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## 1. Introduction

To assess the risk to its assets and plan for the future, the City of Joondalup (City) is undertaking the Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning (CHRMAP) process in line with the recommendation of the State Coastal Planning Policy (SPP2.6, WAPC 2013). The City have engaged specialist coast and port engineers M P Rogers and Associates Pty Ltd (MRA) to assist with the CHRMAP process.

As part of the CHRMAP process, the validity of adaptation options determined using a multi criteria analysis are assessed using a cost benefit analysis (CBA). This report outlines the assumptions, methods and results of the CBA conducted as part of the City's CHRMAP. Further information and context is provided in the overarching City of Joondalup Coastal Hazard Risk Management \& Adaptation Plan report (MRA 2020).

Separate CBA's have been completed for each of the City's identified coastal nodes. The adaptation options considered for each of the coastal nodes are presented in Table 1.1. The selection of the adaptation options was completed through the use of a Multi Criteria Assessment as part of the City's CHRMAP (MRA 2020).

Table 1.1 Adaptation Options Considered for each Coastal Node (MRA 2020)

| Coastal Node | Name | Do Nothing | Seawall | Managed Retreat | Groynes | Headlands | Beach Nourishment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Marmion | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | Sorrento | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | Hillarys to Pinnaroo Point | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | Mullaloo | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Ocean Reef | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Iluka | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | Burns <br> Beach | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |

## 2. General Assumptions

This cost benefit analysis assumes that the coastal erosion hazard lines are realised. It is important to note that this would require a number of factors to occur and that there is a likelihood associated with each of these factors. There are a number of complexities and assumptions associated with the analysis, as outlined herein, and the future costs (especially social and environmental) are relatively uncertain and subject to change.

The costs determined in this analysis have been determined for the City's assets only. The effects of the coastal hazards on private and commercial property and assets have been excluded from the analysis. As such the direct cost to the City has been determined.

It should be noted that there will likely also be a significant cost to private land and infrastructure which has not been considered within this analysis. While this may not cause a direct cost to the City it will likely still have a social and economic cost upon the City.

This analysis serves to outline the high level costs and benefits associated with several potential adaptation options that have been developed at a very early concept level. There are various recommendations regarding appropriate discount rates for local governments, including: https://www.aph.gov.au/About Parliament/Parliamentary Departments/Parliamentary Library/Flag Post/2018/October/Discount-rates. All of the costs presented in the analysis are un-escalated over the 100 year planning timeframe. As such, a discount rate of $3 \%$ has been adopted. The present value calculations are extremely sensitive to this rate, given the long timeframe being considered. A sensitivity analysis can be completed or cumulative cashflow can be presented rather than Net Present Values (NPV).

Using the costs and benefits across the 100 year planning horizon, a cost benefit ratio was determined for each of the options. These cost benefit ratios have then been used to rank the adaptation options for each of the City's coastal nodes. The Cost Benefit Analyses for each Coastal Node are included in Appendix A.

## 3. Do Nothing (Baseline) Option

The Do Nothing Option involves allowing the shoreline to recede naturally and doing the bare minimum in terms of mitigation throughout the 100 year planning horizon.

### 3.1 Adaptation Costs

Given that the erosion of the relevant assets into the ocean is unlikely to be accepted, a cost for the demolition of these assets has been included. The following demolition rates have been adopted:

Table 3.1 Demolition Rates

| Asset Type | Demolition Rate (\$/Unit) | Demolition Unit | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreshore Facilities | 10 | m |  |
| Path | 20 | m | RBB (2018): \$9/m2, 2 m wide path $=\$ 18 / \mathrm{m} \times 1.15$ (to include preliminaries) $=\$ 20.7 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Beach Access Way | 20 | Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { RBB (2018): } \$ 9 / \mathrm{m} 2 \text { path } \times 15 \mathrm{~m} 2+\$ 25 / \mathrm{m} 2 \text { stairs } \times \\ 5 \mathrm{~m} 2=\text { total } \times 1.15=\$ 299 \end{gathered}$ |
| Fencing | 20 | m |  |
| Landscaped Park | 10 | m2 | RBB (2018): $\$ 7 / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 1.15=\$ 8.05 / \mathrm{m} 2$ for vegetation, allow more with assets |
| Carpark | 10 | m2 | RBB (2018): \$9/m2 $1.15=\$ 10.35 / \mathrm{m} 2$ |
| Single storey buildings, toilet, changerooms etc | 60 | m2 | RBB (2018): \$35-50/m2 $1.15=\$ 40.25-57.5 / \mathrm{m} 2$ |
| Major Road | 200 | m | RBB (2018): \$9/m2, 12 m wide path $=\$ 108 / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{x}$ $1.15=\$ 124.2 / \mathrm{m}$. Allow for services as well. |
| Minor Road | 100 | m | RBB (2018): $\$ 9 / \mathrm{m} 2,6 \mathrm{~m}$ wide path $=\$ 54 / \mathrm{m} \times 1.15$ $=\$ 62.1 / \mathrm{m}$. Allow for services as well. |

Note 1: Rates primarily taken from Ralph Beattie Boseman Compendium (RBB 2018)
The demolition rates provided in Table 3.1 above have been used uniformly for all of the adaptation options and across all timeframes. The demolition costs are calculated for the 2020, 2065 and 2115 timeframes, based on the quantities impacted tabled in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment (MRA 2020) and the demolition rates provided in Table 3.1. These demolition costs are then included in the cost benefit analysis as part of the adaptation costs.

### 3.2 Economic Costs

In addition to the adaptation cost there is also an economic cost associated with the loss of assets. The economic costs of losing assets were calculated for each of the relevant timeframes, based on the potential costs in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment (MRA 2020). These potential
costs were determined using the City's asset book data and other available costing guidelines as discussed in the CHRMAP report (MRA 2020). The total economic costs were included in the analysis under economic cost, for each of the relevant timeframes.

### 3.3 Social \& Environmental Costs

There is a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of vegetation and foreshore park area. These have been quantified based on available literature, including an economic study in New South Wales to determine such values for the purposes of cost benefit analyses (Pascoe et al 2017). There is also a social and environmental benefit associated with the direct and nondirect use of the available beach and surrounding reserve areas. These have also been quantified by Pascoe et al (2017).

The study outlines use (direct and indirect) and non-use (existence and bequest) economic values for similar cost benefit analyses within the Sydney region, extracts of which are shown in Figure 3.1.

| Table 4. Examples of use values for a range of beaches |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Table 3. Derived non-use values per hectare in a range of NSW coastal regions |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (\$m/ha) |  |  |  |$\quad$|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Sydney coastal regions |  |  |
|  | Waverly | Manly | Warringah |
| Households | 32,300 | 18,050 | 58,300 |
| Sandy Beach | $\$ 1.44$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 2.60$ |
| Headland | $\$ 1.15$ | $\$ 0.64$ | $\$ 2.07$ |
| Rocky shoreline | $\$ 0.68$ | $\$ 0.38$ | $\$ 1.24$ |
| Dunes | $\$ 1.01$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 1.82$ |
| Adjacent Scrubland | $\$ 0.82$ | $\$ 0.46$ | $\$ 1.48$ |
| Freshwater Lakes | $\$ 1.12$ | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 2.02$ |
| Estuary | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 0.38$ | $\$ 1.22$ |
| Saltmarsh | $\$ 0.52$ | $\$ 0.29$ | $\$ 0.93$ |
| Mangroves | $\$ 0.76$ | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 1.38$ |
| Seagrass | $\$ 1.08$ | $\$ 0.61$ | $\$ 1.96$ |
| Reefs | $\$ 0.80$ | $\$ 0.45$ | $\$ 1.45$ |
| Sandy Seabed | $\$ 1.16$ | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 2.09$ |
|  | Non-Sydney Coastal LGAs |  |  |
|  | Eurobodalla | Byron | Coffs |

Figure 3.1 Example Use \& Non Use Values for Beaches (Pascoe et al 2017)

### 3.3.1 Use Values

In 2018 the City conducted a survey of coastal usage (CoJ 2018). It has been assumed that the 2,158 surveyed people are representative of $50 \%$ of the City's population. This is likely an overestimation, however it allows for a surplus to account for outside visitors as well.

The majority (63.8\%) of respondents visit the coast multiple times per week or at least once per week throughout the year. As such an average of one visit per week per person has been assumed. Respondents also listed their most visited beach, with respondents able to select more than one option. The information was then used to estimate the percentage of overall beach visits which occur at each of the coastal nodes.

An estimate of the annual visitors to each coastal node was then determined and when multiplied by the expected average economic benefits of each visit ( $\sim \$ 7.60$ ) gives the total social and
environmental benefit for each coastal node per 5 year period. The economic benefit for each node is listed in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Social \& Environmental Benefits

| Coastal Node | \% of Beach Visits | Economic Benefit / <br> Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.2 | $\$ 405,080$ |
| 2 | 46.9 | $\$ 15,808,000$ |
| 3 | 57.1 | $\$ 19,760,000$ |
| 4 | 24.8 | $\$ 21,143,200$ |
| 5 | 28.3 | $\$ 8,556,080$ |
| 6 | 32.9 | $\$ 9,544,080$ |
| 7 |  | $\$ 11,065,600$ |

A population growth rate of $0.5 \%$ per period has been assumed as a conservative estimate for the City based on the recommendations of https://forecast.id.com.au/joondalup/population-summary. Significant decreases have been assumed for some nodes when beach carparking has to be removed due to erosion, or beach areas are entirely lost due to erosion.

### 3.3.2 Non Use Values

The number of households in the City $(60,346$ (ABS 2016)) is similar to the number of households in the Warringah area of NSW $(58,300)$. Therefore, it has been assumed that the non-use value for a sandy beach in the Warringah area is appropriate for use in the City. As such a sandy beach non-use value of $\$ 260 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ has been adopted from Pascoe et al (2017). Using the same method a non-use value of $\$ 180 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ has been adopted for dunes (including vegetation) and a non-use value of $\$ 260 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for foreshore reserve areas given their proximity to the beach (Pascoe et al 2017).

The social and environmental costs included in the CBA were determined using the rates discussed above and the affected areas determined in the CHRMAP risk assessment (MRA 2020). It has been assumed that the beach will retreat maintaining its current size through each of the timeframes, unless there is something that will prevent the beach from retreating (eg seawall, rocky cliff, etc). These values were included in the CBA in the social and environmental costs column for the relevant timeframes.

## 4. Seawall Option

This option involves constructing seawalls to protect major infrastructure (roads, carparks and buildings) as per the Multi Criteria Analysis recommendation for further investigation and shown in the sketches provided in Appendix B.

The adaptation capital cost for this option is based on the estimated lengths of seawall constructed and rates from several recently completed projects within the Perth Metropolitan region. In addition seawall maintenance costs equal to $1 \%$ of the initial capital costs were included each year for lengths of seawall constructed by the relevant year. This is based on MRA's experience with design, construction and maintenance of rock structures in the Perth Metropolitan Coast and the recommendations of the Port Designers Handbook: Recommendations and Guidelines (Thorensen C A 2003). These maintenance costs were tabled as adaptation maintenance costs in the CBA.

All capital costs for the seawalls were input into CBA's at the timeframe for which relevant sections of the seawalls are required, based on the coastal erosion hazard lines. The replacement of the seawalls was assumed at the end of a 50 year design life based on MRA experience with rubble mound structures. At the end of design life, replacement costs were assumed to equal approximately $50 \%$ of the initial capital cost, as it is assumed a portion of the rock can be re-used.

There is also an adaptation cost associated with demolishing the minor assets not protected by the seawalls (pathways, beach access ways etc). These have been calculated using the rates discussed in Section 3 and quantities determined based on the quantities tabled in the risk assessment and the proposed seawall placement. These costs are also included in the adaptation capital cost in current year for the relevant time frames.

There is an also an economic cost associated with the loss of these assets. These costs have been calculated for the relevant timeframes based on the potential costs tabled in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment and the quantities determined previously.

There is a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of dunes and foreshore park areas not protected by seawalls as well as the beach areas that would be lost if the shoreline retreats to the seawalls. These costs were determined using the rates outlined in Section 3 and the quantities determined previously.

The social and environmental benefit was calculated using the same procedure outlined in Section 3.

## 5. Managed Retreat Option

This option involves retreating assets to an appropriate and nearby location behind the relevant hazard lines. The adaptation cost of this option is based on the demolition (or temporary removal if possible) of the assets within the hazard lines, acquisition of land at a nearby location and reconstruction (or relocation if possible) of the assets. It is noted that this cost could be reduced if managed retreat can be timed with the end of the service lives of the relevant assets.

Two versions of the managed retreat option have been presented within the CBA, one considering only the City's (Public) assets and the other including an allowance to purchase private property immediately prior to its loss due to erosion (eg residences, commercial properties, etc).

The demolition costs are based on the rates discussed in Section 3 and the rates to acquire foreshore land and rebuild the relevant assets are displayed in Table 5.1. The costs to purchase private property were taken from the potential costs determined as part of the CHRMAP risk assessment (MRA 2020).

Table 5.1 Asset Rebuild Rates

| Asset Type | Rate (\$/Unit) | Unit | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquiring land | Rates vary (Generally around $\$ 2,000$ ) | m2 | Based on the average cost of undeveloped foreshore land in each coastal node determined from realestate.com. |
| Path | \$250 | m | RBB (2018) \$208/m x 1.15 (to include preliminaries) $=\$ 239.2 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Beach Access Way | \$250 | m | Assumed similar cost to Path. |
| Foreshore Facilities | \$25 | m | City book value \$20/m increased by $25 \%$ |
| Fencing | \$50 | m | RBB (2018) $\$ 40 / \mathrm{m} \times 1.15=\$ 46 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Landscaped Park | \$60 | m2 | City book value $\$ 50 / \mathrm{m} 2$ increased by $20 \%$ |
| Carpark | \$120 | m2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { RBB }(2018) \$ 85-\$ 100 / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 1.15=\$ 97.75- \\ \$ 115 / \mathrm{m} 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Single storey buildings, (toilet, changerooms etc) | \$2,000 | m2 | RBB (2018) social or sporting club \$2,3002,800/m2 |
| Major Road | \$5,000 | m | Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development. $\$ 5 \mathrm{mil} / \mathrm{km}$ construction value. |
| Minor Road | \$3,800 | m | Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development. $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{mil} / \mathrm{km}$ construction value. |

As all of the assets are maintained (although at a more landward location) there will be no economic cost due to the loss of assets. As such there is no input for economic cost into the CBA for this adaptation option.

As part of the managed retreat, equivalent foreshore reserve and beach areas will be provided, therefore there is no input into the CBA for these items. However, dune areas will still be lost due to erosion and as such the social and environmental costs for the loss of these areas was included in the CBA. These values were determined using the rates and areas outlined in Section 3.

The social and environmental benefit was calculated using the same procedure outlined in Section 3. As carparking and beach is present throughout the 100 year timeframe (although at a retreated location) there is no significant decrease applied.

## 6. Groynes Option

This option involves constructing groynes to protect all areas of the relevant coastal nodes as determined by the Multi Criteria Analysis. High level concepts of the groynes and accompanying sand nourishment were prepared for each of the relevant Coastal Nodes and used to determine approximate construction costs. Sketches of groyne concepts are included in Appendix B.

It has been assumed that the construction of the groynes will provide protection against the longshore sediment movement, cross shore movement and partial protection against sea level rise (SLR) based on MRA experience with rubble mound structures. As such the coastal erosion hazard allowance for this option has been taken as half of the SLR allowance. It has also been assumed that the additional protection provided by the groynes and sand nourishment would allow any existing seawall's or rocky cliffs to successfully prevent erosion over the 100 year planning horizon.

The adaptation capital cost of this option is based on the estimated lengths of groyne constructed in each time period and rates from several recently completed projects within the Perth Metropolitan region. The replacement of the groynes is also assumed at the end of a 50 year design life. In addition, groyne maintenance costs equal to $1 \%$ of the initial capital costs were included each year for lengths of groyne constructed by the relevant year as discussed in Section 4. These maintenance costs were tabled as adaptation maintenance costs in the CBA.

The construction costs for each groyne included an allowance for sand nourishment using a rate of $\$ 60 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of sand. Initial sand nourishment volumes for each of the relevant Coastal Nodes are displayed in Table 6.1. These nourishment volumes were determined by assuming the placement of a triangular one metre high wedge of sand extending between groynes when each groyne is built. The allowance for sand nourishment increases throughout the 100 year timeframe as an allowance for sea level rise is included in each consecutive time period.

## Table 6.1 Groyne Initial Sand Nourishment Volumes

| Coastal Node | Initial Nourishment Volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 9,000 |
| 3 | 12,000 |
| 4 | 15,000 |

At the end of the groynes design life it has been assumed that they are replaced costing approximately $50 \%$ of the initial construction costs, as it is assumed a portion of the rock can be re-used. In addition it is assumed that they are moved approximately 20 m landward due to recession of the coastline.

There is also an adaptation cost associated with demolishing the assets that fall within the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances, including beach access ways, coastal path etc. These have been calculated using the rates discussed in Section 3 and are included in the adaptation capital cost for the relevant timeframes.

It has been assumed that the groyne timing will be adjusted to protect all major assets before they are lost to erosion and with sufficient buffer against the reduced coastal erosion hazard
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allowances. The exceptions are assets (Foreshore Facilities, Beach Access Ways, Fencing and Coastal Path) which are already at risk from the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances and all assets affected by the present day scenario.

There is an economic cost associated with the loss of the assets not protected by the groynes/affected by the reduced coastal erosion hazard. These have been calculated for the relevant timeframes, based on the potential costs in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment and the quantities determined previously.

There is a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of beach, dune and foreshore park as part of both the groyne construction and erosion over the 100 year timeframe. When the groynes are constructed an area of the beach and dunes will be lost and this has been included in the CBA at the relevant timeframes. There is also a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of dune and foreshore park areas due to the reduced coastal erosion hazard. These costs were determined using the rates discussed in Section 3 and areas determined from the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances.

The social and environmental benefit was calculated using the same procedure outlined in Section 3. As carparking and beach is present throughout the 100 year timeframe there is no significant decrease applied.

## 7. Headlands Option

This option involves constructing Headlands (Offshore Breakwaters) to protect all areas of the relevant coastal nodes. High level concepts of the headlands and the accompanying sand nourishment were prepared for each of the relevant coastal nodes and used to determine approximate construction costs. The headlands have been assumed to be 100 m long, 21.5 m wide and 5.5 m tall with a crest level of 2.5 mAHD . These dimensions are based on calculations completed by MRA and MRA's experience with rubble mound structures. Sketches of the headland concepts are provided in Appendix B.

Similar to the groynes discussed in Section 6, it has been assumed that the construction of the headlands will provide protection against the longshore sediment movement, cross shore movement and partial protection against SLR. As such the coastal erosion hazard allowance for this adaptation option has been taken as half of the SLR allowance. It has also been assumed that the additional protection provided by the headlands and sand nourishment would allow any existing seawall's or rocky cliffs to successfully prevent erosion over the 100 year planning horizon.

The adaptation capital costs of this option are based on the estimated lengths of headland built in each timeframe along with rates from several recently completed projects within the Perth Metropolitan region. The replacement of the headlands has also been assumed at the end of a 50 year design life. In addition, headland maintenance costs equal to $1 \%$ of the initial capital costs were included each year for lengths of headland constructed by the relevant year as discussed in Section 4. These maintenance costs were tabled as adaptation maintenance cost in the CBA.

The construction costs for each groyne included an allowance for sand nourishment using a rate of $\$ 60 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of sand. An initial sand nourishment volume of $3,200 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ was used for each of the relevant coastal nodes. These nourishment volumes were determined by assuming the placement of a triangular two metre high salient of sand behind each headland. The assumed salient dimensions are $80 \times 40 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$. The allowance for this sand nourishment increases throughout the 100 year timeframe as an allowance for sea level rise is included in each consecutive time period.

At the end of the headlands design life, it has been assumed that they are replaced costing approximately $50 \%$ of the initial construction costs, as it is assumed a portion of the rock can be re-used. In addition, it is assumed that they are moved approximately 20 m landward due to recession of the coastline.

There is also an adaptation cost associated with demolishing the assets that fall within the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances, including beach access ways, coastal path etc. These have been calculated using the rates discussed in Section 3 and are included in the adaptation capital cost for the relevant timeframes in the CBA.

It has been assumed that the headland timing will be adjusted to protect all major assets before they are lost to erosion and with sufficient buffer against the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances. The exceptions are assets (Foreshore Facilities, Beach Access Ways, Fencing and Coastal Path) which are already at risk from the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances and all assets affected by the present-day scenario.

There is an economic cost associated with the loss of the assets not protected by the headlands/affected by the reduced coastal erosion hazard. These have been calculated for the
relevant timeframes, based on the potential costs in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment and the quantities determined previously.

There is a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of dune and foreshore park areas due to the reduced coastal erosion hazard. This was determined using the rates determined in Section 3 and areas determined from the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances.

The social and environmental benefit was calculated using the same procedure outlined in Section 3. As carparking and beach is present throughout the 100 year timeframe there is no significant decrease applied.

## 8. Beach Nourishment Option

This option involves nourishing the existing beaches with sand to allow for their continued usage throughout the 100 year planning horizon. The adaptation cost of this option is based on the volume of beach nourishment completed in each time period. The assumed initial nourishment volumes for each of the relevant nodes are presented in Table 8.1.

Table 8.1 Beach Nourishment Initial Volumes

| Coastal Node | Initial Nourishment Volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,500 |
| 3 | 60,000 |
| 4 | 114,600 |
| 6 | 15,200 |

For Nodes 1 and 3 the initial nourishment volumes were determined from analysis of the shoreline movement and the resulting predicted annual loss of sediment for these areas. As Nodes 4 and 6 are currently accreting, the initial nourishment volumes were estimated as $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of sediment per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of beach area. It has been assumed that nourishment will offset any longshore transport, severe storm erosion and $50 \%$ of the predicted SLR. As such the coastal erosion hazard allowance for this option has been taken as half of the SLR allowance. It has also been assumed that the additional protection provided by the sand nourishment would allow any existing seawalls or rocky cliffs to successfully prevent erosion over the 100 year planning horizon.

There are several possible sources of sand for the beach nourishment including terrestrial, offshore (via dredging) and sand back passing. The terrestrial source is usually cheapest and a rate of $\$ 60 / \mathrm{m} 3$ has been assumed as a conservative minimum. As such the adaptation costs for this option were determined using the volumes and rate discussed above.

There is also an adaptation cost associated with demolishing any assets that fall within the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances, including beach access ways, coastal path etc. These have been calculated using the rates discussed in Section 3 and are included in the adaptation capital cost for the relevant timeframes in the CBA.

A maintenance cost of $1 \%$ of the initial capital costs of nourishment per year was assumed based on MRA experience with beach nourishment projects in the Perth Metropolitan Coast. This maintenance cost will allow for the rearranging / movement of the sand along with the removal of any dangerous scarps which may form due to erosion.

It has been assumed that the nourishment placement and / or volume will be adjusted by the City to protect major assets.

There is an economic cost associated with the loss of the assets not protected by the sand nourishment / affected by the reduced coastal erosion hazard. These costs have been calculated for the relevant timeframes, based on the potential costs in the CHRMAP Risk Assessment and the quantities determined previously.

There is a social and environmental cost associated with the loss of dune and foreshore park areas due to the reduced coastal erosion hazard. This was determined using the rates determined in Section 3 and areas determined from the reduced coastal erosion hazard allowances.

The social and environmental benefit was calculated using the same procedure outlined in Section 3. As carparking and beach is present throughout the 100 year timeframe there is no significant decrease applied.

## 9. Summary

As part of the City's CHRMAP process MRA was engaged to conduct a CBA of the various adaptation options for each of the City's identified coastal nodes. The adaptation, economic and social and environmental costs of each option were assessed and compared to the predicted social and environmental benefits. Using these overall costs and benefits a cost benefit ratio across the entire 100 year planning horizon was determined and used to rank the adaptation options for each coastal node. The ranked adaptation options are presented in Table 10.1.

Table 9.1 Ranked Adaptation Options

| Rank | Node 1 | Node 2 | Node 3 | Node 4 | Node 5 | Node 6 | Node 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Beach Nourishment | Groynes | Groynes | Groynes | Do Nothing | Beach Nourishment | Retreat (Public Only) |
| 2 | Retreat (Public Only) | Retreat (Public Only) | Beach Nourishme nt | Offshore Headlands |  | Retreat (Public Only) | Retreat (Including Private) |
| 3 | Retreat (Including Private) | Offshore Headland s | Seawalls | Beach Nourishme nt |  |  |  |
| 4 | Seawalls | Retreat (Including Private) | Offshore Headlands | Retreat (Public Only) |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | Seawalls | Retreat (Public Only) | Seawalls |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | Retreat (Including Private) | Retreat (Including Private) |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This ranking of the adaptation options for each node considers only the cost benefit ratio and as such the consideration of various other factors (including but not limited to; public perception, community values, ease of application and the City's goals / desired outcomes) will be needed when determining the final ranking.

## 10.References

City of Joondalup 2018. Community Engagement Outcomes Report Coastal Survey. Joondalup, Western Australia.

M P Rogers \& Associates 2020. City of Joondalup Coastal Hazard Risk Management \& Adaptation Plan. Draft F. Prepared for the City of Joondalup, Perth, Western Australia

Pasoce S, Doshi A, Kovac M, Austin A 2017. What's my beach worth? Economic values of NSW coastal assets. Prepared for the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, Sydney, New South Wales.

Ralph Beattie Bosworth 2018. Compendium 2018. Perth, Western Australia.
Thoresen C A 2003. Port Designers Handbook: Recommendations and Guidelines. Thomas Telford Books, London, England.

WAPC 2013. State Planning Policy 2.6 State Coastal Planning Policy. Perth, Western Australia

## 11.Appendices

Appendix A Cost Benefit Analyses
Appendix B Adaptation Option Sketches

## Appendix A Cost Benefit Analyses

|  | Baseline - Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  | Protect - Seawalls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Planned / Managed Retreat (Public Only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Innuts |  |  | Discount Rate $3 \% \quad 3 \%$ |  |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate $3 \% \quad 3 \%$ |  |  | Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate 3\% |  |  |
| Year |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environmental } \\ \text { Benefitin } \\ \text { Current Year } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative (Nomindal | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) | Seawall Length (m) | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  | Economic Cost <br> in Current Year <br> (Nominal) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environenental } \\ \text { Benefitin } \\ \text { Curren YYar } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative Cashflow (Nomindal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) | Adaptation Cost <br> in Current Year <br> (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  | Cumulative Cashfow (Nomindal) | Cost Presen Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) |
| 2020 | (5300) (56,00) | (5570,240) | 5405,080 | (1971,460) | (5576,540) | \$405,080 | 100 | (5300) | (936,000) | (56,00) | (5570,240) | \$405,080 | (\$207,460) | (5612,540) | \$405,080 | (55,30) | (5570,24) | \$405,080 | (1970,460) | (5575,540) | 5405,080 |
| 2025 |  |  | \$407,105 | S235,645 |  | \$351,173 | 185 | (\$1,332,00) | (536,000) |  |  | \$407,105 | (85,168,355) | (51,180,049) | \$351,173 |  |  | \$407,105 | S236,645 |  | S351,173 |
| 2030 |  |  | \$409,141 | S64,786 |  | \$304,439 |  |  | (566,60) |  |  | S409,141 | (8825,844) | (549,557) | \$304,439 |  |  | \$409,141 | S645,786 |  | 439 |
| 2035 |  |  | 5411,187 | \$1,05,973 |  | \$263,925 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | \$411,187 | (5481,227) | (542,748) | \$263,925 |  |  | \$411,187 | \$1,05,973 |  | \$263,925 |
| 2040 |  |  | \$41,19 | \$1,09,092 |  | \$22,766 |  |  | (566.600) |  |  | 541,119 | (5506,708) | ( 536,875 ) | 922,766 |  |  | S41,119 | \$1,08,092 |  | S22,766 |
| 2045 |  |  | 541,324 | \$1,13,416 |  | \$19,737 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | 541,324 | (553, 1,84 ) | (53, 809) | \$19,737 |  |  | \$41,324 | \$1,13,446 |  | \$19,737 |
| 2050 |  |  | \$41,531 | \$1,17,947 |  | \$17,110 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | 541,531 | (5557,053) | (527,438) | \$17,110 |  |  | \$41,531 | \$1,180,947 |  | \$17,110 |
| 2055 |  |  | 541,739 | \$1,22, 685 |  | \$14,833 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | 541,739 | (558,9,915) | (523,669) | \$14,833 |  |  | \$41,739 | \$1,22, 885 |  | \$14,833 |
| 2060 |  |  | S41,947 | \$1,263,633 |  | \$12,859 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | S41,947 | (5600,567) | (520,417) | \$12,859 |  |  | ${ }^{541,947}$ | \$1,264,633 |  | \$12,859 |
| 2065 |  | (32.57,000) | \$42,157 | (81,27, 211) | (5681,194) | \$11,148 |  |  | (566,600) |  | (32.57,000) | 542,157 | (53,20, 011 ) | (5698,806) | \$11,148 |  | ( 52.576 .000 ) | \$42,157 | (81,26, 211) | (5681, 194) | \$11,48 |
| 2070 |  |  | \$42,368 | (81,27, 843 ) |  | 59,664 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | \$42,368 | (53,23, 243) | (\$15,192) | 59,64 |  |  | \$42,368 | (81,226,433) |  | \$9,664 |
| 2075 |  |  | \$42,580 | (81,185,263) |  | 58,378 |  | (8666,000) | (566,600) |  |  | \$42,580 | ( $53,92,263)$ | (\$144,152) | 58,378 |  |  | \$42,580 | (81,184,263) |  | s8,378 |
| 2080 |  |  | 542,792 | (81,142,471) |  | \$7,263 |  |  | $(566,600)$ |  |  | 542,792 | (53,94, 0 ,71) | (\$11,304) | 57,263 |  |  | S42,792 | (81, 141,471) |  | \$7,263 |
| 2085 |  |  | \$43,006 | (81,09, 464) |  | 56,297 |  |  | (566.600) |  |  | 543,006 | (53,96,664) | (99,751) | 56,297 |  |  | \$43,006 | (81,08,464) |  | 56,297 |
| 2090 |  |  | \$43,221 | (81,05, 243) |  | 55,459 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | \$43,221 | (53,99, 043) | (58,411) | 55,459 |  |  | \$43,221 | (81,05, 243) |  | \$5,459 |
| 2095 |  |  | 543,438 | (81,002,805) |  | 54,732 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | 543,438 | (54,015,205) | (87,256) | 54,732 |  |  | \$43,438 | (81,01, 8 ,05) |  | 54,732 |
| 2100 |  |  | \$43,655 | (9969, 150) |  | 54,103 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | \$43,655 | (54,03, 150$)$ | (56,259) | 54,103 |  |  | \$43,655 | (5968,150) |  | 54,103 |
| 2105 |  |  | \$43,873 | (9925,277) |  | 53,557 |  |  | (566,600) |  |  | 543,873 | ( $54,060,877)$ | (55,399) | 53,57 |  |  | \$43,873 | (9924,277) |  | s,557 |
| 2110 |  |  | \$44,092 | (5881,185) |  | 53,083 |  |  | (566.600) |  |  | 544,092 | ( $54,08,385$ ) | (49,657) | ${ }_{53,08}$ |  |  | 544,092 | (9880,185) |  | ${ }_{\text {53,083 }}$ |
| 2115 | (588,54) ( 8880,920$)$ | (5720,000) | S44,313 | (52,53,332) | (\$102,512) | \$2,673 |  | (560,190) | (566,600) | (5607,420) | (5720,000) | 544,313 | (55,49, 282) | (588,718) | S2,673 | (\$11,759,515) | (5720,000) | \$44,313 | (\$11,315,387) | (5752,768) | \$2,673 |
|  | (588,84) ( 5896,920 ) | ( $53,86,240$ ) | \$2,315,668 | ( $52,536,332$ ) | ( $51,360,246$ ) | \$1,48,280 | 285 | ( $52,058,490$ ) | (51,27, 800 ) | (5613,420) | ( $53,86,240$ ) | \$2,35,668 | ( $55,493,282)$ | ( $53,024,005$ ) | \$1,48,280 | (s11,764,85) | ( $53,866,240$ ) | \$2,35,668 | (\$13,315,37) | ( $52,009,502$ ) | \$1,478,280 |
| Total Net Present value |  |  |  |  | S118,034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (51,545,726) |  | $\frac{(5531,223)}{0.7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Benefit l Cost Ratio |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{0.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Planned / Managed Retreat (Purchase Private Property) |  |  |  |  |  | Accommodate Beach Nourishment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate | 3\% 3\% |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate |  |  |
| Adaptation Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  <br> Environmental <br> Cost in Curent <br> Year (Nominal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environmental } \\ \text { Benefit in ucrrent } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative (Nomindal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present <br> Value (Real) | Nourishment | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Adaptation Maintenance Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost in Current YYar (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Year (Nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social \& } \\ & \text { Environmental } \\ & \text { Benefit in } \\ & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative (Nomindal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) |
| (55,300) | (557, 240) | \$405,080 | (\$170,460) | (5575,540) | \$405,080 |  | (5300) |  | (56,00) | (5570,24) | \$405,080 | (s171,460) | (5576,540) | \$405,080 |
|  |  | \$407,105 | \$236,645 |  | \$351,173 | 3535 | ( 5212,100$)$ |  |  |  | \$407,105 | \$23,545 | (5182,959) | \$351,173 |
|  |  | S409, 141 | S645,786 |  | \$304,439 | 3588 | ( 5215,250$)$ | (\$10,005) |  |  | s409,141 | \$200,831 | (S168,057) | \$304,439 |
|  |  | \$411,187 | \$1,056,973 |  | \$263,925 | 3640 | (5218,400) | (\$10,763) |  |  | \$411,187 | \$388,855 | (5147,091) | s263,925 |
|  |  | \$41,119 | \$1,09,092 |  | \$22,766 | 3693 | (s22, 5 50) | (\$10,920) |  |  | \$413,243 | \$569,628 | (\$128,713) | \$228,802 |
|  |  | \$41,324 | \$1,13,4,46 |  | \$19,737 | 3745 | (5224,700) | (\$11,078) |  |  | \$415,309 | 579,159 | (\$112,609) | \$198,354 |
|  |  | \$41,531 | \$1,18,947 |  | \$17,110 | 3815 | (5228,900) | (\$11,25) |  |  | \$447,385 | s926,410 | (598,932) | \$171,957 |
|  |  | \$41,739 | \$1,22,885 |  | \$14,833 | 3903 | ( 5234,150$)$ | (\$1, 4,45) |  |  | \$419,472 | \$1,100,287 | (887,280) | \$149,073 |
|  |  | \$41,947 | \$1,264,633 |  | \$12,859 | 3990 | (5239,400) | (\$11,708) |  |  | \$421,570 | \$1,270,749 | (576,979) | \$129,235 |
|  | (52,56,000) | \$42,157 | (59,269,211) | (5681,194) | \$11,148 | 4078 | (524,650) | (\$11,970) |  |  | \$423,677 | \$1,47,806 | (567,860) | \$112,037 |
|  |  | \$42,368 | (5, 226,843) |  | 59,64 | 4165 | ( 524,900 ) | (\$12,23) |  |  | \$211,839 | \$1,37,513 | (559,744) | S48,322 |
|  |  | \$42,580 | (8, 184,263) |  | s8,378 | 4253 | ( 8255,150$)$ | (\$12,495) |  |  | \$212,898 | \$1,32,766 | (552,664) | \$41,891 |
|  |  | \$42,792 | (8, 141,471) |  | 57,263 | 4358 | (5226,450) | (\$12,758) |  |  | \$213,962 | \$1,272,521 | (546,542) | 936,317 |
|  |  | \$43,006 | (81,098,464) |  | S6,297 | 4463 | (5267,750) | (\$13,073) |  |  | \$215,032 | \$1,206,730 | (541,116) | S31,484 |
|  |  | \$43,221 | (51.05,243) |  | 55,459 | 4568 | (s27,050) | (\$13,38) |  |  | \$216,107 | \$1,13,400 | (936,303) | \$27,294 |
|  |  | \$43,438 | (8,0101,805) |  | 54,732 | 4673 | (5280,350) | (\$13,703) |  |  | \$227,188 | \$1,05,536 | (S32,036) | - ${ }_{22,662}$ |
|  |  | \$43,655 | (9988, 150) |  | 54,103 | 4778 | (5286,650) | (\$14,018) |  |  | \$218,274 | 5976,142 | ( 58,256 ) | \$20,513 |
|  |  | \$43,873 | (9924,277) |  | ${ }_{53,57}$ | 4865 | ( 5221,900 ) | (\$14,333) |  |  | \$219,365 | \$889,275 | ( 524,825$)$ | \$17,783 |
|  |  | \$44,092 | (9880,185) |  | ${ }^{53,083}$ | 4970 | (5298,200) | (\$14,595) |  |  | \$43,773 | \$620,353 | (521,873) | \$3,068 |
|  | (5720,000) | S44,313 | ( $521,31,3,387)$ | ( $51,235,331)$ | 52,673 | 5075 | (5304,500) | (\$14,90) |  | ( $52.57,000$ ) | \$44,092 | (52,23,965) | (8174,652) | \$2,660 |
| ( $19,7,74,815$ ) | ( $53,86,240$ ) | \$2,31,668 | (521,31, 387) | ( $52,492,065$ ) | \$1,48,280 | 80,150 | ( $54,809,300$ ) | (5225,225) | (56,00) | ( $53,14,240)$ | \$5,95,800 | ( $52,23,9665$ ) | ( $52,165,081)$ | ) $52,567,088$ |
|  |  |  |  | (51,013, | 3,785) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 01,987 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |



| Planned / Managed Retreat (includingPurchasing Private Property) |  |  |  |  |  | Protect Groynes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate | 3\% 3\% |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate |  |  |
| Adaptation Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social \& } \\ & \text { Environmental Cost in } \\ & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environmental } \\ \text { Benefit in Current } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative Cashflow } \\ & \text { (Nomindal) } \end{aligned}$ | Cost Present Value (Real) <br> Real | Benefit Present Value (Real) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Groyne } \\ \text { Length ( } m \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adaptation } \\ & \text { Capital Cost in } \\ & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adaptation } \\ \text { Maintenance } \\ \text { Cost in Current } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Economic } \\ \text { Cost in } \\ \text { Current Year } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Social \& Environmental Cost in Curren Year (Nominal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Enviromental } \\ \text { Benefitinal } \\ \text { Curent Year } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative (Nomindal | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) |
| (586,325) | (\$1, 551,600) | \$15,808,000 | \$14,170,075 | (81,67,925) | \$15,808,000 | 270 | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 23,450) \\ (\$ 4,05,000) \end{array}$ |  |  | ( $51,551,600)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,808,000 \\ & \$ 15,887,040 \end{aligned}$ |  | (52,039,330) | \$15,808,000 \$13,704,300 |
|  |  | \$15,887,040 | 933,057,115 |  | \$13,704,300 |  |  |  | (s59,200) |  |  | \$13,768,670 s29,50,710 |  |  |
|  |  | \$15,966,475 | \$46,023,590 |  | \$11,880,557 |  |  | $(5405,000)$$(5805,000)$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,046,308 \end{aligned}$ | S40,762,185S6,403,493 | ( $53,314,938)$ ( 8252,954 ) | \$11,880,557 \$10,299,514 |
|  |  | \$16,046,308 | \$62,069,988 |  | \$10,299,514 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$16,126,539 | 878,196,437 |  | s8,928,744 |  |  | ( 5405,000 ) |  | ( |  | \$77,125,032 | (5224,239) |  |
|  |  | \$16,207,172 | \$994,403,609 |  | \$7,740,366 |  |  | (5405,000) |  |  |  | 587,927,204 | ( 5193,430$)$ | \$7,740,366 |
|  |  | \$16,28, ,208 | \$110,691,816 |  | \$6,710.526 |  |  | (5405,000) |  | ( $\begin{gathered}\$ 16,207,172 \\ \$ 16,288,208\end{gathered}$ |  | \$103,810,411 | (S166,855) | s6,710,526 |
|  |  | \$16,369,449 | \$127,061,465 |  | 95,817,501 |  |  | ( 5405,000 ) |  |  | \$16,369,449 | \$119,775,060 | (\$143,930) | $555,877,501$$\$ 5,043,319$ |
|  |  | \$16,451,497 | \$143,512,962 |  | 95,043,319 |  |  | (s405,000) |  |  | \$16,451,497 | \$135,821,557 |  |  |
|  | ( $813,247,100)$ | \$16,533,754 | \$136,978,396 | (56,100,155) | \$4,372,163 |  |  | (s405,000) |  |  | \$16,533,754 |  |  | $\$ 5,043,39$ $\$ 4,372,163$ |
|  |  | \$16,616,423 | \$153,594,820 |  | ¢3,790,324 |  |  | ( 5405,000 ) |  | ( $56,890,40$ ) | \$16,616,423 | \$161,271,335 \$177,565,840 | ( 592,383 ) | \$3,790,324 |
|  |  | \$16,69, 505 | \$170,294,325 |  | \$3,285,914 |  |  |  |  | ( 5324,000$)$ | \$16,699,505 |  |  | \$3,28,9914 |
|  |  | \$16,783,03 | \$187,077,328 |  | \$2,848,631 |  | (\$4,050,00) |  |  |  | \$16,783,003 |  | ( ${ }_{\text {(5871, } 1549 \text { ) }}$ | $\$ 2,848,631$$\$ 2,46,540$ |
|  |  | \$16,866,918 | s203,944,246 |  | \$2,469,540 |  |  | (S405,000) |  |  | \$16,866,918 | \$206,031,761 | (559,297) |  |
|  |  | \$16,951,252 | 5220,895,498 |  | \$2,14, ,998 |  |  | ( 5405,000 ) |  |  | \$16,951,252 | \$222,578,013 | (\$51,150) $(\$ 44,123)$ | \$2,140,898 |
|  |  | \$17,036,09 | \$237,931,507 |  | \$1,855,92 |  |  | ( 5405,000 ) |  |  | \$17,036,009 | \$239, 209,022 \$255,925,211 |  |  |
|  |  | \$17,121,189 | \$255,052,966 |  | \$1,609,000 |  |  | $(\$ 4050,000)$$(\$ 405,000)$ |  |  | \$17,121,189 |  | (s54,123) ${ }_{(588,061)}$ | \$1,855,992 $\$ 1,009000$ |
|  |  | \$17,206,795 | S272,259,490 |  | \$1,34, 87 |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,206,795 | s272,727,005 | (532,832) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,394,877 \\ & \$ 1,209,249 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | \$17,292,829 | 5289,552,319 |  | \$1,209,249 |  |  | $(5405,000)$$(5405,000)$ | (\$462,250) | ( $84,03,100$ ) | \$17,292,829 | \$289,614,834 \$302,038,927 | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 28,321) \\ (\$ 298,899) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (s108,903,420) | (s821,700) | \$17,37, 293 | \$197,206,492 | (96,48,655) | \$1,08, ,235 |  | (599,850) |  |  |  | \$17,379,293 |  |  | \$1,209,299 \$1,04,325 |
| (5118,810,965) | ( $515,62,400$ ) | \$331,637,857 | \$197,200,492 | (514,36,734) | \$111,95,140 | 270 | ( $58,173,300)$ | (58,100,000) | (552, 530) | ( $512,804,100)$ | \$331,637,857 | \$332,038,927 | ( $110,281,285$ ) | \$111,95, 140 |
|  |  |  |  | 597,601,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$101,677,855 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.9 |  |


| Protect Headlands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate |  | 3\% |
| Headland Length $(m)$ | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Adaptation Maintenane Cost in Curent Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environmental } \\ \text { Cost in Current } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social \& } \\ & \text { Enviromental } \\ & \text { Benefit ina } \\ & \text { Curent eqaar } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative (Nomindal | Cost Present Value (Real) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Benefit } \\ \text { Present Value } \\ \text { (Real) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 100 | (523,450) |  | (559,280) | (81,51,600) | \$15,800,000 | \$14,173,670 | (\$1, 634,330) | \$15,800,000 |
|  | (52,30,000) |  |  |  | \$15,887,040 | s27,760,710 | (\$1, $1.84,000)$ | \$13,704,300 |
|  |  | (5115,000) |  |  | \$15,966,475 | \$43,612,185 | (885,571) | \$11,880,557 |
| 200 |  | (5115,000) |  |  | \$16,046,308 | s59,543,493 | (577.844) | \$10,299,514 |
|  | ( $54,600,000$ ) | (\$15,000) |  |  | \$16,126,539 | 570,955,032 | (52.610,581) | s8,928,874 |
|  |  | ( 5345.000 ) |  |  | \$16,207,172 | \$86,817,204 | (s164,774) | \$7,74,636 |
|  |  | (5345,000) |  |  | \$16,288,208 | \$102,780,411 | (\$142,135) | \$6,70,526 |
| 100 |  | (5345,000) |  |  | \$16,369,649 | \$118,785,060 | (\$122,607) | s5,817,501 |
|  | ( $52,300,000$ ) | (5345,000) |  |  | \$16,451,497 | \$132,59,557 | (5810,843) | \$5,04, 3,19 |
|  |  | ( 5460,000 ) |  | (56,89,400) | \$16,533,754 | \$141,774,911 | ( $51.943,730)$ | \$4,372, 163 |
| 200 | (55,750,00) | (S460,000) |  |  | \$16,616,423 | \$152,181,335 | (81,416,545) | \$3,790,324 |
|  |  | (5690,000) |  |  | \$16,699,505 | \$168,190,840 | (\$135,769) | \$3,28,914 |
|  |  | ( 5690,000 ) |  |  | \$16,783,003 | \$184,283, 44 | (S117,116) | \$2,848,631 |
|  | ( $52,300,000)$ | (5690,000) |  |  | \$16,866,918 | \$198,160,761 | (5437,776) | \$2,469,540 |
|  |  | (5690,000) |  |  | \$16,951,252 | \$214,422,013 | (887,145) | \$2,140,988 |
|  |  | (5690,000) |  |  | \$17,036,009 | 5230,788,022 | (575,172) | \$1,855,992 |
|  |  | (5690,000) |  |  | \$17,121,189 | \$247, 199,211 | (564,844) | \$1,609,00 |
|  | (51,150,00) | (5690,000) |  |  | \$17,206,795 | \$262,566,005 | (\$149,160) | \$1,394,877 |
|  |  | (5690,000) |  |  | \$17,292,829 | \$279,168,834 | (548,250) | \$1,209,249 |
|  | (s5,250,150) | ( 5690,000$)$ | (5462,250) | ( $54.03,100$ ) | \$17,379,293 | 5289, 107,627 | (5448,813) | \$1,08, ,325 |
| 600 | ( $520,677,600$ ) | ( $58,85,000$ ) | (5521,530) | (\$12,480,100) | \$331,637,857 | \$289, 07, 627 | ( $112,552,977)$ | \$111,958,140 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S99,405,163 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.9 |  |


|  | Baseline - Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  | Protect - Seawalls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Planned / Managed Retreat (Public Only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate |  |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate $\quad 3 \% \quad 3 \%$ |  |  | Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate |  | - |
| Year | Adaptation Coconomic Cost Cost in Current in Current Year Year (Nominal) (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Cost Year (Norent Yeaninal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Enviromental } \\ \text { Benefit in } \\ \text { Current Year } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative Cashflow (Nominal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) | Seawall Length (m) | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adaptation } \\ & \text { Maintenance } \\ & \text { Cost in Current } \\ & \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | Economic Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Enviranental } \\ \text { Beneffit ial } \\ \text { Current Rear } \\ \text { Noominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative (Nominal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) | Adaptation Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulitive } \\ & \text { (Cashlum } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) |
| 2020 | (549,470) (s 108,970 ) | (\$17,120,70) | \$19,760,000 | \$2,40,860 | (\$17,279,10) | \$19,760,00 |  | (599,470) |  | (5108,970) | (817, 12, ,70) | \$19,760,00 | \$2,480,860 | (817,279,10) | \$19,760,00 | (5189, 145) | (517,120,70) | \$19,760,000 | S2,45,155 | ( $517,309,845$ | \$19,760,000 |
| 2025 |  |  | \$19,858,800 | S22,33,660 |  | \$17,130,375 |  |  |  |  |  | \$19,858,800 | S22,339,660 |  | \$17,130,375 |  |  | \$19,858,800 | \$22,30,955 |  | \$17,130,375 |
| 2030 |  |  | \$19,958,04 | \$42,297,54 |  | \$14,850,996 | 480 | (53,60,000) |  |  | ( $52,496,000)$ | \$19,958,04 | \$36,201,754 | (54,53,997) | \$14,850,996 |  |  | \$19,958,094 | \$42,267,049 |  | \$14,850,966 |
| 2035 |  |  | \$20,057,844 | S62,35,.338 |  | \$12,87, ,393 |  |  | (\$180,000) |  |  | \$22,057,844 | \$56,079,638 | (8115,535) | \$12,874,393 |  |  | \$20,057,84 | \$66,32, ,933 |  | \$12,874,393 |
| 2040 |  |  | \$5,014,471 | 567,370,10 |  | \$2,76,391 | 485 | (93,67,500) | ( 5180,000$)$ |  | (52, 26,000) | \$20,158,174 | 870,158,312 | (53,36,072) | \$11,161,092 |  |  | \$20,158,174 | s82,483,107 |  | \$11,161,092 |
| 2045 |  |  | \$5,039,543 | \$72,409,653 |  | \$2,00,914 |  |  | (5361,875) |  |  | \$20,258,965 | \$90,055,402 | (\$172,834) | 59,67,794 |  |  | \$20,258,965 | \$102,742,072 |  | \$9,675,794 |
| 2050 |  |  | \$5,06,741 | \$77,47, 394 |  | \$2,08,606 |  |  | (5361,875) |  |  | \$20,360,260 | \$110,053,787 | (5149,088) | ¢8,38,157 |  |  | \$20,360,260 | \$123,102,332 |  | s8,388,157 |
| 2055 |  |  | \$5,09,0,065 | \$82,564,459 |  | \$1,08,925 |  |  | (5361,875) |  |  | \$20,462,061 | \$130,153,973 | (\$128,604) | \$7,27,877 |  |  | \$20,462,061 | \$143,564,393 |  | \$7,271,877 |
| 2060 |  |  | \$5,115,515 | S87,679,974 |  | \$1,56, 196 | 395 | (52.92,500) | (5361,875) |  | ( $52,054,000)$ | \$20,564,371 | \$145,339,969 | ( $51.648,778)$ | S6,30, 149 |  |  | \$20,564,371 | \$164,128,764 |  | S6,304,149 |
| 2065 | (5477.610) ( $54,98,021$ ) | (543,915,840) | \$5,14,093 | 543,430,968 | (\$13,060,78) | \$1,35,504 |  | (524,302) | (5510,000) | (81,28,498) | (S40, 43, 394) | \$20,667,193 | \$123,516,304 | (\$11,236,24) | 55,46,204 | (887,57, 640) | (539,380,40) | \$20,667,193 | S57, 84, 9,97 | (533,571,023) | \$5,465,204 |
| 2070 |  |  | \$5,16,798 | \$48,597,34 |  | \$1,17,583 |  |  | (5510,000) |  |  | \$20,770.529 | \$143,776,833 | (\$116,355) | \$4,73,905 |  |  | \$20,770.529 | \$78,614,446 |  | \$4,737,905 |
| 2075 |  |  | \$5,19, 632 | \$55,790,027 |  | \$1,02,740 |  |  | (5510,000) |  |  | \$20,874,382 | s164,44, ,214 | (s100,351) | 54,107,393 |  |  | \$20,874,382 | \$99,488,827 |  | \$4,107,393 |
| 2080 |  |  | \$5,21,595 | \$55,00,, 22 |  | S885,788 | 1.090 | (999,75,000) | (5510,000) |  | (55,68,000) | \$22,978,754 | \$168,966,988 | (52,74,699) | \$3,50,789 |  |  | \$20,978,754 | \$120,467,581 |  | 53,560,789 |
| 2085 |  |  | \$5,24,688 | \$66,25, 3,30 |  | 5767,892 |  |  | (9918,750) |  |  | S21,083,447 | \$189,13, 885 | (\$134,517) | \$3,88,925 |  |  | S21,083,647 | \$141,551,228 |  | \$3,086,925 |
| 2090 |  |  | \$5,27,912 | s66,524,222 |  | \$665,702 |  | (81, 88,750) | (5918,750) |  |  | \$21,189,066 | \$207,583,431 | (5345,739) | \$2.676, 123 |  |  | \$22,189,066 | \$162,740,294 |  | \$2,676,123 |
| 2095 |  |  | \$5,29,7,26 | 574,821,489 |  | S577,112 |  |  | (s918,750) |  |  | \$21,295,011 | 5227,959,692 | (s100,093) | \$2,39,989 |  |  | \$21,295,011 | \$184,035,305 |  | \$2,319,989 |
| 2100 |  |  | \$5,32,753 | \$88,145,241 |  | \$500,311 |  |  | (5918,750) |  |  | S21,401,486 | \$228,442,428 | (586,341) | \$2.01, 250 |  |  | \$22,401,486 | s205,436,791 |  | s2,011,250 |
| 2105 |  |  | \$5,35,371 | 585,495,613 |  | \$433,730 |  |  | (5918,750) |  |  | S21,508,493 | S229,032,171 | (574,479) | \$1,74,596 |  |  | \$21,508,493 | S226,945,284 |  | \$1,743,596 |
| 2110 |  |  | \$5,37, ,123 | 590,872,736 |  | S376,010 |  | (51,48,250) | (5918,750) |  |  | \$21,661,036 | 5288,248,207 | (5167,827) | \$1,51,562 |  |  | \$21,616,036 | \$248,561,320 |  | \$1,511,562 |
| 2115 | (5780,950) ( $87,536,200)$ | (542,272,40) | \$5,40,009 | \$45,687,155 | (33,01,580) | \$325,972 |  | (5298,280) | (99918,50) | (81,49,400) | ( $331,052,240)$ | \$21,724,116 | S276,211,653 | ( $52.036,454$ ) | \$1,30,406 | (\$127,857,080) | $(535,42,400)$ | \$21,724,116 | s107,007,956 | (59, 848,950) | \$1,310,406 |
|  | (\$1,307,030) (\$12,643,191) | (5103,308,980) | \$162,946,356 | \$45,687, 155 | ( $533,391,469$ ) | \$88,354,820 | 2,450 | ( $524,065,70$ ) | ( $510,278,750$ ) | ( $52,898,868)$ | ( $5101,092,280)$ | s414, 547,321 | \$276,211,653 | $\begin{array}{ll} (544,536,106) \\ \text { s95,41,569, } & \$ 139,97,675 \end{array}$ |  | (5215,617,865) | (\$91,921,500) | S414,547,321 | \$107,007,956 | (560,729,818) | \$139,947,675 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Net Present Value } \\ & \text { Benefit / Cost Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 549,963,352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$79,217,857 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{595,41,569}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.3 |  |


| Planned / Managed Retreat (Including Purchasing Private Property) |  |  |  |  |  | Protect Groynes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs |  |  | Discount Rate | 3\% 3\% |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate |  |  |
| Adaptation Cost in Current Yea (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Benefit in Current Year (Nominal) | Cumulative Cashflow (Nominal) | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) | Groyne Length $(m)$ | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Adaptation Montentince Conct intrent Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social \& } \\ & \text { Environmental } \\ & \text { Cost in Current } \\ & \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { cumulitive } \\ \text { chastion } \\ \text { CNominal } \end{gathered}$ | Cost Presen <br> Value (Real) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefit } \\ & \text { Present Value } \\ & \text { (Real) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{(5189,145)}$ | (\$17,120,70) | \$19,760,000 | \$2,45,155 | (\$17, 30, 845) | \$19,760,000 |  | (549,470) |  | (5108,970) | (\$17,120,70) | \$19,760,000 | \$2,48, 860 | (1917,279, 140) | \$19,760,000 |
|  |  | \$19,858,800 | \$22,308,955 |  | \$17,130,375 | 240 | (88,160,000) | (5408,000) |  | (9950,400) | \$19,858,800 | \$12,821,260 | (88,20,655) | \$17,130,375 |
|  |  | \$19,958,094 | \$44,267,049 |  | \$14,850,696 |  |  | (5408,000) |  |  | \$19,95,09 | S32,371,354 | (8303, 590) | \$14,850,996 |
|  |  | \$20,057,884 | 966,324,933 |  | \$12,874,393 |  |  | (5408,000) |  |  | \$20,057,884 | S52,021,238 | (9266, 880 ) | \$12,874,393 |
|  |  | \$20,158,174 | \$88,483,107 |  | \$11,161,092 | 180 | (56,120,000) | (5408,000) |  | (8712,800) | S20,158,174 | S64,938,612 | (84,09,055) | \$11,161,092 |
|  |  | \$20,258,965 | \$102,742,072 |  | 59,65,794 |  |  | (5774,000) |  |  | \$20,258,965 | 584,483,577 | (\$341,010) | \$9,65,794 |
|  |  | \$20,360,260 | \$123,102,332 |  | \$8,38,157 |  |  | (5774,000) |  |  | \$20,360,260 | \$104, 129,837 | (\$294,159) | \$8,38,157 |
|  |  | \$20,462,061 | \$143,564,393 |  | \$7,27, 877 |  |  | (5774,000) |  |  | \$20,462,061 | \$123,877,988 | (9253,744) | \$7,27,877 |
|  |  | \$20,564,371 | \$164,128,764 |  | s6,30, ,49 | 240 | (58,160,000) | (5774,000) |  | (s950,400) | \$20,564,371 | \$134,617,869 | (53,01, 737 ) | \$6,30, 149 |
|  | (539,380,40) | \$20,667,193 | S57,843,917 | ( $33,571,023$ ) | \$5,46, ,204 |  |  | (51,122,00) |  | (53,27,900) | \$20,667,193 | \$150,886,162 | (85,163,239) | \$5,46, 204 |
| (587,571.640) |  | \$20,770,529 | \$78,614,446 |  | \$4,73,905 |  |  | ( $51,122,000)$ |  |  | S20,770,52 | \$170,534,691 | (\$255,936) | \$4,73,905 |
|  |  | \$20,874,382 | 599,488,827 |  | \$4,00, ,93 |  | ( $54,080,000$ ) | (s1,122,000) |  | (9388,800) | \$20,874,382 | \$185, 418,272 | (81,100,086) | \$4,00, 393 |
|  |  | \$20,978,754 | \$120,467,581 |  | \$3,56,789 |  |  | ( $51,122,000)$ |  |  | \$20,978,754 | \$205,675,026 | (\$190,441) | \$3,50,789 |
|  |  | \$21,083,647 | \$141,551,228 |  | \$3,08,925 |  |  | ( $51,122,000)$ |  |  | 521,083,647 | \$225,636,673 | (\$164,276) | \$3,08,925 |
|  |  | \$21,189,066 | \$162,740,294 |  | \$2,67, 123 |  | (53,060,00) | (51,122,000) |  | (\$291, 600) | \$21,189,066 | \$242,352,139 | (5565,004) | \$2,676,123 |
|  |  | \$21,295,011 | \$184,035,305 |  | \$2,39,989 |  |  | (51,122,00) |  |  | \$21,295,011 | \$222,525,150 | (\$122,237) | \$2,39,989 |
|  |  | \$21,401,486 | \$205,436,791 |  | \$2,01, 250 |  |  | (51,122,000) |  |  | S21,401,486 | \$282,804,636 | (\$105,442) | \$2,01,250 |
|  |  | S21,508,493 | \$226,945,284 |  | \$1,74,596 |  |  | (51,122,00) |  |  | S21,508,493 | \$330,191,129 | (590,955) | \$1,74, 7 ,96 |
|  |  | \$21,616,036 | \$248,561,320 |  | \$1,51,562 |  | (54,080,000) | (51,122,00) |  | (5388,800) | \$21,616,036 | \$319,216,365 | (3390,052) | \$1,51,562 |
| (5167,457,080) | ( $535,420,400$ ) | \$21,724,116 | S67,407,956 | (\$112,237,635) | \$1,30,406 |  | $(55,860)$ | (s1,122,00) | (88,501) | (\$17,093,60) | \$21,724,116 | \$332,710,520 | (81,09,637) | \$1,30,406 |
| (\$255,217,865) | (591,921,500) | \$414,547,321 | 567,407,956 | ( $563,118,503$ ) | \$139,947,675 |  | (53,715,33) | (1916,330,000) | (s117,471) | (541,174,000) | \$414,547,321 | \$322,710,520 | ( $339,213,175$ ) | \$13,997,675 |
|  |  |  |  | 576,829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100,734, |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |  |





| Protect Headlands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accommodate Beach Nourishment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate $3 \%$ |  |  | Inputs |  |  |  |  |  | Discount Rate |  |  |
| Headland Length (m) | Adaptation Capitat Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Adaptation Maintenance Cost in curent Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Social \& Environmental Year (Nominal) Year (Nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social \& } \\ & \text { Environmental } \\ & \text { Benefit in } \\ & \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { (Nominal) } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulitive cassiluw (Nomindala) | Cost Present Value (Real) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Benefit } \\ \text { Present Value } \\ \text { (Real) } \end{gathered}$ | Nourishment Volume (m3) | Adaptation Capital Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Adaptation Maintenance Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost <br> in Current Year (Nominal) | Social \& Envionmental Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environmental } \\ \text { Benefit in Current } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative cassilow (Nomindal | Cost Present Value (Real) | Benefit Presen Value (Real) |
| 100 | (552,120) |  | (\$122,190) | (55,186,700) | \$21,143,200 | \$15,782,190 | (55,36,001) | \$21,143,200 |  | (552, 120) |  | (\$122,190) | (55,18,700) | \$21,143,200 | \$15,782,190 | (55,361,010) | \$21,143,200 |
|  | (52,30,000) |  |  |  | \$21,248,916 | 934,731,106 | (51,94,000) | \$18,329,502 | 1,375 | (982,512) | (54,126) |  |  | S21,248,916 | \$36,944,468 | (574,734) | \$18,329,502 |
|  |  | (5115,000) |  |  | \$21,355,161 | \$55,971,267 | (885,571) | \$15,890,245 | 3,438 | (5206,280) | (510,314) |  |  | S21,355,161 | s55,083,035 | (5161,166) | \$15,890,245 |
|  |  | (\$115,000) |  |  | \$21,461,936 | \$77,318,203 | (577,84) | \$13,775,600 | 5,501 | (5330,048) | (516,502) |  |  | \$21,461,936 | \$79,198,421 | (5222,438) | \$13,775,600 |
| 200 | (\$4,60,000) | (\$115,000) |  |  | \$21,569,246 | \$99,172,449 | (52.610,581) | \$11,942,369 | 7.564 | (5453,816) | (522,691) |  |  | \$21,569,246 | \$100,291,160 | (5263,830) | \$11,942,369 |
|  |  | ( 5345.000$)$ |  |  | \$21,677,092 | \$115,504,541 | (\$164,774) | \$10,353,100 | 9.626 | (5577,584) | (528,879) |  |  | S21,677,092 | \$121,361,789 | (5889,650) | \$10,353,100 |
|  |  | (\$345,000) |  |  | \$21,785,478 | \$136,945,019 | (\$142, 135) | ¢8,975,328 | 12,377 | (5772,608) | (537, 130) |  |  | \$21,785,478 | \$142,367,529 | (5321,242) | s8,975,328 |
|  |  | ( 5345.000$)$ |  |  | \$21,894,405 | \$158,494,424 | (\$122,607) | \$7,78,908 | 15,815 | (5998,888) | (547, 444) |  |  | \$21,894,405 | \$163,265,601 | (5354,080) | \$7,780,908 |
| 200 | ( $84.600,000$ ) | (5345,000) |  |  | \$22,003,877 | \$175,55,301 | (51.515,924) | 56,745,439 | 19,253 | ( $81,155,168)$ | (557,758) |  |  | S22,003,877 | \$184,056,552 | (5377, ,331) | \$6,745,439 |
|  |  | (5575,000) |  | (8852,300) | \$22,113,897 | \$196,239,988 | (5377,433) | 55,84,7,78 | 22.691 | ( 1.1 .361 .488$)$ | (968,072) |  | (\$14,842,040) | S22,113,897 | \$189,98, 888 | (54,302,829) | \$5,847,768 |
|  |  | (5575,000) |  |  | \$22,224,466 | \$217,889,364 | (\$131,162) | \$5,06, 558 | 26,129 | (\$1,567,728) | (578,386) |  |  | S22,224,466 | \$210,477,240 | (5375,490) | \$5,009,558 |
|  | (81,15,000) | (5575,000) |  |  | \$22,33,588 | s238,499,952 | (5339,423) | \$4,394,911 | 29,567 | ( $51,774,08)$ | ( 588,700 ) |  |  | \$22,335,588 | \$230,950,120 | (5366,520) | \$4,394,911 |
| 400 | ( $59,200,000$ ) | (5575,000) |  |  | \$22,447,266 | \$251,172,219 | (51,65, 141) | \$3,810,044 | 33,692 | (s2,021,544) | (\$101,077) |  |  | S22,447,266 | \$251,274,765 | (5360,279) | \$3,810,044 |
|  |  | ( $51.035,000)$ |  |  | \$22,59,503 | S272.696,721 | (\$151,538) | \$3,30,0,010 | 37,818 | (s52,269,08) | (5113,454) |  |  | \$22,599.503 | s271,451,734 | (5348,835) | \$3,030,010 |
|  | ( $52,30,000$ ) | ( $51,035,000)$ |  |  | \$22,672,300 | s292,034,021 | (5421,202) | \$2,863,452 | 41,944 | (s2,516,616) | (\$125,831) |  |  | \$22,672,300 | \$291,481,587 | (5333,734) | \$2,863,452 |
|  |  | ( $51.03,0000)$ |  |  | \$22,785,662 | 5313,784,683 | (\$112,758) | \$2,482,389 | 46,069 | (s2,764,152) | (\$138,208) |  |  | S22,785,662 | \$311,364,889 | (536, 198) | \$2,482,389 |
|  |  | ( $51,035,000)$ |  |  | \$22,899,590 | \$335,649,273 | $(597,266)$ | s2,152,037 | 50,195 | (53,011,68) | (\$150,584) |  |  | S22,899,590 | S331,102,207 | (5297,181) | \$2,152,037 |
|  |  | ( $51,035,000)$ |  |  | \$23,014,088 | s357,628,361 | (583,903) | \$1,865,488 | 53,633 | ( $53,217,98)$ | (\$160,888) |  |  | 523,044,088 | \$350,737,428 | (5273,909) | \$1,865,648 |
|  | (52,300,00) | (51.03, 000) |  |  | 523,129,158 | \$377,42,519 | (5233,209) | \$1,617,371 | 57,758 | (53,465,504) | (1173,275) |  |  | \$23,129,158 | \$370,227,807 | (5254,452) | \$1,617,371 |
|  | (85,100) | ( $51,03,2,000$ ) | (55,500) | ( $88.000,800$ ) | \$23,244,804 | 5391,018,924 | (5581,995) | \$1,402,134 | 61.884 | ( $53,714,140)$ | (\$188,652) | (55,500) | (523,867,060) | S23,244,804 | \$365,700,260 | (\$1,675,237) | \$1,402,134 |
| 900 | ( $526,503,220$ ) | (\$11,270,000) | (\$127,600) | (\$14,645,800) | \$443,565,634 | s391,018,924 | (516,24, 446) | \$149,744,012 | 536,328 | ( $532,232,900$ ) | ( $51,608,884$ ) | (\$127,600) | ( $543,895,800$ ) | \$443,565,634 | \$365,700,260 | ( $516,324,646$ ) | \$149,744,012 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$133,494,5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$133,41 | 19,366 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |


|  | Baseline - Do Nothing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inputs |  |  |  | Discount Rate $3 \%$ |  |  |
| Year | Adaptation Cost in Current Year (Nominal) | Economic Cost in Current Year (Nominal) |  <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { Enivormental } \\ \text { Cost in Current } \\ \text { Year (Nominal) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Social \& } \\ \text { Environenental } \\ \text { Benefitin } \\ \text { Current Year } \\ \text { (Nominal) } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative Cashflow (Nomindal) | Cost Presen Value (Real) | Benefit Present Value (Real) |
| 2020 | (54,040) | (514,040) | 500) | 88,55,080 | 87,12,400 | \$1,43, 880) | 58,556,880 |
| 2025 |  |  |  | \$8,598,860 | \$15,711,260 |  | 57,417.453 |
| 2030 |  |  |  | \$8,64, 855 | \$24,353,115 |  | S6,430,351 |
| 2035 |  |  |  | 58,85,064 | \$3,038,179 |  | s5,57,612 |
| 2040 |  |  |  | 58,72,489 | 541,766,688 |  | \$4,832,753 |
| 2045 |  |  |  | 58,72, 132 | \$55,538,800 |  | \$4,189,619 |
| 2050 |  |  |  | \$8,81,992 | \$55,354,793 |  | 53,632,072 |
| 2055 |  |  |  | 58,86,072 | s66,214,865 |  | 53,14,723 |
| 2060 |  |  |  | ¢8,90, 373 | \$77,119,238 |  | \$2,72,969 |
| 2065 | (529,830) | (51,02, 102) | (53,27, 880) | 58,94,895 | 581,757,320 | (51, 139, 945) | \$2,366,433 |
| 2070 |  |  |  | 58,93,639 | s90,750,959 |  | \$2,051,513 |
| 2075 |  |  |  | ร9,03,607 | S99,789,567 |  | \$1,778,501 |
| 2080 |  |  |  | \$4,59, 304 | \$104,308,870 |  | 5767,075 |
| 2085 |  |  |  | \$4,54,900 | \$108,850,770 |  | 5664,994 |
| 2090 |  |  |  | \$4,56,610 | \$113,415,380 |  | 5576,498 |
| 2095 |  |  |  | \$4,587,433 | \$118,002,813 |  | S499,779 |
| 2100 |  |  |  | \$4,610,370 | \$122,613,182 |  | \$433,269 |
| 2105 |  |  |  | \$4,63,422 | \$127,246,604 |  | \$375,611 |
| 2110 |  |  |  | \$4,66,589 | \$131,903,193 |  | 25,225 |
| 2115 | (934,790) | (81,29, 552) | (57,04, 540) | \$4,67,872 | \$128,21,183 | (5504,995) | S282,291 |
|  | (568,66) | ( $52,308,694$ ) | ( $511,749,020)$ | \$142,337,557 | \$128,211,183 | ( $53,088,620$ ) | S56,632,949 |
| Total Net Present value |  |  |  |  |  | \$55,544,329 |  |
| Benefit C Cost Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | 18.3 |  |




## Appendix B Adaptation Option Sketches









| SEAWALL SECTION | YEAR BUILT／REBUILT | LENGTH（m） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2040 | 170 |
| 2 | 2080 | 190 |
| 3 | 2060 | 395 |
| 4 | 2030 | 480 |
| 5 | 2040 | 315 |
| 6 | 2080 | 900 |

LEGEND：
－—－－－－ 2015 COASTAL EROSION
－ー ー ー－－ 2065 COASTAL EROSION
－－－－－－ 2115 COASTAL EROSION
— NODE BOUNDARY

VEGETATION PROTECTED BY SEAWALLS

NOTE：
1．AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH PROVIDED BY CITY OF JOONDALUP TAKEN IN AUGUST 2020.

| m p rogers \＆associates pl | Suite 1， 128 Main Street <br> Osborne Park 6017 <br> t：＋61892546600 Western Australia admin＠coastsandports．com．au | DRAWN | R BORJA | PINNAROO POINT TO HILLARYS（NODE 3）－SEAWALLS <br> CITY OF JOONDALUP－COASTAL ADAPTATION PLAN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCALE } \\ & \text { AT A3 } \end{aligned}$ |  | MARCH 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coastal and port engineers |  | CHECKED | T HUNT |  |  | 1：10，000 | SK1570－10－05A |



| GROYNE SECTION | YEAR BUILT／REBUILT | LENGTH（m） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2025 |  |
| 2 | 2025 |  |
| 3 | 2060 |  |
| 4 | 2040 |  |
| 5 | 2025 |  |
| 6 | 2040 |  |
| 7 | 2025 |  |
| 8 | 2060 |  |
| 9 | 2060 |  |
| 10 | 2040 |  |
| 11 | 2060 |  |

LEGEND：
－ー－－－－ 2015 COASTAL EROSION
－ー ー ー ー－ 2065 COASTAL EROSION
－－－－－－ 2115 COASTAL EROSION

To
NODE BOUNDARY
GROYNES

## NOTE：

1．AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH PROVIDED BY CITY OF JOONDALUP TAKEN IN AUGUST 2020.

| m p rogers \＆associates pl | Suite 1， 128 Main Street <br> Osborne Park 6017 <br> t：＋61 892546600 <br> Western Australia admin＠coastsandports．com．au | DRAWN | R BORJA | PINNAROO POINT TO HILLARYS（NODE 3）－GROYNES <br> CITY OF JOONDALUP－COASTAL ADAPTATION PLAN | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { STALE } \\ \hline 1} \end{gathered}$ |  | MARCH 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coastal and port engineers |  | CHECKED | T HUNT |  |  | 1：10，000 | SK1570-10-06A |



| HEADLAND SECTION | YEAR BUILT／REBUILT | LENGTH（m） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2060 |  |
| 2 | 2025 |  |
| 3 | 2025 | 100 |
| 4 | 2060 |  |
| 5 | 2040 |  |
| 6 | 2040 |  |
| 7 | 2025 |  |
| 8 | 2025 |  |
| 9 | 2040 |  |


| HEADLAND SECTION | YEAR BUILT／REBUILT | LENGTH（m） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2025 |  |
| 11 | 2025 |  |
| 12 | 2040 | 100 |
| 13 | 2060 |  |
| 14 | 2040 |  |
| 15 | 2040 |  |
| 16 | 2040 |  |
| 17 | 2110 |  |

LEGEND：
－－－－－－ 2015 COASTAL EROSION
＿－－－－－ 2065 COASTAL EROSION
－ー ー ー－－ 2115 COASTAL EROSION
NODE BOUNDARY
， HEADLANDS

NOTE：
1．AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH PROVIDED BY CITY OF JOONDALUP TAKEN IN AUGUST 2020.

| m p rogers \＆associates pl | Suite 1， 128 Main Street | DRAWN | R BORJA | PINNAROO POINT TO HILLARYS（NODE 3）－HEADLANDS CITY OF JOONDALUP－COASTAL ADAPTATION PLAN |  |  | MARCH 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coastal and port engineers | Osborne Park 6017 Western Australia $\begin{gathered}\text { admin＠coastsandports．com．au }\end{gathered}$ | CHECKED | T HUNT |  | ${ }_{\text {AT A A }}^{\text {SCAIE }}$ | 1：10，000 | SK1570－10－07A |




m p rogers \& associates pl
www.coastsandports.com.au

