED

Cr Bissett moved, Cr Boothman seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (11/0)

10 MEMBERS INFORMATION BULLETIN – ISSUE NO. 22

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Members Information Bulletin Issue No. 22 be received.

RESOLVED

Cr Bissett moved, Cr Davidson seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

11 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

12 URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

13 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

14 MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

The Chairperson requested that in accordance with clause 7.9(3) of the Mindarie Regional Council Standing Orders Local Law 2010 and s5.23 of the Local Government Act 1995, Council proceed to meet "behind closed doors" to allow the Council to consider Confidential Items 14.1 and 14.2 of this agenda.

Cr Bissett moved, Cr Davidson seconded

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

Member Council CEOs were invited to stay. Member Council Officers and MRC staff left the chambers. Doors were closed at 5.40pm.

14.1	BIOVISION REQUEST TO AMEND FINANCING OF THE RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY
File No:	WST/147-04
Appendix(s):	Nil
Date:	7 April 2014
Responsible Officer:	Director Corporate Services

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

A. That the Council:

- Provide its consent under Section 21.5 of the Resource Recovery Facility Agreement for BioVision 2020 (Pty) Ltd to enter into a bridging loan arrangement with ANZ Banking Group Ltd, with a maturity date of 31 December 2018, subject to:
 - a. BioVision 2020 (Pty) Ltd formalising the request to enter into the bridging loan arrangement in writing to the Mindarie Regional Council;
 - b. The principal of the loan not exceeding \$4.5 million;
 - c. BioVision 2020 (Pty) Ltd providing written confirmation to the Mindarie Regional Council that there will be no financial impact on the Mindarie Regional Council as a result of BioVision 2020 (Pty) Ltd entering into, or drawing down on, this bridging loan arrangement with the ANZ Banking Group Ltd; and
 - d. Any request to draw down on the facility being dealt with as 'emergency funding' under Section 21.5(c) of the Resource Recovery Facility Agreement.
- 2. Request that BioVision 2020 (Pty) Ltd provide the Mindarie Regional Council notice of the new \$4.5 million bridging loan arrangement, along with a copy of the facility agreement as required under Section 21.5(d) of the Resource Recovery Facility Agreement.
- B. That the Council Delegate the authority to provide consent for any draw down against the \$4.5 million bridging loan arrangement, as required under Sections 21.5(a) and 21.5(c) of the Resource Recovery Facility Agreement, to the Chief Executive Officer.

Absolute Majority Required

RESOLVED

Cr Withers moved, Cr Newton seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (11/0)

NOTE: The Chief Executive Officer has NOT released Report 14.1 for Public information as Councils decision may impact on a contract entered into.

14.2	PROVISION OF CEO SERVICES TO THE WESTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL
File No:	GOV/41-02
Appendix(s):	Nil
Date:	10 April 2015
Responsible Officer:	CEO

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Council:

- 1. Acknowledges the resolution of the Council of the WMRC supporting the secondment of MRC's Director Corporate Services, Mr Gunther Hoppe as indicated in the Details section of this report.
- 2. Advice the WMRC that the MRC supports its resolution and approves the secondment of Mr Hoppe to the WMRC to take up the part time role as its Acting Chief Executive Officer based on the following conditions:
 - a. The secondment will be for a period of twelve (12) months and payment will be made by the WMRC to Mr Hoppe in accordance with the table included in the Financial Implications section of this report;
 - b. The position will be part time for two (2) days per week (790.4 hours per year). The days/hours will be flexible to work in with the needs of both the WMRC and the MRC;
 - c. Additional days/hours over and above those detailed in 2.b. above will be paid at the rate of \$90.90 per hour; and
 - d. All other rights and obligations for this position (secondment) will be the subject of an employment contract negotiated between the WMRC and Mr Hoppe.

RESOLVED

Cr Stewart moved, Cr Bissett seconded That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

NOTE: The Chief Executive Officer has NOT released Report 14.2 for Public information as Councils decision may affect an employee.

The Chairperson sought that the meeting be reopened.

Cr Hollywood moved, Cr Boothman seconded

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (11/0)

The meeting was reopened at 5.55pm

The Chairperson read out the resolution and the votes, made behind closed doors, of Council Item 14.1 and 14.2 as detailed above.

15 NEXT MEETING

Next meeting to be held on Thursday 2 July 2015 in the Council Chambers at City of Stirling commencing at 5.30pm.

16 CLOSURE

The Chairman closed the meeting at 5.57pm and thanked City of Wanneroo for their hospitality and use of their meeting facilities.

These Minutes were confirmed by the Council as a true and accurate record of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 23 April 2015.

Signed Chairman



SUMMARY MINUTES STATE COUNCIL MEETING

May 2015



NOTICE OF MEETING

Meeting No. 2 of 2015 of the Western Australian Local Government Association State Council held at the City of Albany, 102 North Road, Yakamia, Albany on Friday 8 May 2015 commenced at 10.30am.

1. ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES & ANNOUNCEMENTS

1.1 Attendance

Chairman President of WALGA Mayor Troy Pickard

Deputy President of WALGA Cr Lynne Craigie

Pilbara Country Zone

Members Avon-Midland Country Zone Cr Lawrie Short

Central Country Zone President Cr Philip Blight
Central Metropolitan Zone Cr Janet Davidson JP
Mayor Heather Henderson

East Metropolitan Zone Cr Mick Wainwright

Goldfields Esperance Country Zone Mayor Ron Yuryevich AM RFD

Gascoyne Country Zone Cr Ross Winzer

Great Eastern Country Zone President Cr Eileen O'Connell Great Southern Country Zone President Cr Ken Clements

Kimberley Country Zone Cr Chris Mitchell Murchison Country Zone Cr Simon Broad

North Metropolitan Zone Mayor Tracey Roberts JP Cr Sam Thomas (Deputy)

Cr David Michael

Northern Country Zone President Cr Karen Chappel
Peel Country Zone President Cr Wally Barrett
South East Metropolitan Zone Mayor Henry Zelones OAM JP

Cr Fiona Reid

South Metropolitan Zone Mayor Carol Adams
Cr Jon Strachan (Deputy)
Cr Maria Rico (Deputy)

South West Country Zone Cr Murray Scott (Deputy)

Ex-Officio Local Government Managers Australia Mr Mark Chester

Secretariat Chief Executive Officer Ms Ricky Burges

Deputy Chief Executive Officer Mr Wayne Scheggia EM Environment & Waste Mr Mark Batty

EM Governance & Organisational Services Mr Tony Brown

EM Finance & Marketing Mr Zac Donovan EM Planning & Community Development Ms Allison Hailes

EM Infrastructure Mr Ian Duncan
Business Development Manager Mr Dale Chapman

EO Governance & Organisational Services Ms Ana Fernandez

1.2 Apologies

South Metropolitan Zone Cr Doug Thompson
Mayor Logan Howlett

North Metropolitan Zone Cr Geoff Amphlett JP
South West Country Zone Cr Wayne Sanford
East Metropolitan Zone Cr Steve Wolff

Cr Terence Kenyon JP (Deputy)
Cr Simon Di Rosso (Deputy)

A/EM Business Solutions Mr Andrew Blitz



OBSERVERS

- Mayor Dennis Wellington, City of Albany
- President Cr Alan McFarland, Shire of Katanning
- Cr Janelle Price, City of Albany
- Cr Alan Hortin JP, City of Albany
- Cr Carolyn Dowling, City of Albany
- Mr Dale Putland, City of Albany
- Mr Cameron Woods, City of Albany

MEETING ASSESSMENT

Cr Fiona Reid was invited to undertake a meeting assessment at the conclusion of the meeting.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The President acknowledged and thanked the City of Albany for hosting the State Council Meeting and the Great Southern Country Zone for hosting the WALGA Forum.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETINGS

2.1 Minutes of March 2015 State Council Meeting

Moved: Cr Lawrie Short

Seconded: Mayor Tracey Roberts

That the Minutes of the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) State Council Meeting held on 4 March 2015 be confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings.

RESOLUTION 36.2/2015

CARRIED

2.1.1 Business Arising from the Minutes of 4 March 2015

Nil

3. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Pursuant to our Code of Conduct, the following State Councillors declared an interest:

- Mayor Henry Zelones, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Cr Jon Strachan, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Cr Karen Chappel, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Cr Lawrie Short, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Cr Fiona Reid, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Mayor Troy Pickard, Item 5.7 Selection Committee
- Cr Janet Davidson, Item 6.3 Public Libraries in 2025



5. MATTERS FOR DECISION

5.1 Local Government and Coastal Land Use Planning Discussion Paper (05-028-03-0015 VJ)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council endorse the following actions to be undertaken, as outlined within the Local Government and Coastal Land Use Planning Discussion Paper:

- 1. The Association to work with the State Government specifically to:
 - a) Organise a workshop with the Department of Planning to promote guidelines and detail next steps for Local Governments aligning with the State Planning Policy 2.6; and
 - b) Develop a coordinated approach to land use planning, given the potential exposure of the WAPC in respect of injurious affection claims and how region planning schemes guide local planning schemes.
- 2. The Association President to consider establishing a Policy Forum on coastal planning and adaptation, to allow the Association and coastal Local Governments to identify, consider and respond to commonly shared issues relating to coastal planning, coastal adaptation, decision making and legal implications.
- 3. The Association continue to investigate the plausibility of rewording the *Planning and Development Act 2005* and advocate to the Minister for Planning to include section 74 (protection from liability) from the private members Bill *Climate Change (Coastal Planning and Protection) Readiness Bill 2012* into the *Planning and Development Act 2005*.
- 4. The Association to liaise with research agencies such as Western Australia Marine Science Institute (WAMSI) to distribute research findings that relate to local decision-making and legal liability to the Local Government sector.
- 5. The Association to seek additional legal advice including:
 - a) any liability implications for Local Government amending planning schemes to align with SPP2.6;
 - b) confirmation of Local Government's obligation or otherwise to undertake works to protect private property; and
 - c) provide an updated list of case law examples from across Australia.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported



Moved: Cr Wally Barrett Seconded: Cr Lawrie Short

That State Council endorse the following actions to be undertaken, as outlined within the Local Government and Coastal Land Use Planning Discussion Paper:

- 1. The Association to work with the State Government specifically to:
 - a) Organise a workshop with the Department of Planning to promote guidelines and detail next steps for Local Governments aligning with the State Planning Policy 2.6; and
 - b) Develop a coordinated approach to land use planning, given the potential exposure of the WAPC in respect of injurious affection claims and how region planning schemes guide local planning schemes.
- The Association President to consider establishing a Policy Forum on coastal planning and adaptation, to allow the Association and coastal Local Governments to identify, consider and respond to commonly shared issues relating to coastal planning, coastal adaptation, decision making and legal implications.
- 3. The Association continue to investigate the plausibility of rewording the *Planning* and *Development Act 2005* and advocate to the Minister for Planning to include section 74 (protection from liability) from the private members Bill *Climate Change* (Coastal Planning and Protection) Readiness Bill 2012 into the Planning and Development Act 2005.
- 4. The Association to liaise with research agencies such as Western Australia Marine Science Institute (WAMSI) to distribute research findings that relate to local decision-making and legal liability to the Local Government sector.
- 5. The Association to seek additional legal advice including:
 - a) any liability implications for Local Government amending planning schemes to align with SPP2.6;
 - b) confirmation of Local Government's obligation or otherwise to undertake works to protect private property; and
 - c) provide an updated list of case law examples from across Australia.

RESOLUTION 37.2/2015

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



5.2 Model Local Planning Policy for Water Wise Entry Statements (05-036-04-0003 OT)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That the Model Local Planning Policy for Water Wise Entry Statements be endorsed for use by Local Governments:

- 1. To establish and maintain a consistent process for managing the handover of water wise entry statements from developers to Local Government.
- 2. To ensure new entry statements are designed and constructed in a way which can easily be retrofitted as a water wise and low maintenance design.
- 3. To protect the Local Government from inheriting assets of little functional community value and high maintenance costs.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported

Moved: Cr Mick Wainwright Seconded: Mayor Carol Adams

That the Model Local Planning Policy for Water Wise Entry Statements be endorsed for use by Local Governments:

- 1. To establish and maintain a consistent process for managing the handover of water wise entry statements from developers to Local Government.
- 2. To ensure new entry statements are designed and constructed in a way which can easily be retrofitted as a water wise and low maintenance design.
- 3. To protect the Local Government from inheriting assets of little functional community value and high maintenance costs.

RESOLUTION 38.2/2015

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



5.3 State Emergency Management Committee State Risk Project (05-024-03-0028 JH)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council:

- 1. Endorse the State Emergency Management Committee development of the State Risk Framework.
- 2. To ensure smooth implementation of the State Risk Project-Local seeks the following:
 - a. Development of a clear implementation plan and timeline for the State Risk Project-Local
 - b. Establishment of Partnership with WALGA to develop the *State Risk Project Local* to ensure engagement and participation of Local Government.
 - c. Provision of funding to develop a common reporting system for Local Government to ensure alignment and integration with the State *Risk Project*.

Avon Midland Country Zono	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Avon Midland Country Zone	
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported

Moved: Cr Chris Mitchell Seconded: Cr Karen Chappel

That State Council:

- 1. Endorse the State Emergency Management Committee development of the State Risk Framework.
- 2. To ensure smooth implementation of the State Risk Project-Local seeks the following:
 - a. Development of a clear implementation plan and timeline for the State Risk Project-Local
 - b. Establishment of Partnership with WALGA to develop the *State Risk Project Local* to ensure engagement and participation of Local Government.
 - c. Provision of funding to develop a common reporting system for Local Government to ensure alignment and integration with the State *Risk Project*.

RESOLUTION 39.2/2015

CARRIED



5.4 Submission to WARR Act Review Discussion Paper (05-062-03-0001 MB)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

- 1. That State Council endorse the interim WALGA Submission to the Department of Environment Regulation WARR Act Review Discussion Paper.
- 2. That the Association continue to liaise with the DER to ensure that there is an adequate timeline for consultation on any proposed legislative changes.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported

PEEL ZONE

That a separate clause suggesting the State Council carefully consider the need for mandatory membership of Regional Councils be included in the WALGA Submission to the Department of Environment Regulation WARR Act Review Discussion Paper.

SECRETARIAT COMMENT

Mandatory membership of Regional Councils is an endorsed policy position of the Association as outlined in the "Vision for Waste Management in the Metropolitan Area" policy documents, endorsed by State Council Resolution 217.3/2013.



Moved: Cr Lawrie Short Seconded: Cr Fiona Reid

- 1. That State Council endorse the interim WALGA Submission to the Department of Environment Regulation WARR Act Review Discussion Paper.
- 2. That the Association continue to liaise with the DER to ensure that there is an adequate timeline for consultation on any proposed legislative changes.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Jon Strachan Seconded: Cr Chris Mitchell

- 1. That State Council endorse the interim WALGA Submission to the Department of Environment Regulation WARR Act Review Discussion Paper.
- 2. That the Association continue to liaise with the DER to ensure that there is an adequate timeline for consultation on any proposed legislative changes.
- 3. That the Association continues to advocate for the application of the Waste Hierarchy and sustainability principles to waste management decision making.

CARRIED

THE MOTION AS AMENDED WAS PUT AND

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RESOLUTION 40.2/2015

The President noted his appreciation for Cr Doug Thompson (Chair, WARR Act Policy Forum) and Ms Rebecca Brown (Manager, Waste & Recycling), for their contribution to the development of the submission on the WARR Act review.



5.5 Planning for Waste Management Project (04-001-02-0045 RNB)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council:

- 1. note the progress of the Planning for Waste Management project to better incorporate waste management considerations into planning decisions; and
- 2. endorse the model provision for Local Government Planning Strategies, model Local Planning Policy, waste management planning conditions and the guidelines which will assist the sector in considering this issue within the planning process.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Supported

Moved: Cr Chris Mitchell

Seconded: Mayor Henry Zelones

That State Council:

- 1. note the progress of the Planning for Waste Management project to better incorporate waste management considerations into planning decisions; and
- 2. endorse the model provision for Local Government Planning Strategies, model Local Planning Policy, waste management planning conditions and the guidelines which will assist the sector in considering this issue within the planning process.

RESOLUTION 41.2/2015

CARRIED



MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION BY STATE COUNCILLORS (UNDER SEPARATE COVER)

5.6 Finance & Services Committee Minutes (01-006-03-0006 TB)

Moved: Cr Wally Barrett

Seconded: Cr Mick Wainwright

That the Minutes of the Finance and Services Committee meeting held 22 April 2015 be endorsed.

RESOLUTION 42.2/2015

CARRIED

State Council acknowledged the high quality of the budget documentation and recorded its appreciation to Zac Donovan, Executive Manager Marketing and Finance and to Rick Murray, Financial Controller.

5.7 Selection Committee Minutes (01-006-03-0011 MD)

The following State Councillors declared an interest and left the room, 10.50am:

- Mayor Troy Pickard
- Cr Jon Strachan
- Cr Karen Chappel
- Mayor Henry Zelones
- Cr Fiona Reid
- Cr Lawrie Short

Moved: Mayor Ron Yuryevich Seconded: Cr Mick Wainwright

- 1. That the recommendations from the Selection Committee meeting minutes dated 21 April 2015 be endorsed by State Council with the following amendments;
 - a. That the Association submit 3 additional Country Members to the LGAB recommendation in order of priority from the Selection Committee (this making 6 Metro Members, 6 Country Members)
 - b. That WALGA staff prepare a policy for State Council consideration to recommend to the Minister for Local Government that there be equal Metropolitan and Country Representation to the LGAB.
- 2. That the nominees are listed with their respective Councils name.
- 3. That the review of the Selection Committee process and guidelines be included in the WALGA Governance Review.

RESOLUTION 43.2/2015

CARRIED

The State Councillors who declared an interest returned to the meeting at 11.11am.



5.8 Honours Panel Committee Minutes (01-006-03-0006 AF)

Moved: Cr Lynne Craigie

Seconded: Mayor Ron Yuryevich

That the minutes of the Honours Panel meeting held on 4 March 2015 be received.

RESOLUTION 44.2/2015

CARRIED

5.9 Use of the Association's Common Seal (01-004-07-0001 RB)

Moved: Cr Chris Mitchell Seconded: Cr Philip Blight

That the use of the Association's common seal for the following purposes be noted:

Document	Document Description	Signatory	State Council prior approval
Letter of Offer (Reinstatement)	WALGA as Trustee for LGIS Scheme	Mayor Troy Pickard Ricky Burges ANZ	No

RESOLUTION 45.2/2015

CARRIED



6. MATTERS FOR NOTING / INFORMATION

6.1 Review of the Gross Rental Valuation (GRV) Mining Policy (05-100-03-0001 PS)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council notes the Association's contribution to the Department of State Development's review of the Gross Rental Valuation (GRV) mining policy.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

GOLDFIELDS ESPERANCE COUNTRY ZONE

That WALGA be asked to place more emphasis on the need for the State Government to remove the requirement for permanent improvements and buildings to be in situ for at least 12 months.

SECRETARIAT COMMENT

This issue is covered in Submission on pages 64 -65 of State Council Agenda.

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That State Council notes the Association's contribution to the Department of State Development's review of the Gross Rental Valuation (GRV) mining policy.

RESOLUTION 46.2/2015



6.2 Taxation Discussion Paper (05-100-03-0001 PS)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council notes the Federal Government's Tax discussion paper.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That State Council notes the Federal Government's Tax discussion paper.

RESOLUTION 47.2/2015



6.3 Public Libraries in 2025: Visioning and Strategic Planning Process (05-057-02-0051 JH)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That the Association's work regarding the review of libraries and the development of a vision and strategic planning process for public libraries in 2025 be noted.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Cr Davidson declared an interest.

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That the Association's work regarding the review of libraries and the development of a vision and strategic planning process for public libraries in 2025 be noted.

RESOLUTION 48.2/2015



6.4 West Australian State CCTV Strategy Consultation (05-019-03-0009 JH)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council notes the development and consultation process for the West Australian State Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Strategy 2015.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That State Council notes the development and consultation process for the West Australian State Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Strategy 2015.

RESOLUTION 49.2/2015



6.5 Volunteer Management and Local Governments (05-018-03-0002 EB)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That the Association's development of a Report on Volunteer Management in Local Government be noted.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That the Association's development of a Report on Volunteer Management in Local Government be noted.

RESOLUTION 50.2/2015



6.6 4 Year Review of the Local Government Industry Award 2010 (07-004-01-0044 JL)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council note the four year review of the Local Government Industry Award 2010.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That State Council note the four year review of the Local Government Industry Award 2010.

RESOLUTION 51.2/2015



6.7 Draft State Biosecurity Strategy now released for public comment (05-046-03-0011 MB)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That State Council note the release for public comment of the draft State Biosecurity Strategy.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That State Council note the release for public comment of the draft State Biosecurity Strategy.

RESOLUTION 52.2/2015



6.8 Report Municipal Waste Advisory Council (MWAC) (01-006-03-0008 RNB)

WALGA RECOMMENDATION

That the resolutions of the Municipal Waste Advisory Council at its 18 February 2015 meeting be noted.

Avon Midland Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Central Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Gascoyne Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Goldfields Esperance Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Eastern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Great Southern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Kimberley Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Murchison Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
North Metropolitan Zone	No Meeting Held
Northern Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Peel Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
Pilbara Zone	No Meeting Held Prior to State Council Meeting
South East Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South Metropolitan Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted
South West Country Zone	WALGA Recommendation Noted

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That the resolutions of the Municipal Waste Advisory Council at its 18 February 2015 meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 53.2/2015



7. ORGANISATIONAL REPORTS

7.1 Key Activity Reports

7.1.1 Report on Key Activities, Environment and Waste (01-006-03-0017 MJB)

Moved: Mayor Tracey Roberts Seconded: Cr Lawrie Short

That the Key Activities Report from the Environment and Waste Unit to the May 2015 State Council meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 54.2/2015

CARRIED

7.1.2 Report on Key Activities, Governance and Organisational Support (01-006-03-0007 TB)

Moved: Mayor Tracey Roberts Seconded: Cr Lawrie Short

That the Key Activities Report from the Governance and Strategy Unit to the May 2015 State Council meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 55.2/2015

CARRIED

7.1.3. Report on Key Activities, Infrastructure (05-001-02-0003 ID)

Moved: Mayor Tracey Roberts Seconded: Cr Lawrie Short

That the Key Activities Report from the Infrastructure Unit to the May 2015 State Council meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 56.2/2015

CARRIED

7.1.4 Report on Key Activities, Planning and Community Development (01-006-03-0014 AH)

Moved: Mayor Tracey Roberts Seconded: Cr Lawrie Short

That the Key Activities Report from the Planning and Community Development Unit to May 2015 State Council meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 57.2/2015

CARRIED

7.2 Policy Forum Report (01-006-03-0007 TB)

Moved: Cr Fiona Reid

Seconded: Cr Eileen O'Connell

That the report on the key activities of the Association's Policy Forums to the May 2015 State Council meeting be noted.

RESOLUTION 58.2/2015

CARRIED



LGMA President, Mark Chester, presented a verbal report on LGMA activities.

7.3 President's Report

Moved: Mayor Henry Zelones Seconded: Cr Ross Winzer

That the President's Report for May 2015 be received.

RESOLUTION 59.2/2015

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.4 CEO's Report

Moved: Cr Chris Mitchell Seconded: Cr Ross Winzer

That the CEO's Report for May 2015 be received.

RESOLUTION 60.2/2015

CARRIED



8. ADDITIONAL ZONE RESOLUTIONS

Moved: Cr Mick Wainwright

Seconded: Mayor Heather Henderson

That the additional Zone Resolutions including the East Metropolitan Zone Motion from the May 2015 round of Zones meetings as follows be referred to the appropriate policy area for consideration.

RESOLUTION 61.2/2015

CARRIED

MURCHISON ZONE

Regional State Council Meeting – May 2016 (Governance)

That the Murchison Country Zone invite WALGA to hold their May 2016 meeting in this Zone.

SOUTH EAST METROPOLITAN ZONE

Reimbursement – Metro Reform (Governance)

The South East Metropolitan Zone strongly encourages WALGA to actively seek the reimbursement of all valid eligible expenditure incurred by Local Government in connection with the abandoned metropolitan Local Government Reform Program.

South East Corridor – Integrated Transport Plan (Infrastructure)

That the South East Metropolitan Zone request WALGA to make representation to the Transport Minister for the establishment of a State and Local Government working group to oversee the development of a South East Corridor Integrated Transport Plan.

GREAT EASTERN COUNTRY ZONE

SW Native Title Settlement (Environment)

Seek advice from WALGA on the current native title settlement process prior to meeting Mr Holmes and officers from the Department of Premier and Cabinet's Native Title Unit.

SOUTH METROPOLITAN ZONE

Proposed Amendments to the Control of Vehicles (Off Road Areas) Act 1978 and Regulations 1979 (Planning & Community)

That the South Metropolitan Zone requests WALGA to call on the State Government to review/create specific legislation on the use of off-road vehicles (trail bikes, quad bikes etc.) to:

- 1. Require compulsory registration of those vehicles;
- 2. Establish/increase the range of penalties that apply for a breach of the law;
- 3. Provide Rangers and other authorised officers with increased powers to 'police' the unauthorised use of trail bikes, quad etc.

Review of Public Open Space Requirements (Planning & Community)

That the State Council of WALGA advocate for the review of the current subdivisional and development requirements associated with the provision of Public Open Space (POS), including:

- 1. Examining whether the standard of 3.36 hectares of POS per 1,000 persons recommended by the Stephenson Hepburn Plan is appropriate for today's population;
- 2. Reviewing the requirement that a minimum 10 per cent of gross subdivisible area be provided as POS (potentially to increase this requirement to 20 per cent), as per Western Australian Planning Commission Development Control Policy 2.3, depending on the outcome of 1 above; and
- Reviewing the requirements of Liveable Neighbourhoods to ensure that the provisions adequately provide for active POS.



4. Relating to the above, whether the 10 per cent of gross subdivisible area, in addition to active POS needs, also provides sufficient flexibility for other demands on POS, such as the protection of areas of environmental or amenity values and water sensitive urban design.

GASCOYNE COUNTRY ZONE

Management of Wild Dog (Environment & Waste)

That WALGA provide advice to the Zone, perhaps referencing previous cases, regarding the liability of a pastoralist, acting in good faith, who uses 1080 poison that is found to have been involved in the poisoning of a domestic dog.

EAST METROPOLITAN ZONE

State and Nationwide Program for the Eradication of Trachoma in Indigenous Populations (Planning & Community Development)

That the Federal and State Governments be approached by WALGA and ALGA advocating a comprehensive State and Nationwide program for the eradication of Trachoma in Indigenous Populations.

9. MEETING ASSESSMENT

Cr Fiona Reid provided feedback as to the effectiveness of the meeting.

10. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

- That a Special Meeting of the Western Australia Local Government Association State Council be held at ONE70 Railway Parade, West Leederville on 3 June 2015, commencing 4pm, to adopt the 2015/16 Association Budget and 2015/2020 Strategic Plan.
- 2. That the next ordinary meeting the Western Australia Local Government Association State Council be held at ONE70 Railway Parade, West Leederville on 1 July 2015, commencing 4pm.

11. CLOSURE

There being no further business the Chair declared the meeting closed at 12.25pm.