

| Area / Uses Legend |  |
|--------------------|--|
|                    |  |
| Area 1             | Existing car parking to be enhanced    |
|                    | Existing building and tennis courts to |
| Area 2             | be demolished                          |
|                    | Proposed Community / Sporting          |
| Area 3             | facility (2340sqm)                     |
|                    | Existing Seniors Oval to be re-aligned |
| Area 4             | with floodlighting                     |
|                    | Proposed Juniors Oval with             |
| Area 5             | floodlighting                          |
| Area 6             | Proposed 6 x Tennis courts             |
| Area 7             | Proposed basketball half court         |
| Area 8             | Proposed cricket nets                  |
|                    | Proposed play and BBQ / picnics        |
| Area 9             | areas with shade                       |
| Area 10            | Proposed destination nature play       |
|                    | Informal amphitheatre seating / events |
| Area 11            | space                                  |
| Area 12            | New retaining wall                     |
| Area 13            | Existing building to be demolished     |
|                    | Existing drainage sump to be filled    |
| Area 14            | and relocated                          |
|                    | Potential location of new drainage     |
| Area 15            | sump                                   |
| Area 16            | Proposed footpath / exercise loop      |
|                    | Re-vegetated areas accounting for      |
|                    | tree loss in other areas. Tree         |
| Area 17            | replacement on 6 to 1 basis.           |
|                    | Existing car park to be enhanced /     |
| Area 18            | reconfigured                           |
|                    | Existing retaining wall to be retained |
| Area 19            | and modified                           |
| -                  | Ramp / stair access                    |

40m 60m

MASTER PLAN SCALE 1:1000 @ A1



# COMMUNITY CONSULTATION OUTCOMES REPORT

Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan

## **CONTENTS**

| OVERVIEW               | 3   |
|------------------------|-----|
| STAKEHOLDERS           | 4   |
| CONSULTATION MATERIALS | 5   |
| RESPONSE RATE          | 12  |
| DEMOGRAPHICS           | 13  |
| COMMENT FORM QUESTIONS | 155 |
| APPENDIX               | 28  |

#### **OVERVIEW**

The community was invited to provide feedback on a Draft Concept Plan for Heathridge Park, Heathridge, from 26 November to 17 December 2020. Feedback was sought by way of a Comment Form to determine community support for the proposal.

The City directly engaged with 4,542 stakeholders during the 21-day advertised consultation period.

The City collected 216 valid responses throughout the 21-day advertised consultation period. In addition to this, a letter was received from the 'Key Stakeholders' at Heathridge Park (comprising the Ocean Ridge Junior Football Club, Ocean Ridge Amateur Football Club, Ocean Ridge Cricket Club, Ocean Ridge Junior Cricket Club and the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club).

Respondents were asked to indicate their general level of support for the Draft Concept Plan and for individual key components of the plan, on a scale from "strongly oppose" to "strongly support". 58% of respondents indicated that they either "support" or "strongly support" the Draft Concept Plan, 8% were neutral and 27% oppose or strongly oppose.

During the consultation period five meetings were held between representatives of the City and representatives of eight of the sporting clubs and facility user groups. The meetings provided the opportunity for the groups to be briefed on the consultation process and to ask questions about the Draft Concept Plan.

Comments provided from respondents included general support for the project however there was strong opposition against the proposal to reduce the number of tennis courts from ten courts to six, particularly from respondents associated with the two tennis clubs at Heathridge Park. Other key matters raised in the comments included insufficient car parking (both existing and proposed), the need for a two-storey building, need for more storage facilities, general opposition to the concept plan and support for the second playing field to be bigger.

#### **STAKEHOLDERS**

A total of 4,542 stakeholders were *directly* engaged by the City. Stakeholders identified included:

- Residents and Landowners in Heathridge and Beldon within 500m from Heathridge Park (1217)
- Heathridge Park sporting clubs and facility user groups (34)
- Community Engagement Network Members (3267)
- Local Resident/ratepayer groups (2)
- Local businesses (14)
- Local schools (6)
- Local parliamentarians/politicians (2).

In addition, the City invited representatives of the sporting clubs and facility user groups to meet with the City during the consultation period. Five meetings were held with representatives of eight different clubs/groups. The meetings provided the opportunity for the groups to be briefed on the consultation process and to ask questions about the Draft Concept Plan.

Additional stakeholders were also *indirectly* engaged by the City via the engagement materials described below.

#### CONSULTATION MATERIALS

Residents and Landowners in Heathridge and Beldon within 500m from Heathridge Park, local businesses and local schools, were sent information packs through the post prior to the commencement of the consultation period. The packs contained a cover letter, a copy of the Draft Concept Plan with supporting information and a Frequently Asked Questions document. These stakeholders were directed via the cover letter and Frequently Asked Questions document to the City's website to complete the Online Comment Form. They were also advised that hard-copy Comment Forms were available on request. An information pack was also posted to local parliamentarians/politicians for their information.

Community Engagement Network members, sporting clubs and user groups at Heathridge Park, and the Heathridge and Beldon Residents Associations were sent emails on 26 November 2020 advising them of the consultation process and linking them to the Draft Concept Plan and supporting information, the Frequently Asked Questions document and the Online Comment Form. They were also advised that hard-copy Comment Forms were available on request.

## Cover Letter Wording – (Residents / Landowners, Schools and Parliamentarians) (See Appendix 1 – 3 for full):







## Email to Community Engagement Network members, Sporting and User groups and Residents Associations (see Appendix 4 – 6 for full):







#### **Draft Concept Plan Brochure (see Appendix 7 for full)**







#### Frequently Asked Questions (see Appendix 8 for full)




#### **Comments Form (see Appendix 9 for full)**




#### Online Comments Form (see Appendix 10 for full)












In addition to directly contacting identified stakeholders via post and email, the City advertised the consultation to other community members via the following means:

- Webpage linked through the "Community Consultation" section of the City's website.
- Four signs erected at Heathridge Park.
- E-screen displays visible on the e-screens located at the City's customer services centres, libraries and Craigie Leisure Centre.
- Joondalup Voice article published in the *Joondalup Times* community newspaper on 26 November 2020 (print and online).
- Facebook posts published through the City's Facebook account.

In addition, an article was published by Perth Now.

#### Community consultation webpage on the City's website (see Appendix 11 for full):



#### Signage erected at Heathridge Park (see Appendix 12 and 13 for full):








#### E-screen displays (see Appendix 14 for full):



#### Social media posts on Facebook (see Appendix 15 for full):



#### Online and print Joondalup Voice (see Appendix 16 and 17 for full):









#### Perth Now article (see Appendix 18 for full):







#### **RESPONSE RATE**

The City collected 216 valid responses throughout the 21-day advertised consultation period. In addition to this, a letter was received from the 'Key Stakeholders' at Heathridge Park (comprising the Ocean Ridge Junior Football Club, Ocean Ridge Amateur Football Club, Ocean Ridge Cricket Club, Ocean Ridge Junior Cricket Club and the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club). This letter is included as an appendix to this report (Appendix 19 refers).

Five meetings were held during the consultation period between representatives of the City and representatives of eight of the sporting clubs and facility user groups.

|  | Forms<br>sent | Forms received | Response rate |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Responses received by stakeholder type:        | N             | N*             | %             |
| Heathridge facility user groups/sporting clubs | 34            | 11             | 32.4%         |
| Residents and ratepayers of Heathridge/Beldon  | 1217          | 38             | 3.1%          |
| Community Engagement Network members           | 3267          | 50             | 1.5%          |
| Residents' Associations                        | 2             | 1              | 50%           |
| Local businesses                               | 14            | 0              | 0             |
| Local schools/educational institutions         | 6             | 0              | 0             |
| Local parliamentarians/politicians             | 2             | 0              | 0             |
| Other community members (engaged indirectly)   | _             | 116            | _             |
| Total response rate (engaged directly)         | 4542          | 100            | 2.2%          |

<sup>\*</sup>Numbers may not add up to total, as respondents can represent more than 1 stakeholder type.


### **DEMOGRAPHICS**

#### Respondent address

Respondents were asked to provide their contact address and 87% are residents of the City of Joondalup (188). Residents from Heathridge represented the biggest response by suburb at 32% (70). This data is shown in the table and chart below:

| Responses received by suburb/ward:  | N   | %      |
|-------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| City of Joondalup                   | 188 | 87.0%  |
| North Ward                          | 26  | 12.0%  |
| Burns Beach                         | 0   | 0.0%   |
| Currambine                          | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Iluka                               | 8   | 3.7%   |
| Joondalup                           | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Kinross                             | 6   | 2.8%   |
| North Central Ward                  | 121 | 56.0%  |
| Connolly                            | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Edgewater                           | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Heathridge                          | 70  | 32.4%  |
| Mullaloo                            | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Ocean Reef                          | 22  | 10.2%  |
| Central Ward                        | 22  | 10.2%  |
| Beldon                              | 9   | 4.2%   |
| Craigie                             | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Kallaroo                            | 3   | 1.4%   |
| Woodvale                            | 4   | 1.9%   |
| South-East Ward                     | 2   | 0.9%   |
| Greenwood                           | 0   | 0.0%   |
| Kingsley                            | 2   | 0.9%   |
| South-West Ward                     | 10  | 4.6%   |
| Hillarys                            | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Padbury                             | 1   | 0.5%   |
| Sorrento                            | 3   | 1.4%   |
| South Ward                          | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Duncraig                            | 4   | 1.9%   |
| Marmion                             | 1   | 0.5%   |
| Warwick                             | 2   | 0.9%   |
| Other                               | 28  | 13.0%  |
| Total responses (community members) | 216 | 100.0% |

#### Responses received by suburb:




#### Respondent age

Respondents were asked to provide their age. Ages are spread relatively evenly over the different age groups, particularly between the ages of 25 to 74. This data is shown in the table and chart below:

| Responses received by age: | N   | %      |
|----------------------------|-----|--------|
| Under 18                   | 2   | 0.9%   |
| 18–24                      | 8   | 3.7%   |
| 25–34                      | 33  | 15.3%  |
| 35–44                      | 40  | 18.5%  |
| 45–54                      | 35  | 16.2%  |
| 55–64                      | 46  | 21.3%  |
| 65–74                      | 34  | 15.7%  |
| 75+                        | 11  | 5.1%   |
| No response                | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Total responses            | 216 | 100.0% |

#### Responses received by age:



#### COMMENT FORM QUESTIONS

Question: "How do you currently use Heathridge Park?".

The following options were available:


- · Community group, organisation or sporting club
- Informal sport or recreation
- Other

A total of 148 respondents indicated that they currently use Heathridge Park as part of a community group, organisation or sporting club. A total of 96 respondents indicated that they use the park for informal sport or recreation, and 9 respondents indicated other purposes. Some responses provided in the "Other" category were considered to fit within the categories of community group, organisation or sporting club and informal sport or recreation and were therefore included in those number and excluded from the "Other" numbers. This data is shown in the table and chart below (verbatim responses to "Other" are shown at Appendix 20).

| How do you currently use Heathridge Park?      | N*  | %     |
|--|-----|-------|
| Community group, organisation or sporting club | 148 | 68.5% |
| Informal sport or recreation                   | 96  | 44.4% |
| Other  | 9   | 4.2%  |
| Community Centre                               | 2   | 0.9%  |
| Future use                                     | 1   | 0.5%  |
| Past use                                       | 5   | 2.3%  |
| Don't use                                      | 1   | 0.5%  |
| No response                                    | 5   | 2.3%  |
| Total Responses                                | 216 | -     |

<sup>\*</sup>Numbers may not add up to total, as some respondents selected more than 1 option.

#### Responses received by Park use:




Question: "In general, do you support the proposed Draft Concept Plan for the redevelopment of Heathridge Park?".

Respondents were asked to indicate their level of support for the Draft Concept Plan, on a 5-point scale from "strongly oppose" to "strongly support". The majority of respondents indicated they strongly support the Draft Concept Plan.

The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| In general, do you support the proposed Draft Concept Plan for the redevelopment of Heathridge Park? | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 49  | 22.7%  |
| Oppose   | 10  | 4.6%   |
| Neutral  | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Support  | 39  | 18.1%  |
| Strongly support   | 87  | 40.3%  |
| No response to this question   | 14  | 6.5%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |

#### **Support for Draft Concept Plan:**



## Question: "Please indicate whether you support or oppose the key elements of the Draft Concept Plan listed below":


Respondents were asked to indicate their level of support for each of the following key components of the Draft Concept Form, on a 5-point scale from "strongly oppose" to "strongly support":

- A new multi-purpose building to replace the three existing buildings
- Enhanced/reconfigured car parking facilities
- Reduced number of tennis courts from 10 to six
- Relocated cricket nets with associated lighting infrastructure
- Nature play area
- BBQ/picnic areas
- Informal amphitheatre/seating event space
- Footpath/exercise loop
- Re-vegetated areas to account for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a six to one basis)
- Relocated drainage sump
- New Juniors Oval and realignment of existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting).

The majority of respondents strongly supported (83) a new multi-purpose building to replace the three existing buildings. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| A new multi-purpose building to replace the three existing buildings | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 39  | 18.1%  |
| Oppose   | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Neutral  | 23  | 10.6%  |
| Support  | 53  | 24.5%  |
| Strongly support   | 83  | 38.4%  |
| No response  | 13  | 6.0%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |


#### A new multi-purpose building to replace the three existing buildings:



The majority of respondents strongly supported (87) enhanced/reconfigured car parking facilities. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Enhanced/reconfigured car parking facilities | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose                              | 9   | 4.2%   |
| Oppose                                       | 10  | 4.6%   |
| Neutral                                      | 33  | 15.3%  |
| Support                                      | 61  | 28.2%  |
| Strongly support                             | 87  | 40.3%  |
| No response                                  | 16  | 7.4%   |
| Total Responses                              | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Enhanced/reconfigured car parking facilities



The majority of respondents strongly opposed (65) reduced number of tennis courts from 10 to 6. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Reduced number of tennis courts from 10 to 6 | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose                              | 65  | 30.1%  |
| Oppose                                       | 16  | 7.4%   |
| Neutral                                      | 42  | 19.4%  |
| Support                                      | 42  | 19.4%  |
| Strongly support                             | 39  | 18.1%  |
| No response                                  | 12  | 5.6%   |
| Total Responses                              | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Reduced number of tennis courts from 10 to 6



The majority of respondents strongly supported (65), supported (63), or were neutral (59), on the proposed realignment of seniors playing field with associated lighting infrastructure. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| A Realignment of seniors playing field with associated lighting infrastructure | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Oppose   | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Neutral  | 59  | 27.3%  |
| Support  | 63  | 29.2%  |
| Strongly support   | 65  | 30.1%  |
| No response  | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |


#### A realignment of seniors playing field with associated lighting infrastructure



The majority of respondents were neutral (69), supported (60), or strongly supported (56), the proposed relocated cricket nets with associated lighting infrastructure. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Relocated cricket nets with associated lighting infrastructure | N   | %      |
|--|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Oppose   | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Neutral  | 69  | 31.9%  |
| Support  | 60  | 27.8%  |
| Strongly support   | 56  | 25.9%  |
| No response  | 18  | 8.3%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Relocated cricket nets with associated lighting infrastructure



The majority of respondents strongly supported (84), or supported (65), the proposed inclusion of a nature play area. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Nature play area | N   | %      |
|------------------|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Oppose           | 1   | 0.5%   |
| Neutral          | 44  | 20.4%  |
| Support          | 65  | 30.1%  |
| Strongly support | 84  | 38.9%  |
| No response      | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Nature play area



The majority of respondents strongly supported (82), or supported (63), the proposed BBQ/picnic areas. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| BBQ/picnic areas | N   | %      |
|------------------|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose  | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Oppose           | 1   | 0.5%   |
| Neutral          | 46  | 21.3%  |
| Support          | 63  | 29.2%  |
| Strongly support | 82  | 38.0%  |
| No response      | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Total Responses  | 216 | 100.0% |


#### **BBQ/picnic** areas



The majority of respondents strongly supported (64), supported (59), or were neutral (51), on the proposed informal amphitheatre/seating event space. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Informal amphitheatre/seating event space |     | %      |
|---|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose                           | 15  | 6.9%   |
| Oppose                                    | 9   | 4.2%   |
| Neutral                                   | 51  | 23.6%  |
| Support                                   | 59  | 27.3%  |
| Strongly support                          | 64  | 29.6%  |
| No response                               | 18  | 8.3%   |
| Total Responses                           | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Informal amphitheatre/seating event space



The majority of respondents strongly supported (85), supported (63), or were neutral (44), on the proposed informal amphitheatre/seating event space. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Footpath/exercise loop | N   | %      |
|------------------------|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose        | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Oppose                 | 1   | 0.5%   |
| Neutral                | 44  | 20.4%  |
| Support                | 63  | 29.2%  |
| Strongly support       | 85  | 39.4%  |
| No response            | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Total Responses        | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Footpath/exercise loop



The majority of respondents strongly supported (88), supported (56), or were neutral (37), on the proposal to re-vegetate areas to account for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a 6 to 1 basis). The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Re-vegetated areas to account for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a 6 to 1 basis) | N   | %      |
|---|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose   | 14  | 6.5%   |
| Oppose  | 3   | 1.4%   |
| Neutral   | 37  | 17.1%  |
| Support   | 56  | 25.9%  |
| Strongly support  | 88  | 40.7%  |
| No response   | 18  | 8.3%   |
| Total Responses   | 216 | 100.0% |


Re-vegetated areas to account for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a 6 to 1 basis)



The majority of respondents were neutral (74), supported (64), or strongly supported (53), the proposal to relocate the drainage sump. The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| Relocated drainage sump | N   | %      |
|-------------------------|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose         | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Oppose                  | 2   | 0.9%   |
| Neutral                 | 74  | 34.3%  |
| Support                 | 64  | 29.6%  |
| Strongly support        | 53  | 24.5%  |
| No response             | 17  | 7.9%   |
| Total Responses         | 216 | 100.0% |


#### Relocated drainage sump



The majority of respondents strongly supported (65), supported (62), or were neutral (52), on the proposal for the new Juniors Oval and realignment of the existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting). The data is shown in the table and chart below.

| New Juniors Oval and realignment of existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting) | N   | %      |
|---|-----|--------|
| Strongly oppose   | 11  | 5.1%   |
| Oppose  | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Neutral   | 52  | 24.1%  |
| Support   | 62  | 28.7%  |
| Strongly support  | 65  | 30.1%  |
| No response   | 19  | 8.8%   |
| Total Responses   | 216 | 100.0% |

#### New Juniors Oval and realignment of existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting)



## QUESTION: "Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan?"


Respondents were asked if they have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan. A total of 163 respondents provided comments. The key theme raised in the comments was general support for the project however, there was strong opposition against the proposal to reduce the number of tennis courts from ten courts to six, particularly from respondents identifying as being associated with the tennis clubs at Heathridge Park. Other key matters raised in the comments included insufficient car parking, the need for a two-storey building, need for more storage facilities, general opposition to the concept plan and support for the second playing field to be bigger.

Full comments are provided verbatim at Appendix 19. The data is shown in the table below:

| De consideration and different comments on familiar lands of the   |     |        |
|--|-----|--------|
| Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the<br>Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan                                  | N*  | %      |
| Support concept plan (in general)  | 36  | 16.7%  |
| Oppose concept plan (in general)   | 12  | 5.6%   |
| Tennis court numbers should be reduced   | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Tennis court numbers should NOT be reduced   | 26  | 12.0%  |
| Insufficient car parking proposed  | 20  | 9.3%   |
| Building should be two storeys   | 18  | 8.3%   |
| Should be more storage facilities  | 14  | 6.5%   |
| Should be running facilities   | 2   |        |
| Should be squash facilities  | 2   | 0.9%   |
| Need for toilet facilities   | _   | 0.9%   |
| Badminton facilities need to be considered   | 4   | 1.9%   |
| Cricket net location should change   | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Need formal car parking along Ocean Reef Road  | 3   | 1.4%   |
| Second playing field should be bigger  | 6   | 2.8%   |
| Do not want trees removed  | 11  | 5.1%   |
| Should include a BMX track and or skate park   | 7   | 3.2%   |
| •  | 7   | 3.2%   |
| Dogs should be allowed at the park   | 4   | 1.9%   |
| Do not like consultation process   | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Upgrade existing facilities instead of new development   | 2   | 0.9%   |
| Should be additional basketball court  | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Include exercise equipment / circuit   | 5   | 2.3%   |
| Other: miscellaneous comments / access and inclusion /   |     |        |
| safety / youth activities / turf wicket / leaves on tennis courts / playground soft fall and shade / artwork / better lighting / |     |        |
| tennis hit up wall / etc   | 00  | 10.00/ |
| •  | 26  | 12.0%  |
| No response  | 53  | 24.5%  |
| Total Responses  *Numbers do not add up to total, as some respondents commented on more than or                                  | 216 | -      |

<sup>\*</sup>Numbers do not add up to total, as some respondents commented on more than one matter.

## Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan?



## APPENDIX 1 – Cover Letter Wording – (Residents / Landowners)

23 November 2020

Senior Projects Officer 9400 4292 04361

- <Name>
- <Address 1>
- <Address 2>
- <Address 3>

Dear Sir/Madam

#### COMMUNITY CONSULTATION: HEATHRIDGE PARK DRAFT CONCEPT PLAN

A Draft Concept Plan (enclosed) has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the City of Joondalup in collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now seeking feedback from the community and key stakeholders to determine the level of support for the Draft Concept Plan.

The Draft Concept Plan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the Heathridge Park site. The Draft Concept Plan contains a new multi-functional community and sporting facility to replace the three existing buildings and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

To provide feedback on the Draft Concept Plan, please complete the Online Comment Form available via the Community Consultation section of the City's website at joondalup.wa.gov.au. Alternatively, hard-copy Comment Forms are available on-request via the contact details below. Feedback must be received by Thursday 17 December 2020.

For further information please refer to the enclosed brochure and FAQ. Alternatively you can visit the Community Consultation section of the City's website at joondalup.wa.gov.au or contact the Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 or via email to info@joondalup.wa.gov.au

Yours sincerely

BLIGNAULT OLIVIER Manager City Projects

#### APPENDIX 2 – Letter to Local Schools

26 November 2020

Senior Projects Officer 9400 43292 04361

- <Name>
- <Address 1>
- <Address 2>
- <Address 3>

Dear <Name>

#### COMMUNITY CONSULTATION: HEATHRIDGE PARK DRAFT CONCEPT PLAN

A Draft Concept Plan (enclosed) has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the City of Joondalup in collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now seeking feedback from the community and key stakeholders to determine the level of support for the Draft Concept Plan.

The Draft Concept Plan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the Heathridge Park site. The Draft Concept Plan contains a new multi-functional community and sporting facility to replace the three existing buildings and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

If you would like to provide a formal response on behalf of <school name>, please send written feedback either via email or via post to:

Attention: City Projects City of Joondalup PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919

As an important community stakeholder in the vicinity of Heathridge Park, the City appreciates that you may wish to discuss the Draft Concept Plan with a City officer in person. If this is the case, please contact me on 9400 4292 or email scott.collins@joondalup.wa.gov.au to make an appointment.

All community feedback must be received by **Thursday 17 December 2020**. For further information please visit the Community Consultation section of the City's website at **joondalup.wa.gov.au** 

Yours sincerely

BLIGNAULT OLIVIER Manager City Projects

## APPENDIX 3 – Letters to Local Parliamentarians / Politicians.

| 25 Novemb                | ber 2020                                   |   |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| Senior Proj<br>9400 4292 | ects Officer                               | 04361   |
| <                        | :Name><br>:Address 1><br>:Address 2>       |   |
| D                        | ear <name></name>                          |   |
| c                        | COMMUNITY CONS                             | SULTATION: HEATHRIDGE PARK DRAFT CONCEPT PLAN   |
| C                        | ity of Joondalup in                        | n (enclosed) has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now om the community and key stakeholders to determine the level aft Concept Plan.                          |
| 0                        | f the whole of the H<br>ommunity and sport | lan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use<br>leathridge Park site and contains a new multi-functional<br>ting facility to replace the three existing buildings and<br>nd public space infrastructure. |
| A                        | lternatively you can<br>t joondalup.wa.go  | on please refer to the enclosed brochure and FAQ documents. It visit the Community Consultation section of the City's website v.au or contact the Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 or ondalup.wa.gov.au                   |
| Y                        | ours sincerely                             |   |
|                          | SARRY HUNT PSM<br>Chief Executive Office   | ær  |
|                          |  |   |

## APPENDIX 4 – Email to Community Engagement Network members

From: Consultation

Sent: Thursday, 26 November 2020 9:09 AM

Subject: Community Consultation - Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan

Dear Community Engagement Network members

A Draft Concept Plan has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the City of Joondalup in collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now seeking feedback from the community to determine the level of support for the Draft Concept Plan.

The Draft Concept Plan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the Heathridge Park site. The Draft Concept Plan contains a new multi-functional community and sporting facility to replace the three existing buildings and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

To provide feedback please complete the Online Comment Form, Alternatively, hard-copy Comment Forms are available on request via the contact details below. Feedback must be received by Thursday 17 December 2020.

For further information please review the FAQ, visit the City's website, or contact the Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 or via email.

#### Community Engagement Network

City of Joondalup

Tel: 08 9400 4000 Fax: 08 9300 1383

Email: consultation@joondalup.wa.gov.au















Looking for a way to promote your business? Join the Joondalup Business Catalogue now.

### APPENDIX 5 - Email to Sporting and User groups

From:

Sent: Thursday, 26 November 2020 10:17 AM

Subject: Community Consultation: Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan

Good morning

A Draft Concept Plan has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the City of Joondalup in collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now seeking feedback from the community and key stakeholders to determine the level of support for the Draft Concept Plan.

The Draft Concept Plan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the Heathridge Park site. The Draft Concept Plan contains a new multi-functional community and sporting facility to replace the three existing buildings and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

If you would like to provide a formal response on behalf of your members please send written feedback either via email or via post to:

Attention: City Projects City of Joondalup PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919

Alternatively, you may request a meeting to discuss the Draft Concept Plan in person. To arrange this please contact

An Online Comment Form is also available for general community members, and the City would appreciate you sharing the consultation information with your members and networks. For further information please refer to the FAQ, the City's website, or contact myself.

All community feedback must be received by 17 December 2020.

Kind regards



Tel: 08 9400 4292 Fax: 08 9300 1383

Email:

Web: Joondalup.wa.gov.au













### APPENDIX 6 - Email to Residents Associations

From:

Sent: Thursday, 26 November 2020 9:57 AM

Subject: FW: Community Consultation: Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan

Good morning

A Draft Concept Plan has been prepared for Heathridge Park by the City of Joondalup in collaboration with Dave Lanfear Consulting. The City is now seeking feedback from the community and key stakeholders to determine the level of support for the Draft Concept Plan.

The Draft Concept Plan is intended to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the Heathridge Park site. The Draft Concept Plan contains a new multi-functional community and sporting facility to replace the three existing buildings and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

If you would like to provide a formal response please send written feedback either via email or via post to:

Attention: City Projects City of Joondalup PO Box 21

Joondalup WA 6919

An Online Comment Form is also available for general community members, and the City would appreciate you sharing the consultation information with your members and networks. For further information please refer to the FAQ, the City's website, or contact myself.

All community feedback must be received by 17 December 2020.

Kind regards

Senior Projects Officer City of Joondalup

Tel: 08 9400 4292 Fax: 08 9300 1383

Web: Joondalup.wa.gov.au

Follow:

Email:











## APPENDIX 7 – Draft Concept Plan Brochure (Page 1)



## Heathridge Park - Draft Concept Plan Community Consultation

#### Introduction

In 2019 the City initiated a Needs Analysis and Feasibility Study (the Study) to determine the current and future use of Heathridge Park. The Draft Concept Plan is an outcome of the Study undertaken by consultants Dave Lanfear and Associates, which included initial consultation with key sporting and community groups associated with Heathridge Park.

The Study has reaffirmed existing observations about inadequacies with the ageing infrastructure at Heathridge Park. The Draft Concept Plan is intended as an early concept to provide direction and focus for the future use of the whole of the site. The Plan proposes a new multi-functional community and sporting facility and upgraded sporting and public space infrastructure.

The estimated construction costs associated with the redevelopment is approximately \$15.7 million. There is currently no capital funding allocated by the City. The City will seek funding contributions from both State and Federal Governments. It is estimated that the Draft Concept Plan would result in operating savings to the City.

The key findings from the Study were considered by Council at its meeting held on **Tuesday 15 September 2020** (CJ138-09/20 refers) and it was resolved to endorse the Draft Concept Plan for the purposes of community consultation. This consultation is being undertaken with key stakeholders, user groups and the local community to seek feedback on the Draft Concept Plan.

Feedback will assist in the development of a refined concept plan which will be used for further scoping of the project including site investigations, financial analysis and preparation of a business case and funding strategy.

#### Background information

Heathridge Park is a 9.3 hectare active sporting park located at 16 Sail Terrace, Heathridge. The Park consists of three sporting/community buildings and associated sporting infrastructure and public amenities. Over 30 groups/sporting clubs regularly utilise the various buildings and sporting facilities and numerous local residents and visitors undertake recreation activities at Heathridge Park.

#### **Draft Concept Plan**

Based on the initial stakeholder engagement as part of the Study, an early concept proposal (see over) was drafted by Dave Lanfear Consulting and Consultant Architects Hodge Collar Preston for sporting and recreational improvements, including:

- A new multi-purpose building to replace the three existing buildings.
- · Enhanced/reconfigured car parking facilities.
- · Reduced number of tennis courts from 10 to six.
- Realignment of seniors playing field with associated lighting infrastructure.
- Outdoor half-court basketball court.
- Relocated cricket nets with associated lighting infrastructure.
- Nature play area.
- BBQ/picnic areas.
- Optional informal amphitheatre/seating event space.
- Footpath/exercise loop.
- Re-vegetated areas to account for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a six to one basis).
- New Juniors Oval and realignment of existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting).

Submissions accepted:

Thursday 26 November – Thursday 17 December 2020

City of Joondalup | Boas Avenue Joondalup WA 6027 | PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919 | T: 9400 4000 F: 9300 1383 | joondalup.wa.gov.au

## (Page 2)

## **Heathridge Park** Draft Concept Plan



| AREAS / USES LEGEND  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| AREA (). EDETING CAR PARKING TO BE ENHANCED  | AND A THE POSED OF THE PARTY COURTS                            | - MEM-RETAINENS WALL   | AREA ()  RE-YESSETATED AREA ACCOUNTING FOR TRE LOSS IN OTHER AREAS                              |
| AREA () - EXETTING BUILDING & TENNES COURTE TO   | ANSA (T) - PROPOSED BASKETBALL HALF COURT                      | * ESISTEMS BITTO HIS DEBKOTISHED SHOWN                                 | - TREE REPLACEMENT ON 6 TO 1 BASIS  |
| MEDERACUSMED   | AND A THE PERSON CHARGET NETS (OPTIONAL TURF                   | DASHED   | AREA (8)  EXECUTING CAR PARK TO BE ENHANCED & RECONFIGURED ONE BUTTO / SET CLOSED OFF TO INCREA |
| PROPOSED COMMUNITY / SPORTING FACULTY (SHEW)     COMPRED VEWING AREAS FACING THE OVALS & TENNIS COURTS | OR STATISTIC PITCH)  | AREA () - EXECTING DRIVINGS SUMP TO BE CAPPED.                         | CAFACITY  |
| AMMA(B)  | - PROPOSED PLAY & BBO AREAS WITH SHADE                         | PILLED & RELOCATED   | AREA (1)  - EXSTING RETAINING WALL TO BE RETAINED  A MODIFIED FOR MEN POOTPATH                  |
| HORTH-SOUTH (1884 X 1884, Sa RUN-OF)   | - PROPOSED DESTINATION HATURE PLAY                             | APIA () POTENTIAL LOCATION OF PROPOSED DRAINAGE SUMP TO SE ESTABLISHED | * AND COMPANY TO COMPANY  |
| <ul> <li>FLOOD LIGHTING TO BE PROVIDED (FL) (OPTIONAL<br/>SYNTHETIC PRICE)</li> </ul>                  | PEATURE WITH BED & SHADE / PICHIC AREAS                        |  | RAMP/STAIR ACCESS   |
| AREA © - PROPOSED JUNGSTS CHAL (116s x 60ss WITH 5s RUN-GFF) - FLOOD LIGHTING TO BE PROVIDED (FL)      | AREA G - DEPORTAL AMPRITHENTRE SENTING! EVENT SPACE (OPTIONAL) | APIA 9 - PROPOSED FOOTPATH/EXERCISE LOOP                               |   |

City of Joondalup | Boss Avenue Joondalup WA 6027 | PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919 | T: 9400 4000 | F: 9300 1383 | joondalup.wa.gov.au

# **APPENDIX 8 - Frequently Asked Questions**



# Heathridge Park - Draft Concept Plan Frequently Asked Questions

#### What is the purpose of the community consultation?

To obtain feedback from the community and key stakeholders on the various elements of the Draft Concept Plan for Heathridge Park.

#### Why has a Draft Concept Plan been developed for Heathridge Park?

In 2019 the City commenced a Needs Analysis and Feasibility Study (the Study) for Heathridge Park. The Study has reaffirmed existing observations about inadequacies with the ageing infrastructure at Heathridge Park. The outdated design and fragmented locations of the existing buildings result in servicing and operational issues and the Study has demonstrated that redevelopment of Heathridge Park will assist with meeting the needs of existing and future users.

#### What is the estimated cost of the proposed redevelopment?

The estimated construction costs associated with the redevelopment is approximately \$15.7 million. It is estimated that the Draft Concept Plan would result in operating savings to the City. These are early stage estimations and further investigations and studies will inform a design review to improve on the functionality and costings.

#### How will construction be funded?

There is currently no capital funding allocated by the City. Following community consultation on the concept plan the City will prepare a strategy to fund the redevelopment. The City will seek funding contributions from both State and Federal Governments.

#### Who is being consulted?

The City is directly consulting with the following community stakeholders:

- · Heathridge Park sporting clubs and facility user groups
- Residents and Landowners in Heathridge and Beldon within 500m from Heathridge Park
- Community Engagement Network Members
- Resident/ratepayer groups
- Local businesses
- Local schools
- Local parliamentarians/politicians.

In addition, all information is available on the City's website at **joondalup.wa.gov.au**. Anyone interested in the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan can submit feedback.

#### How do I provide feedback?

If you are interested in providing feedback, please complete the Online Comment Form available via the Community Consultation section of the City's website at **joondalup.wa.gov.au**. Alternatively, hard-copy Comment Forms are available on request. Responses are limited to one per person.

## When is the community consultation period open?

The community consultation period is open from **Thursday 26 November – Thursday 17 December 2020**.

#### What happens next?

The City will analyse the results of the community consultation and develop a Community Consultation Outcomes Report. These outcomes will assist in the development of a refined concept plan which will be used for further scoping of the project, including site investigations, financial analysis and preparation of a business case and funding strategy.

If you would like to be informed via email when the outcomes of this consultation are available, please select the appropriate box on the Comment Form.

#### Who do I contact for further information?

For further information, please contact the Senior Projects Officer on **9400 4292** or email **info@ioondalup.wa.gov.au** 

Additional information is also available via the Community Consultation section of the City's website.

City of Joondalup | Boas Avenue Joondalup WA 6027 | PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919 | T: 9400 4000 F: 9300 1383 | joondalup.wa.gov.au

# APPENDIX 9 – Comment Form (Page 1)



# Heathridge Park - Draft Concept Plan Comment Form

The City of Joondalup is seeking feedback from the community on the Draft Concept Plan for the redevelopment of Heathridge Park. Community feedback will assist in the development of a refined concept plan for the site which will be used as the basis for a business case for the project.

It is recommended that you review the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan and FAQ document prior to providing feedback.

If you have any questions about the concept plan, please contact the Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 or email info@joondalup.wa.gov.au


This Comment Form can also be completed online or visit the Community Consultation section of the City's website at joondalup.wa.gov.au


| _                       |                            |                             | ct details must be prov     |                           |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                         | orm per person will be     | -                           | •                           |                           |
| Name:                   | •                          | •                           |                             |                           |
| Business / organisation | name (if applicable):      |                             |                             |                           |
| Address:                |                            |                             |                             |                           |
| Suburb:                 |                            |                             | Postcode:                   |                           |
| Email:                  |                            |                             |                             |                           |
| Phone:                  |                            |                             |                             |                           |
| Age:                    | Under 18 years 45–54 years | 18–24 years<br>55–64 years  | 25–34 years<br>65–74 years  | 35–44 years 75+ years     |
| Have you viewed the I   | Heathridge Park Draft      | Concept Plan?               |                             | '                         |
| Yes No                  |                            |                             |                             |                           |
| How do you currently    | use Heathridge Park?       | (please tick as many a      | as applicable)              |                           |
| As part of a commun     | nity group, organisation o | r sporting club that uses   | the facilities at Heathridg | ge Park                   |
| Informal sport or rec   | reation (e.g. dog walking, | , picnicking, children's pl | ay equipment, non-organ     | ised sporting activities) |
| Other (please descrit   | pe below)                  |                             |                             |                           |
|                         |                            |                             |                             |                           |
|                         |                            |                             |                             |                           |
|                         |                            |                             |                             |                           |
| In general, do you sup  | port the proposed Dra      | ft Concept Plan for th      | e redevelopment of He       | athridge Park?            |
| Strongly oppose         | Oppose                     | Neutral                     | Support                     | Strongly support          |

# (Page 2)

|  | Strongly  | Oppose   | Neutral  | Support  | Strongly   |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| A new multi-purpose building to  | oppose  |  |  |  | support  |
| replace the three existing buildings   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Enhanced/reconfigured car<br>parking facilities  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced number of tennis   |   | П  |  | П  |  |
| courts from 10 to six  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Relocated cricket nets with<br>associated lighting infrastructure  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Nature play area   |   |  |  |  |  |
| BBQ/picnic areas   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Informal amphitheatre/seating  | $\overline{}$   |  |  |  | $\overline{}$  |
| event space  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Footpath/exercise loop   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Re-vegetated areas to account  |   |  |  |  |  |
| for tree loss in other areas (tree replacement on a six to one basis)  |   |  |  |  | Ш  |
| Relocated drainage sump  |   |  | П  | П  |  |
| New Juniors Oval and   |   |  |  |  |  |
| realignment of existing Seniors Oval   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (both with floodlighting)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Do you have any additional comme   | ents or feedba  | ck about the Hea   | athridge Park D  | raft Concept Pla   | n?   |
| Do you have any additional comme   | ents or feedba  | ck about the Hea   | athridge Park D  | raft Concept Pla   | n?   |
|  | ents or feedba  | ck about the Hea   | athridge Park D  | raft Concept Pla   | n?   |
| Request to be informed: Community consultation assists Council   | cil in deliberating   | and then making  |  |  |  |
| Request to be informed: Community consultation assists Councities consultation will be uploaded to the   | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website   | g and then making<br>once finalised.   | decisions on cer   | tain matters. The a  |  |
| Request to be informed: Community consultation assists Council   | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal   | and then making<br>once finalised.<br>ysis of this consult   | decisions on cer   | tain matters. The a  |  |
| <b>—</b>   | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is <b>provided in</b> t  | and then making<br>once finalised.<br>ysis of this consult<br>the 'Your details'   | decisions on cer   | tain matters. The a  |  |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is provided in to<br>agement Network of<br>c initiatives in the<br>id in joining the   | and then making<br>once finalised.<br>ysis of this consult<br>the 'Your details'<br>ork:<br>community member<br>o City. Contact del<br>City of Joondalup                                   | decisions on cer<br>tation is available.<br>Section above.<br>Ders interested in<br>tails are kept stric                   | tain matters. The a<br>being consulted a<br>tly confidential and           | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Councitis consultation will be uploaded to the I would like to be informed via email address. City of Joondalup Community Engagement Network an ongoing basis about future strategic opt-out at any time. If you are interested the City's website at joondalup.wa.go  | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is provided in the<br>agement Netwon<br>is a network of<br>c initiatives in the<br>do in joining the<br>ov.au or tick the                      | g and then making<br>once finalised.<br>ysis of this consult<br>the 'Your details'<br>ork:<br>community memb<br>e City. Contact del<br>City of Joondalup<br>box below.                     | decisions on cer<br>tation is available.<br>section above.<br>pers interested in<br>tails are kept stric<br>Community Enga | tain matters. The a<br>being consulted a<br>tly confidential and           | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating e City's website il when the anal is provided in tagement Network of continuitatives in the din joining the by.au or tick the dalup Communi   | g and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.                                    | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating e City's website il when the anal is provided in tagement Network of continuitatives in the din joining the by.au or tick the dalup Communi   | g and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.                                    | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating e City's website il when the anal is provided in tagement Network of continuitatives in the din joining the by.au or tick the dalup Communi   | g and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.                                    | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the large of the larg | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is provided in the<br>agement Network of<br>continuous in the<br>de in joining the<br>by.au or tick the<br>dalup Communi<br>is provided in the | and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.  ty Engagement Netthe 'Your details' | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is provided in the<br>agement Network of<br>continuous in the<br>de in joining the<br>by.au or tick the<br>dalup Communi<br>is provided in the | and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.  ty Engagement Netthe 'Your details' | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |
| Request to be informed:  Community consultation assists Counce this consultation will be uploaded to the law of the law o | cil in deliberating<br>e City's website<br>il when the anal<br>is provided in the<br>agement Network of<br>continuous in the<br>de in joining the<br>by.au or tick the<br>dalup Communi<br>is provided in the | and then making once finalised.  ysis of this consult the 'Your details' ork:  community member of the City. Contact deficity of Joondalup box below.  ty Engagement Netthe 'Your details' | tation is available.  section above.  pers interested in tails are kept stric Community Enga                               | tain matters. The abeing consulted a tity confidential and gement Network, | analysis from<br>analysis from<br>and engaged of<br>d members ca |

# APPENDIX 10 - Online Comment Form (Page 1)






# (Page 2)

| 3. Have you viewed the <u>Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan</u> ?  |
|---|
| Yes   |
| ○ No  |
| 4. How do you currently use Heathridge Park? (Please select as many as applicable)  |
| As part of a community group, organisation or sporting club that uses the facilities at Heathridge Park   |
| Informal sport or recreation (eg dog walking, picnicking, children's play equipment, non-organised sporting activities)   |
| Other (please describe below)   |
| City of Joondalup   90 Boas Avenue Joondalup WA 6027   PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 6919 T: 9400 4000 F: 9300 1383   joondalup.wa.gov.au   info@joondalup.wa.gov.au  PREV  NEXT |


| City of Joon Geathridge Park—  |   | Plan                                   |                 |   |  |  |          |
|--|---|--|-----------------|---|--|--|----------|
| miline Comment i o   | 71111   |  |                 |   |  |  |          |
| In general, do you supp  | oort the proposed Draft   | t Concept Plan for the red             | developm        | ent of Hea                                  | athridge P                                   | ark?   |          |
| Strongly oppose  | Oppose  | Neutral                                | Support         |   |  | Strongly su                                  | pport    |
| 0  | $\bigcirc$  | $\circ$                                | 0               |   |  |  |          |
| Please indicate whether  | r you support or oppos  | se the key elements of th              | e Draft Co      | oncept Pla                                  | ın listed b                                  | elow:  |          |
|  |   | ·                                      | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building t   | to replace the three existing   | ·                                      | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | Strongly |
| A new multi-purpose building t   | to replace the three existing arking facilities   | ·                                      | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building t<br>Enhanced/reconfigured car pa<br>Reduced number of tennis co  | to replace the three existing arking facilities units from 10 to 6  | ) buildings                            | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building the Enhanced/reconfigured car pare Reduced number of tennis coloners playing the Realignment of seniors playing the Realignment | to replace the three existing<br>arking facilities<br>ourts from 10 to 6<br>ig field with associated lighti   | puildings<br>ing infrastructure        | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral  O                                   | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building to<br>Enhanced/reconfigured car pa<br>Reduced number of tennis con<br>Realignment of seniors playing<br>Relocated cricket nets with as  | to replace the three existing<br>arking facilities<br>ourts from 10 to 6<br>ig field with associated lighti   | puildings<br>ing infrastructure        | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building the Enhanced/reconfigured car pare Reduced number of tennis control Realignment of seniors playing Relocated cricket nets with as Nature play area  | to replace the three existing<br>arking facilities<br>ourts from 10 to 6<br>ig field with associated lighti   | puildings<br>ing infrastructure        | Strongly oppose | Oppose  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Neutral  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building the Enhanced/reconfigured car pare Reduced number of tennis colon Realignment of seniors playing Relocated cricket nets with as Nature play area  | to replace the three existing<br>arking facilities<br>ourts from 10 to 6<br>og field with associated lighti<br>ssociated lighting infrastructi                              | puildings<br>ing infrastructure        | Strongly oppose | Oppose                                      | Neutral                                      | Support                                      | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building the Enhanced/reconfigured car pare Reduced number of tennis con Realignment of seniors playing Relocated cricket nets with as Nature play area BBQ/picnic areas   | to replace the three existing<br>arking facilities<br>ourts from 10 to 6<br>og field with associated lighti<br>ssociated lighting infrastructi                              | puildings<br>ing infrastructure        | Strongly oppose | Oppose  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Neutral                                      | Support  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | _        |
| A new multi-purpose building to Enhanced/reconfigured car pa Reduced number of tennis con Realignment of seniors playing Relocated cricket nets with as Nature play area BBQ/picnic areas Informal amphitheatre/seating Footpath/exercise loop Re-vegetated areas to account basis)  | to replace the three existing arking facilities urts from 10 to 6 ig field with associated lighting infrastructions are specified with associated lighting infrastructions. | buildings<br>ing infrastructure<br>ure | Strongly oppose | Oppose  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Neutral  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Support                                      | _        |

# (Page 3)

| New Juniors Oval and realignment of existing Seniors Oval (both with floodlighting) | $\circ$    | $\circ$   | $\circ$   | 0 | 0 |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|
| 7. Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathrid               | lge Park D | raft Conc | ept Plan? |   |   |
|   |            |           |           |   |   |
|   |            |           |           |   |   |
| ity of Joondalup   90 Boas Avenue Joondalup WA 6027   PO Box 21 Joondalup WA 68     | 919        |           |           |   |   |
| 9400 4000 F: 9300 1383   joondalup.wa.gov.au   info@joondalup.wa.gov.au             |            |           |           |   |   |
| PREV NEXT   |            |           |           |   |   |
|   |            |           |           |   |   |
|   |            |           |           |   |   |
|   |            |           |           |   |   |



# APPENDIX 11 - Community consultation webpage



# APPENDIX 12- Signage Erected at Heathridge Park



## Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan Community Consultation

The City of Joondalup is seeking community feedback on the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan.

To provide feedback, please complete the Online Comment Form available via the Community Consultation section of the City's website at **joondalup.wa.gov.au** 

For further information please contact the Senior Projects Officer on **9400 4292** or via email to **info@joondalup.wa.gov.au** 

Submissions accepted: **Thursday 26 November – Thursday 17 December 2020** 





# APPENDIX 13 – Signage Locations

On-site signage (x 4) locations:


- 1. Sail Terrace
- 2. Sail Terrace
- 3. Siren Road
- 4. Ocean Reef Road



# APPENDIX 14 – Web Banner


# Heathridge Community Consultation

# APPENDIX 15 - Social Media Posts (Facebook)





# APPENDIX 16 – Joondalup Voice 26 November 2021 (Online)



#### Councillor's Column - Russell Poliwka



#### Masterplan out for public comment

The City is seeking feedback on the <u>Heathridge Park Draft</u>
<u>Concept Plan</u> which will provide strategic direction on the future use of the site.

The 9.3ha active sporting park currently houses the Heathridge Community Centre, Heathridge Park Clubroom and the Guy Daniel Clubroom/Heathridge Child Health Centre and is home to more than 30 local sports clubs and community groups.

Much of the park infrastructure is decades old and no longer adequately meets the needs of users.

The draft concept plan proposes that a single multipurpose building could replace the existing buildings.

Other elements of the proposal include:

- · Enhanced/reconfigured car parking
- Reduced number of tennis courts
- Realignment of seniors playing field, associated lighting infrastructure
- Outdoor half-court basketball court
- · Relocated cricket nets/associated lighting infrastructure
- · Nature play area
- · BBQ/picnic areas
- Optional informal amphitheatre/seating event space
- · Footpath/exercise loop.

All feedback should be made via the community engagement section of the City's <u>website</u> by **Thursday 17 December**.

Hard copy feedback forms are available on request.

#### City launches Destination City

The City of Joondalup has launched a Destination City Plan, which seeks to empower Joondalup's visitor economy, elevate Joondalup's profile as a destination of choice and enhance visitor experiences to attract more leisure, education and commercial visitors to Joondalup.

Destination Joondalup was developed in broad consultation with the local community, business owners and tourist visitors and will guide investment attraction, place positioning and destination marketing.

# Joondalup Voice 26 November 2021 (Online) continued

This plan advocates and champions the establishment of worldclass experiences and products at Joondalup retail spaces, education sites and health precincts, along with unique leisure and food offerings in collaboration with the other tiers of government, private sector and strategic organisations.

A copy of Destination Joondalup can be found on the City's website.

Make a splash at Craigie Christmas Pool Party
Craigie Leisure Centre's Christmas Pool Party will be held on
Sunday 13 December from 3.00pm.

Celebrate the festive season at this popular annual event for families, including giant pool inflatables, pool toys, games, a live DJ and Christmas presents for the kids.

Earlier this year, Craigie Leisure Centre was recognised as a Gold Waterwise Business by the Water Corporation, demonstrating the facility's ongoing leadership and commitment to water efficiency and driving best practice across business and industry in WA.

Tickets for the pool party are available at the leisure website.

Whitford Library re-opens Library to reopen Whitford Library will reopen its doors on Monday 30 November.

The library was closed in March due to COVID-19 restrictions and has since undergone significant refurbishment.

#### Valentine's Concert 2021



80s Symphonic Spectacular

Join Perth Symphony Orchestra and guests for a celebration of the greatest 80s soundtrack in 80s Symphonic Spectacular, in a world premier performance created for the City of Joondalup.


Valentine's Concert 2021 80s Symphonic Spectacular Thursday 11 February, 7.30pm Joondalup Resort, Connolly

Tickets selling fast! For up-to-date ticket availability visit the City's website.

The City will continue to monitor all relevant directions relating to COVID-19 and will follow the appropriate State government advice.

Read more online

# Joondalup Voice 26 November 2021 (Online) continued



# APPENDIX 17 – Joondalup Voice (Community Newspaper) 26 November 2021



#### Councillor's Column - Russell Poliwka



#### Masterplan out for public

Heatherige Park Draft Concept Plan which will provide strategic direction on the future use of the site.

The 9.5ha active sporting park currently houses the Healthridge Community Centre, Healthridge Park Clubroom and the Guy Daniel Clubroom/Heathridge Child Health Centre and is home to more than 30 local sports clubs and community groups.

Much of the park infrastructure is decades old and no longer adequately meets the needs of users.

The draft concept plan proposes that a single multipurpose building could replace the existing buildings. Other elements of the proposal include:

- . Enhanced/reconfigured car parking:
- Reduced number of tennis courts;
- · Realignment of seriors playing field, associated lighting infrastructure;
- · Outdoor half-court basketball court:
- · Relocated cricket nets/associated lighting infrastructure:
- · Nature play area;
- BBQ pionic areas;
- · Optional informal amphitheatre/seating event exerce: and
- Footpath/exercise loop.

All feedback should be made via the community engagement section of the City's website joondalup.wa.gov.au by Thuraday 17 December.



joondalup.wa.gov.au

#### City launches Destination City

The City of Joondalup has launched a Destination City Plan, which seeks to empower Joondslup's visitor economy, elevate Joondslup's profile as a destination of choice and enhance visitor experiences to attract more leisure, education and commercial visitors to Joondake.

Destination Joondalup was developed in broad consultation with the local community, business owners and tourist visitors and will guide investment. attraction, place positioning and destination marketing.

This plan advocates and champions the establishment of world-class experiences and products at Joondalup refail spaces, education sites and health precincts, along with unique lessure and food offerings in collaboration with the other fiers of government, private sector and strategic

A copy of Destination Joundalup can be found at: joondalup.wa.gov.au

#### Make a splash at Craigie Christmas Pool Party

Craigle Leisure Centre's Christmas Pool Party will be held on Sunday 13 December from 3.00pm

Celebrate the fastive season at this popular arms event for families, including giant pool inflatables. pool toys, games, a live DJ and Christmas presents for the kids.

recognised as a Gold Waterwise Business by the Water Corporation, demonstrating the facility's angoing leadership and commitment to water efficiency and driving trest practice across business and industry in WA.

Tickets for the pool party are available at joondalup-leisure.com.au

#### Library to reopen

Whitford Library will reopen its doors on Monday 30 November

The library was closed in March due to COMD-19 restrictions and has since undergone significant returbishment.



#### Council Meeting Dates

**Briefing Session** 

Council Meeting

City of Joondalup Council Chamber Boas Avenue, Joondalup

the meetings during COVID-19



#### Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan

Community Consultation

The City of Joondalup is seeking community leedback on the Heathridge Park Draft.

To provide feedback, please complete the Orline Comment Form available via the Community Consultation section of the City's website at joondafup, wa.gov.au

For further information please contact the Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 or via Senior Projects Officer on 9400 4292 email to info@joondalup.wa.gov.au

Submissions accepted. Thursday 26 November – Thursday 17 Decembe



# Appendix 18 -Perth Now Article 26 November 2020



# Perth Now Article (Continued)

The 9.3ha park on Sail Terrace includes the Heathridge Community
Centre, Heathridge Park Clubroom and the Guy Daniel
Clubroom/Heathridge Child Health Centre, and is home to more than 30
local sports clubs and community groups.

Last year, the City of Joondalup commenced a needs and feasibility study for Heathridge Park that confirmed much of the park infrastructure, which is decades old, no longer adequately meets the needs of users.

The draft concept plan proposes a single multi-purpose building could replace the existing buildings.



An artist impression from the draft concept plan for Heathridge Park. Credit: www.joondalup.wa.gov.au

Currently the operating deficit for the three buildings and the park is between \$400,000 and \$500,000 a year.

Other elements of the proposal include an outdoor basketball half-court, reducing the number of tennis courts, relocating the cricket nets with new lighting, realigning the senior playing field and creating a junior playing field - both with new lighting, a nature play area, barbecue and picnic areas, footpath or exercise loop, optional informal amphitheatre and seating and reconfigured car parking.

# Perth Now Article (Continued)

According to a council report, the estimated construction costs associated with the redevelopment is about \$15.6 million.

However, these figures are only "indicative estimates based on initial area schedules and will be subject to further review".



An artist impression from the draft concept plan for Heathridge Park. Credit: www.joondalup.wa.gov.au

"The redevelopment of Heathridge Park represents a significant one-off capital cost imposition to the City however will result in operational cost efficiencies over time, while resulting in social and community benefits to the City and its residents," the report said.

Community consultation will include direct engagement with the local sports clubs and community groups, residents and landowners who live within 500m of the park, local resident and ratepayer groups and members of the City's Community Engagement Network.

Mayor Albert Jacob said the City wanted to hear from as many people as possible during the consultation period, which runs until December 17.

"The outdated design and fragmented locations of the existing buildings at Heathridge Park has resulted in servicing and operational issues," he said.

# Perth Now Article (Continued)



An aerial map of Heathridge Park. Credit: www.joondalup.wa.gov.au

"A significant redevelopment is required to meet the needs of existing and future users. We want to bring our community along with us on this journey and I encourage them to provide their feedback."



SIGN UP

Feedback received will help develop a refined concept plan, which will be used for further work including site investigations, financial analysis and preparation of a business case and funding strategy.

Visit joondalup.wa.gov.au.

# APPENDIX 19 – Key Stakeholders Letter



20th November 2020

# Key stakeholder concept plan feedback.



Thankyou for your email dated 17<sup>th</sup> September 2020, and as a whole the key users are encouraged that the project has progressed to the next phase and that Council has supported the progression of the community consultation process. Since receiving your email I have met with the five key stakeholders to not only gain their feedback on the concept plan and the points discussed in the council meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> September 2020, but also their thoughts and feedback on the needs analysis format and stakeholder engagement.

As general feedback, it would seem that each club was presented with similar questions for the needs analysis, but the ability to expand on these questions, discuss projections for the future and express less quantifiable areas such as social impact differed between the groups. This we believe has led to some of the data presented within the council minutes being incorrect or misrepresented by not comparing like for like facilities. As an example and I will use the membership to court ratio of the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club. The consultant has referenced that the recognised rate is 30 members per court and at the time of the needs analysis interview with the ORTC they had a ratio of 13.6 across the 10 courts indicating a deficit to meet criteria. Tennis West have since confirmed that a 30:1 ratio is actually for grass court clubs and provided the ratio for hard court clubs as 20:1. The ORTC ratio of 13.6 is above several of its peers in the surrounding district, and is growing.

In regards to the concept plan itself (and we appreciate it is just a concept for the purpose of community consultation), we believe that the consultant did take onboard a portion of the groups comments and some of the additional features proposed will have great benefits for the wider Heathridge community, however we also feel there are some design deficiencies/ opportunities that need to be addressed with the consensus of key stakeholders before moving forward.

#### Parking

This topic and the impact it has on local residents was raised by the majority of the key stakeholders. The proposal indicates that the existing carparks are to be "enhanced" but doesn't indicate to what extent. Street parking experienced during winter on a Saturday and Sunday would indicate that an increase in capacity of approximately a third would be

# Key Stakeholders Letter continued

required to meet current needs, let alone the future. We also feel an opportunity has been missed to increase capacity and address a safety risk by not including a new parking area on Ocean Reef road.

#### Ovals

Both senior and junior football expressed the need for more playing surfaces for a sustainable future and it is encouraging to see this incorporated into the concept. Given the team numbers and growth of ORJFC and the subsequent progression through to ORAFC we believe the City needs to explore options to incorporate the largest playing surface possible for the proposed second oval. Both clubs and wider district will need more opens size ovals (150m and up) given the growth and impact of women's football.

#### Tennis Courts

The concept shows a reduction of available courts from 10 down to 6. As stated earlier, we believe the data for this decision is flawed and will place the ORTC at a significant disadvantage in servicing the Northern Community. We also believe the membership numbers from the Duncraig Tennis Club have not been factored into the overall court usage which further dilutes the data. For consideration ORTC are hosting a Junior Tennis West Tournament in January 2021. A prerequisite to hold any form of competition under the Tennis West guidelines is to ensure the premises have 10 available courts. A reduction to 6 courts as per the concept plan prohibits ORTC the ability to hold more of these tournaments and we strongly recommend this be reconsidered and reviewed prior to the City's stakeholder engagement process.

#### Cricket Pitch Location

The ORCC has been advocating for a turf wicket for several years and would like to see this at its home oval. Location of a turf wicket on a playing surface utilised by both football and cricket can be complex and this should be given due consideration when positioning the main oval.

#### Proposed Community and Sporting Facility

The artist impressions of the proposed facility are modern and have all the key stakeholders excited about what the future for our clubs may look like. However, it is extremely difficult to provide meaningful feedback on the concept facility without being provided detailed floor plans and elevations, but we have provided our general view as follows:

- The entire group feels that with a single-story building proposed instead of a two
  story an opportunity is lost from a footprint, storage and viewing perspective
  considering the topography of the site. Given the proposed elevation the ORTC
  appear to be looking up (1.5 2m) to their courts which is less than ideal as it does
  not provide connection from the courts to the club.
- The ORJFC and ORAFC plan to have mobile player benches in the future that can be towed into location and then stored. Ground level storage with a reasonably level

# Key Stakeholders Letter continued

- access to the ground will be required for this to occur. ORCC will also need suitable storage and ground access for mowers etc for the turf wicket.
- Given that our prevailing weather typically comes from the South West, the facade is
  quite open and has lost the tiered area for outdoor viewing. This will have a huge
  impact on tennis as they have entirely lost their Eastern perspective (which was one
  of their requirements) and no substitute (terraced seating, or shaded pavilion) has
  been proposed.

As stated above, all the key stakeholders are excited that a redevelopment is on the horizon and the benefits it will bring to all users and the Heathridge community. We are also mindful that our current facilities are in excess of 30 years old so we collectively know that any proposal will need to be fit for purpose for at least the next 20 years and hence the above feedback.

The key stakeholders of Heathridge park are a passionate group with a proud history. We look forward to working collaboratively with the City of Joondalup by providing relevant feedback as we deliver this project and provide a sustainable future for the clubs, future patrons and all that call it home.

Yours sincerely



Key Stakeholder Representative

On behalf of











# Key Stakeholders Letter continued

## Requirements for Heathridge Park Clubs

#### Senior & Junior Football Clubs

- 4 x change rooms unisex
- 2 x umpires rooms unisex
- 2 x storage rooms (1 x junior and 1 x senior) dry store
- 2 x storage rooms (1 x junior and 1 x senior) wet store
- 1 x mobile bench storage
- Cool room
- Commercial kitchen
- Bar more serving and fridge space than current
- Clubroom space 80 200 people
- Internal toilets
- 2 x ovals with lighting 1 x main oval (senior) and then the next biggest oval that will fit
- External viewing area undercover and able to be enclosed. Eastern aspect on wing of oval.
- Storage for function room furniture
- · Line marking storage area with wash facility and drainage.
- Strapping and first aid room
- Football meeting room

#### Junior cricket

- Storage room Dry store
- Can utilise as above

#### Senior cricket

- Turf wicket
- Storage room Dry store
- · Store room for machinery (turf wicket) with flammable storage
- Can utilise as above

#### Tennis Club

- Essential to maintain Eastern viewing aspect (balcony)
- Upgrade courts to synthetic and add two more (12 total)
- Tournament ready facilities match office, kitchen, first aid room etc
- Must maintain or improve current facilities storage, separate showers, toilets, bar, function area etc.
- Suitable and sufficient carpark with compliant access and lighting
- Upgrade court access for people with disability.
- Comment Idea is to improve facilities to meet growing needs of local community and sporting members.











## APPENDIX 20 – VERBATIM RESPONSES

Question: "How do you currently use Heathridge Park? (Other)"

Note: Minor alterations have been to spelling/grammar to enhance readability.

#### **Verbatim Responses - How do you currently use Heathridge Park? (Other) (N = 50)**

Carols in the Park is a regular event I visit. When my children were younger, they practiced and played football on that park for a local club.

Carols, food trucks,

Christmas Carols in Heathridge each year

Christmas Carols,

Community centre user. Previous visiting sporting club user.

Community events and programs

community events: carols, food trucks

Currently don't use it but my son will be using it in the future for footy training.

do not use

Duncraig Tennis Club & Wallabies Badminton club.

Entertainment: e.g. Carols by candlelight, community functions.

Have used in the past - tennis

Having been involved with sports and Recreation projects in the past, I question as to why the proposed new buildings do not address the centre lines of both the football oval and the tennis courts, the lack of alignment is of concern.

I do not now use the park but I appreciate that many groups do use it and would appreciate the proposed upgrades.

I don't live near there but many years ago owned a business near there and used it a lot and attended Carols by Candlelight there

I have been a member of the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club for about 30 years and have watched the club grow from two courts to the present ten and this plan is a backward step and a waste of public money.

I have been an active member of the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club for over 15 years

I have been involved in football and cricket as a parent and spectator. I also play tennis regularly and have done so for over 20 years at Ocean Ridge Tennis Club. I also have visited the playgrounds with children, walked laps of the ovals for fitness and enjoyed picnics in the huts beside the tennis courts. Finally, I always enjoy use of the tennis club's balcony.

I have played tennis for many years at the tennis club. We also sit on the balcony & watch pennants, competitions etc.

I lived in Perth for 2 years, playing competition tennis for Wanneroo club. My teams often played at ocean ridge tennis club. It is a vibrant, active club, and they utilise the complex well, it would be a backward step to reduce the number of available courts. It is a big disadvantage to reduce the complex, as ability to hold tennis tournaments and to have an active progressive club etc is impaired. There are very few adequately sized complexes in the northern suburbs.

I would love to see the cricket nets closer to the car park as lugging big cricket bags over to the nets is a lot of work.

Manager Land & Property representing CAHS for the Heathridge Child Health Centre occupying Guy Daniels Pavilion

no

Occasionally visiting e.g. Food Truck Fiesta or Christmas Carols and other Community events of interest

Ocean Ridge Tennis Club

Ocean Ridge Tennis Club has a great view of the main courts. Original club members did fundraising for the building of this club and some members took out a 2nd mortgage to enable this club to be built the way that was beneficial to its members and for future players.

ORTC club room have good view of courts

Park adjacent to local suburb

Play football & tennis

Play football, cricket & tennis

Regular visitor to the Ocean Reef Tennis Club.

School activities and carnivals

School football

school sport

Seniors badminton club

Son used to play football there

**Tennis** 

**Tennis** 

The Ocean Ridge Tennis Club has a particularly good view of the courts, due to the careful planning originally, which included feedback and advice from the club members and players at the time of planning, prior to construction. I will not play tennis anymore if the clubhouse goes.

The tennis club forms part of the Northern Districts Tennis Association as well as Tennis West competition. I have and am playing in both, so play here on a very regular basis every season throughout the year.

The Tennis clubhouse needs to be where it is to view the court and matches.

Too far away from Warwick to use personally but many years ago the Warwick Junior Football Club, with who our son played had games there.

Used to use the Leisure Centre there.

Very disappointed that the plans show that we are losing tennis courts! Not happy about the changes and not being able to view tennis from the club rooms but definitely don't want to lose courts.

Very rarely due to lack of reason to be there

Walking with the family and relaxing while kids play this new plan is a great concept and long overdue

We run Big Kid Events, supplying food truck nights to the community.

We use very rarely due to the limited facilities

When my children were younger we actively participated in the centre

Would be amazing to have a soft padded play area for toddlers due to the recent baby boom in Heathridge

# APPENDIX 21 - Verbatim Responses

Question: Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan?

Note: Words that may identify respondents or individual City representatives/officers, or that contain offensive language have been removed and replaced with square brackets, i.e. [- - -]. Minor alterations have been to spelling/grammar to enhance readability.

# Verbatim Responses - Do you have any additional comments or feedback about the Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan? (N = 164)

Well thought out and defined. It will be an asset to the area and have a positive impact on housing prices and liveability within the area.

You have not taken into account the wishes of long-standing members & families. Our courts are in use every day & most nights. The playing fields are only used at the weekends. We need elevated viewing of the tennis courts. Carefully consider what trees are planted near the courts as leaves blow onto the courts. This club was originally financed and built by local residents. We would be severely disadvantaged by the new plans. We need 10 courts in order to continue to play pennants.

I believe the parking allowed for in the concept plan is inadequate for the sites existing use / memberships, which leaves it short of any projected requirements based on current astronomical growth. Both senior and junior football clubs have expressed the need for more playing surfaces for a sustainable future and it is encouraging to see this incorporated into the concept. Given the team numbers and growth of ORJFC and the subsequent progression through to the ORAFC, I believe the City needs to explore options to incorporate the largest playing surface possible for the proposed second oval, rather than the current undersized second oval. I feel that with a single-story building proposed instead of a two story an opportunity is lost from a footprint, storage and viewing perspective considering the opportunity that the topography of the site currently offers.

Parking and/or vehicle access on ocean reef roadside of facility. Would be ideal to have a drop off/pick up point to allow access from that side of the facility. At the moment people just park their cars there and it is dangerous when they pull out onto ocean reef road. If there was a designated entry and exit with some parking spaces it would make the facilities more user friendly to more people in the community. thanks

1) tennis courts: do we need 6? Or will 4 do? Was there a usage study done? We have the Northshore Country Club nearby with trainers for all ages..... 2) There is a rectangular space between 15,16 and 17. What is that? 3) on weekends many cars park along Ocean Reef Road. Parking in and out and children walking are a risk. Will the enhanced parking facilities eliminate the need to park along Ocean Reef Road?

The plan does not appear to be beneficial for the existing Tennis Club and their members whatsoever.

- A two storey building to capitalise on the potential views from where the building is located (face the ovals and the tennis courts). - The second oval being a full-size oval would help to cater for the number of users of the football clubs. - Increased parking capacity is essential as is insufficient on the weekends

Duncraig Tennis club would like a lockable storage for the court sweeper brooms if possible. !!

Extra basketball courts. Maybe another half court or two.

Supportive of multipurpose building - strongly opposed to current draft plan. The location is unacceptable. Reducing the courts is unacceptable and will prevent ORTC being able to host tournaments. Facility is tired and requires repairs, in particular adding changerooms which could

be used by all community sport users. Improvements should not be at the expense of the tennis community who use the facilities all year round as opposed to seasonal sport users.

Reducing the number of courts is completely unacceptable and will destroy any option to host sanctioned tennis tournaments and the development of tennis at grass roots level

The relocation of the cricket nets is too far away from the parking area 18 from where parents drop off their children who then have to carry their heavy cricket gear bags a long way. Suggest a better location is where the drainage sump is when it is filled in. I hope the children's play area has a soft rubber base and some swings.

Please keep 10 tennis courts so tournaments can be maintained. Can Heathridge please have a bike track for kids. The park between Heathridge and Connolly May be perfect for this. Thank you. Great idea. Lighting on the majority of the oval will be important to make the space usable for senior sporting team training and allow use by multiple groups in the popular evening time slots.

This will be a great addition to the suburb. Really hope this goes ahead.

I would like to propose that the eastern side of the park be fenced to allow for dog walking.

Great concept - area in need of an upgrade. Ensure football, cricket, tennis and other sporting organisations are engaged thoroughly in the process.

\*The draft concept plan clearly does not take into consideration that the Ocean Ridge Tennis Club is a fully functional club 7 days a week. \*The reduction of the number of courts from 10 to 6 will not allow the club to be an active participant of tournaments and competition as it has been and continues to be. \*With the proposed building this will give no view of the courts and that the existing area is a club with history. Consideration needs to be allowed for space and storage and view with a second story advised. \*The type and position of trees planted around the courts again needs to take into the amount of debris that presently drops onto the courts.

It is still a draft concept and a lot more can be done to make it even more useful and future proofed. There is still a lack of parking bays. The second oval is too small for the size of the clubs and number of teams. The new facility could be 2 storeys to allow more space storage etc.

Trees that are replanted should be local natives (not lemon scented gums from eastern states or other exotics) to encourage diverse birdlife and should include under storage species (e.g. Rottnest island pines (which Carnaby's love), banksia, eremea etc) as well as tress (e.g. marri) - i.e. need to bring some natural "heath" in Heathridge - also please considered linked corridors of natively vegetated areas. Being a tennis player, I am biased towards more tennis courts - but would add that the current proposed 6 tennis courts are too close to the busy Ocean Reef Road. To avoid fumes and traffic noise to make playing tennis a more relaxing experience - would be better to locate the tennis courts as far away from the busy road as possible. Another thing that will help the amenity of the whole area greatly (...I am not sure who is responsible for the management of Ocean Reef Road ie Joondalup City Council or Main Roads?. Anyway ) is that the current bituminous seal on Ocean Reef Road which has very large >20mm aggregate creates a lot of unnecessary road noise (including in front of the high school) - would propose that a much quieter asphalt seal purposely designed to reduce road noise be considered

The absent of consideration for the Tennis Club's need. The Tennis Club used by many people of all ages of the community all year round. Unlike other clubs only used the park seasonally.

There is concern that the playing hours will be reduced for badminton and that this will be given over to basketball. There are only limited places to play badminton, whereas basketball does have outside courts as well as indoor courts all over the place. These are over fifty fives that play here, as well as other groups and we would like reassurance that we can continue playing as we have always done. Some people have been playing here for over 35 years, and it keeps them mobile and being physically active they do not need home help and keeps them well. Badminton is a growing sport among the younger groups, as well as those that are handicapped. BAWA is at present looking to hook up with a very large Chinese groups in Australia that are very keen to get involved in badminton. It would be a shame if there were not enough courts to meet demand. We would like to thank [......] for coming out to outline the concept plan and to field questions.

The Wallabies Badminton group would like to be advised if and when the concept plan gets funding and the go ahead. It would allay fears if we are kept in the loop of what is happening.

It is impossible for any member of the community to make an informed contribution to this Draft Concept Plan for Heathridge Park's redevelopment since (a) the information provided to the community is minimal, (b) The plan submitted to the community should have shown HOW the community requirements would fit into the re-development plan, (c) Should have explained what was being left out and why, (d) Cannot see how any community member's contribution could be at a "fairly strategic level" with such insufficient information provided to the community (e) The floor plan itself is not provided for consultative purposes. (f) Nobody, including councillors can inform themselves that community needs are being met (g) No consultation possible on the building facets itself (h) Who is going to run the new facility? (h) What will be the community consultation component in any ongoing process? This re-development project should not go forward without real consultation with the community and intensely involve the North Central Ward Councillors.

- I believe the parking allowed for in the concept plan is inadequate for the Club's existing membership, which leaves it short of our projected requirements based on our current astronomical growth. - Both senior and junior football have expressed the need for more playing surfaces for a sustainable future and it is encouraging to see this incorporated into the concept. Given the team numbers and growth of ORJFC and the subsequent progression through to ORAFC we believe the City needs to explore options to incorporate the largest playing surface possible for the proposed second oval. The current proposal may not take up the best available space and should be reviewed to ensure we can have 2 ovals which can have senior football played on them. - I feel that with a single-story building proposed instead of a two story an opportunity is lost from a footprint, storage and viewing perspective considering the topography of the site.

The Case for Inclusion of Badminton Courts in your Facility Provision AusPlay advises there are over 24,000 players in Western Australia and participation rates and demand for court space are rapidly increasing. Participation rates as reported by Sport Australia have increased by 88% since 2000, largely driven by the influx of immigrants from where the sport is enormously popular. This is building on demand from an existing stronghold of badminton participation in metropolitan Existing Infrastructure Badminton courts are marked in multiplicity from church halls to community centres, in school gyms and in multipurpose recreation centres. Dedicated badminton facilities are rare. There are three privately owned venues and a few owned by local authorities. Dedicated Venue Courts - Surface Hire Cost Address Armadale Badminton Centre City Armadale - airthrust sprung parquetry \$12 per court all times \$4 off peak school use Gwynne Park Townlet St Armadale Nick Kidd Badminton Private 6 - yonex rubberised mats \$15 off peak \$19.50 on peak Halley Rd Balcatta Perth Badminton Arena 1 Private 7 - Protech rubbersied mats \$15 off peak \$22 on peak 309 Great Eastern Hwy Belmont Perth Badminton Arena 2 Private 6 – victor mats on sprung wooden floor 9 – Victor mats on rubber underlay \$19 off peak \$26 on peak 19 off peak \$23 on peak Modal Cres Canning Vale Badminton WA provides a club finder on our website to assist with facilities in the State. Badminton Facility Provision Badminton WA operated for many years in a 7 court facility in Wembley, relocating to the Kingsway Indoor Stadium in 2000, offering 14 courts marked on top of other multi-marks courts. Badminton Participation Sport Australia captures and publishes AusPlay, which provides information on sports participation in both real numbers and as a percentage of population. The latest release on 30 April 2020 covers data collected in 2019, which fortunately precedes any aberrations that COVID-19 may have caused. AusPlay notes that participation in sport-related activities has not increased, while at the same time non-sport physical activities have increased significantly by more than 20% from 2001 to 2019. This decline is not true for badminton. increase in badminton participation across the nation from 176,700 in 2-017 to 191,100 in 2019, an increase of more than 4% per annum, almost doubled the annual rise shown in the sport. Clubs tend to be small in response to limited court availability and number of courts. Junior pathway programs are well subscribed with many clubs supported by senior membership. The influx of Asian and sub-continent populations is what is driving new demand for badminton

facilities, much of the participation is casual and informal rather than through organised clubs and associations.

As a tennis club player, I think we need: 1. a bench inside each of the court enclosures. 2. storage for (a) court sweepers, (b) our chairs if we don't get a bench. 3. a shaded bench and table adjacent to the courts area, as at present. Also: 1. Unless the new building has a second storey, we look like viewing the tennis from below the new court level. 2. As a member of Duncraig Tennis Club, I ask if there will be any negative effect on our access to the courts, either organisationally or financially.

As a lifelong advocate for the sport of squash, it's availability, now that Mullaloo courts have been closed, is zero, within entire C of J. It is time efficient - lot of exercise in small amount of time, sunsmart - indoors and is an excellent cross-training sport for any other sport as it requires good mobility, core-strength, flexibility, coordination. These merits have been underpromoted for too long. When not being hired, the floor space could be multi-functional, for business meetings, community groups, yoga or Pilates etc. Local schools could incorporate into PE. The whole northern corridor has no squash courts except for Wangara. Given the number of residents from UK and Sth Africa who play or have played the sport, surely there would be sufficient public use to consider?

Yes! At present parking is horrendous. During any event people have to park on the verge. The proposed minor increase of parking is a joke. (Hopefully you would eventually remove the signs reserving parking 24/7 for the Child Clinic that uses the premises one morning per week.) You should also remove the signs regarding dogs (No access. On the spot fines.) The only rubbish bins are near the tennis courts. During events people often park on Ocean Reef Road and use the embankment for access and viewing. To move the Drainage Sump seems poorly thought out from an unused, useless area to an important part of the park. No public transport passes the park so more parking is vital. Perhaps some parking could be situated under the new buildings, and over the sump. Originally, I heard that the plan was to build a community centre like Craigie with a pool etc. This seems to be a watered-down idea with little real purpose. Summary: Allow dogs. Increase parking. Keep banning golf.

I would like to see a walking -exercise area for dogs. Littorina & Admiral Parks have sporting events & dogs are allowed. It would be much appreciated.

Love the draft and hope it all goes ahead. Looking forward to having a new updated basketball court.

With this development the park space would be used more effectively and support the community redevelopment efforts of the city of Joondalup

On the draft plan I can't see location of any ablution/toilet blocks. I would strongly recommend that there be access to toilets especially at the new juniors oval. This is relatively near the amphitheatre and could also be used for events. Given that the juniors oval is some distance from the multipurpose building where I presume there will be toilets, it would be much safer for children to access toilets nearer to where their games are and their parents.

All the proposals are good having specific areas for seniors and juniors will help to avoid possible clashes between groups. reducing tennis court area is good as courts are not used all the time. one building is always better than three. the one facility will enable fuller use of same ad not have empty buildings. extra parking is needed if area is being used by more people. bigger and better lighting again means more use of areas, amphitheatre again enables more use of the area for concerts etc.

No need to demolish existing tennis/ Clinic buildings. Four founding members of the tennis club mortgaged their property's to establish the club. Also Showers and kitchens were upgraded recently with Federal help during the recent financial crisis. These enhancements should not be wasted. Build some showers for the AFL Block or rebuild but don't sacrifice perfectly established existing facilities.

Child and Adolescent Health Services needs to be notified if the tenure of the Heathridge Child Health Centre is compromised as part of this project.

Please add more than the required ACROD Parking spots, go over and above the minimum standards. Please use good colour contrast and large font signage and Wayfinding. Disability Accessibility is more than just wheelchair ramps. Good lighting makes public areas safer.

I am a committee member of both the cricket and football clubs. One thing I noticed while working the bar was the amount of recyclable glass beer bottles going into the rubbish. Having a large recycling bin along with rubbish is a must. Looking at the picture of the possible design of the building there seems to be little viewing area for both sports especially football which gets large crowds during rainy season. Also having a second floor with viewing areas and bar has worked well at other clubs. One of the biggest things especially with junior footy is available parking. Ask any person living near the oval about the amount of people parking on curbs. Increase the parking lots and maybe put another on ocean reef road similar to Mirror park and also on Rudder Ct. Lastly I feel 2 full size ovals will be better than 1 large and 1 small as it give a lot more area for training to be spread out but also means women's footy can be played at the same time as the men's. I am also assuming there will be separate change rooms for women and men's teams (4 change rooms, 2 men's and 2 women's). The training circuit and BBQ areas are a good inclusion and an improved playground.

1. The consultation process was poor and did not take into account feedback from the tennis playing community, actual users of the part, 2. Tennis courts are utilised year round by all ages, both men and women, 7 days per week including nights. 3. Tennis users are the only interested parties who are disadvantaged by this draft proposal. 4. Any multi-purpose building must be double storey to be able to accommodate all views for all sports. 5. Any replanting of trees needs to be considered as the tennis courts are currently affected by pine needles and other litter, which is hazardous to players.

Would be nice to have a small children's cycle area (we have a 4 & 6 year old that would love a place to ride their little bikes); Good shade over the nature play for mid-day outings with the kids and out of the rays a 1/2 length basketball court would be better a full size one - lets 2 groups play 1/2 court or have a proper game a dirt BMX track through the vegetated areas (17 - southwest corner closest to Belridge shops and out of the way); lets the area be used where it otherwise wouldn't, lots of young kids in the area that now have a place to vent and ride their bikes safely and close to home; we don't have anything great nearby (Eddystone PS has a small one opposite MacDonald's - very hard and very well worn with kids building new mounds and fixing the old jumps, Hadington park is very overgrown with dangerous sharp steps in the jumps, Edgewater has an old user made track) just my local request:) looks great overall keen to see its progress

Having read the draft plan and also FAQ, there is no substantive explanation of the impact on the immediate neighbourhood. 1. The projected cost of \$15.7m cannot be funded exclusively by State and Federal grants as only \$1Bio has been allocated for 2020-2021 over a total of 562 local governments. Clearly an extremely large shortfall. If not funded by State/Federal governments, does this mean local government rates will increase? If so, by how much? 2. There is absolutely no need to remove grass to include a footpath. Anyone can use the existing oval to walk, jog or run and do so on a daily basis. 3. Existing amphitheatres in the immediate locale are not used. Another is a waste of money. 4. Moving an existing sump and relocating is unnecessary and will only create more distress. The seniors oval can be accommodated without the need to move the sump. 5. Removing established trees which provide essential habitat to native fauna and birdlife, stabilise the soil/sand, and draw water efficiently, cannot be adequately replaced by saplings. How many years will it take for young trees to 'replace' existing established trees? 6. What impact will enlarging recreational facilities have on traffic flow? More vehicles will not be adequately serviced by existing 'enhanced' carparks. This means even more vehicles will park up on the Ocean Reef Road verge, impeding traffic flow. 7. Why has this announcement been made iust prior to a State Election? 8. Does anyone on Council have a vested interest? Have they declared a conflict of interest?

Rationalisation and updating is a good thing.

What arrangements will be made for users of demolished buildings prior to new building being available?

We are concerned our fees may be greatly increased, our members are retired and low-income earners. We hire 3 courts and often 3 adjacent courts are in use. If new members join there will be no additional courts available. We have no storage space for our sweepers, we hope that will change with the new developments.

The senior footy club is growing astronomically. With 4 men's teams, a women's team and a junior club we need ample parking for not only training nights but when we play home games. With this many teams we also need ample training space therefore a full-sized oval and a junior oval is not suffice. We need two full size ovals to be able to train efficiently. The single storey club rooms is also unfortunate to see. A two-storey building would allow for more space and storage and better club rooms

Given the lack of use of the older facilities over the past decade, the new facility needs to be built to cater for the next 30 years, not just for the current uses. Two senior ovals rather than a junior oval would provide more flexibility as the older youth teams who are juniors actually use a senior size oval.

I believe it would be more beneficial to have both ovals to be the same size. The older juniors play on a senior sized ground so would be better to have 2 seniors size ovals.

Would like to see a proper full outdoor basketball court with good quality rings and nets, seating and even lighting. Very hard to find good full-sized outdoor courts in the area.

The new plan has obviously not been done in consultation with the tennis club. A reduction in courts would result in fewer pennant teams and no social being allowed at the same time. If there are 2 teams home, we need an absolute minimum of 8 courts. This is not good!!! Also, the positioning of the new building and courts means there is no viewing place to watch the tennis. This new design is poorly thought out and obviously aimed at the footie while tennis gets overlooked completely. I am not happy about this at all. The question to ask, in other districts they are investing fortunes into the tennis facilities (see North Beach, Blue Gum, Reabold) while Joondalup does not support the sport at all. Very disappointed.

I think it's crazy to have so many single use tennis courts! I would like to see the reason/statistics that support this. We need more basketball courts! Any decent courts are always being used and there are empty tennis courts already littered around the suburbs. 3x3 is an Olympic sport next Olympics and a great park participation sport. Why tennis?? Seems very outdated.

As a member of the tennis club, as far as I know everything works well. Recent renovations to our facilities including the toilet and shower facilities have been a boom as I use them every time I play tennis. The renovations would have cost a lot of money (the club could not afford them when we first moved into the building) and the club has recently bought new furniture; this could all be wasted.

Safety and security measures need consideration for internal office/community spaces to account for infection control processes and increasing levels of social issues involving violence.

Plan to consider the needs of the child health clinic and associated early parent groups. Would be idea to have a larger space where child health nurse can run the early parenting groups. Would be ideal to work with relevant govt department to improve the facility currently provided.

Concerned that the car parking is quite a distance from the proposed multi-use building. This will be a barrier for people with reduced mobility who are more likely to use the building facility than other parts of the site. It looks a long way to walk with a frame or wheelchair etc. SO - is the building in the best spot? Or can carparking be re-configured to be accessible to the building? Thanks.

It provides exercise activities for all age groups. Exercise and social activities are key ingredients to achieving and maintaining good physical and mental health

I applaud COJ for planning upgrades to this widely used facility. My son played jr footy at this oval for over 10yrs. I use this park for exercise. My daughter trains for basketball at this facility on a weekly basis. 1. With huge enrolment footy numbers, please listen to what the ORJFC need to make this space work. They've been battling with old facilities for some time. Be a leader and provide for women's footy too! 2. I would love exercise equipment to be added (out of main view of oval) designed for women (mums) 3. With record numbers in Joondalup basketball, especially for girls - please consider a second court! >1 court provides club opportunities rather than one isolated team using the space. We are very short of training facilities with restrictions on schools. 4. Love the outdoor 1/2 court idea, please consider putting 2-3 in. (Cheaper than indoor!) Chat to Basketball WA, they'd love areas to run 3x3 comps. (Mullaloo, Ocean Reef Marina would be perfect too) this brings great lifestyle to the neighbourhood. Currently they are running 3x3 at Scarborough with COS with limited space. Great event opportunity for COJ across summer months! Thank you!

The use of the footpath/exercise loop could be greatly improved by widening it so that groups like Park Run and junior bike training and racing could be hosted at Heathridge Park. Some separation may be required adjacent to buildings. A 200 metre straight (possibly the southern side) could increase capacity to host bike racing over the summer.

I only oppose the fact that once again you're going to butcher the well-established trees that not only obviously provide oxygen (quality air) & shade but it's one of the only areas in Heathridge that has such beautiful established trees creating something special in the vicinity. So many parrots & other birds roost up in these trees, something amazing to experience their sounds daily and doing some silly offset with small trees is useless to bird life to be frank and you're slowly turning Heathridge in Landsdale junior. All day long the big trees and others are being cut down in Heathridge, whether you know about it or not. Why can't you for once just be like other places and build around established trees? Put in some effort! Make a decent fair plan! Don't just "pave paradise to put up a parking lot!" It's very frustrating that you and whoever comes up with these plans can't for once truly consider the wildlife. Heathridge is losing its character. Trees that take decades to grow and provide shade, coolness, bird homes are useless even if it is 50 to 1. Looking forward to one day having people in council positions who actually put the environment first, so what if the building and carpark are old, they do the job & not everything has to be new!

We have been attending the tennis club since we were 15, joining the clubrooms together with other sports has always destroyed the culture of those clubs (for example Midland). The larger more dominant sports always take over & the tennis club will lose members because they no longer feel like a member of a club. It's also quite hard to access these rooms when we play until after 10.30 on weeknights. I don't believe this is a good idea.

Being a member of the tennis club the loss of courts and replacement of club rooms with current views will be a detriment to the club and ability to grow with members.

As a rate payer I think the council will be wasting our money by demolishing perfectly good courts and rebuild new ones, also demolishing the tennis club which is a perfectly situated building and also structurally sound and put in its place plants this seems a waste as well also I am understanding that the building was built by community sponsorship in this in mind don't think it is morally correct to demolish the building. I think the council has enough land to do something else than demolishing buildings and courts which costed money in the first place to build them. The council should look at renovating and expanding

Please could you consider the addition of a perimeter artificial running circuit around one of the ovals. Runners have no dedicated facilities in the city, paths are shared and there are no artificial ovals.

Nowhere near enough parking. These "improvements" aren't enough. Currently this park is really unsafe, particularly during the football season. Cars are parked all the way around the oval due to the number of games. Parking along Ocean Reef Road (a 70km/hr road) is a serious hazard. There needs to be carparking the length of the oval along the Ocean Reef Road side (similar to the car park done at the Mirror Park skate park, with its own slip lane). It's only a matter of time before a child is run over, chasing a football or darting out between the cars. The car park behind the new venue also needs to be extended closer to the tennis courts. This design grossly underestimates the carparking situation. The playground needs to be suitable for the size of the park. Currently its junk (was broken for years), whereas Mullaloo Beach gets theirs replaced much more frequently (and on a much larger scale).

Please make a play area with padded ground. Huge baby boom in Heathridge, this will allow a local playground to rival most and stop us from having to leave our suburb. Consider a squash court instead of 6 tennis courts. Thank you

Looks great, please also consider a water fountain and some exercise equipment as this will become an important area for locals to exercise at, particularly those with kids.

This proposed development is very much in line with the close community that has been forged in Heathridge over the past few years and will only Strengthen it. This area is well past it's used by date and is in desperate need of something like this!

Please install some exercise machines along walking path.

I am concerned that with the plans for the new redevelopment that the seniors that play badminton at Heathridge may be asked to contribute funds to play. At present because we are an over 55 aged group, we do not have to pay to play. I would be more supportive of the plans if we had a guarantee that we could continue playing our badminton as usual. There are a number of players that are in their 70's and 80's and it is an outlet that keeps up their health and strength and so the community does not need to provide assistance for their upkeep in their homes. Some players are upset that they will lose their badminton courts at Duncraig because of the Churches taking over the building. The council has an obligation to provide support for the seniors in the community and we are hoping that they will continue to support the seniors so that they do not pay for playing badminton.

Just feel the parking facilities feel light on for the sheer volume of use. Particularly by the Amateur and junior football club. This will lead to overspill of parking onto the side roads and private housing verges. Also think the 2nd Oval needs to be bigger to accommodate the volume during football seasons.

I would like to see dogs allowed at Heathridge park. Additional seating in shaded areas would also be good to have.

The Ocean Ridge Tennis Club has a particularly good view of the courts, due to the careful planning originally, which included feedback and advice from the club members and players at the time of planning, prior to construction.

A great asset for the area as it transfers from a rental suburb to a more permanent residential area, especially younger families. I think that a skate park similar to the Ocean Reef Skate Park would also be a handy addition

#### Go the ORJFC

The tennis courts are utilised by a cross-section of the community (men & women of all ages) 7 days a week, including nights and all year round – unlike the playing fields which are only used seasonally on weekends. The consultation process was exceedingly poor and definitely did not take into account any feedback from the tennis playing community, actual users of the Park.

Any multi-purpose building must be double storey, have elevated viewing to replace what is already in existence and have sufficient space to accommodate all users, including storage. Trees planted should consider the tennis courts. Currently there is a problem with pine needles and tree litter causing hazard to players.

A variety of groups, genders, ages etc use these tennis courts 7 days/week- all year round! No other sport There can say the same. The clubhouse was planned to have good views from an elevated level- that can't change. New trees will be good if those pines can be replaced.

This would be an amazing infrastructure to have in our neighbourhood. This area is always busy and definitely needs to be updated to keep up with the demand. It would really draw more people to our beautiful suburb.

The new building should have room for activities e.g. line dancing, sport ego badminton, as well as meetings and craft groups. There need to be toilets at each corner i.e. 4 around the site for seniors! There needs to be an activity area for teenagers e.g. skate park or climbing wall or zip line, not just for toddlers

This is an important -- vital, in fact --- community facility that should be retained, maintained and improved as much as possible, wherever and whenever. Even though I live in Mullaloo, I can remember many happy hours with the Mullaloo Judo Club, practising and teaching young people the sport of judo. I have been to numerous football matches with children and grandchildren, along with hundreds of other families. While it calls for significant expenditure, has the prospect of sponsorship (a la "Optus Arena") been considered? It's a great opportunity for exposure for a sponsor and therefore, the city. I'd be happy to come up with some ideas to promote the concept, if needed.

I very strongly want to have 4 badminton courts in the new facility with the same over 55's seniors club rebate. I would like to be assured that this will happen. I play with Wallabies Badminton Club, which are all seniors and mostly pensioners. It is important to have many badminton facilities in the Joondalup City to accommodate the growing number of seniors in the area.

I am the Club Captain of Duncraig Tennis Club, our club plays Monday and Wednesday mornings at the courts in Sail Terrace, I am worried there will be no place for us at the new facilities. We do not want to have to move again! About ten years ago we were forced to move from Duncraig near the Library where we enjoyed a good standard of facilities and storage. Now we only have the access to the toilets. We desperately need storage for our court sweepers which perish in the sun where we have to keep them inside the locked courts. Looking at the plans, it looks like the new Club rooms will be down the hill which now separates the two blocks of courts, can tennis be viewed from the club rooms? as it looks like you would have to look up the hill.

1. I'd like to see that no trees are lost 2. I assume that the multipurpose building caters for amenities (toilets / showers / drinking water fountains).

I think this will be a great development for Heathridge residents of all ages.

I am a member of the Tennis Club there, was shocked and surprised of the redevelopment plans diminishing the number of courts. I feel the consultation process was exceedingly poor and definitely did not take into account any feedback from the tennis playing community, actual users of the Park.

The consultation process was exceedingly poor and definitely did not take into account any feedback from the tennis playing community, actual users of the Park.

The existing Community Centre could be refurbished at a much lower cost. The existing clubrooms could be demolished, and a new better clubroom built on the western edge of the relocated full-size oval. Instead of relocating the sump use detention tanks and turn that area into a carpark to help solve the existing car park shortage.

The idea of a tennis club rooms is to overlook the courts otherwise it's called a storeroom and you have no facilities to watch the tennis typically planners only half right.

If this goes ahead, do not increase our rates to cover the cost (it's already too much) Leave the trees alone. Who's complaining about the existing setup? The drainage sump relocation to where? Waste of taxpayers' money. Forget about the half basketball court, there's a handball court already there but I have never seen anyone use it. Money could be spent a lot wiser; this is overkill -get another Consultant & make things a lot simpler. Regards, [- - -] -resident for 12 years.

1The consultation exceedingly poor. 2,Definitely did not take any feedback from the tennis playing community actual users.3 Courts are in use 7 days a week all year round.4 Tennis users will be the only group disadvantage by concept plan.5 Any multi-purpose building MUST be double storey, elevating viewing replacing that is existing and accommodate all user ,including storage.6 Trees planted around the courts currently are a problem ,pine needles, tree litter causing hazard to our players .

Would love to see a larger outdoor basketball court installed there are very little outdoor courts within a walkable distance. The current courts are the closest to me and are subpar in size so any improvement to them would be welcomed.

Nature play area - please install a mud kitchen in a sand pit area surrounded by a natural wind break barrier (See Kingsley Dinosaur Park for ideas). #please install a three step through water play equipment like at Mueller Park but take into consideration how to deal with need in the planning process. #Please install a hill slide suitable for 1-3yo. #Please install two expression swings. https://imaginationplay.com.au/expression-swing/ #Please install excellent shading i.e. multiple sail shades. Please consider wind breaks when designing nature play area. Please design with 0-4 yo age group in mind. Most playgrounds have nothing suitable for this age group despite being the number one user as they are not at school. Plan at least 3 suitable activities as a minimum. #please consider a fenced area around nature play playground to keep out dogs and keep young children away from the ovals. #please consider either sand or soft cushion foam type ground cover versus woodchip (woodchip is a OH&S safety hazard. #please consider adding a water play area similar to Kingsley Dinosaur Park. #consider situation toilet blocks for women and men at different locations to facilitate increased safety. #consider passive surveillance in line of site of plan.

I have been a consistent user of the Park thru tennis and junior/ senior footy for the past 15 years. As Father Time has caught up with me and mobility is an issue, I ask that increased disabled bays be considered. Maybe for rainy days there could be a couple of bays that disabled people could sit in their car and watch the footy. Perhaps 2 bays in the southern section of the northern car park. Also, I would like to see another car park named car park 2 on the Southern side of the oval with entrance from Ocean Reef Road. I know the bureaucrats will grizzle as it comes off a main road (ocean reef road) with a 70k speed limit. However, on a footy match day there is already 20 plus cars parking on the verge where I am suggesting a car park be properly established. It could also have a couple of disabled bays there. It is excellent viewing from the hill and again on rainy days people could watch the footy from their cars. My compliments to the City for having a go at this redevelopment and to the Planners for basically starting again with a decent plan rather than a band aid approach.

Ideally more parking given that parking is already inadequate. AFLW will continue to grow which will increase parking pressure. In addition, it would be great to have a second story added to the new facility to improve viewing and allow audiences to be separated if required (e.g. over and under 18)

I think this is long overdue and the number of patrons using these facilities would welcome a new clean and well-designed sporting clubroom that is innovative and built to last for the future with plenty of room to grow. This concept will revitalise the area.

I'd like the oval to remain the same amateur playing size as now. And the old building actual leisure centre should remain so as to not overload the new building with so many different groups using. This won't be big enough. More parking will be needed as Joondalup rangers already fine patrons of the oval playing their sport on a Saturday which isn't acceptable if there isn't enough parking.

Do not support the loss of so many tennis courts. Need like for like.

Move the cricket nets closer to the car park clubrooms

I am concerned that current facilities that serve around 30 groups will be lost and that there will be fewer facilities and club rooms in the one large building. I suggest the existing facilities and buildings be renovated and upgraded which would cost substantially less than the \$15.7m estimated in the draft Concept Plan. The centralising of the facilities and groups into one building makes it vulnerable to management outsourcing as occurred at the Duncraig Leisure Centre and subsequent loss of space and facilities to user groups.

I strongly support everything being done to get this new develop underway! However, I believe the draft concept does not reflect what is needed down at Heathridge. We are in need of at least a 2-storey building/club room to be able to hold the amount of members at both junior and senior level. Including large change rooms for both men and women. The bar area should be on the top floor with possibly a viewing deck/balcony for fellow members and supporters. Lastly the ovals need as much playing surfaces as possible! I think the oval plan doesn't reflect how big the space can be used. Thanks for all you're efforts. We are so happy to see the progress moving forward.

Create better tennis club facilities to attract more members instead of demolishing courts!!! As a former state, national and international player this is the smallest club I have ever been a part of, yet it is by far the most genuine and supportive club. It has the potential to be an amazing club if you take the time to develop it correctly and not take away courts. Yes, it's old and needs to be revamped but don't demolish the courts. The less courts you have the less potential for the club to succeed.

Relocating the drainage sump away from the residents on Siren Road Is a great idea as there is a few families with children and it must be a worry for them on the few times it floods and in summer time it must be a great place for snakes, I fully support moving the drain to the Ocean Reef Road location. The walkway is also fantastic for young families and the older generation too.

The above table does not include the proposed basketball half court. Without being able to play a proper game, a half court will encourage youths to congregate and shoot balls at any time of the day or night. The constant banging of a basketball against the back board and the rebound on the bitumen will be very loud and annoying for nearby residents in Sail Tce or Skiff Way. Whilst basketball is a good idea I would suggest, if at all possible, there should be a proper court and that it should be at a greater distance from houses, say closer to Ocean Reef Road

As an experienced competition tennis player, it is essential that you provide the most versatile tennis court surface. do not lay concrete! It causes severe knee degeneration and of course is unforgiving if you fall over. And also the balls deteriorate more quickly on this surface. The best surface by far is synthetic grass. Nice to play on and suits all levels of tennis ability. If you want a vibrant and well attended tennis club, the courts must be synthetic grass!!!!

The existing trees should be protected in any redesign of this space, not cut down to make way for it. The current buildings provide opportunity for several different groups and activities to take place all at once. The new design reduces the capacity overall by not providing the smaller spaces. Which groups will no longer be accommodated in this new design? Rather than spend money building a new building the city should ensure all groups are provided for within the current building and through reconfiguration and renovation of existing structures. By all means fill in the sump but create more public open space in doing so and don't sell it off to the highest bidder. A growing population needs more than just sports facilities, it needs places where interest groups, both large and small, can meet and participate in all sorts of interesting activities. So, my comment is don't reduce the capacity or facilities to get a shiny new building, work on improving the current environment and facilities.

I think that the current facilities are old, outdated and not sufficient for the current use by the community. The proposed plan not only looks fantastic but is more in line with the use and the local area.

Please look to retain number of tennis courts

Replacement of trees should be a priority

Include 2 basketball courts. Our club has a significant number of teams and we find it very difficult to secure court training sessions because of the high demand from all clubs within the Wanneroo Basketball Association. We currently use the facility under the Joondalup Lakers Basketball Club.

The Oceanridge tennis club is an amazing family club and demolishing the facilities will mean that countless tennis players will need to relocate to other clubs to continue playing this wonderful sport, many will be lost forever to the club should this be allowed to happen!

Please consider installation of extra parking. The car parks are overloaded and our streets are packed with hoons speeding to and from games and training 3 times per week. I think a slip road off ocean reef road would be a great location to steer the traffic away from the local streets.

Why get rid of tennis courts for another oval? We have seniors and juniors playing tennis too. Why can't they play on the same oval at different times?

There is 100% room for a small skatepark such as the one at Wanneroo showgrounds (without the bowls) or even just a rail and a manual box.

The concept is great and long overdue.

There was no consultation with groups prior to creating the concept plan. The tennis club plays all year round and is most effected by the plan as it reduces the tennis courts substantially. Originally the founding member raised the money for the club on its present site and there is no acknowledgement of this. I strongly oppose the reduction of tennis courts as it is very short sighted as ultimately the population will increase for all sports and tennis needs 6 courts as a minimum maybe even more in the future. With the council increasing housing density requirements to accommodate the population there is no acknowledgement that tennis will increase not decrease. The new plan doesn't allow the clubrooms to overlook the tennis courts as is the present state. So, spectators can watch in the shade the tennis games. Aligning the clubrooms with other sports will greatly cause conflict at certain times of the year when football is played. Presently we are separate. Tennis played all year round and this hasn't been taken into consideration. The council has been very ill informed in making this draft plan as none of the community has been consulted. A similar problem happened in Craigie with football oval then two years ago. At present the tennis courts cater for disability. This doesn't appear to be the case with reducing the courts and aligning the clubrooms. I believe the clubroom should stay in the present position for tennis and football. Separate clubrooms. I am opposed to any major change as more land should be made available not less.

I quite often play with kids in this park. I believe it requires way bigger playground/nature play area. It is one of the main parks in the area. It needs to be big enough to have good amount of kids there.

Make the basketball courts full sized !!!

Heathridge Park - Draft Concept Plan. Beldon Scout Group Submission. Background: Beldon Scout Group has used Guy Daniel clubroom since it was opened in 1982. Using the hall on two to three nights a week. The group was granted use of the Pottery room at the Heathridge Recreation Centre in 2013 after the Tennis club took back the area we used as a storeroom. This has worked well for the group as all camping gear and trailers can be stored in one place and not at leaders' homes (which has helped with insurance cover for gear). Future Requirements: With the development of the new facility, Beldon Scout Group would be requesting accommodation that is no smaller than the areas currently held. The hall area would need a high ceiling to allow for games and activities in the hall. Also, a kitchen area for cooking activities. It would be advantages if the Storage area could be connected to the hall area. This would allow for leaders to get gear required for nightly activities also office space that is accessible on meeting nights. The store would also need external access from the car park, to allow for trailers being loaded and stored in this area. The store would also need to be accessible at all times, to allow leaders and committee to check and repair gear and plan for upcoming events. Concept Plan: I am happy with the changes mad with the park. Although I am concerned that the hall area may not be adequate to cope with the current user groups. For example, the Football/Cricket clubs and Tennis club would need Dedicated clubrooms with bar facilities and change room facilities. The Beldon Scout Group would need a Hall area that could be used for 2 to 3 nights a week plus the permanent storage area. There is then the Child Health Clinic and other permanent user groups. How would this plan fit for expansion of user groups in the future? Building Considerations: 1. Halls need to be sound proofed so users in one area do not impinge on other users. E.g. Loud games being played in the hall or basketball court and a group having a business meeting in an adjoining meeting room. 2. Parking area larger than current number of bays in total. 3. Hall area needs dedicated toilet facilities away from general user area. This is to allow for child safe precautions when youth groups are using the Hall. 4. Shower / changing area for when Scouts have wet night activities. 5. Gas bottle storage cage. Most user groups have BBQ's that they use, current regulations do not allow the storage of Gas Bottles for these BBQ's on site. 6. Area of existing Guy Danial clubrooms be converted to a grassed assembly/brake out space for the new hall that leads out that side. 7. Ability to hang Flags and Projection Screen in the hall.

6 Tennis courts seem inadequate if Pennant Teams, coaching, School holiday clinics and other users are all there at the same time, all wanting to spread out. Balls could also all get mixed up. It also limits club expansion, particularly on great tennis days when everyone turns up or when larger numbers join the clubs. Maybe an extra court could be squeezed in as a dedicated coaching or warm up court for pennant players. Perhaps on area 8 (cricket net area) and this moved between areas 15 and 17 or on area 7 (basketball court area). Perhaps area 7 could be a multi-use court with different painted lines for different uses. I belong to the small Duncraig Tennis Club. We are mostly on low incomes so don't want to lose access to the courts and toilet facilities hiring directly from the Council and be forced to move again or join a more expensive club. However, we would also like access to some storage for our wet weather court sweepers which deteriorate when kept in the open. I am concerned that the one multipurpose building won't be big enough to cater for all the existing users as there is no plan drawn. Perhaps it will be two stories? Will there be enough indoor courts? Perhaps separate, multi-use indoor courts could also be used for tennis, particularly on wet days. Design of the building then is crucial.

It seems to be a Plan which is attempting to meet a large no of demands, for the community.

Similarly to issues at Admiral Park, I would like to see an increase of car parking space made available as at peak sporting times, the number of cars parked on the streets and footpaths causes significant issues for people living locally and at times is extremely dangerous.

I believe it has to be a do it once, do it right mentality as you won't want the current clubs to outgrow it in 5-10 years' time, coming back to council with further enhancements needed to keep up with growth. Following amendments suggested: Two storey facility to make the most of the new position which will able the facility to maximise storage for any future growth and allow room for changerooms, Clubrooms etc to be big enough to cope with any future growth and maximise the use of the facility. Car parks need to be larger. There would be anywhere to around 100 cars parked on verges on a Saturday football day. Current proposal is inadequate to address this. Second oval needs to be larger. Having a junior oval over a second senior size oval seems pointless with the amount of traffic it currently has during a football season. Having 5-6 senior football sides struggling for adequate room as is I don't believe a junior oval would help address the issues by much. If this means making the first oval slightly smaller to accommodate, I believe is a smart choice. Current first oval is one of if not the largest playing size in Perth so there is still plenty of scope to slightly minimise. Layout and positioning of everything is good apart from sizing issues though. Cheers

The Guy Daniels Clubrooms function well in their current configuration for both the tennis club, community group meetings and for the infant health nurse's office. The balcony especially gives good viewing of the show courts for pennants tennis and club tournaments. This area should be retained and to destroy would be a travesty to the club founders who mortgaged their homes for its' construction.

The plan seems too ambitious and expensive and will adversely impact some groups. My experience with shared facilities is that the major group is catered for adequately and the other groups are dissatisfied.

Upgrade the existing facilities

The cricket club really needs a turf wicket to succeed and grow over the next few years. Also viewing areas needs to be improved

Would be good to have a skate park built as well, as Ocean Reef one is always busy.

I think this is fantastic. Ocean ridge is at the heart of the northern suburbs clubs, which is not only growing but getting stronger. To have high quality facilities, not only benefits the players, it helps with maintaining your player base and recruiting new talent to compete with some of the larger clubs who currently have better facilities most notably north beach

I strongly support the Heathridge park concept plan. If these works go ahead, this will definitely be a space that our family will use more often.

I support the new multi-purpose building but it doesn't look to have enough rooms to cater for other users. viewing areas look inadequate and being single story is a missed opportunity form a floor area and viewing point of view for all users. Second oval is a must, but must be the largest possible for the area. Needs to be 10 tennis courts as a minimum for the future of the ORTC. Anything less sees them at a disadvantage

Having been part of the community and enjoying the facilities over many years through the Ocean Ridge football club I'm very excited to finally see some development being done in this space, given how much space there is and that it is finally being used appropriately. My only concern is that, even though the new building size is quite substantial, given the amount of teams and people that use the facilities especially on weekends would it still be better to split the building and keep the basketball and all involved using the indoor facilities separate from the football and cricket club? This way responsibilities over little things like canteen and who runs it on a weekend can be identified easier? and to try and reduce congestion with people moving through the facility and trying to find parking, as during football season you've got a minimum of 132 people just with three football teams not including supporters and support staff as well as the other sports going on at the same time?

Tennis Club & Courts have the most to lose with this new proposal. Courts are used 7 days a week 52 weeks of the year, used by community members aged from 3 to 85+ years - it's a waste of money to break something down that isn't broke. There is more than enough space to build something for the Footy and Cricket whom need something new but not at the detriment of the Tennis Club!! Stop wasting taxpayers money - my money!!

The established building used by ORTC has a natural view over the courts due to its long veranda and is protected by the building. The rooms have a fairly new kitchen and bar area and also have toilet and changing facilities for both male and female participants. The tennis courts are used by the club on regular club days as well as visitors paying a fee and individual members organising their own playing arrangements. The building and facilities are in good repair and do not need to be demolished. The veranda is also use for concert nights such as Carols by Candlelight

Love this idea as someone's house that backs onto the park. It is much needed upgrade.

I strongly oppose the decrease in the number of courts as it will have a negative impact on the amenity and community. An example would be by reducing from 10 courts to 6 we would no longer be able to hold championships or tournaments due to the lower capacity in available courts. Further to this we will be unable to support the upcoming generations in joining tennis as there will not be enough courts to support the increase in members.

please include some sort of skate park / bike track for the local autistic children to do that are unable to take part / keep up with proposed plans so they can feel included and have a safe place to play in the community

Don't touch the tennis courts and I don't care what else you do

soft padded play area for toddlers due to the recent baby boom in Heathridge

The Heathridge Park redevelopment plan is well-timed and I believe it is needed to support the large number of growing families in the Heathridge area.

I strongly oppose the reduction in the tennis courts, facilities and demolition of the current club house. ORTC has been located in this building for over 20 years and has regular community events that are well attended. The club membership is rising with few other tennis facilities in the expanding northern coastal suburbs. At times the club has used all 10 courts for competition and social tennis.

As a member of the tennis club I am upset to hear that the development considered reducing courts. In respect to trees careful consideration needs to be taken into account with the tennis courts as leaves and debris is a problem at the moment from some of the trees.

I believe the parking facilities need to be extended even more so. I have played at Ocean Ridge Football Club both juniors and amateurs for the last 7-8 years and have firsthand experienced how difficult the parking can be. Even at 11am on a Saturday morning when the reserves players game starts, parking is already at peak capacity with overflow on to the roads. You can only imagine how much worse it gets for the league game. That is my main concern with this concept draft. I think it would be fantastic to see the viewing / seating area, fitted to hold more spectators, I also think, thinking long term the multipurpose building will be large enough to accommodate all sports and spectators at Heathridge Park, although I feel as though a design somewhat similar to Kingsway reserve with their 2 storey building and bar may be better suited. Heathridge Park attracts a large number of supporters, spectators and players on weekends and I do believe it is vital for the council to do their best to get it right from the start. I have faith in the council that this will be done, but I really am hoping these concerns will be adhered to. I look forward to spending the next 30+ years around this venue, so I am really hopeful that it will be built with the future in mind. Parking needs to be a priority. My one last concern is; I can't quite see how many flood lights will be at this new oval but it does need to be improved, brightened up and more there so night game's and trainings can really take off. Many Thanks, [......]

The new multi-purpose building is great in theory as long as the current groups are allocated suitable storage facilities. Our Scout group current has and uses a large storage are with space to store both of our trailers. Losing such a space would be detrimental to the growth of the group and the services we offer the young people within our local community.

love to see it go ahead

Sounds like a lot of positive infrastructure for the local residents.

1) It's not clear from the plans- but what elements of the plan are designed to bring LOCAL people together at the Park. Often sporting groups are not actually 'local' but drive in form outer suburbs. For example, would the multi-purpose building house a community cafe? 2) Many similar parks have extremely high dog walking use- at times they appear to be used essentially as dog toilets. I haven't heard of any problems arising from this- but has it been a consideration in the design? Does it need to be? 3) There is a plan to increase the number of trees. Is the intent to improve natural shading or to contribute in some way to natural habitats for bird/insects? I have observed a steady loss of habitat for smaller birds in Joondalup (including the loss of gardens as suburbs are densifying). Have naturalists been consulted about ways the 'green-space' could be designed so as to support a wider diversity of bird life?

Please consider adding more parking even street parking all around the facility. As a parent the parking is incredibly difficult on sporting days and even when using the community centre for things like art classes. I can't stress parking enough as everything else looks brilliant but without sufficient parking the oval will still be one that the community complains about

is there some way of retaining some things of the old buildings, other than photos, as a way linking the old and the new? An art piece that incorporates these salvaged materials perhaps? Will old play equipment be refurbished and reused/repurposed? Will the remainder of materials from demolished buildings be recycled or disposed other than landfill? Will existing clubrooms be relocated? cricket, tennis, football all have the need for memorabilia/trophies to be displayed. Will child health facility be retained or space for this service to continue?

How is the plan likely to achieve operational savings? This was not stated.

Would love to see a great playground for the kids (additional to nature play area), something gated with play equipment and shade. There are a lot of young families around who would use the playground in conjunction with the new facilities planned such a BBQ area etc

Reducing facilities will not help Heathridge and the wider Joondalup Residents. Tennis players pushed out of Duncraig LC now playing here will be negatively impacted again. Heathridge is losing 420 sq m of building. That is the equivalent of the Guy Daniels bldg. Circulation space comes down by 125 sq m and toilets and change rooms goes up by 115 sq m. Sports hall comes down by 30 sq m and stores goes up by 25 sq m. Activity space is the big loser with a drop of 330 sq m. A 27.5% reduction. Where will the smaller groups like the Child Health Centre, Playgroup and Creche, Yoga, Pottery, Anchors Youth Centre and RSL advisory centre go? These facilities have just had a lot of money spent on them. I do not feel that the community has been meaningfully consulted with for this project which appears to have been fast forwarded. Why the rush? Please don't demolish buildings that still have a good many years of service still left in them. Please reconsider. Simple upgrades to the buildings make better economic sense and an excess of money to do the re-vegetation and footpath/exercise loop - without all the destruction. Be creative - make the most of what is there without tearing things down. Improve the parking too and storage for current users - without all this other expense to ratepayers please. Better use of funds is appreciated. Users are not going to be pleased with this result.

I appreciate the building will be used for many community and sporting groups, but I am disappointed the spectators for football and cricket don't have an adequate viewing area. It would have been nice to have had a balcony, like most football and sports' clubs have, where we can look down on the whole oval, giving better viewing for the number of spectators we get at home games, especially during the football season. The building itself is a nice design for indoor community groups or a library etc, but for a large membership of both the junior and senior, football and cricket clubs seem to have been left out in the design consideration.

As a senior's group we come to together for exercise & socialising.

Strongly oppose losing view from above to look down on tennis courts and also tennis courts, which is needed and is usually part of all tennis clubs, the new ( if there is to be one) clubhouse should be double story to cater for viewing for both footy, cricket and tennis.

Yes - primarily that the consultation process was gravely lacking. The consultant expressly informed us he did not want to hear our planning strategies for the Tennis club, just answer his questions only which related to current numbers, floor space and previous improvements. That is all we were allowed to comment on. Further, while we agree that the football and cricket amenities require upgrading, we do not believe this should be done at the expense of the tennis club. Both football and cricket only use the ovals for 6 months of the year and primarily on weekends, while tennis is a sport enjoyed all year round and every day & night, by people of all ages! We have several club members aged over 80 who regularly play tennis. It is a fact that playing tennis increases longevity by almost 10 years, a terrific indictment of the sport. This draft concept plan guarantees the destruction of Ocean Ridge Tennis Club by reducing the courts below 10, the minimum number of courts required to participate in Tennis Australia sanctioned tournaments. Removing the viewing balcony does further damage. Ocean Ridge Tennis Club has fought for many years to host sanctioned tournaments and will host the first Junior Tournament for the club in January 2021. The proposed draft ensures our club can never do so again. Local pennant play will be severely curtailed. We strongly oppose the reduction in tennis courts and we ask the City of Joondalup to advise how it will assist our club to grow into the future, and support our club to reach higher participation levels? This draft plan is not the answer. If all key stakeholders are to be housed in one building, it must be double storied at a minimum. This was unanimously agreed upon by all key stakeholders and advised to both the consultant and the City of Joondalup many times, yet the proposal is for a single story building. Unacceptable and shortsighted. If money is going to be spent making the venue better, at least ensure it is done properly so that once complete, it's not already insufficient for use. Please review and begin again.

The tennis club should not be sacrificed to allow the football & cricket better facilities. It is possible to improve the facilities and retain 10 tennis courts with balcony viewing.

The parking is inadequate and so are the changerooms for football players. These can be improved at much lower costs. I am dead set against the removal of any tennis courts! The club need to retain 10 courts with balcony viewing at all costs. This was stressed when talks began and has never changed, why isn't the City of Joondalup listening to the rate payers????? Tennis increases longevity by almost 10 years and there are a lot of older players at Ocean Ridge.

Don't like the idea of re-vegetated areas due to tree loss. There shouldn't be any tree loss at all, then you won't have to revegetate!! Then money would be saved.

Would be great if Heathridge could get a bike park like Kingsley, Our parks are outdated and boring, kids have been building their own jumps and tracks so it would be great if you also looked at updating one of the smaller parks to a bike path, please.

Last week [......] and I met with [......] (the City) about the proposed development of the Heathridge Community Centre and found it a very informative and satisfactory session. The meeting addressed all concerns raised by members of the Oceanridge Seniors Badminton club and we are now happy to fully support this redevelopment.

Our club has shown growth over a number of years and would benefit from more dance space.

More lighting in the carpark. Make tennis wall bigger.

I have been a member of Ocean Ridge Tennis Club for 39 years and have seen many people in the community participate over the years by way of pennants tennis, social games, coaching and in general used by men, women and children of all ages. I am very surprised that the Tennis club (which the members built) appears to be the main tenant being disadvantaged by the reduced courts and possible lack of consideration in relation to a club house replacement. I am surprised at the lack of consultation for the present draft concept plan as I am sure that a more thorough and complete review would support the maintaining of the current tennis court numbers and the service we provide the community. We do agree that an upgrade is necessary to the clubhouse and facilities.

I often used the half Tennis Wall for individual practice...this is one of the few available in Joondalup. The Tennis Club needs storage space for rainwater clearing equipment & furniture.

Major concerns include additional traffic in dangerous area, noise pollution – natural amphitheatre magnifies noise. Light pollution- have only recently had ongoing problem addresses. Additional comments feedback regarding Heathridge Park Draft Concept Plan:

- the proposed multipurpose building to replace three existing buildings it is obvious that what used to be the recreation centre has been neglected and run down for years. It previously was staffed at reception and I have enjoyed attending both day-and-night classes in the past until staff were withdrawn and classes stopped. years has looked It is ready to be knocked down. The child-minding centre for years has looked more like a 3rd world detention centre with bare concrete, an old sandpit and no colour, an absolute disgrace. Despite my raising the matter with previous Heathridge Councillors nothing was done. Apparently, the space is let to a private group of mothers. As I have commented in my email to the CEO, they are currently 12 little children babies to pre-schoolers in the block from Siren Road along Sail Terrace to the tennis court entrance and the council is not catering for them.
- Enhanced/ reconfigured car parking facilities I am a long-term resident of Heathridge, around 24 years and the last senior standing in my block opposite the park. I suggested to Council many years ago the car park needed expanding as usual fell on deaf ears! However, it would appear that council proposes knocking down the tennis club building and extending parking there that is a blind corner!!!
- I sent an email to Joondalup CEO including photographs of blind corner and also emailed [......] photos to illustrate dangerous traffic in Sail Terrace, e.g. boys on bikes riding wrong side of road etc dated 23/08/2020. Following my email to Mr. Hunt on 23/07/2020 outlining my concerns and requesting (again!) traffic calming installations The City Engineer visited my property and agreed that the corner of Sail Terrace in Steamer Court is a blind corner, but the city has not budgeted to address the matter. Almost 6 months later and the Street is about to have hordes of people attend carols by candlelight nothing has been done. Of course, I should know by now that council excels at doing nothing.

This is the area you propose to knock down the tennis club building which has had a fortune spent on renovations inside and out including new toilet block in asbestos removal and new roof. I raised the question of dangerous traffic hazard i.e. blind corner where the proposed new clubhouse facilities will be built and found the plan for Heathridge Park redevelopment had not considered traffic flow and safety at the tennis court end of the park! Unbelievable!

- Realignment of juniors and seniors ovals both with floodlighting. Please do not inflict any more bright lights which penetrate my venetian blinds.
- Informal amphitheatre/seating event space. Heathridge park is situated in a densely populated residential area. Every sound is magnified and penetrates my home through closed doors and windows. It is not an appropriate area for an event space. Keep noisy events in the city area!